

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.799 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has sought direction to the Respondent to include her name in the waiting list for compassionate appointment. It appears that after the death of her husband, the Applicant Smt. Ranjana R. Gaikwad made an application for compassionate appointment within a period of one years but it has been not responded by the Respondents in any manner, therefore she has filed this O.A. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.09.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.493 of 2022 in O.A. No.817 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Walanj & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.817 of 2022

S.S. Walanj & Anrs.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 31.08.2021 whereby he came to be suspended in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 21.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.819 of 2022

Dr. R.S. HirayApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. to modify order dated 10.08.2022 whereby on promotion as Professor (regular) Applicant is transferred from Pune to Baramati. The Applicant alleged that Government has given undue favour to Respondent No.4 by retaining her at Pune though she is at Pune for long time (from 1997).
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.09.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.822 of 2022

S.N. MahajanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 08.04.2022 whereby his request to treat out-of service period from 29.12.1998 to 06.06.2000 (525^{days}) was rejected by impugned communications dated 08.04.2022 and 26.05.2022. ^{for private benefit}

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notices to Respondents before admission returnable on 19.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.816 of 2022

G.R. GavitApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 14.10.2021 whereby he came to be suspended in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 21.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Date: 22.08.2022

M.A. No.325 of 2020 in O.A. No.61 of 2020

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Applicant
(Org. Resp.)

Versus

N.D. Bhosale Respondent
(Org. Appl.)

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Appl.)
2. The Applicant has challenged order of punishment passed by disciplinary authority as well as appellate authority. Initially, disciplinary authority imposed punishment of withholding three increments for the period of three years with cumulative effect, which has been modified by appellate authority thereby imposing punishment of withholding next increment due for two years without cumulative effect by order dated 15.05.2019. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant again filed revision application before Government and it is subjudice.
3. In O.A. the Respondents have also raised plea that since revision application is pending O.A. is premature.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.G. Panchal submits that after waiting for three years the Applicant has filed the O.A., and therefore, it be heard on merit as regard pendency of revision application filed on 01.06.2019, ^{he} He submits he would not peruse remedy of revision. ²
5. He therefore sought time to withdraw revision application so that to hear O.A. on merit.
6. One week time is granted to withdraw revision application.
7. S.O. to 02.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with
O.A. No.305 of 2022 to O.A. No.308 of 2022 with
O.A. No.412 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with
O.A. No.552 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 to
O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.774 of 2022 with
O.A. No.804 of 2022

A.K. Pawar & Ors.
M.P. Patil & Ors.,
M.P. Rao & Ors.,
G.D. Gaikwad & Ors.,
G.D. Chaudhari
D.K. Narode
N.S. Lahange
N.K. Pardeshi
S.S. More & Ors.,
S.P. Khare & Ors.,
B.P. Chavan & Ors.,
V.K. Adsul & Ors.
S.B. Patole & Anrs.,
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Applicant

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 08.08.2022 and also to file Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 – The Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. No further time will be granted.

3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant in O.A. Nos.696/2022, 697/2022 & 774/2022. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. Koli, Legal Heirs K.S.Koli & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal direction were given to Respondent No.3 – Additional Chief Secretary, to file Additional Affidavit about the recommendation of study group. However, no Affidavit is filed. This O.A. is filed for grant of retiral benefits as well as compensation by the heirs of deceased Government servant who died on 21.09.2020. However, till date some of the retiral benefits are unpaid therefore this O.A. has to be expedited and decided on merit without further loss of time.</p> <p>3. At the time of death, deceased Government servant was on the establishment of Respondent No.1 – The Commissioner, Navi Mumbai. Respondent No.1 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply and in Para 14.1 stated as under:-</p> <p>“14.1 I further say that, Rs.1,00,000/- from the Axis bank due to the death on account of COVID-19 insurance. I say and submit that, the applicant is selected from the Scheduled Tribe (S.T.) category but applicant failed to submit the Caste Certificate of Scheduled Tribe category and submitted caste certificate of Special Backward Class (S.B.C.) category caste certificate.”</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Thus according to the Respondent No.1, the Applicant was selected from S.T. category and then he submitted S.B.C category certificate. However, it is not made clear as on what basis certificate of S.B.C. was called to legalize his services. Apart, neither the Applicant nor the Respondent has produced appointment order to see as to from which category the Applicant was appointed.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that since the Applicant is no more, he is unable to produce appointment order and service book and that can be filed by Respondent No.1.

