

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.937 of 2022</p> <p>R.G. KulkarniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed the O.A. claiming relief of Deemed Date of Promotion for the post of Additional Collector (Selection Grade) w.e.f. 30.11.2021 i.e. date of his retirement. The Applicant was due to retire on 30.11.2021. He was holding the post of Additional Collector and was posted at CIDCO, Mumbai. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that as per file noting approved by Hon'ble Chief Minister proposal was accepted for giving proforma promotion in the cadre of Additional Collector (Selection Grade). In file noting it is stated that the Applicant was due to retired on 30.11.2021 but he is entitled for proforma promotion in the cadre of Additional Collector (Selection Grade). Interestingly, the file noting is dated 29.11.2021, whereas the Applicant was too retired on next date i.e. 30.11.2021. Thus before issuance of formal order of promotion he stands retired. In effect he stands retired from the post of Additional Collector without getting the order of promotion of selection grade. This being so, basically case is for grant of promotion in selection grade and it cannot be termed a case of Deemed Date of Promotion.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. pertains to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant undertakes to file 2nd set of O.A.</p> <p>5. I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.663 of 2022</p> <p>K.K. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Today learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 has requested to allow him to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 since mater is already proceeded without Reply against him.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.646 of 2021</p> <p>T.A. SayyadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amol Palakar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Nagnath Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2022 along with O.A. No.731/2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.56 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. VanjariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Darshana Kadekot, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.<li>3. S.O. to 15.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.703 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Tambdi & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.948/2022</u></p> <p>Shri C.B. Gavhankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 21.09.2022 whereby he is transferred from the post of District Special Auditor (Class-I), Cooperative Societies, Pune to the post of Special Auditor, Cooperative Societies (Class-I), Kolhapur on vacant post and also challenged the transfer order dated 13.05.2022 whereby Respondent No.2 is transferred in his place.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief inter-alia contending that there is no special case for mid-term transfer, as contemplated under Section 4(5) of 'Transfer Act 2005'.</p> <p>4. Whereas learned P.O. opposed interim relief inter-alia contending that Applicant has already completed more than 3 years' tenure at Pune and was due for transfer. She has tendered the file to show that transfer of the Applicant was recommended by Civil Services Board and it is approved by Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>5. At this juncture, learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions of the Applicant who is present before the Tribunal submits that he is not pressing the interim relief and notices be issued with liberty to make representation to consider his transfer on one vacant post at Pune/Mumbai.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The Applicant is allowed to make representation and if representation is made, the Respondents shall consider it appropriately.
7. Issue notice returnable on 13.10.2022.
8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
12. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. S.O. to 13th October, 2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
22.09.2022

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapdne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Accountant General has rejected the proposal sent by respondents on technical ground. Hence, the order dated 16.2.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA is not complied with.</p> <p>3. Issue notice to respondents no.1 to 3 to show cause why action should not be taken against them under Contempt of Courts Act.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.902 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.R. Godse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Order dated 20.2.2019 of removing the applicant from service is under challenge and so also the order dated 12.2.2020 passed by the appellate authority and revision order dated 9.2.2022 issued by respondent no.1 confirming removal from service are challenged.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.692 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Mohite & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Vinsha Acharya holding for Ms. Namrate.A. Agashe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.107 OF 2021**

Shri Rajesh Gopalrao Palande, ..Applicant
Versus
The Director General & IGP & Anr. ..Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale – Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson

DATE : 22nd September, 2022

ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Ld. CPO has filed Praciepe dated 21.9.2022 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 15.9.2022 passed in the above OA.

3. Ld. CPO states that in line 6 & 7, para 6 of the order dated 15.9.2022 it is mentioned that, “they were taken for training for **nine months** on account of the orders of the Court.” Ld. CPO submits that instead of nine months it is to be rectified as “they were taken for training for **twelve months** on account of the orders of the Court.”

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is present, and on instructions from the Applicant, who is also present, informs that he has no objection for speaking to minutes.

