

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.747 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.V. Kamble & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.759 of 2021</p> <p>R.N. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O sought short time to take instructions from the Department and for taking remedial measures, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.291 of 2018</p> <p>V.D. DangatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 as per direction of Tribunal order dated 13.10.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.553 of 2020</p> <p>J.J. KhaireApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No1 to 3.</p> <p>2. Shri S. Taware, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 is absent.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.61 of 2020 with M.A. No.325 of 2020</p> <p>N.D. Bhosale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.727 of 2019</p> <p>J.H.K. InamdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.334 of 2020</p> <p>D.Y. DangeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for Final Hearing to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1183 of 2019</p> <p>S.H. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.H. More, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person is present and requested for adjournment on account of illness of his counsel.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.717 of 2019</p> <p>V.B. WaghmareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They seem not interested in the matter. 4. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. 5. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.680 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.686 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. WaghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.277 of 2019</p> <p>R.S. PhadtareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.M. Lotankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D. Rananaware, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit along with Annexure on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.589 of 2021</p> <p>G.R. WaghmodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.388 of 2021 in O.A. No.790 of 2021</p> <p>S.U. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. as well as O.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.672 of 2021</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.548 of 2021</p> <p>I.G. ChappalwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Surabhi Agarwal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter enough chances are granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing during at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.106 of 2021</p> <p>H.P. KateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter enough chances are granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing during at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.175 of 2020</p> <p>M.J. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kūrhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.65 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. KapdneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.446 of 2021 in O.A. No.821 of 2021</p> <p>P.J. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.A. is filed for Condonation of Delay of four years caused in filing O.A., challenging order dated 24.10.2016 thereby treating absence as break in service and benefits of previous services. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A. No.46/2020.

10. S.O. to 24.11.2021.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.413 of 2021 in O.A. No.782 of 2021</p> <p>J.P. ShrisatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for Condonation of Delay of four months and fifteen days caused in filing of O.A. 3. O.A. is filed for compassionate amount. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2021. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. [P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.412 of 2021 in O.A. No.781 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. DmelloApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Ms. P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for Condonation of Delay of one year and nine months caused in filing of O.A. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 24.11.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>LATER ON</p> <p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.1133 of 2018 with O.A.No.339 of 2021</p> <p>B.A. Kale (O.A.1133/2018) A.S. Shah & Ors. (O.A.339/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. informs that the process is going on and it will be completed in the next week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.365 of 2021</p> <p>U.A. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that she will file affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(J) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p>C.A.No.42 of 2021 in O.A.No.678 of 2020 with O.A.No.679 of 2020 with O.A.No.680 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition by the Private Respondent bearing Writ Petition Stamp No.17539/2021 has been filed on 18.09.2021.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.150 of 2021 in O.A.No.153 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. KulkarniApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.12.2021 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.247 of 2021 in O.A.No.220 of 2020</p> <p>D.M. Taware & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. is granted time to file affidavit-in-reply in M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, Adjourned 16.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.299 of 2021 in O.A.No.370 of 2021</p> <p>R.A.R. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. H.S. Chitale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is kept for Final Hearing. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.441 of 2021 in O.A.No.343 of 2021</p> <p>S.G. Rajput & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. to take instructions whether the Respondents are going to fill-up the posts as per the ratio 33:67.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.822 of 2021</p> <p>R.N. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.08.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that she has been transferred mid-term and mid-tenure in contravention of provision of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005.</p> <p>3. Admittedly, the Applicant is relieved and joined at place where she is posted, and therefore, question of interim relief does not survive.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.11.2021.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.820 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. ArjunApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.08.2021 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that she has been transferred mid-term and mid-tenure in contravention of provision of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005. 3. Admittedly, the Applicant is relieved and joined at place where she is posted, and therefore, question of interim relief does not survive. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.11.2021. