

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2024

O.A. No.666 of 2024

M.N. Dive

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant present copy of 'Government Order' dated 20.08.2024 of 'GAD' by which Applicant who belongs to cadre of 'Additional CEO (Selection Grade)' under establishment of 'Rural Development Department' has been relieved with effect from 20.08.2024 from 'Deputation Post' of 'Joint Secretary' in office of 'State Commissioner for Right to Services; Konkan Region'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has not yet completed 3 Years on 'Deputation Post' of 'Joint Secretary' in office of 'State Commissioner for Right to Service; Konkan Region' where he had joined on 24.09.2021.

4. Learned PO is therefore informed to ascertain from 'GAD' that since the period of '3 Years' on 'Deputation Post' of 'Joint Secretary' in 'State Commissioner for Right to Service; Konkan Region' in respect of Applicant had begun on 24.09.2021 and is to get over on 24.09.2024; then what were the compelling reasons to issue 'Government Order' dated 20.08.2024 of 'GAD' for immediate repatriation of Applicant to 'Rural Development Department'.

5. The 'Status Quo' with respect to implementation of 'Government Order' dated 20.08.2024 of 'GAD' therefore be maintained till such time as requisite information in this regard is received by learned PO from 'GAD'. However; 'GAD' would be at liberty after 24.09.2024 on completion of period of '3 Years' by Applicant on 'Deputation Post' of 'Joint Secretary' in office of 'State Commissioner for Right to Service; Konkan Region' to implement 'Government Order' dated 20.08.2024 of 'GAD' for repatriation of Applicant to 'Rural Development Department'.

6. S.O. to 26.09.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 22.08.2024
	<p align="center">M.A. No.527 of 2024 with O.A. No. 236 of 2024</p> <p>V.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant does not press for prayers in M.A. No. 527/2024 but instead seeks to amend the O.A. No. 236/2024 to implead those who have now been transferred as 'Group Instructor' in 'ITI, Sangli' by 'Office Order' dated 20.08.2024 of 'Joint Director Vocational Education & Training, Pune' as 'Respondent No.4' and 'Respondent No.5' in O.A. No. 236/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant is accordingly allowed to withdraw M.A. No.527/2024 and separately seek permission to carry out amendments in O.A. No. 236/ 2024 to challenge 'Office Order' dated 20.08.2024 of 'Joint Director Vocational Education & Training, Pune' and add 'Respondent No.4' & 'Respondent No.5' in O.A. No. 236/2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039,
1040 & 1041 OF 2024**

DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

V.S Jagate
E.N Kadam
Y.C Khatal
S.J Bhujbal
V.B Jawane
P.M Bhingare
P.S Dange

)...**Applicants**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...**Respondents**

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J Chougule, with Ms Archana B.K, Smt K.S Gaikwad, Shri Anand Gugale and Shri V.R Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

DATE : 22.08.2024

ORDER

1. The applicants working as Talathi at various places challenge the transfer order dated 14.8.2024, the details of which are as under:-

Applicant in O.A 1035/2024 working as Talathi is transferred from Manjri, Tehsil-Sangola to Khedbose, Tehsil-Pandharpur. Applicant in O.A 1036/2024 working as Talathi is transferred from Shelgaon, Tehsil-Karmala to Washimbe, Tehsil-Karmala. Applicant in O.A 1037/2024 working as Talathi is transferred from Vazre-Ankadhal, Tehsil-Sangola to Takali (TE) Tehsil-Madha. Applicant in O.A 1038/2024 working as Talathi is

transferred from Shelgaon, Tehsil Karmala to Gharri, Tehsil-Barshi. Applicant in O.A 1039/2024 working as Talathi is transferred from Karmala Rural, Tehsil-Karmala to Ranjhani, Tehsil-Madha. Applicant in O.A 1040/2024 working as Talathi is transferred from Khedbhose, Tehsil-Padharpur to Gadegaon, Tehsil-Pandharpur. Applicant in O.A 1041/2024 working as Talathi is transferred from Panvi, Tehsil Malshiras to Gad

2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit the transfer order of all the applicants is challenged on following grounds:-