6. The record i.e. appointment order and service book must be with The Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, therefore it is necessary to produce relevant extract of service book and to explain Para 14.1 about the submission of S.B.C. certificate.

7. Two days time is granted to Respondent No.1 to file additional Affidavit along with necessary documents.

8. S.O. to 24.08.2022.

9. Steno copy granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.452 of 2022 in O.A. No.743 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. MadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. wherein the Applicant has challenged orders dated 09.09.2018 and 19.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that Civil Surgeon, Ratnangri by order dated 09.09.2018 directed for recovery of Rs. 6,36,000/- (Six Lakhs Thirty Six Thousand Only) from the pay and allowances of the Applicant because of alleged illegal payment made by her to some other employees. It is in the pursuance of the said order recovery was made from pay and allowances for the period from Oct.-2018 and last installment was recovered from the salary of Dec.-2019. Office has issued order dated 19.12.2019 that sum of Rs. 6,36,000/- (Six Lakhs Thirty Six Thousand Only) is recovered from pay and allowances. These two orders dated 09.09.2018 and 19.12.2019 are under challenged in O.A.</p> <p>4. As regard limitation, the Applicant ought to have filed O.A. in respect of order dated 09.09.2018 upto 09.09.2019. However, he contends that due to COVID-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown he could not file O.A. earlier. Limitation of one year expires in Sept.-2019. Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 passed in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

No.03/2020 directed that where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.

5. Whereas, in present case limitation already expired in Sept.-2019. However, there was lockdown from 15.03.2020 onwards. As such, initially delay of six months i.e. from Sept.-2019 to 15.03.2022 and in addition to it, there is four months delay after the expiration of lockdown period which comes to end on 28.02.2022. O.A. is filed on 28.07.2022, thus delay comes to 10 months.

6. Respondents have not filed Reply to M.A.

7. In view of above, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone delay for the reasons stated in M.A.

8. M.A. No.452/2022 is allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.743 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. MadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.452/2022 for condonation of delay which is allowed by separate order passed in M.A., therefore this O.A. is to be decided on merit. 3. The Applicant has challenged recovery order dated 09.09.2018 and communication dated 19.12.2019 whereby sum of Rs.6,36,000/- (Six Lakhs Thirty Six Thousand Only) has been recovered from the pay and allowances of the Applicant. 4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notices to Respondents before admission returnable on 14.09.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 14.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 18.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.345 of 2022</p> <p>M. W. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though, the matter is proceeded without matter, today learned P.O. sought permission to file reply.</p> <p>3. Allowed to file Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.382 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 21.09.2020 whereby he came to be suspended in view of the registration of offence under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered order date 17.08.2022 whereby the Applicant came to be reinstated in service and posted at Police Head Quarter, Kolhapur. The order is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that since the Applicant is reinstated in service, O.A. has become infructuous and requested to dispose of the same.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.424 OF 2020 with O.A. No.398 of 2021 with O.A. No.172 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K. B. Batte & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.424/2020. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.398/2021 is not present. The Applicant in person in O.A.No.172/2022 is present and stated that his Advocate is out of station. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>2. These matters are taken up by the Tribunal on 25.07.2022 for hearing and at that time on request of learned P.O., the matters were adjourned to apprise the Tribunal about the decision of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. however submits that W.P. is still pending and again sought four weeks' time to write a letter to Government Pleader for hearing of W.P.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.598 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.228 of 2022 in O.A. No.310 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with
O.A. No.305 of 2022 to O.A. No.308 of 2022 with
O.A. No.412 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with
O.A. No.552 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 to
O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.774 of 2022 with
O.A. No.804 of 2022

A.K. Pawar & Ors.

