

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ Applicant/s

Advocate(s) _____

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Order, Government, Tribunal's orders or of officers and Registrar's orders

1/22/2023

Date : 22.09.2023

O.A.No.769 of 2023

U. S. SuryawanshiApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that he seeks transfer as 'District Planning Officer' in any one of districts as per his Choice of Postings viz. Thane, Ahmednagar, Kolhapur, Satara or Sangli as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 11.07.2000 and 06.08.2002.
3. Learned Advocate of Applicant submits that he has worked in 'Tribal Area' at Nandurbar District for long period of time. She further states that the Applicant has made representations in this regard earlier on 19.04.2021, 08.10.2021 and 11.10.2021 and now has made fresh representations dated 11.04.2023 and 20.04.2023 which are pending for consideration of the 'Respondents No.1 and 2'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that the Applicant is now willing to join on any Vacant Post of (i) District Planning Officer, Sangli or (ii) Deputy Director, District Statistical Officer, Satara.

5. The Respondent Nos.1 and 2 were directed to inform by order of 15.09.2023 whether they are willing to consider the Applicant's request on any of these Vacant Post. However, on information received from the Respondent Nos.1 and 2, learned P.O. stated that they are not willing to consider the request of the Applicant and his name has been proposed for the post of 'Deputy Director District Statistical Officer, Ahmednagar'. The post of 'Deputy Director District Statistical Officer,' however is not one for which request has been made by the Applicant by way of fresh representations dated 11.04.2023 and 20.04.2023. Therefore, taking into consideration that his fresh representations need to be duly considered as per G.A.D. G.R. dated 11.7.2000 and 06.08.2002 as he has worked in 'Tribal Area' of Nandurbar District for long period of time; Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are directed to reconsider their earlier proposal to post the Applicant to post of 'Deputy Director District Statistical Officer, Ahmednagar' and convene 'Special CSB Meeting' to decide on his request to be posted to any one of 'Vacant Post' of (i) District Planning Officer, Sangli or (ii) Deputy Director, District Statistical Officer, Satara.

6. Learned P.O. seeks time of Three Weeks. Time granted as requested by learned P.O.

7. S.O. to 11.10.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

CA No.84/2023 in OA No.168/2018 with OA No.1115/2018

A.V. Patil

M.S. Jadhav

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicants.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.


3. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 29.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.


4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the CA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the CA shall stand dismissed.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
22.9.2023


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
22.9.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.09.2023

O.A.No.1162 of 2023

B. R. Behere

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Prakash Shejal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he has made Urban Development Department as a party Respondent No.4. He states that Affidavit of Service is filed and Urban Development Department is served on 15.09.2023.

3. Pursuant to our earlier order dated 12.09.2023, reply is filed by Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation - Respondent No.3 through Smt. Vrinda V. Sawant, Administrative Officer.

4. Shri P. Velrasu, Additional Municipal Commissioner of BMC should answer on Affidavit, the question put to him by us in Para No.4 of order dated 12.09.2023. Shri Velarasu, Additional Municipal Commissioner is directed to file Affidavit in Reply.

5. Shri Rajgopal Devra, Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department shall also file Affidavit as to what steps are taken in respect of letter dated 27.06.2023 sent by Municipal Commissioner, Brihanmumbai Municipal Corporation.