- (i) The applicants have completed 3 years at their respective place of posting. However, it is a mid-term transfers as the orders are not issue in the month of April-May.
- (ii) The Respondents have not taken approval of the next Competent Higher Authority, i.e., the Hon'ble Minister, Revenue & Forest Department, as per Section 4(4) of The Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005, (hereinafter referred to as the ROT Act, 2005 for the sake of brevity).
- (iii) G.R dated 9.4.2018 regarding calling of option is not properly followed.
- (iv) The applicants were not called for counselling and the options given by the applicants were not considered.
- (v) There is issue of discrimination as choice posting given by the juniors are considered and though the applicants are senior, the choice posting given by the applicants is not considered.

3. Learned P.O submitted that the competent authority for transferring the applicants who are working as Talathi in Group-C is not the Hon'ble Minister, Revenue & Forest Department, but the Commissioner, as per G.R, which is recently published. Learned P.O on oral instructions further submitted that option given by all the applicants were considered by the Civil Services Board and at



the time of considering the options, it is also necessary to consider to whom preference is to be given who have worked in remote and difficult area and so also the availability of the posts is required to be considered.

4. Learned P.O further submitted that copy of the Original Applications was served on the office of learned C.P.O yesterday at 4.45 pm and the other Respondents were served through email after 4.50 pm and therefore seeks time to take instructions and file reply.

5. Learned counsel for the applicants presses for interim relief as the applicants are not relieved.

6. Before granting any interim relief, it is necessary to give adequate time to the learned P.O to take proper instructions and place the same before the Tribunal. Secondly it is also necessary to know how many Talathis are transferred by impugned order dated 14.8.2024. Whether it is a general transfer order then by granting status quo or staying the order of transfer, it will result in staying the entire transfer orders of all the Talathis. Further, learned P.O to take instructions as to why the preference given the applicants are not considered.

7. S.O to 28.8.2024. The issue of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 22.08.2024
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 22.08.2024
---	---------------------------------------

O.A. No.231 of 2024

D.S. Chavan

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant present copy of Government Order dated 31.07.2024 of Revenue & Forest Department by which Applicant has now been transferred to 'Deputation Post' of 'Deputy Collector' in 'MMRDA'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant also presents 'Urban Development Department' letter dated 14.08.2.024 addressed to 'Metropolitan Commissioner' informing that Applicant has been permitted to join w.e.f. 07.08.2024 on 'Deputation Post' of 'Deputy Collector' in 'MMRDA'.
4. The grievance of Applicant to be posted on any post of 'Deputy Collector' in 'Raigad District' or 'Mumbai City District' or 'Mumbai Suburban District' other than 'Deputy Collector (EGS)' in 'Raigad District' has been accepted by 'Revenue & Forest Department'. Hence as nothing survives in this O.A. No.231/2024, It is stands disposed off. No Order as to Cost.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="right">Tribunal's orders Date: 22.08.2024</p>
	<p align="center">O.A. No.428 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. N.P. MokashiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO present copy of Public Health Department Order dated 14.08.2024 by which Applicant who was serving on post of 'Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Ambadifata, District Thane' since 01.06.2019 has been transfer to Vacant Post of 'Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Tokawde, District Thane'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO states that she would like to file 'Short Affidavit' in respect of Order dated 14.08.2024 of Public Health Department by which Applicant has been transferred to Vacant Post of 'Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Tokawde, District Thane'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant still insists that Applicant be transferred to post of 'Civil Surgeon' at 'General Hospital Thane' in place of Respondent No.2 and seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' in O.A. No.428 of 2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 22.08.2024

O.A. No.1096 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1097 of 2023

S.S. KhotApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri R. Shinde, learned Advocate for Respondent no.4.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 1097 of 2023.

3. S.O. to 27.08.2024 for 'Final Hearing' (P.H.)

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 22.08.2024

O.A. No.492 of 2024

S.S. Ghosarwade

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO states that as per information received from 'Sub Divisional Officer'; Ichalkarangi, District Kolhapur' proposal for reinstatement of Applicant who belongs to cadre of 'Talathi' was considered by 'Suspension Review Committee' in its meeting dated 21.08.2024.