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2020</p> <p>U.S. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent. They seem not interested in the matter. 4. Hence O.A. is dismissed in default. 5. No order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. In afternoon session Smt. Anita Murgude, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared and submits that in morning session she could not remain present being held up in another court and requested to restore O.A. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Order of dismissal is recalled and O.A. is restored.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.823 of 2021</p> <p>Y.B. NaikwadiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is working as Forester who has filed present O.A. simpliciter direction to the Respondents to decide her representation dated 19.04.2021, 03.05.2021 & 21.09.2021 for giving posting at Pachgaon Parvati, (Bhanburda Range), Deputy Chief Conservator, Pune on personal ground.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant referred to the recent order dated 14.10.2021 passed by Respondent No.3 whereby representation of one employee has been considered and the post now sought by the Applicant is fallen vacant.</p> <p>4. Since there is no decision on representation, O.A. is premature.</p> <p>5. O.A. is therefore disposed of with direction to Respondent No.3 to consider the representation made by the Applicant and to pass appropriate order as per permissible in Rules, within six weeks from today.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.596 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. ChoudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is for interest on delayed payment of retiral dues. The Applicant stands retired on 01.06.2009 but some retiral benefits were paid belatedly in 2017. As per representation made by the Applicant he claimed interest on Gratuity, Arrears on Pension and commutation of Pension which was paid in 2017 though payable in 2009.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing it is transpired that the Applicant was granted provisional Pension. If this was so, the question of grant of interest on pension and commutation may not survive. Rule 129(B) of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982 specifically provides that where provisional Pension is paid, interest is not payable. Despite this position the Applicant has claimed interest of 10 years on pension and commutation which is <i>ex-facie</i> in correct.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that the Applicant was granted provisional Pension. However, he contends that it was not paid regularly. If this is so, in that event claim of interest on Pension can be considered only for the period by which it was delayed and not for 10 years in hole as claimed by the Applicant. However, there is no such break-up of payment of provisional pension and period of delay for which it has been delayed.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. In this situation the claim for interest on Pension will be considered only if the Applicant furnish details of dates of payment of original pension and delay if any, in between. In absence of it the claim for interest on Pension will not survive.</p> <p>6. In view of above, one week time is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to furnish details on Affidavit failing to which his claim for interest on Pension will not be considered and O.A. will be restricted only for consideration of interest on Gratuity.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.382 of 2021 in O.A. No.747 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.V. Kamble & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 22.10.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.457 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. N.A. Netke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that proposal for reinstatement of the applicant has been submitted to the Hon'ble Minister, Public Health on 21.10.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.10.2021 22.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.818 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.S. Hipparkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Concerned officer is directed to produce medical report and leave record of the applicant on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.10.2021 22.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.824 of 2021</u></p> <p>Anjali D. Tayade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Majahan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief to stay the impugned order dated 13.10.2021 and keep one post of Police Constable vacant.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to verify the OBC Certificate and Non-Creamy Layer Certificate of the applicant from SDO, Akola.</p> <p>4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Akola is to be made party Respondent No.3 in the above OA. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>5. Superintendent of Police, Sangli-Respondent No.2 is directed to keep one post of Police Constable from OBC Category (Female) vacant.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 25.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.10.2021 22.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.825 of 2021</u></p> <p>Ganpat S. Karanje ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the date mentioned in the OA of the impugned order from 25.5.2021 to 25.2.2021.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. The applicant challenges orders dated 16.4.2019, 9.7.2019 and 23.12.2019 by which the benefits of Time Bound Promotion for the period of 2011 to 2017 is withdrawn and by order dated 25.2.2021 recovery of Rs.3,81,037/- is sought from the pensionary benefits of the applicant. The applicant retired on 31.8.2017.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief.</p> <p>6. In view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>State of Punjab & Ors. Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer)</i>, AIR 2015 SC 596, the impugned orders are stayed till next date.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.1133 of 2018 with O.A.No.339 of 2021</p> <p>B.A. Kale (O.A.1133/2018) A.S. Shah & Ors. (O.A.339/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.293/2021 (Intervention Application) was allowed by this Tribunal on 17.08.2021. Pursuant to this order the Original Applicant had made Intervenors as Party Respondents.</p> <p>3. Today the matter is on board. The Party Respondents who have come from all distinct places of Maharashtra are before us and they are praying that though they are selected in the examination for the posts of Public Health Nurses, Pediatric Nurses and Psychiatric Nurses they have not yet received the appointment orders.</p> <p>4. We have also received the letter dated 30.08.2021 written by Ms. Archana Walzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department which is addressed to the Director and Deputy Director, Nursing Mumbai. We take a serious note of it and we direct the office of the Director and Deputy Director, Nursing Mumbai to immediately inform why the orders of the selected candidates are withheld for the post mentioned above.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>