

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>M.A.638/2024 in O.A.1235/2024 (DB)</u></b></p> <p><b>C.S. Akhade</b> <span style="float:right">...Applicant</span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float:right">...Respondents</span></p> <p align="center"><b><u>Speaking to the Minutes</u></b></p> <p>1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasizes that Applicant is not seeking deemed date of promotion to the post of 'Awal Karkoon' and he only seeks consideration of her name for the post of 'Naib-Tahsildar'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO holding for learned CPO states that although Applicant is not seeking deemed date of promotion to the post of 'Awal Karkoon', a proposal is under consideration of 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. The 'Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai' therefore directed to decide expeditiously about the pending issue of deemed date of promotion of Applicant in the post of 'Awal Karkoon' within 2 weeks from the date of the order and soon thereafter within next '2 weeks' also hold meeting of 'Special DPC' to consider name of Applicant for the post of 'Naib Tahsildar', especially because the regular DPC for promotion of other employees from post of 'Awal Karkoon' has been recently held on 14.10.2024.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member-A</b>  <b>22.10.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1194 of 2024</p> <p>H.N. Thakar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2024.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 19.11.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.10.2024

M.A. No.618 of 2024 in O.A. No.1194 of 2024

H.N. Thakar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Delay is of 22 days. Condonation of delay is opposed by the Respondents. Considering the extent of delay and the reasons for the same mentioned in the M.A., delay is condoned. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 22.10.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1118 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S.D. Patil &amp; Ors., .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Shri S.A. Ambulkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned PO, time is granted for filing reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. It is the contention of learned Advocate for the Applicant that the issue involved in this O.A. is squarely covered by the decision of this Tribunal in O.A. 569/2024, dated 10.05.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.11.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(M.A. Lovekar)</span>  Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.10.2024

M.A. No.652 of 2024 in O.A. No.1267 of 2024

S.S. Yadav .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Show Cause Notice dated 11.10.2024 is at Exhibit A-12. Reply of this Show Cause Notice filed by the Applicant is at Exhibit G (Pg 39 & 40). This Reply is stated to be interim. According to the Applicant he gave interim Reply because certain documents sought by him from the Department are yet to be provided.

3. It is submitted by the learned P.O. that this Reply dated 17.10.2024 (Exhibit G) is yet to be placed on the concerned desk.

4. The Applicant apprehends that since period of 8 days mentioned in notice dated 11.10.2024 is going to expire today, the Department may proceed to take coercive action.

5. It is submitted by the learned P.O. on instructions from Shri Sahebrao Bhoje, Desk Officer & Shri Amol Pawar, Assistant from Women and Child Development Department that since Reply dated 17.10.2024 is yet to be received by the concerned authorities no coercive action in any case is going to be taken within next one week.

6. S.O. to 29.10.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.631 of 2024 in O.A. No.208 of 2024 with M.A. No.349 of 2024</p> <p>D.K. Shinde &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted that this matter is going to be conducted by learned CPO on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.10.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.10.2024 Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1189 of 2024

S.P. Gaikwad .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.11.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.608 of 2024 in O.A. No.1197 of 2024</p> <p>R.R. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time of Two Days to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.10.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.619 of 2024 in O.A. No.1189 of 2024</p> <p>S.P. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Delay is of 22 days. Condonation of delay is opposed by the Respondents. Considering the extent of delay and the reasons for the same mentioned in the M.A., delay is condoned. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1369 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. Shivade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.11.2024.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<b>for Dismissal</b>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 14.11.2024.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.410 of 2024 in O.A. No.844 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. Tople .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN NMN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.10.2024

O.A. No.619 of 2023

R.D. Pardhi .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Interim Relief, if any, to continue till next date.
3. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

NMN





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.182 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.A. Panchbahi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 26.11.2024 for filing Reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.77 of 2024</p> <p>S.R. Desai &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 26.11.2024 for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. Interim Relief, if any, to continue till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.27 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. R.P. Suryawanshi .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 26.11.2024 for filing Reply by way of a last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)                      Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.44 of 2024</p> <p>S.S. Pakale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.699 of 2023</p> <p>Y.A. Ahire &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On Oral request of learned CPO, S.O. to 20.11.2024 for filing Reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.523 of 2023</p> <p>V.V. Kadam &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted that Respondents for filed their Reply. O.A. is admitted and kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.12.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.185 of 2024 with M.A. No.641 of 2024 in O.A. No.542 of 2024 with O.A. No.840 of 2024 with O.A. No.827 of 2024 with O.A. No.828 of 2024 with M.A. No.624 of 2024 with M.A. No.450 of 2024 with O.A. No.829 of 2024</p> <p>S.B. Raul &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri Tushar Wankhede, learned Advocate holding for Shri Talekar Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed by the Respondent in O.A. No.827/2024.</p> <p>3. On Oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 27.11.2024 for filing Reply in O.A. No.829/2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.670 of 2024</p> <p>N.D. Ghoderao .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by learned P.O. that Reply of Respondent No.1 is already filed and it is sufficient.</p> <p>3. O.A. is admitted with liberty to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Interim Relief, if any, to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.11.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)                      Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