M.P. Patil & Ors.,

M.P. Rao & Ors.,

G.D. Gaikwad & Ors.,

G.D. Chaudhari

D.K. Narode

N.5. Lahamge

N.K. Pardeshi

S.S. More & Ors.,

S.P. Khare & Ors.,

B.P. Chavan & Ors.,

V.K. Adsul & Ors.

S.B. Patole & Anrs.,

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar & Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police as directed by the Tribunal in order dated 08.08.2022 and also to file Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 – The Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. No further time will be granted.

3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant in O.A. Nos.696/2022, 697/2022 & 774/2022. It is taken on record.

4. S.O. to 30.08.2022.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 22.08.2022

M.A. No.325 of 2020 in O.A. No.61 of 2020

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Applicant
(Org. Resp.)

Versus

N.D. Bhosale Respondent
(Org. Appl.)

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Appl.)
2. The Applicant has challenged order of punishment passed by disciplinary authority as well as appellate authority. Initially, disciplinary authority imposed punishment of withholding three increments for the period of three years with cumulative effect, which has been modified by appellate authority thereby imposing punishment of withholding next increment due for two years without cumulative effect by order dated 15.05.2019. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant again filed revision application before Government and it is subjudice.
3. In O.A. the Respondents have also raised plea that since revision application is pending O.A. is premature.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.G. Panchal submits that after waiting for three years the Applicant has filed the O.A., and therefore, it be heard on merit as regard pendency of revision application filed on 01.06.2019, ^{he} ~~He~~ submits he would not peruse remedy of revision. ²
5. He therefore sought time to withdraw revision application so that to hear O.A. on merit.
6. One week time is granted to withdraw revision application.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.675 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. KaroteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought two weeks time to file M.A. for amendment to add some authority as Respondent No.5.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.95 of 2021</p> <p>G.N. DongarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.799 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has sought direction to the Respondent to include her name in the waiting list for compassionate appointment. It appears that after the death of her husband, the Applicant Smt. Ranjana R. Gaikwad made an application for compassionate appointment within a period of one years but it has been not responded by the Respondents in any manner, therefore she has filed this O.A. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.09.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------



8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 19.09.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.557 of 2021 & O.A. No.676 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.M. Mutekar & Ors. S.B. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. These two matters are fixed on 7.9.2022 for filing affidavit in reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that on 4.6.2022 reply is filed by MPSC and on 15.6.2022 reply is filed by Home Department. In between reply is filed by the office of DGP.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted on 9.6.2022 and modified by order dated 8.8.2022 by this Tribunal are restored and continued by order dated 18.8.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.Ps. No.9803/2022 & 9805/2022 (S.B. Patil & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.). Now, the departments have to take note of the said order dated 18.8.2022. We reproduce para 7 of the order dated 18.8.2022:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"7. We request to the MAT to dispose of the OA finally at the earliest and to do so without being in any way influenced by the impugned order, especially its observations in paragraphs 8 to 13. As regards paragraph 13, we restore until final disposal of the OA the previous interim/ad-interim order of 9th June, 2022."</i></p> <p>5. We direct all the respondents to file reply without fail as we are going to decide the matter finally in view of order dated 18.8.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court. Respondents to give copy of reply well in advance to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants to enable him to file rejoinder.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 7.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2022 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.469 of 2021

S.U. RathodApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is filed. Matter is admitted and adjourned to 12.09.2022 for final hearing.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.145 of 2021

N.P. Chaudhari & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 19.09.2022 for learned P.O. to file detailed reply.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.114 of 2022

A.N. DhondeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply already filed. Adjourned to 19.09.2022 for rejoinder of learned Advocate.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.452 of 2022

D.A. JadhavApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 12.09.2022. Interim relief to be continued till next.

