

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.Nos.508, 527 &amp; 528 of 2016</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, these Original Applications are taken on board in view of circulation granted to learned Counsel for the Applicants for withdrawal of Original Applications.</li> <li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that he has written instructions from his clients in O.A.No.508/2016 and 527/2016 to withdraw the Original Applications unconditionally, since after filing of Original Applications, they were transferred to some other places and accordingly joined. As the cause of action does not survive, permission is sought to withdraw the O.A.s.</li> <li>4. Allowed to withdraw O.A.Nos.508/2016 and 527/2016 with no order as to costs.</li> <li>5. In O.A.No.528/2016, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has left services and his client does not want to proceed with the O.A.</li> <li>6. In view of above, allowed to withdraw O.A.No.528/2016 with no order as to costs.</li> <li>7. Though, these O.A.s are disposed of today, it is necessary to bring on record certain aspects so as to take remedial measures by the office.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Perusal of record reveals that in all these O.A.s interim relief was granted by the Tribunal and thereafter, the matters were lying unattended in the office for more than two years. Indeed, as interim relief was granted, the office ought to have listed the matter before the Tribunal for hearing and orders without loss of time. However, all these matters were simply lying unattended.</p> <p>9. Thus, all these Original Applications were lying unattended in the office and because of circulation by the learned Counsel for the Applicants, O.A.s are listed today before the Tribunal. Otherwise, it would have remained pending unattended by the office.</p> <p>10. This Tribunal has come across several such cases which are not listed before the Tribunal and are lying unattended in the office.</p> <p>11. In view of above, Registrar is directed to find out why these O.A.s were lying unattended in the office for more than two years without placing the same before the Tribunal and also to find out the official responsible for the same.</p> <p>12. Registrar shall submit the report on 29.09.2020 without fail. Copy of this order be furnished to the Registrar immediately.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p><b>O.A.No.213 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Present O.A. has been filed on 12.03.2020 and since then the Applicant and his Counsel did not appear to remove the office objections. Today, the matter is listed for the first time before the Tribunal.</li> <li>3. Instead of dismissing the matter in default, it would be appropriate to give one opportunity to Applicant for appearance and to remove office objections.</li> <li>4. Adjourned to 06.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.136 of 2020 in O.A.No.201 of 2020</b></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Smt. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. has been filed on 05.03.2020 along with M.A. for condonation of delay. However, after filing of proceeding, the Applicant and his Counsel did not appear to remove the office objections.</p> <p>3. Today, the matter is listed for first time before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Instead of dismissing the matter in default, it would be appropriate to give one opportunity to Applicant for appearance and to remove office objections.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.10.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.Nos.705 and 706 of 2019</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In both the Original Applications pleadings are complete.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p><b>R.A. No.07 of 2020 in O.A.No.805 of 2019</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he would file Rejoinder in the office within three days and copy will be served on the Respondents. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. R.A. be kept for hearing. S.O. to 29.09.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ^ wv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 22.09.2020 Tribunal's orders</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.213 of 2017</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This matter was heard and closed for orders. However, it needs certain clarification from the learned C.P.O., and therefore, it is taken on today's board with consent of Counsels.</li> <li>3. During argument, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that there are certain addition/interpolation in the ACR communicated to the Applicant. He has pointed out that impugned communication dated 24.08.2011 (Page 22 of PB) and ACR filed by the Respondents on record (Page Nos.555 and 557 of PB).</li> <li>4. Perusal of ACR at Page Nos.22 and 555 <i>prima-facie</i> shows that there are additions "separate note attached" in Coloum no.12 and "integrity note and copy of e roil attached herewith" in page No.555 of PB.</li> <li>5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant vehemently urged that there is tampering of the record in view of additions noticed at Page No.555 of PB.</li> <li>6. In view of above, learned C.P.O. is directed to clarify this position by filing Affidavit of responsible officer so that submissions of alleged tampering of ACR can be dealt with appropriately.</li> <li>7. Affidavit be filed on 29.09.2020.</li> <li>8. Hamdast granted to learned R.O.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p>
--	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.965 of 2019</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. This O.A. seems pending in the office since March, 2020 and today for the first time it is listed before this Tribunal.</li><li>3. As the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent, I am inclined to grant one opportunity instead of dismissing the matter in default.</li><li>4. Adjourned to 08.10.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....