M. A. No.291 of 2024 in O.A.No.601 of 2024

N. Y. Zimare ... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

M. A. No.646 of 2024 with M. A. No.291 of 2024 in O.A.No.601 of 2024

The District Collector, Satara ....Applicant  
(Ori. Respondent No.2)

Versus

N. Y. Zimare ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

1. Heard Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).
2. The Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2) has filed this Misc. Application allowing them to file Affidavit in Reply.
3. After getting information from the office of C.P.O. about grant of last chance for filing reply, their office sought copy of O.A. from the office of CPO on 20.06.2024. According to them, due to heavy workload and administration reason, Affidavit could not be filed within time. They have submitted their parawise comments on 18.10.2024 to the office of CPO but due to workload, the concerned officer could not attend for affirmation of reply.
4. Learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) has orally submitted that cost may be imposed on Applicant (Ori. Respondent) as reply is not filed within time.
5. The contents in application about difficulties faced by Applicant (Respondent No.2) are supported by the Affidavit.
6. The main Misc. Application No.291/2024 is for condonation of delay in filing petition. Since, the ground for setting aside no reply order is supported by Affidavit and as they appear to be satisfactory, prayed in this M.A. needs to be allowed so that the matter can be decided on merit.
7. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
8. Misc. Application is allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Date: 22.10.2024

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: right;"><b>O.A. No.968 of 2024</b> Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p><b>D.N. Kambli &amp; Ors.,</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned PO has sought time to file reply.</li> <li>3. Grant of time to file reply is strongly opposed by learned counsel for the Applicant. He submitted that order of status quo be passed.</li> <li>4. We are inclined to grant opportunity to Respondent to file reply. Respondent shall file reply positively on the next date i.e 14.11.2024.</li> <li>5. Learned PO states that she will contact the concerned officer and ensure that reply is filed on next date.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 14.11.2024</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b> Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date: 22.10.2024**

**C.A. No.41 of 2024 in O.A. No. 428 of 2021**

**S.A. Kamble .....Applicant**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. A Statement is made on behalf of the Applicant by his learned Advocate that appointment order is issued to the Applicant on 11.10.2024 and thus order of the Tribunal has been complied with.

3. In view of this statement C.A. is disposed. No Order as to Costs.

**Sd/-**  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**Sd/-**  
**(M.A. Lovekar)**  
**Member (J)**



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 22.10.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.607 of 2022 in O.A. No. 465 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>G.Y. Deshpande .....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Shri D.B. Khaire learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time of Two Weeks to amend the O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2024.</p> <p><b>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</b>                      <b>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</b></p>

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 22.10.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1192 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>B.M. Bandgar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri D.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No. 1 to 3. Shri Sagar Talekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 4. Ms Sayali Gangal, learned Advocate i/b Shri Vikram Walawalkar learned Advocate for Respondent No.5. Shri Shantanu Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No. 6 through Video Conference.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.6. Time is sought by learned PO to file reply of Respondent No. 1 to 3. Respondent No.5 has already filed reply. O.A. be kept under the category of Urgent Admission on 12.11.2024.</p> <p align="center"> <b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b> </p> <p align="center"> <b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b> </p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.10.2024

O.A. No.1158 of 2024

M.R. Pawar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri O.A. Wable, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On the request Learned PO, S.O. to 19.11.2024 for filing reply.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

AGR



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.10.2024

O.A. No.1154 of 2024

A.D. Gade .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2024

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 22.10.2024</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.1128 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>R.S. Angaj &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Shri Y.S. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO is filing reply of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2024</p> <p><b>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</b>                      <b>Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</b></p> <p>AGR</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: <del>22.10.2024</del> Tribunal's orders <del>O.A. No.1045 of 2024</del>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**R.S. Pattebahadur** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Shri S.B. Chandan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 19.11.2024

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

Sd/-  
 (M.A. Lovekar)  
 Member (J)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 22.10.2024 Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

O.A. No.1062 of 2024

V.C. Devrukhkar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.S. Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder to reply of Respondent No.1.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2024.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

AGR



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<b>Date: 22.10.2024</b> Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------

**O.A. No.950 of 2024**

**P.P. Patil** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 19.11.2024 for final reply as last chance.

**Sd/-**  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**Sd/-**  
**(M.A. Lovekar)**  
**Member (J)**

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date: 22.10.2024**

**O.A. No.861 of 2024**

**P.B. Bhosure & Ors. ....Applicant**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Vanguard Law Group, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO states that she has received instructions and on the next date she will positively file reply.
3. S.O. to 19.11.2024 for reply strictly as a last chance.