Sd/-

**(Medha/Gadgil)
Member(A)**

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.590 of 2022</p> <p>B.B. KhadkeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that cause of D.E. is no more as on today as the Applicant is transferred to Kolhapur from Beed. According to learned Advocate cause of D.E. does not exist.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.752 of 2022

N.R. GarjeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that pursuant to order dated 17.08.2022, Joint Secretary (Revenue and Forest Department and G.A.D. Deputy Secretary files affidavit-in-reply. Taking on record and copy served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. Adjourned to 24.08.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.1114 of 2017

L.N. SoniApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Potbhare submits that learned Advocate Ms. Kalpalata Patil comes from Aurangabad and she has requested to keep this matter in the third week of September 2022.

3. Applicant who was working as Librarian prays that she be given extension upto the age of 64 years. Applicant is already retired. In view of this we are of the view nothing remains in this matter.

4. Adjourned to 19.09.2022. Learned Advocate Ms. Kalpalata is hereby directed to remain present otherwise matter will be dismissed for want to prosecution so also on the point that nothing remains in the matter.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.312 of 2022 with O.A.No.577 of 2022

R.N. More & Ors. (O.A.312/2022)

S.S. Bhagat & Ors. (O.A.577/2022)Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit of Respondent no.5 is ready however she wants one week time to get annexures.

3. Learned P.O. prays for two weeks time to file reply of Respondents No.2 and 4. She submits that reply of Respondent No.4 is filed in O.A.No.557 /2022 whereas in O.A.No.312/2022 reply is yet to be filed.

4. Last chance is granted to Respondent No.1, G.A.D. to file reply. If reply is not filed till next date, matter will proceed without reply.

5. Adjourned to 05.09.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 22.08.2022
---	--

O.A.No.967 of 2019

S.C. BhosleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply already filed. Matter is admitted and adjourned to 17.10.2022 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.1004 of 2021

E.A. PardhiApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply already filed. Adjourned to 12.09.2022 for rejoinder of learned Advocate.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.803 of 2021</p> <p>M.D. Walunj & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Tanmay Sawant, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 26.09.2022 for reply from learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022**O.A.No.326 of 2020**

A.S. Raut

.....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By way of last chance adjourned to 19.09.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.993 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply already filed. Adjourned to 12.09.2022 for rejoinder of learned Advocate.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.699 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.N. MandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on instruction from the Applicant sought permission to withdraw this O.A. He has tendered letter of the Applicant addressed to him to that effect. Letter is taken on record and marked as letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.395 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 3.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. O.A. No.19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.221 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. GandaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission ^{without} with Reply. S.O. to 20.09.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.473 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. HaraleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 raising issue of maintainability of O.A. in absence of availing remedy of appeal. Short Affidavit is filed in this behalf, it is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing about its maintainability in absence of filing appeal.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.628 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.663 of 2022</p> <p>K.K. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Tukaram Shendge, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 – S.D.O. Satara. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. No Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.664 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.744 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.S. MadarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.09.2022 along with connected O.A. No.305/2022 and Ors.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.810 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Gunjal & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.622 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. JagdaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.819 of 2022

Dr. R.S. HirayApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. to modify order dated 10.08.2022 whereby on promotion as Professor (regular) Applicant is transferred from Pune to Baramati. The Applicant alleged that Government has given undue favour to Respondent No.4 by retaining her at Pune though she is at Pune for long time (from 1997).
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.09.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original /

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.822 of 2022

S.N. MahajanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 08.04.2022 whereby his request to treat out-of service period from 29.12.1998 to 06.06.2000 (525⁰ days) was rejected by impugned communications dated 08.04.2022 and 26.05.2022. ^{for (private benefit)}

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notices to Respondents before admission returnable on 19.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.493 of 2022 in O.A. No.817 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Walanj & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.817 of 2022

S.S. Walanj & Anrs.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 31.08.2021 whereby he came to be suspended in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original /

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.08.2022

O.A. No.816 of 2022

G.R. GavitApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 14.10.2021 whereby he came to be suspended in view of registration of crime under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original /

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

22.08.2022

C.A 42/2022 in O.A 986/2021

Shri U.V More ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, holding for Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O on instructions from Shri B.S Shangre, Section Officer, Special Branch, Home Department, states that on 13.7.2022 the Allowance Committee Report was received by the office of Respondent no. 1 and the said report was sent to the Finance Department on 12.8.2022.