6. These letters and reply thereto have bearing over the issue of suspension of the Applicant.

7. S.O. to 29.09.2023 under the 'Urgent Admission' caption.

8. Steno copy granted.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(**Devashish Chandra Patil**)
Member (A)

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1144 of 2023</p> <p>L. N. GondhaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that no consideration has yet been given to the four representation made by the Applicant to 'Superintendent Engineer, Raigaid, P.W.D.' to consider his request to post him back as 'Peon' in the office of Respondent No.2-'Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Panvel.'</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to forthwith take instructions within 'One Week' from 'Superintending Engineer, Raidgad, P.W.D.' as to whether they are willing to consider the request of the Applicant to continue him on the post of 'Peon' in the office of 'Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Panvel'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2023 in O.A. No.297 of 2015</u></p> <p>U.N. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that order is complied with on 6.6.2023 but it is communicated to the applicant on 22.8.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that representation of the applicant was made on 25.8.2022 and in para 1, six bullet points are mentioned. Out of 6 bullet points, 3 are not complied with.</p> <p>4. Applicant to file affidavit as to which bullet points are complied with and which are not in accordance with the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate seeks time.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 29.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.9.2023 22.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.69 of 2022 in O.A. No.339 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.V. Mohite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 22.8.2023 of this Tribunal the Contemnor Dr. Rajagopal Devara, ACS, Revenue & Forest Department has filed affidavit dated 24.8.2023. In the said affidavit the earlier schedule of fixing seniority was revised and the entire seniority list will be finalized and published on or before 31.12.2023. As per this affidavit we expect that the respondents shall strictly follow their own time table. We accept this submission and we do not take further action in this CA. However, we specifically state that there is violation of the order. There is breach of the order of the Tribunal. However, it is deliberate or not can be ascertained if the time table submitted by the respondents is not followed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.1.2024. FOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.9.2023 22.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.17 of 2023 in O.A. No.50 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.T. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that State is in the process of filing SLP in the Hon'ble Supreme Court and she seeks four weeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.9.2023 22.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.46 of 2022 in O.A. No.72 of 2022</u> <u>With</u> <u>C.A. No.47 of 2022 in O.A. No.73 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.H. Patil R.H. Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to order dated 12.9.2023 the decision taken by the Government on 4.9.2023 is communicated to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants accepts that the decision has been communicated to the applicants. He submits that Govt. has taken decision to give retirement benefits to 1 out of 13 and rest of the applicants are held ineligible for the same. He prays that retirement benefits should be released to all the applicants.</p> <p>4. We direct the Government to release the retirement benefits to all the applicants within six weeks from today. Both the CAs are disposed off with the above directions.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.9.2023 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.564 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kunal Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter be placed before Second DB.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.9.2023 22.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.327 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.M. Kathe & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted by three weeks as per request of the Ld. Advocate for the applicant for publication of the public notice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.9.2023 22.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.63 of 2023 in O.A. No.794 of 2021</u></p> <p>D.F. Fernandes & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that W.P. No.10554 of 2023 is filed in the Hon'ble High Court and it is pending.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.9.2023 22.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>C.A. No.67/2023 in O.A. No.993/2019</u> with <u>C.A. No.68/2023 in O.A. No.995/2019</u> with <u>C.A. No.85/2023 in O.A. No.996/2019</u> </p> <p> V.D. Kalsait S.Y. Raypure S.P. Tadvalekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. </p> <p align="right"> ..Applicants ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Ms. Shrutika Tilak holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. </p> <p> 2. Shri Khalid B. Arab, Joint Secretary is present in the Court. Ld. PO submits that GAD received the file on 22.7.2022 and they sent the file to Finance Department. Joint Secretary, GAD is directed to go through and file affidavit giving reasons when the Court has directed to pay back wages and the order is not challenged, why the opinion of the Finance Department has been sought. </p> <p> 3. S.O. to 3.10.2023. </p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.9.2023 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2023 Sd/- </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.93/2023</p> <p>S.S. BargeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance to file reply. Adjourned to 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.1070/2021</p> <p>D.B. Koli & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Sanchit Chavan i/b Mr. Vikas Kolekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking amendment in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel seeks leave to amend O.A.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Amended copy to be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is Allowed.</p> <p>6. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in O.A. O.A. adjourned to 27.