**Sd/-**  
**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**

**Sd/-**  
**(M.A. Lovekar)**  
**Member (J)**

AGR



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 22.10.2024
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

**O.A. No.886 of 2024**

**Dr. A.S. Thorat** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 26.11.2024

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

Sd/-  
 (M.A. Lovekar)  
 Member (J)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 22.10.2024
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

**O.A. No.721 of 2024**

**M. P. Jagtap** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 26.11.2024 for final Reply. The learned PO makes a statement that she will file reply positively on next date.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2024

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

AGR



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.10.2024

O.A. No.1282 of 2024 with M.A. No.602 of 2024

P G Babar .....Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. No.1282 of 2024 with M.A. No.602 of 2024 be tagged with O.A. No.1229/2024.

3. S.O. to 23.10.2024.

Sd/-  
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)  
 Member (A)

Sd/-  
 (M.A. Lovekar)  
 Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1363/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>B.S. Kendre</b> ... <b>Applicant</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... <b>Respondents</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. The impugned order of transfer of Applicant dated 07.10.2024 is at Annexure 'A-1'. The order refers to compliance of Sub-sections 4 &amp; 5 of Section 4 of 'Transfer Act 2005'.</li> <li>3. It is the submission of learned PO that order dated 07.10.2024 is in fact one of the general transfers, and therefore, there was no need to comply with Sub-sections 4 &amp; 5 of Section 4 of 'Transfer Act 2005'. In support of this submission, record is produced for perusal which shows that the meeting of CSB was held on 28.08.2024, the proposal was forwarded to Hon'ble Chief Minister on 30.08.2024 and approval accorded was received on 04.10.2024. These dates prima-facie would not bring the impugned order of transfer within the extended time limit of general transfers i.e. 30.08.2024 as is tried to be argued by learned PO.</li> <li>4. Further submission of Applicant is that on his post, no one is transferred, the post is lying vacant and under such circumstances, if effect and implementation of impugned order is stayed to the extent of Applicant, the chain of transfer would not be affected. <del>The</del> Correctness of this submission is not disputed by learned PO.</li> <li>5. For the reason discussed above, I have come to the conclusion that a case is made out to stay the effect and implementation of impugned order to the extent of Applicant till the next date. The effect and implementation of impugned order shall stand stayed till next date to the extent of Applicant (whose name is shown at Serial No.8 of the impugned order). By virtue of order of stay, the Applicant shall continue to work on the post held by him.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Issue notice returnable on 14.11.2024.
7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
10. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member-J  
22.10.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.1351/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>A.C. Kadam</b> ... <b>Applicant</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... <b>Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents 1 &amp; 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Impugned order is dated 09.10.2024 (Exb. 'A'). Para 2 of this order reads as under :-</p> <p>“2. संदर्भ क्र. 9 वरील शासन निर्णय दि. ३१ मे, २०१७ मधील परिच्छेद ८ (पअ) वरील तरतुद तसेच, संदर्भ क्र. ४ व ५ वरील सामान्य प्रशासन विभागाच्या प्रतिनियुक्तीबाबत शासन निर्णयातील तरतुदी पाहता, श्री. कदम यांचा या प्रभागातील प्रतिनियुक्तीचा कालावधी ५ वर्षांपेक्षा जास्त झालेला असल्याने, त्यांची या विभागाकडील प्रतिनियुक्ती संपुष्टात आणून, त्यांची सेवा जलसंपदा या त्यांच्या मूळ विभागाकडे प्रत्यार्पित करण्याचा निर्णय सक्षम प्राधिका-यांच्या मान्यतेने घेण्यात आला आहे.”</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that the impugned order has proceeded on a wrong premise that a period of deputation of Applicant had exceeded 5 years. In support of this submission, reliance is placed on order dated 16.03.2023 (Exb. 'G'). Para 2 of this order states as under :-</p> <p>“२. तथापि, उपरोक्त संदर्भ क्र.३ अन्वये श्री. कदम यांनी केलेल्या विनंतीनुसार या आदेशान्वये महाराष्ट्र शासकीय कर्मचाऱ्यांच्या बदल्यांचे विनियमन व शासकीय कर्तव्ये पार पाडताना होणार्या विलंबास प्रतिबंध अधिनियम २००५ मधील ४ (४) व ४ (५) मधील तरतुदीनुसार व सक्षम प्राधिकाऱ्यांच्या मान्यतेने खालील तक्त्यात नमुद केल्याप्रमाणे श्री. कदम यांची बदलीने पदस्थापना करण्यात येत आहे.”</p> <p>It was contended that order dated 16.03.2023 was a fresh order of deputation and to this order, the earlier period of service on deputation could not have been tagged. In Reply, the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 have relied on order dated 12.09.2024. This order reads as under :-</p> <p>“ज्ञापन श्री. अण्णा चंद्रकांत कदम, जिल्हा जलसंधारण अधिकारी तथा कार्यकारी अभियंता, मृद व जलसंधारण विभाग, रायगड यांना दि.०६.०२.२०२३ ते १६.०३.२०२३ (३९ दिवस) हा कालावधी महाराष्ट्र नागरी सेवा (सेवेच्या सर्वसाधारण शर्ती) नियम १९८१ मधील नियम ९ (१४) (फ) मधील तरतुदीनुसार, सक्तीच्या प्रतिक्षेचा कालावधी मंजूर करण्यात येत आहे.</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

श्री. कदम यांना मंजूर करण्यात आलेला सक्तांचा प्रतिक्षा कालावधी हा प्रशासकीय कारणास्तव असल्याचे प्रमाणित करण्यात येत आहे.

