

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.325 of 2022 in O.A.No.644 of 2021</p> <p>Smt. Abida SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Original Application is disposed of and dismissed by reasoned order.</p> <p>3. Hence, this Misc. Application which was filed for interim relief stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>22.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 687/2022</u></p> <p>Ramsing L. Phulmali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that the Respondent no. 1 be directed to take appropriate decision on the representation/appeal dated 11.11.2014 within four weeks.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces a list wherein it is mentioned the date wise steps taken by the Respondents. The said list is taken on record and marked as Exh.1.</p> <p>4. The said list discloses there is inordinate delay on the part of the Respondents in passing final order.</p> <p>5. The applicant has submitted his reply dated 20.12.2021 to the show cause notice dated 11.11.2020 issued by the Respondents.</p> <p>6. Respondent no. 1, Secretary, Agriculture Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to take into account the reply dated 20.12.2021 submitted by the applicant to the show cause notice dated 11.11.2020 and pass final orders on or before 25.9.2022.</p> <p>7. With the above directions, Original Application stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.07.2022

O.A.No.383 of 2022

A.G. Tainak & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 35.

2. Learned Advocate press that the interim relief granted by order dated 27.04.2022 be vacated and the matter be heard for interim relief on the next week. We make it clear that matters of 2015 are still pending before us for Final Hearing. We have decided to take the drive to finish year wise by hearing these matters in the 2nd sitting so we give priority to matters pertaining to 2015. In the 2nd sitting we can accommodate learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar in the last week of August, 2022. However he insists that it is to be heard in the next week.

3. We have kept other matters, so also we are taking up matters of 'Selection' and 'Appointment' which according to us are urgent wherein M.P.S.C. is involved. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar requests for order to be passed as he wants to challenge the order before the Hon'ble High Court.

4. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar wants time to file rejoinder.

5. Adjourned to 30.08.2022 for hearing on the point of interim relief and for filing of rejoinder.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 908/2019 with M.A 497/2017</u></p> <p>Mr Amol K. Gogavle & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this Original Application the applicant seeks directions to the Respondent no. 1 to recruit the candidates on the basis of merit in view of the judgment dated 4.8.2017 passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P 2797/2015 and further to declare on the basis of merit the applicants are entitled to be appointed first and prior to the said Respondents no 6 to 160.</p> <p>3. The Registry is directed to contact Mr M.M Deshmukh and inform him that the matter is kept on 28.7.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.7.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha) Gadgil Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.379 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. JaiswalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <p>4. In afternoon session, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Matter be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.372 of 2022 in O.A. No.519 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <p>4. In afternoon session, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in M.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. In sofaras O.A. is concerned, one week time is granted to file Reply in O.A.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 693/2022</u></p> <p>Arjun R. Tarke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p align="center"><u>OPERATIVE ORDER</u></p> <p>2. In view of the above, we pass the following order:-</p> <p>(a) The Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>(b) The Respondent no. 2, M.P.S.C is directed to open the on-line link and accept the application form of the applicant and allow him to appear for the Main Examination pursuant to advertisement dated 28.6.2022 for the post of Clerk-cum-Typist.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 695/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Suresh V. Londhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the punishment order dated 22.11.2018 of permanent stoppage of two increments and appeal order dated 26.4.2019 as well as order dated 23.7.2021. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed." 9. S.O to 26.82022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 124/2020</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Dhone ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel or the applicant submits copy of application dated 22.7.2022 sent by the applicant wherein it is stated that he is not interested in pursuing the matter. The said letter dated 22.7.2022 is taken on record and marked as Exh-1.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders Date : 22.07.2022</p>
	<p align="center">M.A.No.533/2021 in O.A.No.948/2021 With M.A.No.534/2021 in O.A.No.949/2021</p> <p>K.V. KoliApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. R. Agasha, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted in M.A.No.534/2021 in O.A.No.949/2021 to be continued till next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 29.07.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1033 of 2015</p> <p>T.D. TayadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate informs that Respondent No.3 and 4 have been recently served and they informed that they require time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1099 of 2019</p> <p>P.D. Rupwate & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted. Matter to be shifted under the caption of 'Final Hearing'</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1069 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By way of last chance, O.A. Adjourned to 12.08.2022 for filing of affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1066 of 2021 with M.A.No.299 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. Salok & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.416 of 2021</p> <p>J.W. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.126 of 2020 with O.A.No.127 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. M.B. Bansode (O.A.126/2020) Dr. S.N. Tambare (O.A.127/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. today files affidavit-in-reply. Taken on record. Copy be served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 22.07.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.701 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Karne & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.07.2022</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application 1100.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Respondents are directed to take instruction on the basis of affidavit dated 20.10.2021 filed by Deputy Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department in respect of issuance of date of year of non-creamy layer certificate.