*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.240 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This matter was heard and closed for orders. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents sought time to cite the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court governing the issue raised in the present O.A.</li> <li>3. Today, again the learned C.P.O. seeks two days time to cite the decision of full bench of M.A.T.</li> <li>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant objected for grant of time contending that enough time is availed by the C.P.O.</li> <li>5. The matter in issue pertains to deemed suspension and necessity of <sup>0.2.2020</sup> deemed suspension by appointing authority. This issue will also arise in future, and therefore, it needs to be dealt with considering the decision in this behalf.</li> <li>6. Therefore, the request of two days time for citation of certain decisions is granted. No further time will be granted.</li> <li>7. The matter will be taken up for remaining final argument on 24.09.2020.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 24.09.2020.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.126 of 2020 in O.A.No.196 of 2020</b>  <b>With</b>  <b>M.A. No.120 of 2020 in O.A. No.197 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel holding for Shri V. V. Pai, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 451/2020 &amp; 453/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.G Gote ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1, 2 &amp; 3, Shri Lad learned counsel for Respondents no 4 &amp; 5 and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate files Vakalatnama for Respondent no. 6 in O.A 453/2020.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for Respondent no. 6 states that her client has joined the post in MHADA in the place of the applicant.</p> <p>3. No instructions are received from Rural Development Department, though it was specifically directed to learned P.O on the earlier date.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to make statement regarding the posting of the applicant in Rural Development Department at 2.00 pm.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- J 279 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chalrperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>22.09.2020.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.433 of 2020</b></p> <p>M.R. Kasane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar appeared on behalf of Respondent no.2 and undertakes to file vakalatnama on next date.</p> <p>2. Petitioner is challenging the transfer order dated 10.08.2020. I am informed that the applicant and Respondent no.2 have joined their respective posts.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>22.09.2020.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.449 of 2020</b></p> <p>N.B. Gaikwad ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2020.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>22.09.2020.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.450 of 2020</b></p> <p>K.A. Kadavele ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>22.09.2020</b>
	<p align="center"><b>M.A.No.211 of 2020 in O.A.No.404 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.R. Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Special Counsel along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Special Counsel files affidavit in reply of Shri Sanjay Giridhar Patil, Deputy Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Department, Mantralaya. The same is taken on record. He submits that affidavit is already given to learned Counsel for the applicant. Learned Special Counsel Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte submits that the inspection of the transfer file is given to the learned Counsel of the applicant. The affidavit of the officers as mentioned in paragraph 5 of order dated 10.09.2020 is yet awaited. The file of the transfer of applicant and Respondent No.3 is also to be produced for the inspection of learned Counsel for the Applicant on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to <del>01.11.2020</del> <sup>29-9-20</sup></p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.381 of 2020 with O.A.No.382 of 2020</b></p> <p>U.V. Ingawale (O.A.381/2020) A.D. Khadake (O.A.382/2020) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned P.O. for Respondent No.3 in O.A.381/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents in O.A.No.382/2020.</p> <p>2. The interim order which is already granted to continued till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

22.09.2020

**O.A.No.39 of 2020**

A.J. Tambe ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.11.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 17.11.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><b>M.A.No.28 of 2020 in O.A.No.39 of 2020</b></p> <p>A.J. Tambe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed and disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.164 of 2020</b></p> <p>A.D. Khandare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is directed to file Miscellaneous Application for condonation of delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**22.09.2020**