३. हे ज्ञापन शासन निर्णय, वित्त विभाग, क्रमांक संनिवे १००१/१३०/सेवा-४, दिनांक ०२.०६.२००३ अन्वये प्रदान करण्यात आलेल्या अधिकारानुसार निर्गमित करण्यात येत आहे.

महाराष्ट्राचे राज्यपाल यांच्या आदेशानुसार व नावानं.”

4. <sup>A</sup> ~~The~~ <sup>the</sup> conjoint consideration of impugned order dated 16.03.2023 and order dated 12.09.2024 prima-facie shows that ~~the~~ period of deputation of Applicant had exceeded 5 years.

5. Rival submissions will have to be heard at length. In the facts and circumstances of the case, reasonable opportunity is required to be given to Respondents to file Reply. Hence, at this stage, 'interim Order' ~~which would be~~ in the nature of 'Status Quo' cannot be passed, since impugned order has already taken effect.

6. Issue notice returnable on 12.11.2024.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

11. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member-J  
22.10.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

R. A. No.10 of 2024 in O.A.No.101 of 2024

Shri A. S. Tondase ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

**Speaking to Minutes**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted that in Para No.7 of Judgment in R.A.10/2024, some clarification is necessary by adding word 'not' so as to suggest that the judgments relied upon by the Applicant are not referred in Para No.3 of the Judgment in O.A.101/2024.

3. It is further submitted by learned Advocate for Applicant that there is no reference in judgment in R.A. 10/2024 about judgements relied upon by the Applicant while arguing O.A.No.101/2024. It has to be noted that the contention of Applicant about his reliance of judgements of Tribunal and Judgment of the Hon'ble High Court at the time of argument in O.A.No.101/2024 is already mentioned in Para No.3 of R.A.10/2024.

4. While passing judgment in O.A.No.101/2024, the Tribunal has specifically mentioned in Para No.3 of the judgment on which Applicant has placed reliance and same fact is mentioned in Para No.7 of the judgment in R.A.10/2024.

5. In view of above, there is no need of any clarification. The application for 'Speaking to Minutes' is therefore disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1320/2024**

**B.S. Tayde** ... Applicant  
Vs.  
**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents 1 to 4. None present for Respondent No.5, though served.

2. The Applicant is aggrieved by transfer order of Respondent No.5 on the post of 'Assistant Superintendent, Taluka Agriculture Officer, Ulhasnagar, District Thane'. This order is at Exb. 'A-1'. It is pointed out that CSB had recommended ~~the~~ transfer and posting of Applicant to the post on which Respondent No.5 is transferred. It is further submitted that this recommendation was made prior to 30.04.2024. The recommendation along with covering letter referred to above is at Exb.'A-6'.

3. At Exb.'A-5' there is Seniority List. In this list, the name of Applicant is shown at Serial No.7 and name of Respondent No.5 is shown at Serial No.15. It is submitted that as per settled position of law, primacy should have been given to transfer of Applicant to the post on which Respondent No.5 came to be transferred. There is merit in this submission.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant submitted that it would be appropriate if CSB is directed to reconsider the cases of Applicant as well as Respondent No.5 for transfer afresh. Respondent Nos.1 to 4 have no objection to do so. This statement is made by learned PO on instructions from Mr. Rohidas Khokale, Desk Officer.

5. On instructions from Mr. Rohidas Khokale, Desk Officer, learned PO makes a statement that the post of Taluka Agriculture Officer at Ulhasnagar shall be kept vacant till CSB decides question of transfer of Applicant and Respondent No.5 afresh. CSB shall decide this question afresh within two months from today.

6. With these directions, OA stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member-J  
22.10.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1375/2024**

**G.P. Pawar**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Respondents are served by e-mail.
3. Learned PO seeks time to take instructions. Time Granted.
4. Issue notice returnable on 25.10.2024.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> October, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member-J  
22.10.2024

(skw)



