

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 973 OF 2018**  
**(Rajaram Z. Mohite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Smt. Suvarna Zaware, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent.**

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132 OF 2020**  
**(Jaywant B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 169 OF 2020**  
**(Megha P. Kapure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 248 OF 2021**  
(Sunil S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 2, 5 & 6. Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 & 4, **absent**.

2. Record shows that the present matter is pending for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are contesting respondents and their affidavit in reply would be necessary.

4. As none present for the respondent Nos. 3 and 4, S.O. to 26.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 399 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Shivaji J. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 26.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 462 OF 2021**  
(Dr. Sagir Jamaliya Arifuddin Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 470 OF 2021**  
**(Nanda K. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 407/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1458/2019**  
(Savita U. Gaikwad @ Sangita S. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, short time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.
3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A. No. 38/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1369/2020  
(Ashok B. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit in M.A.
3. S.O. to 17.11.2021 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 193/2021 in O.A. St. No. 346/2021**  
**(Suryakant S. Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 319 OF 2021**  
(Netaji G. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.11.2021 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 415 OF 2019**  
**(Rajendra S. Jagdale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.11.2021 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2020**  
**(Sanjay B. Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2021 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 13 OF 2021**  
(Ajaykumar B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Thoke Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to transfer. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 25.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 103 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Harishchandra T. Kokani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri R.N. Jain, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, **absent**.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete. The present matter is pertaining to reinstatement/suspension. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 26.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 647 OF 2021**  
**(Vinod V. Gundamwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 24.11.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



//5//

O.A. No. 647/2021

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24.11.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

9. The present matter be placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 621 OF 2021**  
**(Bhimrao S. Bilapatte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.L. Muthal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that there is office objection that the present matter is barred by limitation. In view of the same, since long the matter is pending. Record further shows that on last two occasions nobody is present on behalf of the applicant to remove office objection.

3. In view of above, the Original Application stands dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2020**  
**(Sanjay B. Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.11.2021 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 31/2021 in O.A. St. No. 62/2021**  
**(Ramkisan J. Nampalle & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of the direction given by this Tribunal on 28.09.2021, learned Presenting Officer placed on record copies of provisional seniority list and acknowledgements from the various Government servants including the applicant. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of both the sides, S.O. to 28.10.2021 for production of certain citations.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 629 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Archana V. Bhosle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that though the Deputy Director of Health, Aurangabad Division, Aurangabad is joined as respondent No. 2 in the present O.A., he has no role to play in the matter, as the requisite proposal was sent by the Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Aurangabad to the Commissioner, Health Services, Mumbai through the Deputy Director of Health, Aurangabad. In the circumstances, he submits that the respondent No. 2 is not necessary or proper party and therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to delete the name of respondent No. 2.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. on or before the next date of hearing.

4. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 16.11.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 626/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2319/2019**  
**(Baban N. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, Time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

3. S.O. to 30.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 01/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2317/2019**  
**(Devidas M. Kandhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1.

2. Learned Advocate Shri G.N. Patil, filed **VAKILPATRA** on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record.

3. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the respondent No. 1 adopts the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

5. S.O. to 30.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 03/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2143/2019**  
(Dr. Dilip R. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Shri Ajinkya Reddy, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, absent.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, Time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

3. S.O. to 30.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021



**M.A. No. 4/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2316/2019**  
**(Laxman R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1.

2. Learned Advocate Shri G.N. Patil, filed **VAKILPATRA** on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record.

3. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the respondent No. 1 adopts the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

5. S.O. to 30.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 5/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2458/2019**  
**(Dr. Manik S. Madke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6. Shri Ajinkya Reddy, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5, absent.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6 in M.A.

3. S.O. to 30.11.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021

**M.A. No. 6/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2318/2019**  
(Dattatrya K. Istake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1.

2. Learned Advocate Shri G.N. Patil, filed **VAKILPATRA** on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record.

3. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the respondent No. 1 adopts the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

5. S.O. to 30.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 256 OF 2021**  
**(Priya A. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Today, learned Presenting Officer placed on record a copy of report of Civil Services Board. Same is taken on record.

4. Considering the rival submissions made by both the parties as regards the Civil Services Board report, it would be just and proper to bring on record the concerned G.R. relating to constitution of Civil Services Board and working thereof would be necessary.