3. Our query is that why the Home Department took time from 22.7.2022 to 12.8.2022 to send the file to the Finance Department.

4. Today, learned P.O states that the Respondents have requested for two weeks' time.

5. As the file is pending with Finance Department since 12.8.2022, we direct the concerned Respondent to specify the name of the officer and the table where the file is pending till last 10 days. Respondent no, Finance Department to take note that this is an urgent matter.

6. S.O to 23.8.2022 at 2.30 pm.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

22.08.2022

C.A 24/2022 in O.A 43/2022

Shri Sunil P. Kalgutkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Sunil P. Kalgutkar, applicant in person and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. After going through the letter dated 20.4.2022 given by the Administrative Officer in the office of the Commissioner of Police, Pune, to the applicant, we point out that the contents mentioned in the said letter are incorrect as the office of the Director General of Police by letter dated 19.1.2021 has earlier given NOC for the purpose of further action. However, the office of the Commissioner of Police, Pune has not taken note of the same.

3. The Commissioner of Police, Pune is directed to depute a responsible officer to the Tribunal along with the necessary file on 23.8.2022 at 11.00 hrs.

4. S.O to 23.8.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2018 in O.A. No.670 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. C.G. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply of Dr. M. Neelima Kerketta, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya is filed on 8.6.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that application under RTI has been made to the office of Accountant General, why the order has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

I N

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.22 of 2021 in O.A. No.21 of 2017</u></p> <p>A.A. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Speaking to minutes of the order dated 1.8.2022 passed by this Tribunal in above CA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out last line of para 2 of the order dated 1.8.2022 wherein it is mentioned as, 'date of judgment by the Hon'ble High Court.'. It is to be substituted by, "date of judgment by the Tribunal.'</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that there was some miscommunication and she seeks time.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 5.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.284 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.B. Gadhave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that copy of OA is not served on the respondents for want of proper instructions from the applicant, though the Ld. Advocate has tried to contact the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the above OA is dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.8.2022 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.823 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.T. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.8.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.418 of 2022 in O.A. No.544 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.S. Lakeshri ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 5.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA and OA. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the MA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the MA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.8.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1020 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.G. Naik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO is not well. Hence, the matter is adjourned to 7.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.8.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.346 of 2022

S.W. ShindeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. N.M. Pujari, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5, 7 & 9.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits letter dated 18.08.2022. Taken on record and marked as Exhibit 'A'.

3. There is no post vacant in the Open Category for the post of Multi purpose Health Workers. However, candidature of another candidate who has secured 110 marks is higher than current applicant who has secured 94 marks is under consideration.

4. Adjourned to 05.09.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Original Application No.
(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000-4-2019)

of 20

DISTRICT

(Spl.- MAT-R-2 E.)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.08.2022

O.A.No.592 of 2015

J.S. ShindeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. K.R. Jagdale has sent leave note. Hence, O.A. adjourned to 23.08.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.821 of 2022</u>
	<p>S.D. Inamdar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Ms. Vijayalaxmi B. Khopade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. In this matter the applicants are challenging the selection process.</p>
	<p>3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate is instructed by more than 160 candidates including EWS category and he wants to file Vakalatnama and contest the matter. Shri Lonkar submits that by tomorrow i.e. 23.8.2022 he will furnish to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants the names and address along with email id of all these more than 160 candidates, who are going to be effect if any order is passed in this matter.</p>
	<p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that she will carry out the amendment and serve all the respondents, including added respondents by email with one hard copy of OA to Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate on/or before 25.8.2022.</p>
	<p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for interim relief, as training is going to commence from 23.8.2022 at Nagpur. In view of the submissions made by Shri Lonkar we are not inclined to stay the training which is going to commence tomorrow. <u>However, the training will be subject to outcome of this OA.</u></p>
	<p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p>
	<p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file rcply.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">22.8.2022 22.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>