3. Learned PO further states that recommendation made by 'Suspension Review Committee' is now under due consideration of 'Divisional Commissioner, Pune'.

4. Learned PO then mentions that he would like to place these facts on record by way of 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.

5. S.O. to 30.08.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2024 in O.A. No.111 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that they are filing RA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 22.08.2024

O.A. No.1542 of 2023

Dr. S.A. TamboliApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant does not want to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' and states that instead O.A. No.1542/2023 be fixed for 'Final Hearing'.

3. Hence O.A. No.1542/2023 is fixed for 'Final Hearing'.

3. S.O. to 12.09.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2024

O.A. No.1023 of 2024

A.K. PhulariApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadeker, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.09.2024
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 19.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2024

O.A. No.1003 of 2024

M.A. ShindeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.09.2024
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 22.08.2024 Tribunal's orders M.A. No.336 of 2024 in O.A. No.722 of 2024
---	---

S.B. KambleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents in M.A. No.336 of 2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO.

3. S.O. to 15.09.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.917 of 2024</p> <p>S.B. KamaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri A. Misal, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Chief Officer, Zilla Parishad, Solapur District - Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 992/2024</u></p> <p>K.V Barve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the file is pending with the Hon'ble Chief Minister and seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 958/2024</u></p> <p>A.A Mengade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondent No. 1 and Ms Sayali Gangal i/b Shri V.N Walwalkar, learned counsel for Respondent No. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Ms Gangal submits that she is filing Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 2 and seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.9.2024</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1029/2024</u></p> <p>S.R Honmode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.D Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Police Head Constable in SRPF, Group-1, Pune, prays that the Respondent No. 1, be directed to forthwith forward the communication to Respondent No. 2 thereby making available the balance of 47 vacancies of the post of Police Constable in additional to 210 vacancies already filled in on his establishment to the extent of 10% of the vacancies earmarked for the SRPF personnel as per the policy decision vide Government Circular dated 12.5.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions with regard to the Government Circular dated 12.5.2021.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.9.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.465/2024 (Mumbai) in OA(L).922/2024-A'bad (OA.313/2024-A'bad)</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. V.V. Nakhate & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Ms. Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for the Respondents-original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ms. Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Advocate for Respondents-original applicants has filed application dated 22.8.2024 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 20.8.2024 passed in the above matter. She states that the cause title is not recorded properly and it should be mentioned as "MA.465/2024 (Mumbai) in OA(L).922/2024-A'bad (OA.313/2024-A'bad)". She also states that in paras 1 and 2 of the order dated 20.8.2024, the name of the Advocate for Respondents is mentioned as "Ms. Vaidehi Deshpande" and it should be corrected as "Ms. Vaidehi Pradeep".</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1006/2024</p> <p>S.S. Mali ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Private Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Mr Lonkar, learned counsel for Private Respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply and he made submissions opposing the prayer for grant of interim relief.</p> <p>3. Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for Respondent No. 1 has filed affidavit in reply by Additional Chief Secretary, Ms Manisha Mhaiskar, which is well explanatory.</p> <p>4. However, more points are raised in the course of arguments and hence it is necessary to have one more affidavit in reply from the Respondent-State.</p> <p>5. Hence, Additional Chief Secretary, Ms. Mhaiskar is required to file affidavit on following points:- (i) While taking over the charge what procedure is to be following as per Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1981. (ii) Whether the controlling authority comes in picture on the point of permission or in any manner. (iii) Whether the charge can be taken unilaterally without taking permission, if at all a person is not relieved, then other person cannot take the charge.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 29.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission' at 10.10 a.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1006/2024</p> <p>S.S. Mali ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Private Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Mr Lonkar, learned counsel for Private Respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply and he made submissions opposing the prayer for grant of interim relief.</p> <p>3. Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for Respondent No. 1 has filed affidavit in reply by Additional Chief Secretary, Ms Manisha Mhaiskar, which is well explanatory.</p> <p>4. However, more points are raised in the course of arguments and hence it is necessary to have one more affidavit in reply from the Respondent-State.</p> <p>5. Hence, Additional Chief Secretary, Ms. Mhaiskar is required to file affidavit on following points:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) While taking over the charge what procedure is to be following as per Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1981. (ii) Whether the controlling authority comes in picture on the point of permission or in any manner. (iii) Whether the charge can be taken unilaterally without taking permission, if at all a person is not relieved, then other person cannot take the charge. <p>6. Adjourned to 29.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission' at 10.10 a.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1034/2024</u></p> <p>P.S Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Sub-Inspector, State Excise, challenges the order dated 17.8.2024 whereby Respondent No. 4 is transferred in place of the Applicant. The applicant further prays that the Respondents be directed to give posting to the applicant at Panvel Rural-3, Raigad where the applicant was working till the impugned transfer order date 17.8.2024 was issued.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submitted that Respondent No. 4 has joined at Head Quarter at Alibaug and has not joined at the present posting at Uran. Learned counsel further submitted that notice is served on Respondent No. 4 through Whatsapp and that he has seen the Whatsapp message.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to furnish address of Respondent No. 5 to the learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel submits that he is not pressing any relief against Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>6. If at all Respondent No. 4 has not taken charge in place of the applicant, where the applicant is currently working, then the applicant be allowed to work on the said post at Panvel, Gramin (Rural)-3, Headquarter, Uran, till next date.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.8.2024.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. S.O to 30.8.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.520 of 2024 in O.A. No.1021 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. A.B. Nandapurkar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1021 of 2024