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1361/2024 (Caveat No.48/2024)</u></b></p> <p><b>D.D. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondent No.1 and Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5/Caveator.</p> <p>2. By the order dated 11.10.2024 (Annexure 'A-2'), the Applicant was transferred from the post of 'Agriculture Officer, Solapur' to the post of 'Agriculture Officer, Pune. The order dated 14.10.2024 (Annexure 'A-5') shows that pursuant to his order of transfer, the Applicant joined on transferred post on 14.10.2024.</p> <p>3. By impugned order dated 11.10.2024, Respondent No.5 was transferred from the post of 'Agriculture Officer, Khandala, District Satara' to the post of 'Agriculture Officer, Pune' i.e. on the same post to which, by order dated 11.10.2024 (Annexure 'A-2'), the Applicant was transferred and he had also joined on the transferred post.</p> <p>4. Clarification was sought as to how transfer orders of Applicant as well as Respondent No.5 were issued in the aforesaid manner. It may be reiterated that both these orders are dated 11.10.2024. Today, learned PO has placed on record communication from Department dated 22.10.2024. It states as under :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“सदर आदेशाच्या अंमलबजावणी करीता सदरहू आदेश आयुक्त (कृषि) यांना दि. ११.१०.२०२४ रोजी ईमेल द्वारे पाठविण्यात आले.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">तथापि, यापूर्वी निर्गमित केलेल्या विनंती बदली आदेशामध्ये शासनाने अंशतः बदल करून सुधारीत विनंती बदली आदेशास मान्यता दिली.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">त्यानुसार यापूर्वीचे ईमेलद्वारे पाठविलेले आदेशाची अंमलबजावणी न करण्याबाबत कृषि आयुक्तालयास दूरध्वनीद्वारे कळविण्यात आले व सदरील आदेशाचा ईमेल कृषि आयुक्तालयाकडून डिलीट करण्यात आला.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">तदनंतर शासनाने सुधारीत विनंती बदली आदेश निर्गमित केले आहेत. त्यामध्ये श्री. विलास बाबुराव धायगुडे यांची बदलीने पदस्थापना कृषि अधिकारी, (गुनि) जिल्हा अधिक्षक कृषि अधिकारी कार्यालय, पुणे या ठिकाणी करण्यात आली आहे.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

यामध्ये विभागाचे असे मत आहे की, सदर प्रकरणी शासनाने अंतीमत: दिलेल्या सुधारीत आदेशाप्रमाणे श्री.धनंजय दिनकर पाटील यांचे विनंती बदली आदेश रद्द ठरतात व श्री. विलास बाबुराव धायगुडे यांचे विनंती बदली आदेश विधिग्राह्य ठरतात.”

5. Having regard to contents of Annexure 'A-2', the impugned order (Annexure 'A-1') and 'Joining Report' of Applicant on the transferred post dated 14.10.2024 (Annexure 'A-5'), it can be prima-facie inferred that the impugned order could not have been issued on 11.10.2024.

6. Aforesaid communication dated 22.10.2024 refers to deletion of an e-mail. It is submitted that this Tribunal should take cognizance of such conduct, so that there is no recurrence.

7. Presently, the Tribunal is considering the case for grant of 'Interim Order' in the nature of stay to the effect and implementation of the impugned order (Annexure 'A-1').

8. It is submitted by learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 that Respondent No.5 has joined on the transferred post on 15.10.2024. I have referred to the fact that on the same post, the Applicant has joined on 14.10.2024.

9. In view of aforesaid facts, case is made out to pass 'Interim Order'. The effect and implementation of the impugned order of transfer of Respondent No.5 dated 11.10.2024 (Annexure 'A-1') shall stand stayed till the next date.

10. Since effect and implementation of the impugned order is stayed till the next date, the Applicant shall continue to work on his transferred post as 'Agriculture Officer, Quality Control Inspector, Pune till the next date.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member-J  
22.10.2024

(skw)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 22.10.2024**

**O.A.No.692/2024 with O.A.No.693/2024 with  
O.A.No.786/2024 & O.A.No.787/2024 (D.B.)**

M.B. Deshmukh  
B.G. Shedge  
S.B. Ahire  
Y.C. Patil & Ors.

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. not on board. Taken on board in view of speaking to minutes praecipe filed by learned Counsel for the Applicant Mr. Jagdale.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that in order dated 18.10.2024 in paragraph Nos.3 and 4 the name of one of the Applicant is wrongly typed as 'Mr. E.N. Dhobale'. The same is to be read as 'E.K. Dhobale'.

4. Ordered accordingly. Adjourned to 25.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member - A

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 22.10.2024

O.A. No.1235 of 2024 with M.A. No.638 of 2024  
(FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)

C.S. Akhade .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Proposed portion shall be added in the order dated 18.10.2024.
3. Office to carry out the insertion.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.435 OF 2024</p> <p>S. S. Chandorkar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajendra Sarvode, the Responsible Officer from the office of Respondent No.2 is present before the Court and submits that amount towards GIS and Provisional Pension for the months of July and September, 2024 is deposited in the account of Applicant. It is also submitted that there is objection from office of A.G. for payment of Leave Encashment that there is no remark of pay verification. He further submits that the bill towards GPF is already forwarded to office of A.G. but there is objection to it from the said department and Respondent is going to remove the said objection.</p> <p>3. The Applicant to verify the same.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>( A. N. Karmarkar )</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A. No.847 of 2024 with O.A.No.848 of 2024