9. Learned Advocate submits that Respondent No.2 is going to issue the appointment orders from the wait listed candidates on the ground of non-creamy layer certificate.

10. Respondents are directed not to issue the appointment orders to the candidates from wait list till next date.

11. Adjourned to 29.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.666 of 2022</p> <p>S.M.K. KaziApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. N.V. Bhutekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 19.08.2022 <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1135 of 2017</p> <p>D.S. LashkareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V. Kolekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate informs that Applicant is already promoted. In view that cause of action no longer survives he wishes to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.876 of 2021</p> <p>H.A. RedekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Patil submits that the O.A. is dismissed for default by order dated 20.07.2022. However, learned Advocate is present today and informs that there is confusion about the date of adjournment. He submits that the matter should have been fixed on today.</p> <p>3. We direct the Deputy Secretary, Ms. Sarita Bandekar Deshmukh to remain present in the Tribunal on next date.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned to 25.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 22.07.2022
	<p align="center">C.A.No.48 of 2022 in O.A.No.110 of 2019</p> <p>S.H. PathanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that order dated 24.09.2021 asking the Respondents to consider the Applicant for promotion to the post of Awal Karkoon is not yet implemented.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.835 of 2017</p> <p>U.D. KshatriyaApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Office has received oral telephone information that learned Advocate Ms. Purav is not well and therefore unable to come.</p> <p>3. Neither the Applicant nor Advocate is present. We are of the view that the matter has become infructuous. However in all fairness we keep this matter on 19.08.2021. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.679 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Satpute & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants are reverted from the post of Naib Tahasildar to Awal Karkoon / Circle Officer by order dated 25.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions. On query it is informed by learned C.P.O. that the order is affected.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate prays that the said order is to be stayed. Learned Advocate submits that the revision be set aside only on the ground that the applicants were not given show cause notice. The revision appears to be result of the implementation of the order dated 02.02.2017 passed by the full Bench of the Tribunal in O.A.No.354/2015. The D.P.C. was conducted on 20.10.2021.</p> <p>5. The order is already implemented. We do not think there is any propriety in staying the said order as it is necessary to hear the stay and also to go through the judgment of Full Bench of the Tribunal, copy be of which is provided today.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 05.08.2022. Prayer for interim relief be kept open.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.702 of 2022</p> <p>D.N. ChimadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant prays that Respondent No.1 to 3 be directed to fill up the post of Talathi Group-C in Special Backward Class Category pursuant to advertisement dated 28.02.2019 issued by Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Out of the available posts, 3 posts are reserved for Special Backward Class Category. Learned Advocate points out final merit list of Special Backward Class Category, select list as well as wait list is published on 07.12.2019, wherein Applicant Mr. Dattatraya N. Chimade is shown at serial No.1 in the wait list. Learned Advocate further submits that 3rd post in the select list is kept vacant and therefore the applicant who stands at Serial No.1 in waiting list is to be given that post and should be appointed.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate further clarifies candidate Mr Ganesh Mahadev Teke was selected for the 3rd reserved post as he has secured more marks than the Applicant. Appointment was not given to Applicant since he has not cleared the D.E. and his proposal of character verification is pending with the HPC. No decision is taken till date.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. to find out what is the position regarding character certificate of Mr. Ganesh Mahadev Teke and whether he has joined the service.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.425/2022 in O.A.No.701 of 2022 with M.A.No.426/2022 in O.A.No.701 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. Karne & Ors. ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.426/2022 is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.426/2022 is allowed.</p> <p>6. M.A.No.425/2022 is filed seeks condonation of delay.</p> <p>7. Cause of action aroused on 27.05.2021. O.A. has been filed on 19.07.2022. Learned Advocate relies on the order dated 13.07.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.2166/2021 with Interim Application No.2900 /2022, Sanjivani A. Karne & Ors. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors., wherein the issued involved is same. The Hon'ble High Court in that matter has directed the Applicants to avail the alternate remedy by approaching the Tribunal.</p> <p>8. In view of above, M.A.No.425/2022 filed for condonation of delay is hereby allowed.</p> <p>9. M.A.No.425/2022 and M.A.No.426/2022 both stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1046 of 2019</p> <p>S.P. BhaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed for refund of the amount already recovered. However, relief clause is silent on this point.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore seeks permission to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is also directed to give details of the alleged excess amount paid to the Applicant and the exact amount recovered from the Applicant from pensionary benefits so that the Applicant could come with proper M.A. during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. S.O. 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1182 of 2017</p> <p>R.J. MedsingApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. ^{WDA} In this the Applicant has challenged order dated 05.12.2017, but the matter remained undated in the Office for long time and for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, learned P.O. has tendered order dated 26.04.2018 whereby after D.E. the Applicant came to be dismissed from service invoking Section 25 of Maharashtra Police Act, 1951.