5. S.O. to 29.10.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 474 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Shobha J. Waidande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vevek Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 submits that if necessary he would file affidavit in reply.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record a copy of representation dated 20.08.2021 made by the applicant to the respondent No. 1 requesting her transfer at Rural Hospital, Ter or Sub District Hospital, Tuljapur Dist. Osmanabad. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

5. Upon query, learned Advocate for the applicant not able to state as to whether the applicant has joined

//2//

O.A. No. 474/2021

on transferred place at Primary Health Center, Jagji Tq. & Dist. Osmanabad or she is on any admissible leave.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present Original Application may be disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 1 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 20.08.2021 within a stipulated period.

7. In view of the above, the present O.A. is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 1 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 20.08.2021 at the earliest in any case, within a period of one month from the date of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2021  
(Ram D. Anerao & 3 Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 66/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 124/2021  
(Mahesh G. Premalwad Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mahendra B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that today he has received affidavit in reply filed on 7.10.2021 on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. He further submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. In view of the above, in M.A. No. 66/2021 the pleadings are complete and the same is kept for hearing.

4. S.O. to 27.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**



**M.A.NO. 160/2020 IN O.A.NO. 712/2018  
(Sandipan Anna Gawali Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A. No. 712/2018, which is dismissed in default by this Tribunal vide its order dated 9.3.2020.

3. This M.A. No. 712/2018 has been filed by the applicant on 13.3.2021 i.e. within four days from the date of order of dismissed in default passed by this Tribunal on 9.3.2020.

4. For the reasons stated in the present M.A. No. 712/2018 and since the applicant has filed the same within stipulated time, it is allowed. The Original Application be restored to its original file.

5. Accordingly, the present M.A. No. 160/2020 stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712 OF 2018  
(Sandipan Anna Gawali Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today, by passing separate order in M.A. No. 160/2020 the present Original Application is restored to its original file.

3. Record shows that separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 3, 4 & 6 have already been filed in the present O.A. On 16.07.2019, learned Presenting Officer had made a statement that there is no necessity to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 5. The learned Advocate for the applicant clarified that he does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. In view of above, pleadings are complete. The present O.A. is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

5. S.O. to 26.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2018  
(Sanjay M. Deokate Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case be treated as a part heard.
3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-HDD

**C.P.NO.43 OF 2019 WITH M.A.NO.97 OF 2012 IN  
O.A.NO.817/20211  
(Dharampal U. Dethe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 2. Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3 is **absent**.

2. S.O. to 01.12.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply of respondent No.3.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.557 OF 2020  
(Savita S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.A. More, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is filed only on behalf of the respondent no.2.

3. Learned P.O. submits that reply of respondent no.1 is not necessary.

4. S.O. to 06.12.2021 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder by the applicant, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.191 OF 2021  
(Sandip W. Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. None present on behalf of the respondent No.4, though duly served.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 29.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.225 OF 2021  
(Surekha B.Andhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 6.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.227 OF 2021**

**(Surekha B.Andhale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 6.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.192 OF 2021  
(Suresh B. Lande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that there is urgency in the matter and the examination is to be held on 24.10.2021 pursuant to advertisement issued by respondent No.2 dated 21.05.2021 (Exh. 'J').

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has applied for the post of Dental Hygienist pursuant to the said advertisement from open category.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submits that at this stage as he has no instructions as to whether the applicant has received any hall ticket for the said examination and therefore, he seeks time for taking instructions.

//2//

O.A.No.192/2021

6. S.O. to 25.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.252 OF 2021  
(Arati A. Ghatkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that there is urgency in the matter and the examination is to be held on 24.10.2021 pursuant to advertisement issued by respondent No.2 dated 21.05.2021 (Exh. 'J').

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has applied for the post of Dental Hygienist pursuant to the said advertisement from S.T. category.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant further submits that at this stage as he has no instructions as to whether the applicant has received any hall ticket for the said examination and therefore, he seeks time for taking instructions.

//2//

O.A.No.252/2021

6. S.O. to 25.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.310 OF 2021  
(Gopinath B. Nalawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajit Manohar Gholap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.349 OF 2021  
(Anil C. Hugge & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.401 OF 2021  
(Ramreddy R. Aitwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 08.12.2021 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.553 OF 2021  
(Sunayana S. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ratan L. Adhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.569 OF 2021  
(Jagannath M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing service affidavit.