C. M. Fegade

S. M. Bhandare

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D. R. Patil with Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief', if any in O.A.No.847/2024 be continued till next date.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1590 OF 2023</p> <p>S. R. Dode .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted that Applicant is now posted as Medical Superintendent, Government Nursing Home &amp; Hospital at Ulhasnagar-4 and he has joined the duty on 18.10.2024. Learned Advocate has submitted that now nothing survives in the matter, it may be disposed of. He has also filed the copy of posting order of the Applicant. It is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Learned PO has no objection for disposal of O.A.</p> <p>4. In view of submissions and as the prayer becomes infructuous, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>( A. N. Karmarkar ) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.770 of 2024</p> <p>A. R. Nikalje &amp;Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil with Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents</li><li>2. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply. He states that he has received parawise remarks from the concerned department.</li><li>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant insisted for passing 'Interim Relief'</li><li>4. Shri Amol Bawane, Police Constable, LMO Thane is present before the court and submits on taking instructions from OS Thane (Gramin) that department may not take further action in response to impugned order for about next four weeks.</li><li>5. Considering this statement, four weeks' time is granted for filing reply.</li><li>6. S.O. to 14.11.2024.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>( A. N. Karmarkar ) Member (J)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

M. A. No.646 of 2024 with M. A. No.291 of 2024 in O.A.No.601 of 2024

The District Collector, Satara ....Applicant  
(Ori. Respondent No.2)

Versus

N. Y. Zimare ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)

1. Heard Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).
2. The Applicant (Ori. Respondent No.2) has filed this Misc. Application allowing them to file Affidavit in Reply.
3. After getting information from the office of C.P.O. about grant of last chance for filing reply, their office sought copy of O.A. from the office of CPO on 20.06.2024. According to them, due to heavy workload and administration reason, Affidavit could not be filed within time. They have submitted their parawise comments on 18.10.2024 to the office of CPO but due to workload, the concerned officer could not attend for affirmation of reply.
4. Learned Advocate for Respondent (Ori. Applicant) has orally submitted that cost may be imposed on Applicant (Ori. Respondent) as reply is not filed within time.
5. The contents in application about difficulties faced by Applicant (Respondent No.2) are supported by the Affidavit.
6. The main Misc. Application No.291/2024 is for condonation of delay in filing petition. Since, the ground for setting aside no reply order is supported by Affidavit and as they appear to be satisfactory, prayed in this M.A. needs to be allowed so that the matter can be decided on merit.
7. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
8. Misc. Application is allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1338 OF 2024**

Shri Ganesh U. Rathod )...**Applicant**

**Versus**

1. The State of Maharashtra & 3 Ors. )...**Respondents**

**Shri C.T. Chandratre, Advocate for Applicant.**

**Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 3.**

**Smt. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for Respondent No.4.**

**CORAM : Shri M.A. Lovekar, Member-J**

**DATE : 22.10.2024**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Yesterday, this OA was finally heard by consent at length and closed for orders.

3. It is the submission of learned Advocate for Applicant that yesterday, after going through Minutes of Meeting of CSB, certain aspects came to his notice which are required to be argued at length. These points are as under:-

- (i) Constitution of CSB itself was defective, as only 2 out of 4 persons in the Board put their signature on what is stated to be recommendation of the Board;
- (ii) In the Column of recommendation with regard to transfer of Respondent No.4, there is only vague mention of approval accorded



by the Competent Authority, but it is not specified as to who was the Competent Authority to accord such approval;

- (iii) Letter dated 07.08.2024 issued by Hon'ble Minister cannot be treated to be a fresh proposal for reconsidering the order of transfer of Applicant dated 06.08.2024;
- (iv) In the Minutes of Meeting of CSB, there is absolutely nothing in respect of deliberations about need to modify the order of transfer of Applicant dated 06.08.2024.

4. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply. Time Granted.

5. It is submitted by learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 that when order dated 15.10.2024 was passed, the Respondent-Department and Respondent No.4 were not in a position to resist the prayer of Applicant for passing 'Interim Order', and therefore, question of continuation or otherwise of 'Interim Order' be heard today.

6. Accordingly, submissions are heard.

7. In order dated 15.10.2024, it was observed as under :-

"3. Learned Counsel Mr. Chandratre has submitted that by impugned - order dated 11.10.2024, the Applicant who is Deputy Collector and who posted as Regional Officer, Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC), Nashik on 06.08.2024 came to be transferred and posted as Deputy Chief Officer, Shree Sai Baba Sansthan, Shirdi, District Ahmednagar. Learned Counsel has submitted that by order dated 06.08.2024 applicant was transferred from Parner, District Nagar to Regional Officers, MIDC, Applicant could not join as Regional Officer, MIDC, Nashik pursuant to order dated 06.08.2024 because the movement has not been issued by the Secretary, Industry Department, MIDC. On 07.08.2024 (Exhibit A-5) the applicant has made written request to the Principal Secretary, Industry, Mantralaya to let him join his new posting. On 07.08.2024 (Exhibit A-7) Mr. Uday Samant, Hon'ble Cabinet Minister of Industry has given order to Principal Secretary and Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue) that Respondent No.4, Mr. Deepak Santosh Patil is to be posted as Regional Officer, MIDC on deputation. Pursuant to this letter, transfer order dated 11.10.2024 (Exhibit A-1) was issued."