Dr. A.B. Nandapurkar & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
22.8.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
22.8.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1030 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.C. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>CA No.36/2021 in OA No.1029/2014 with</u> <u>CA No.37/2021 in OA No.1030/2014 with</u> <u>CA No.38/2021 in OA No.1031/2014 with</u> <u>CA No.39/2021 in OA No.1032/2014 with</u> <u>CA No.40/2021 in OA No.1033/2014 with</u> </p> <p> R.S. Bidkar (CA.36/2021) M.D. Sundarkar (CA.37/2021) S.S. Kinhekar (CA.38/2021) J.G. Rohankar (CA.39/2021) V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke (CA.40/2021) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. </p> <p> 2. The writ petitions are pending. 3. S.O. to 10.10.2024. </p> <p> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.43/2023 in O.A. No.32/2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Nargude & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents <u>With</u> <u>M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A. No.619/2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. R.D. Pardhi & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in CA.43/2023 in OA.32/2023 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicants in MA.364/2023 in OA No.619/2023 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.8.2024. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No,104 of 2023 in O.A. No.59 of 2017</u></p> <p>Y.A. Desai ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the W.P. No.1477 of 2024 filed by the State is allowed and the order of the Tribunal is set aside.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj).</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.113 of 2023 in O.A. No.700 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 12.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.17 of 2024 in O.A. No.641 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Rawle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2024 in O.A. No.111 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that they are filing RA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2024 in O.A. No.428 of 2021 (DB)</u></p> <p>S.A. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.11 of 2023 in O.A. No.1027 of 2019</u></p> <p>L.D. Surnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Hake-Patil, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.450/2024 in OA.828/24 (OA.328/23-Nagpur)</u></p> <p>S.V. Kakade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayappan & Shri Tushar Wankhede i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Pradeep Ghule, Joint Director, Amravati and Shri Prakash N. Jaiswal, Principal, ITI, Washim are present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to file affidavit whether applicant can be accommodated on clock hour basis at Washim.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.233 of 2024 in O.A. No.1025 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav h/f Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 3.9.2024. HOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.418 of 2024 in O.A. No.771 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.D. Ugale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that this Tribunal by order dated 25.7.2024 has granted permission to publish public notice in the newspaper. Hence, the MA be disposed off.</p> <p>3. In view of the above MA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2024 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.521 of 2024 in O.A. No.469 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.R. Nalawade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA seeking leave to amend the OA by adding Ms. Kajal Eknath Rathod as party respondent no.2 and to add paragraphs & prayers in the OA as per Schedule-A appended to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy of OA be served on all the respondents. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.718 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Shid ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 22.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.752 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. R. D. Thombare (Legal Heir) R. R. ThombareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. 3. The learned Advocate seeks leave to amend the title of Original Application as the son and daughters of the deceased government servant is not made party in OA. The learned Advocate seeks permission to add them as legal heirs in the OA. 4. Leave to amend OA as prayed is granted. The learned Advocate for Applicant is directed to take necessary steps. 5. S.O. to 11.09.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 22.08.2024
	<p align="center">O.A.No.10 of 2024</p> <p>Sevanivrutti Engineers Aashwashit Samajik SansthaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh, learned Advocate through video conference along with Ms Vaidehi Pradeep and Ameya Tawde, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant has submitted that no reply is filed by learned PO.</p> <p>3. The learned PO submitted that details of all the Applicants pertaining to their place of appointment and place of retirement are not given to the concerned departments by the Applicants.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he has already provided the details of place of appointment and place of retirement of the Applicants to the concerned department and will be able to show on next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.08.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1006/2024</p> <p>S.S. Mali ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Private Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Mr Lonkar, learned counsel for Private Respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply and he made submissions opposing the prayer for grant of interim relief.</p> <p>3. Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for Respondent No. 1 has filed affidavit in reply by Additional Chief Secretary, Ms Manisha Mhaiskar, which is well explanatory.</p> <p>4. However, more points are raised in the course of arguments and hence it is necessary to have one more affidavit in reply from the Respondent-State.</p> <p>5. Hence, Additional Chief Secretary, Ms. Mhaiskar is required to file affidavit on following points:- (i) While taking over the charge what procedure is to be following as per Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1981. (ii) Whether the controlling authority comes in picture on the point of permission or in any manner. (iii) Whether the charge can be taken unilaterally without taking permission, if at all a person is not relieved, then other person cannot take the charge.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 29.08.2024. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission' at 10.10 a.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.335 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1408 of 2023</p> <p>P. M. Raut Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. PATIL holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.08.2024