3. Meanwhile, learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record the copy of communication dated 19.10.2021 received by the applicant from the enquiry officer. It is marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

4. S.O. to 09.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.61 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.127 OF 2020  
(Resha P. Karhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.111 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.439 OF 2021  
(Shivaji T. Kote & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Shri J.M. Kurkute, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.35, 50, 58 & 59 is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 09.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.249 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.463 OF 2019  
(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1,2,4,5 & 7. Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 6 is **absent**.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 09.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.339 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1452 OF 2021  
(Yogesh C. Gupta & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Santosh S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicants is **absent**. Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 15.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.342 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1470 OF 2021  
(Sanjay D. Gangawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this application, the applicants are seeking permission to sue the respondents jointly.

3. The Original Application is filed seeking declaration that they are eligible for promotion from the cadre of Class-III (Group -C) to Class-II (gazetted).

4. It is the contention of the applicants that they are working in the same department. They are employee of Class-III (Group-C) category. Their grievances are similar and therefore, they are seeking same relief for promotion from the cadre of Class-III (Group-C) to Class-II (gazetted).

5. In view of same, to avoid the multiplicity, it would be just and proper to grant permission to the applicant to sue the respondents jointly, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

//2//

M.A.342/2021 IN  
O.A.St.1470/2021

6. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.1470 OF 2021  
(Sanjay D. Gangawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15.11.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



**//2//**

**O.A.St.1470/21**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 15.11.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

9. The present matter is placed on separate board.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.935 TO 937 ALL OF 2019  
(Toliram P. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned C.P.O., Shri I.S. Thorat and Shri D.R. Patil, learned respective Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective O.As.

2. Record shows that as per order dated 01.10.2021 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad in Writ Petition No.14544, 14547 & 14548 all of 2019 commonly, hearing of these three O.As. is expedited and directed to decide the O.A. in accordance with law, preferably within three months of receipt of a copy of this order. The copy of the Writ Petitions is received on 20.10.2021.

3. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the Respondents.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicants seeks time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder. Time is granted.

5. S.O. to 22.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.61 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.533 OF 2015  
(Shivaji P. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1012 OF 2019  
(Satish N. Badade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 09.12.2021 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder by the applicant, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1013 OF 2019  
(Sandeep J. More & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 10.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1030 OF 2019  
(Dr. Jaya P. Dighe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4, Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.5 to12 & 14, Shri N.S. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the respondent No.13 and Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.15.

2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to seniority and deemed date of benefit. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 01.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.182 OF 2019  
(Dr. Devidas L. Lavhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during pendency of this application, the applicant expired on 12.04.2021. He placed on record the copy of death certificate of the applicant. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for taking necessary steps. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 24.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.126 OF 2021  
(Dadabhau N. Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 02.12.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.378 OF 2021  
(Raju H. Sayyed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gaurav L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the respondent nos.4 & 6 are not served.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant time is granted for filing service affidavit.
4. S.O. to 28.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.188/2021 WITH M.A.152/2021 IN O.A.431 /2020  
(Purushottam G. Khule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 to 5(Applicant in M.A.No.152/2021) and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the respondent no.6.

2. By this Misc. application, the applicant is seeking amendment in the Original Application.

3. The Original Application was filed challenging the seniority list dated 10.08.2020 of the post of Superintendent (Legal) (Annex. 'A-8') and further seeking deemed date of promotion.

4. It is the contention of the applicant that before filing of Original Application, the Applicant had made representations which are Annex. 'A-6' collectively and annexure 'A-9'. It is further contention of the applicant that during pendency of the said O.A., the representations made by the applicant are rejected vide order/communication dated 14.06.2021.

//2//

M.A.No.188/2021 In O.A.431/2020

5. In view of same, the applicant seeking to bring on record the subsequent event which is relevant to the cause of action pleaded in the Original Application.

6. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent nos.2 in M.A.No.188/2021.

7. Learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 to 5 submitted that the amendment is not just and necessary.

8. Learned Advocate for the respondent No.6 submits that he has nothing to say on the amendment application.

9. Considering the facts and circumstances as stated above, it appears that the proposed amendment is relevant to earlier pleadings in the Original Application and it is in the nature of subsequent event. If the proposed amendment is allowed, it is not going to change the nature of proceedings and it is necessary to determine the real question of controversy between the parties.