8. I have already set out the grounds on which re-hearing of OA was sought and today, matter was heard again.

9. As submitted by learned Advocate for Applicant, in Minutes of Meeting of CSB held on 11.10.2024, there is no reference to deliberations held on the point of need to re-consider the transfer order of Applicant dated 06.08.2024. It is further pointed out that though the Board consisted of 4 Members, the meeting appears to have been attended only by 2

Members because below the Minutes, there are signatures of only 2 out of 4 Members. It was submitted that it can be gathered that the issue of need to re-consider the transfer order of Applicant dated 06.08.2024 was not deliberated by CSB in its Meeting dated 11.10.2024. Prima-facie, there appears to be substance in the contentions raised by Applicant that when the matter was placed before the higher authority i.e. Hon'ble Chief Minister, the name of Applicant was inserted in the list and pursuant to such insertion, the Applicant was transferred on deputation by order dated 11.10.2024.

10. It is further submitted by learned Advocate for Applicant that letter of Hon'ble Minister dated 07.08.2024 cannot be construed to be a fresh proposal for reconsidering transfer order of Applicant dated 06.08.2024. In Reply, it is submitted by learned PO that in the facts and circumstances of the case, it was not necessary to submit fresh proposal in respect of transfer of Applicant.

11. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 submitted that after order of his transfer dated 06.08.2024 was passed, the Applicant kept mum for more than 2 months. It is further submitted that Applicant did not join on the post and he is kept in a hanging position. It is further submitted that by virtue of order of 'Status Quo' passed by this Tribunal on 15.10.2024, Respondent No.4 is also kept in a hanging position.

12. It is submitted that on 11.10.2024, pursuant to his order of transfer, the Respondent No.4 was relieved on the same day and he remained present before Secretary, Industry, Energy and Labour Department for the purpose of joining on the post to which he is transferred on deputation.

13. Arguable points are raised in this OA which are required to be heard at length. Today, prayer of PO seeking time to file Reply is also granted and time is given till 12.11.2024.

14. Under the circumstances, I have come to the conclusion that no case is made out to vacate order of 'Status Quo'. 'Status Quo' shall continue till next date i.e. 12.11.2024.





15. S.O. 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.

Sd/-

**(M.A. Lovekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**22.10.2024**

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A.No.1296/2024 with M.A.No.655/2024  
With  
O.A.No.1342/2024

A.S. Dange & Ors. ....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that in O.A.No.1296/2024 with M.A.No.655/2024 Applicant Mr. A.S. Dange wants to argue in person.

3. Mr. A.S. Dange, Applicant in person in O.A.No.1296/2024 with M.A.No.655/2024 is present today and he seeks two days time to prepare himself.

4. Time granted. Adjourned to 24.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.10.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1034/2024</b></p> <p>P.S. Mane .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board in view of speaking to minutes praecipe dated 22.10.2024 filed by learned Counsel for the Applicant Mr. Dere.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that the present O.A. was on board on 17.10.2024 and it was adjourned to 14.01.2025 however he has requested to prepone the date of adjournment.</p> <p>4. In view of above, Adjourned to 28.11.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.10.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1281/2024 with O.A.No.1295/2024 with M.A.No.654/2024 with O.A.No.1320/2024 with O.A.No.1305/2024, with O.A.No.1306/2024, O.A.No.1307/2024, O.A.No.1308/2024 with M.A.No.609/2024 with O.A.No.1309/2024 with O.A.No.1312/2024 with M.A.No.615/2024 with O.A.No.1317/2024 with O.A.No.1318/2024 with O.A.No.1333/2024 with M.A.No.625/2024 with O.A.No.1334/2024 with M.A.No.626/2024</b></p> <p>S.S. Kokare &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant is present.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that in O.A.No.1312/2024 with M.A.No.615/2024 there are three applicants, out of which Applicant No.1 Ms. V.K. Chavan do not want to pursue the matter as her request has been considered by the Respondents. Hence, name of Applicant No.1 Ms. V.K. Chavan is to be deleted from the arrear of Applicants in O.A.No.1312/2024 with M.A.No.615/2024.</p> <p>3. Permission granted to learned Counsel Mr. Dere to delete the name of Applicant No.1 Ms. V.K. Chavan from the arrear of Applicants.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.1345/2024 (Caveat No.44/2024)</u></b></p> <p><b>Y.H. Dhane</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondent No.1 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2/Caveator.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time till day after tomorrow to produce record. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2024. </p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right"><b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>22.10.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A.1374/2024**