**O.A 474/2020**

Shri S.M Kadam ... Applicant  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. The applicant retired as Principal, ITI, Kalwan, Dist-Nasik. However, he received provisional pension till 2019 regularly and thereafter it was stopped. He has made a representation to Vocational Education and Training Department, Mumbai on 23.1.2019, 12.2.2019, 19.7.2019, 3.10.2019 & 25.8.2020.
3. On perusal of the application, a letter dated 22.11.2019 written by Joint Director, Vocational Education and Training Department to the applicant is found, wherein the Department has alleged certain non-compliance by the applicant.
4. Issue notice returnable on 20.11.2020.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. Learned P.O is directed to file reply. Applicant's provisional pension was paid till May, 2019. Hence Respondents are directed to give provisional pension to the applicant from June, 2019 hereafter.
10. S.O to 20.10.2020.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  
 Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 466/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri Mahesh J. Awatade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant adjourned to 1.10.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1018/2018</u></p> <p>Shri T.B Metakari &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. Time granted by way of last chance to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O 22.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / 25/10/20 <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center"><u>22.09.2020</u> Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center"><u>O.A 461/2020</u></p> <p>D.J Ambilwade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.J Ambilwade, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, who is appearing in person has filed this petition for regularizing the period of suspension from 31.3.2011 to 4.11.2012 and also claims that remaining 25% pay and allowances of that period also to be released.</p> <p>3. Applicant files affidavit of service. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 24.11.2020.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. S.O to 24.11.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- 22/11/20 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 460/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.J Ambilwade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.J Ambilwade, applicant present in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant files affidavit of service. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Alm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 473/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.N Maule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant wants this Tribunal to set aside order dated 13.4.2020 thereby rejecting the representation of the applicant dated 17.3.2017 about the refund of Rs. 3,02,644/-. The applicant is already retired.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 24.11.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 24.11.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A.No.481 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>Dr. K.S. Jagannath ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-of-service is taken on record. The Applicant, Live Stock Development Officer challenges his transfer order dated 07.08.2020 and also order dated 07.09.2020.</p> <p>3. It is the case of the applicant that he is Diploma holder falling in 'B' category and competent authority to transfer him, as per G.R. dated 15.06.2018, is respondent No.2, the Commissioner of Animal Husbandry. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that Respondent no.3 who is Officer-A was transferred to his place by order dated 07.08.2020. However, at the relevant time the applicant was not transferred anywhere and his transfer order was issued subsequently on 07.09.2020. He submits that no other Group-B officer of his Department was transferred this year. He submits that in order to accommodate Respondent No.3 he has been transferred illegally by Respondent No.1, who is not a competent authority to transfer him.</p> <p>4. From the submissions of learned Counsel for the applicant it is found that Respondent No.3 had joined the post of the applicant and applicant is relieved on 09.09.2020.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2020.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. On query it is informed that applicant was due for transfer this year. The respondent to bring the file of transfer of the applicant disclosing the compliance under Section 4(4) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005.</p> <p>11. Without prejudice, the applicant is directed to join the service.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 20.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O. A 1180/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.M Wankhede ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 957/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Saple &amp; Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply has been filed by the Respondents on 12.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 23.11.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 224/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri L.M Wankhede &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amar Parsekar i/b Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O 27.10.2020. Ad-interim relief granted if any, to continue till then.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><i>M</i> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 829/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Ghadge &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri M.S Bhandari, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed written notes of argument.</li><li>4. Earlier order of interim protection to continue till next date.</li><li>5. Place for hearing on 8.10.2020 at 2.30 pm.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (P.N DEKHT) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><i>Mrid</i> Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>22/9/2020</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 303/2020</u></p> <p>Shri M.N Thosare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is going to retire in January, 2021 and therefore this matter to be heard on priority.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant files rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 19.11.2020 at 2.30 pm. Interim relief granted to continue till then.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (P.N Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)  Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 229 with 1121/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.A Kiture &amp; Ors [O.A 229/2019] Shri S.K Bhitade [O.A 1121/2019]... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter to be heard on 20.10.2020 at 2.30 pm.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>22/9/2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 320/2020</u></p> <p>Shri H.M Vanave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 304/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Medsikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondents no 1 &amp; 3. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondent no. 2, G.A.D is directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.10.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>22/9/2020</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 29/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.S Shenekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply has already been filed. Learned advocate for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing 19.11.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>22.09.2020</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1224/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.T Kadbane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel produces a letter dated 10.9.2020, written by the applicant Shri Shivaji T. Kadbane, to the Registrar, M.A.T, Mumbai, stating that he wants to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allow to withdraw the O.A is granted and disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p><i>Mrb</i> Sd/- 1220 (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>22.09.2020</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1192/2019 with M.A 115/2020 with 483/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.P Gade &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri V.P Potbhare learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 1192/2018, Shri Akshay Kapadia, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 483/2020 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A 1192/2019 and O.A 483/2020 are clubbed together.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this Tribunal by order dated 8.9.2020 though has made it clear that the order of promotion and the list of the candidates is subject to the outcome of this O.A, the Respondents have published the list on 9.9.2020 of the candidates who are going to be promoted and seeking their choice of Division for posting.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O wants one weeks' time to take instructions in the matter and file the affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. As per para 6 of order dated 8.9.2020, all the steps taken by the Respondents in respect of the promotion under issue are subject to the outcome of all these O.As. Therefore, it is directed that the Respondents to make it public and clear to all the candidates who are going to be promoted, that their promotion will be subject to the outcome of these O.As.</p> <p>6. S.O to 13.10.2020.</p> <p>7. In view of the above M.A 115/2020 is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  <b>(P.N Dixit)</b>  <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> </p> <p align="center">  Sd/-  <b>(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b> </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.09.2020</u></p> <p><u>Suo Moto C/A1/2020 in O.A 649/2019 with M.A 201 &amp; 203/2020</u></p> <p>Dr S.M Gawli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply of Shri Anoop Kumar, Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fishery Department dated 7.9.2020 is taken on record. In the affidavit, the applicant, has tendered unconditional apology and has mentioned that there was no intention to disobey the order of this Tribunal, though the transfer order was stayed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that he wants time to take instructions about whether any other suitable post in Pune and nearby area is vacant to accommodate the applicant, as the applicant has heart ailment, injury to spinal cord and head due to accident which took place in the year 2018. It is also to be noted that applicant is working in Pune itself since 2008.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.9.2020.</p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (P.N Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A) </p> <p align="center">   Sd/-  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)  Chairperson </p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