10. Hence, Misc. Application No.188 of 2021 is allowed and disposed of. No order as to costs.

11. The Applicant to carry out amendment within a period of two weeks from today.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.152/2021 IN O.A.NO.431 /2020  
(Smt. Seema G. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicants in the present M.A.( respondent Nos.3 to 5 in O.A.), Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in the present M.A. (applicant in O.A.), Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.2 & 3 in present M.A.(Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in O.A.) and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4 in the present M.A.(Respondent No.6 in O.A.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**M.A.NO.241 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.950 OF 2019  
(Jagannath M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

3. However, thereafter, amendment is carried out in the Original Application. Hence, learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply to the amended Original Application.

4. Record shows that Misc. Application No.241/2021 was made by the applicant for seeking amendment in the Original Application. By order dated 12.08.2021, the amendment is allowed but the said M.A. remained to be disposed of. In the circumstances, the Misc. Application No. 241/2021 stands disposed of in view of earlier order dated 12.08.2021.

5. S.O. to 08.12.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply to the amendment O.A.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.331 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.468 OF 2021  
(Vandana P. Bhumkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This Misc. Application No.331 of 2021 in Original Application No.468 of 2021 has been filed on 11.10.2021 by the applicant Ms. Vandana Prakash Bhumkar, seeking relief of modification of order dated 16.09.2021 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.468 of 2021.

3. The facts of the matter is that Original Application No.468 of 2021 has been disposed of by an oral order dated 16.09.2021. The operative part of the order is amply clear and there is no clerical error or errors of facts on face of record which may require review under provision of Section 22(3) (f) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below for ready reference.

“5. However, in given circumstances, interference in administration of the department with object to facilitate getting convenient posting for any applicant would be unwarranted.

//2//

M.A.331/2021 In O.A.468/2021

6. In view of the above, the respondents are advised to consider the representation dated 13.8.2021 filed by the applicant on its own merits.”
4. Respondents have been advised to consider the representation dated 13.08.2021 filed by the applicant on its own merit including at appropriate time as per merit of the case and as per facts on merit.
5. The Misc. Application No.331 of 2021 in Original Application No.468 of 2021 is disposed of. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 22.10.2021-SAS

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66/2018  
(Dnyeneshwar P. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate along with Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter was heard by us on 25.9.2021 at length and it is closed for orders. However, on going through the pleadings and the documents placed on record by both the sides, it reveals that, one of the points involved in the present matter is relating to lapse of waiting list prepared by the M.P.S.C. In that regard, we have come across the G.R. dated 2.9.2019, which was not addressed by either parties. In our view, the said G.R. dated 2.9.2019 is relevant and is required to be taken in to consideration before adjudicating the present case finally.

3. In the above circumstances, the present case is required to be placed on board for allowing both the parties to address us regarding implication of this G.R. dated 2.9.2019.

4. S.O. to 26.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45/2019  
(Sitaram M. Bhokare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing sur-rejoinder to counter affidavit in rejoinder filed by the applicant. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 23/2020**  
**(Arvind P. Awad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM** : **Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE** : **22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, is present.

2. Today, Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate appears and submits that he is under instructions from the respondent nos. 3 to 5 to appear in the present case on their behalf. He seeks time for filing V.P. for respondent nos. 3 to 5 and also to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the said respondents.

3. Learned P.O. also seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 & 2.

4. S.O. to 17.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582/2021**  
**(Anant S. Kapse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed on record application dated 13.10.2021 received to him from the applicant annexing therewith copy of order dated 13.10.2021 issued by the respondent no. 1. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification. By the said application the applicant has expressed that the impugned transfer order dated 30.8.2021 issued by the respondent no. 1 has already been cancelled by the respondents, and therefore, he does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wishes to withdraw the same.

3. Since there is no cause of action survives, the applicant is permitted to withdraw the present O.A.

4. In the circumstances, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551/2020  
(Kiran S. Pathare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that on going through the affidavit in reply filed by the respondents he is of the opinion that rejoinder affidavit is not necessary in the present case.

3. In view thereof, S.O. to 25.11.2021 for hearing at the stage of admission itself.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226/2021  
(Khilesh K. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.R. Dawary, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. He is advised to cover the specific point raised by the applicant in his Original Application that his father was Ex-serviceman and was allowed to join in service of the State Government in the year 2008 though by that time he had already 3 children. At that specific point of time the rules regarding Small Family were in force. Therefore, it is necessary to get clarification from the respondents as to what kind of relaxation was granted to him under the Small Family Rules, which cannot be made available to the applicant while applying for benefit under the scheme of appointment on compassionate ground.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353/2021  
(Sunil J. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swaraj Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing filing affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484/2021  
(Shrimant M. Ubale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 506/2021  
(Ranjana A. Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 24.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 498/2021  
(Navnath R. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 26.11.2021 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 538/2021  
(Manohar K. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)  
DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri U.P. Giri, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has already submitted service affidavit of the applicant in the office, however, it is not tagged in the O.A.