**D.B. Waghmode**

**...Applicant**

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.**

**...Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 12.11.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member-J  
22.10.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.873/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>M.A. Gohil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2024 for filing Reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar)  Member-J  22.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.963/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.S. Kashid</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2024 for filing Reply. 'Interim Relief' to continue till filing of Reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>22.10.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.1008/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>A.I. Mhaske</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply. Time Granted as 'Last Chance'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar)  Member-J  22.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1012/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>H.B. Phad</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2024 for filing Reply. <span style="float: right;">m /</span></p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member-J 22.10.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>






**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.1200/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.B. Jasdhav</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. By order dated 24.09.2024, this Tribunal had directed Respondents to consider the representations of Applicant dated 20.06.2024 and 12.08.2024.</li> <li>3. It is submitted by learned PO that she has no instructions whether these representations are <del>so far</del> decided.</li> <li>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that these representations ought to have been decided before the returnable date.</li> <li>5. Under such circumstances, it would be proper to provide a time frame for deciding the representations.</li> <li>6. Respondents shall decide the aforesaid representations within four weeks from today and decision on the representations shall be communicated to the Applicant forthwith.</li> <li>7. S.O. 19<sup>th</sup> November, 2024.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right"><b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>22.10.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>
















**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1018/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>S.P. Bhosale</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2024 for filing Reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-    <b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>22.10.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>O.A.1241/2024</u></b></p> <p><b>K.S. Patil</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</li> <li>2. While passing order dated 26.09.2024, this Tribunal had referred to submission of learned PO in Para No.3 that the posting order of Applicant will be issued soon. Pursuant to this assurance, order is issued on 14.10.2024 (marked 'X').</li> <li>3. It is the submission of learned Advocate for Applicant that Applicant has joined on the post mentioned in order dated 14.10.2024, but under protest.</li> <li>4. A statement is made that Private Respondent No.2 is served and 'Service Affidavit' is filed. No one has so far appeared for Respondent No.2.</li> <li>5. On oral request of learned PO, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2024 for filing Reply.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/-    <b>(M.A. Lovekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>22.10.2024</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1209 OF 2024</p> <p>A. N. Malwankar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</li><li>2. The Original Applicant is filed in the month of September, 2024.</li><li>3. Learned PO seeks time to file reply as he has not received instructions from the concerned department.</li><li>4. S.O. to 22.11.2024.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>( A. N. Karmarkar ) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.420 OF 2021</p> <p>M. S. Shinde .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At request of learned Advocate for Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 21.11.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- ( A. N. Karmarkar ) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O. A. No. 866 OF 2021

S. M. Bade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At request of learned PO, the matter is adjourned to 28.11.2024.

3. S.O. to 28.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A.No.1296 OF 2023

C. R. Ahinave .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. At request of learned PO, the matter is adjourned to 04.12.2024.
3. 'Interim Relief' if any to continue till next date.
4. S.O. to 04.12.2024.

Sd/-

**( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)**

Vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A.No.694 OF 2022

P. P. Gaikwad .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. At request of learned PO, the matter is adjourned to 03.12.2024.
3. S.O. to 03.12.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

vsm



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A.No.116 of 2024

P. S. Joshi .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.
3. S.O. to 25.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A.No.644 OF 2024

S. A. Chougule .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 25.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 22.10.2024

O.A.No.726 of 2024

H. G. Sawant

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.
3. S.O. to 29.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders Date : 22.10.2024</p>
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.807 OF 2024</b></p> <p><b>T. M. Garge</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp;Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he is going to file additional Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>3. Today, learned PO has filed Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 26.11.2024 for filing additional Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center"><b>( A. N. Karmarkar )</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**Date : 22.10.2024**

**O.A.No.852 OF 2024**

**S. M. Kamble &Ors. ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.
3. S.O. to 26.11.2024.

Sd/-

**( A. N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.10.2024

**M.A. No.337 of 2024 in O.A. No.1037 of 2019 to  
M.A. No.434 of 2024 in O.A. No.1241 of 2019**

- |                          |                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Dr. G.B. Taware       | (O.A.1037/2019) |
| 2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath     | (O.A.1039/2019) |
| 3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar | (O.A.1040/2019) |
| 4. Dr. N.B. Masaram      | (O.A.1041/2019) |
| 5. Dr. V.P. Doijad       | (O.A.1042/2019) |
| 6. C.G. Patil            | (O.A.1237/2019) |
| 7. Dr. M.M. Pawar        | (O.A.1238/2019) |
| 8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal       | (O.A.1239/2019) |
| 9. Dr. P.N. Rathi        | (O.A.1240/2019) |
| 10. Dr. A.B. Pandav      | (O.A.1241/2019) |
|                          | .....Applicants |

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicants, Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned CPO seeks short adjournment.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A.No,1037/2019, O.A. No.1039/2019, O.A. No.1040/2019, O.A. No.1041/2019 & O.A.No.1042/2019.

4. S.O. to 22.11.2024.

Sd/-

( A. N. Karmarkar )  
Member (J)

vsm