Sd/-

5. Order be corrected accordingly.



(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

22.9.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.4 of 2020 in O.A. No.1119 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Lad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of common order dated 21.9.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.9420 of 2019 & Ors. (MPSC Vs. Dr. Kshitij D. Lohite & Ors.) wherein it is stated as follows:</p> <p align="center"><i>"2. Mr. Talekar, learned advocate appearing for the respondent no.10 in W.P. No.7201 of 2019 states that the contempt action initiated by his clients before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal will not be carried forward till a week after 12th October, 2022."</i></p> <p>3. It means till 19.10.2022.</p> <p>4. The said order dated 21.9.2022 is taken on record.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.11.2022. "</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.63 of 2022 in O.A. No.937 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.S. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This CA is filed for compliance of the order dated 1.2.2022 passed in the above OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per order dated 1.2.2022 of this Tribunal the respondents are supposed to pay gratuity along with interest applicable to GPF for a period beyond three months from the date of retirement within two months from the date of order. Para (C), (D) (E) of the order dated 12.2022 reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>“(C) The Respondents are directed to pay gratuity along with interest at the rate applicable to GPF for a period beyond three months from the date of retirement within two months from today.</i></p> <p align="center"><i>(D) The Respondents are directed to pay difference in the retiral benefits in terms of 7th Pay Commission to the Applicant within two months from today.</i></p> <p align="center"><i>(E) The Respondents are further directed to release regular pension and arrears/difference be paid within two months from today.”</i></p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that the entire order mentioned in (C), (D) & (E) is complied except the portion of interest.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO produces a copy of letter dated 17.5.2022 received from Senior Accounts Officer, Office of AG. The same is taken on record and marked Court Exh.1 for identification. It is mentioned in the said letter as follows:</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>"As regards payment of interest on delayed payment of pensionary benefits, same can be assessed by the department only after disbursement of pensionary benefits by Treasury Officer, Sangli and action for authorization of interest will be taken by this office on receipt of proposal with detailed calculation of interest from the department."</i></p> <p>5. It is pointed out by the applicant that by letter dated 30.6.2022 Section Officer, Home Department has informed to Superintendent of Police, Sangli to inform the details about compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 23.9.2022 for information from Superintendent of Police, Sangli about compliance of the order and what steps are taken.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.60 of 2022 in O.A. No.231 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.U. Bawadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is for compliance of the order dated 24.3.2022 passed by this Tribunal in above OA, which reads as under:</p> <p>“4. We, therefore, direct that the applicant should be send to the Medical Board of Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai for measuring his height within a period one week and report be send to the Registrar of this Tribunal on or before 1.4.2022. OA disposed off accordingly.”</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that on 31.3.2022 it was informed to the applicant to appear before the Medical Board of J.J. Group of Hospitals on 11.4.2022 at 9.30 a.m. Ld. PO further submits that thereafter another letter was sent to the applicant on 20.4.2022 directing him that on 11.4.2022 the decision could not be taken in respect of height of the applicant and therefore he was directed to remain present on 21.4.2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant could not remain present on that date because he was ill. Ld. Advocate has annexed certificate dated 21.4.2022 of Kasturba Hospital about his diagnosis and treatment. Thereafter letter dated 24.5.2022 was sent to the applicant by the authority that as he remained absent on 21.4.2022 now he was called to remain present on 25.5.2022 at 10.00 a.m. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant remained present on 25.5.2022 but his height was not measured because the Medical Board of J.J. Group of Hospitals informed that they have no intimation from the office or respondents no.1 & 2.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Ld. Advocate submits that the applicant remained present from 10.00 a.m. to 12.30 noon and thereafter the applicant left the office.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO submits that on 25.5.2022 the Medical Board was very well informed by the office of respondents no.1 & 2. They were ready with all the staff and videographer from the Police Department. However, the applicant has informed on telephone that he is sick and therefore he could not attend. Ld. PO produces the letter dated 25.5.2022 signed by the Member of the Medical Board stating these facts.</p> <p>6. The statement given by the applicant appears to be very doubtful. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he will produce proof that he was present. Today no medical certificate is filed by the applicant regarding his sickness.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 23.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ↓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.476 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.M. KadhikhayeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D. Lokhande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.112 of 2020</p> <p>D.W. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared on behalf of the Applicant and stated that he is filing Vakalatnama. The Applicant in person is also present and submits that his Advocate on record is hospitalized due to paralysis and wants to engage another Advocate. 3. Matter is ripe for Final Hearing. 4. Three weeks time is granted to take necessary steps. 5. S.O. to 13.10.2022. <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.621 of 2022 with O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. Salunke S.D. JagdaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough chances are granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.164 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. KheratkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">N Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.09.2022

R.A. No.19 of 2022 in O.A. No.956 of 2021

V.A. ChavanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has filed this Review Application for review of order dated 25.08.2022 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.956/2021.

3. Leave granted to Annex information obtained under R.T.I. and it be paginated ~~on~~^{from} page 22 onwards.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2022.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.09.2022

O.A. No.907 of 2022

S.P. MalusareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Rushikesh Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 01.03.2022 whereby his claim for compassionate ground is rejected on the ground that deceased had three child in the family which is in contravention of G.R. dated 28.03.2001.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 17.10.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)