3. If the service affidavit is filed in the office, that be tagged with the O.A.

4. S.O. to 15.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 550/2021  
(Shobha S. Bidhe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Mirajgaonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 799/2016  
(Bhura R. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**  
**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that she wants to take some more instructions from the concerned respondents in the present case. She, therefore, seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**Date : 22.10.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 655 OF 2021**

**(Rahul Dnyanoba Gaikwad V/s State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Nitin U. Telgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.11.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on **23.11.2021**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**O.A.NO.368/2017 & 369/2017**  
**(Bapu Lad & Rajeshwar Jukte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.A.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 18-11-2021.
3. S.O. to 18-11-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.262/2017  
(Trimbak Phasle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not carry out amendment in the O.A. as per order dated 06-10-2021 within a week and prays for extension of time. In the circumstances time is extended till 29-10-2021 for carrying out amendment.

3. Matter to come up on board on 29.11.2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.738/2016  
(Amol Bedse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri N.L.Choudhary learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 02-12-2021.

3. S.O. to 02-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.845/2016**  
**(Dineshkumar Bagul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant files a short affidavit. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 03-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.477/2018**  
**(Santosh Mandage & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 06-12-2021.

3. S.O. to 06-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.847/2018**  
**(Ananda Kolewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mr. M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Mr. M.R.Wagh learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 03-12-2021.

3. S.O. to 03-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NO.11/2019, 30/2019 AND 81/2019  
(Bapuraong Dongar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B.Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 08-12-2021.
3. S.O. to 08-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.108/2019  
(Krushna Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vinod Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 09-12-2021.
3. S.O. to 09-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.171/2019**  
**(Dr. Vaishali Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3 and Shri N.B.Gadegaonkar learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 10-12-2021.

3. S.O. to 10-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.410/2019  
(Santosh Jagdale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no.1 and Shri M.R.Kulkarni learned Advocate for respondent no.2.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 10-12-2021.

3. S.O. to 10-12-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**T.A.NO.01/2021 IN W.P.NO.4908/2021  
(Shivaji Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri Ujjwal S. Patil, learned Special Counsel for the respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and Shri A.S. Mirajgaonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos. 6 to 8.

2. Due to paucity of time, case is adjourned till 25-10-2021.

3. S.O. to 25-10-2021.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A.NO.337/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021  
(W.P.NO.2612/2021)**

**(Pratibha S. Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.305/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021  
(W.P.NO.2612/2021)**

**(The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Samiksha R.  
Chandrakant & Anr.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.310/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021  
(W.P.NO.2612/2021)**

**(Samiksha R. Chandrakar & Anr. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 22.10.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**M.A.NO.337/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021**

Heard Shri Ujjwal S. Patil, learned Special Senior Counsel with Shri Pandurang Gaikwad and Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned Counsels for the applicant in present M.A., Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondent no.1/applicant in O.A. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

**M.A.NO.305/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021**

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for applicants in M.A.No.305/2021 & Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondents in present M.As./applicants in T.A. in M.A.305/2021.

=2=

**M.A.NO.310/2021 IN M.A.NO.309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021**

Heard Shri Ujjwal S. Patil, learned Special Senior Counsel with Shri Pandurang Gaikwad and Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned Counsels for the applicants in M.A.(respondent Nos.5 to 10 in T.A.), Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for applicants in M.A. (respondent Nos.1 to 4 in T.A.) and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.5 & 6 in M.A.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Deshpande files additional affidavits on behalf of the applicants in T.A.No.02/2021. It is taken on record. Copies thereof are served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 25.10.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**Date : 22.10.2021**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.622/2021**

**(Arjun Deshmukh (Gawali) V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri R.V.Gore Id. Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande Id. PO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.11.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 25.11.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

22.10.2021/yuk registrar notice/

**Date : 22.10.2021**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.650/2021**  
**(Prakash Vaichal V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri A.D.Kawre Id. Advocate for the applicant and I.S.Thorat Id. PO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.11.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 25.11.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**