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p><u>O.A.No.716/2023</u></p> <p>V.R. AwadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted one post is kept vacant and opinion is sought whether the Applicant can be appointed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned 29.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p><u>O.A.No.324/2023</u></p> <p>S.B. BaradeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel states that during the course of the day rejoinder will be filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.565/2023</p> <p>P.B. SakateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. A. Chaware, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel states that during the course of the day rejoinder will be filed.</p> <p>3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.230/2023</p> <p>M.P. BagulApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 06.10.2023 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>ngc</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.164/2023</p> <p>M.P. BagulApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Kunal Tilak holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. and learned Counsel Mr. Talik states that reply is filed.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/- → (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.121/2023</p> <p>Dr. P.N. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Kalyani Mangave holding for M/S. Talekar & Assoc. learned Counsel for the Respondent no.2.</p> <p>2. In view that affidavit-in-reply is not filed till date, matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.584/2023 in O.A.No.94/2022 with M.A.No.585/2023 in O.A.No.249/2022</p> <p>S.S. Zade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.B. Kadam, holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2</p> <p>2. M.As are filed seeking amendment in O.As.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Kadam seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in M.As.</p> <p>4. Time granted. By way of last chance adjourned to 29.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.549/2023 in O.A.No.1080/2023</p> <p>P.P. IngleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 06.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.336/2023 in R.A.No.7/2023 in O.A.No.614/2018</p> <p>A.B. KatkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. D.V. Sutar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has pointed out that M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of one year and 40 days for filing R.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.10.2023. Learned Counsel is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.595/2023 in O.A.No.358/2022</p> <p>C.B. Talwar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masurkar holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.595/2023, Mr. Kunal Tilak holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.358/2022 and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.595/2023 is filed seeking intervention in O.A.No.358/2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel Mr. Masurkar has submitted that M.A is filed for adding the Party Respondents in the array of Respondents in O.A. He has submitted that since if O.A. is allowed the applicants in M.A. are going to suffer.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.09.2023
	O.A.No.840 of 2023 with O.A.No.841 of 2023
	M. S. Jamble A. S. GoraneApplicants
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file 'Affidavit in Rejoinder' during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.
	3. Liberty is granted to learned P.O. to filed 'Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder.'
	4. S.O. to 05.10.2023.
	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)
	vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1062 of 2023</p> <p>S. B. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that no information has yet been received from the office of 'DGP Maharashtra State' about reasons for delay in conveying advice sought by Respondent No.2 - 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' and thereafter within what period of time, 'Office Orders' of the posting will be issued to Applicant by 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' - Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. The office of 'DGP, Maharashtra State' be informed by learned P.O. to submit by next date of hearing, the information regarding within what period of time, the advice sought by 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' -Respondent No.2 will be provided, so that he can issue 'Office Order' for promotion of the Applicant to the post of PSI.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply on behalf of 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.717 of 2023 with O.A.No.718 of 2023</p> <p>U. L. Gadage & Ors. B. A. Pawar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks' time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1036 of 2023</p> <p>K. B. KaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is admitted and liberty is given to file 'Affidavit in Rejoinder', if any. 4. S.O. to 06.10.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 22.09.2023
	O.A.No.1083 of 2023
	Dr. J. B. Mokal ...Applicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply. 3. S.O. to 04.10.2023.
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p>
	vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>Date : 22.09.2023</p>
	<p>O.A.No.1189 of 2023</p>
	<p>S. K. BholeApplicant</p>
	<p>Versus</p>
	<p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p>
	<p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks' time is granted to file reply. 3. Interim Relief to continue till next date. 4. S.O. to 13.10.2023.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p>
	<p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.09.2023

O.A.No.347 of 2023

S. R. DamseApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 27.09.2023.
3. S.O. to 27.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.909 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. SagwekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>(Speaking to Minutes)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Respondent No.2</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requests by 'Speaking to Minutes' as follows:- "In para No.4, it is mentioned as S.O. to 25.09.2023 instead it should be 'Admitted. S.O. to 25.09.2023 for final hearing."</p> <p>3. Request for 'Speaking to Minutes' is granted. Para 4 be read as under :- "Admitted. S.O. to 25.09.2023 for final hearing".</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>