O.A.No.977/2024

R.J. Waghela & Ors.

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has produced copy of letter dated 18.08.2024 which is sent by Applicant stating that the Applicant wants to withdraw the present O.A.

3. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 22.08.2024 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p>O.A.No.696 of 2024</p> <p>C. S. SalviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 22.08.2024
	<p>O.A.No.438 of 2024 With O.A.No.1151 of 2023</p> <p>A. V. Deshpande J. D. Dange & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. D. Gugale holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 and 4 has filed in O.A. No.438/2024 and Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is already filed in O.A. No.1151/2023.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to file Affidavit in Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024 O.A.No.531 of 2024</p> <p>R. B. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.516 of 2024</p> <p>H. D. KaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.686 of 2024</p> <p>A. B. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2024 with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.337 of 2024</p> <p>D. C. LondheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Affidavit in Reply, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.09.2024.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1503 of 2023 With M.A.No.219 of 2024 In M.A.No.519 of 2023 in O.A.No.1002 of 2023</p> <p>K. S. Gite T. S. Gite Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and her Advocate are absent. Heard Shri K. S. Yadav holding for Shri A. C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 in O. A. No.1503/2023 and Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.1002/2023 and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent NO.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024 O.A.No.04 of 2024</p> <p>R. S. WarekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

**(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)**

(Advocate)

versus

^{vsm}
The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.417 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. LondheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned PO has produced the copy of letter dated 06.08.2024 of Public Health Department intimating that the proposal for payment of difference pertaining to Gratuity and Pension of Applicant is already forwarded to A.G. Office.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks four weeks' time to file Affidavit in Reply. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.08.2024

O.A.No.1026 of 2024

D. S. Tawade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.09.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 20.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 22.08.2024</p>
	<p>O.A.No.80 of 2021 with O.A. No.81 of 2021 with O.A.No.632 of 2021 with O.A.No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. J. K. Pagare Dr. A. R. Patil Dr. A. S. Thakre Dr. V. N. Musale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents are present. None for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. At request of learned PO, the matters are adjourned to</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.458 of 2024 in O.A.No.893 of 2024</p> <p>S. KhalateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri D. R. Patil holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>