22.09.2020

O.A 470/2020

Shri N.D Gangurde ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. It is the case of the applicant, working as a Naib Tahsildar was considered for the post of Tahsildar in the D.P.C held on 6.2.2018. It is his case that the result of DPC of 2081 and 2019 is kept in a sealed cover due to the remarks that a criminal case is pending against him.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant points out a letter dated 23.7.2020, issued by Desk Officer, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to the Divisional Commissioner, Konkan Division that the Government has refused to give sanction for filing the charge sheet in the criminal case, C.R no. 41/2011, which was registered at Poynad Police Station on 27.6.2012 under Section 420, 465, 468, 471, 472 and r/w 34 of the IPC. In the said letter it is suggested that Departmental Enquiry can be initiated against the applicant.

4. Issue notice returnable 29.10.2020.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Parties to take note that the matter can be disposed of at the stage of admission.

10. S.O to 29.10.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar J.)  
Chairperson



Date : 22.09.2020

**O.A.No.1228 of 2019**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Though this O.A. was filed on 20.12.2019, it was pending in the office and today listed before this Tribunal for the first time for necessary orders.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2020.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 20.10.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1177 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is granted for filing reply.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 01.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"> <sup>n</sup>  Sd/- _____  W<sup>v</sup>  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1108 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. As the pleadings are complete, the matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 15.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1132 of 2019</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Enough time is granted but no reply is filed.</li> <li>3. On previous two dates, the matter was adjourned as a last chance for filing reply but in vain.</li> <li>4. The matter pertains to appointment on compassionate ground and it needs to be expedited. The Respondents seems not interested in filing reply.</li> <li>5. In view of above, the matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</li> <li>6. S.O. to 15.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1058 of 2019</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>22/9/20 Affidavit in Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</p> 	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p><b>O.A.No.138 of 2017</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri. A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Affidavit-in-Rejoinder to the amended reply of Respondents will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for final hearing on 06.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 22.09.2020 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.134 of 2020</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply.</li> <li>3. However, on request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is granted as a last chance for filing reply, failing to which, the matter will proceed without reply.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 01.10.2020.</li> </ol> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- _____        (A.P. Kurhekar)        Member(J)</p> </div> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.09.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.66 of 2020</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week's time is granted as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.10.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.09.2020</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 181/2020 in R.A 8/2020 in O.A 399/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.V Korpale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Arvind Awasrmol, learned advocate for the applicant requests for a longer date due to personal loss. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 1.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit) (A)</b> <b>Vice-Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.09.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.64 of 2018</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 07.06.2016 whereby his 25% pension has been reduced permanently.</li> <li>3. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents submits that the impugned order is of major punishment and not pertains to this Bench.</li> <li>4. As per office order dated 10.10.2019, the subject of minor punishment is assigned to this Bench (Single Bench).</li> <li>5. Whereas, as per Rule 5 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979 following are the only minor penalties :-             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Censure;</li> <li>(ii) Withholding of his promotion;</li> <li>(iii) Recovery from his pay of the whole or part of any pecuniary loss caused by him to Government, by negligence or breach of orders;</li> <li>(iv) Withholding of increments of pay;"</li> </ol> </li> <li>6. In view of above, it is necessary to get clarification as to whether the subject of 25% reduction in pension permanently pertains to SB or DB.</li> <li>7. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that as per office order dated 10.10.2019, the subject of reduction in pension is allotted to Single Bench.</li> <li>8. True, the subject of reduction in pension is allotted to Single Bench as per order dated 10.10.2019. However, in view of definition of minor punishment is Rule 5 of MCS (D &amp; A) Rules 1979, the reduction in pension is not included in the definition of minor punishment.</li> <li>9. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that this issue has also arisen in some O.A.s where the orders are passed by this Tribunal directing the Registrar to find out as to whether such O.A. pertains o S.B. or D.B. but till date nothing is communicated by the office to him.</li> <li>10. In view of above, Registrar is directed to place all such matters before the Hon'ble Chairperson for issuance of necessary orders.</li> <li>11. S.O. to 08.10.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(I) </p>