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore submits that O.A. be disposed of. He states that he has already filed Departmental appeal against the order and it is still pending.</p> <p>5. ^{MYS} THIS O.A. has become infructuous and it is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.106 of 2022</p> <p>B.M. BichkuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Advocate and his Applicant both are absent.2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.3. In the interest of justice one opportunity is given to the Applicant for hearing, since matter pertains to compassionate appointment.4. S.O. to 19.08.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.783 of 2017</p> <p>S.R. GandhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that matter remained undated in the Office for long time and for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal. That It is already ripe for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.940 of 2021</p> <p>S. D.SavaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Indeed, ^{In} the present matter, the Applicant has challenged order dated 07.10.2019 passed by the S.D.O. terminating his services from the post of Police Patil. The O.A. is filed on 24.11.2021 without filing application for condonation of delay. In O.A. also there is no such prayer for condonation of delay. All that it is stated that the copy of termination order was not served upon him and he got it under RTI.</p> <p>3. As such, the Applicant ought to have filed M.A. for condonation of delay which is not filed. Unless delay is condoned, no cognizance can be taken of O.A.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file M.A. for condonation of delay failing to which O.A. would stands disposed of without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.585 of 2021 with O.A. No.601 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. Dapke M.S. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both these O.As the Applicants have challenged transfer order dated 06.08.2021. However, during the pendency of O.As the Applicants are again transferred by order dated 08.11.2021 and 22.11.2021 respectively.</p> <p>3. On previous date learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to amend O.A. so as to challenge subsequent transfer orders.</p> <p>4. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for permission to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to make representation.</p> <p>5. Allowed to withdraw O.As. with liberty to make representation which shall be dealt with by the concerned authorities in accordance to Rules in ensuing general transfer, if the representations are made within a week from today.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.781 of 2016</p> <p>M.R. GhateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Perusal of record reveals that matter remained undated in the Office for long time and for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal. 3. Relief claim in O.A. pertain to denial of Time Bound Promotion, there seems to be no case of recovery. As such, the subject pertains to Division Bench. 4. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1144 of 2018</p> <p>P.P. PednekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Perusal of record reveals that matter remained undated in the Office for long time and for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal. 3. Pleading is complete and matter is ripe for Final Hearing. 4. O.A. therefore be kept for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 10.08.2022. <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.419 of 2017</p> <p>R.H. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Gaikwad, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1 & 2 is absent.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that matter remained undated in the Office for long time and for the first time it is listed before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Pleading is complete and matter is ripe for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. O.A. therefore be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.400 of 2022</p> <p>R.D. KurangalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 3. Since, enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply, I am not inclined to grant further time. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply. 5. S.O. to 19.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2022

O.A. No.683 of 2022

D.J. AmbilwadeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Government by order dated 11.05.2022 imposed punishment of monthly reduction of 10% pension for two years. In appeal order it is stated that the Applicant can file appeal within 45 days before Hon'ble Governor. However, the Applicant has directly filed this O.A. When this aspect is brought to the notice of learned Advocate for the Applicant, he submitted that in other two matters (different from the present punishment order) the Applicant has already filed two appeals before Hon'ble Governor but those are not decided for more than two years, and therefore the Applicant has filed this O.A. directly before this Tribunal. Indeed, this can't be the ground where appeal remedy is provided in statute.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant now submits that he will file appeal and submitted to dispose of this O.A.

4. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to take necessary steps in accordance to law.

5. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.984 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. GopaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. S.O. to 05.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.372 of 2022 in O.A. No.519 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.444 of 2018 in O.A. No.795 of 2018</p> <p>V.N. GhatgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. both requested for adjournment on the ground that they have to collect some record for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K.P. SalunkheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad requested for adjournment since Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is unable to remain present due to his personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.574 of 2022</p> <p>R.A. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.566 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.492 of 2022</p> <p>D.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 both. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.379 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. JaiswalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.474 of 2022</p> <p>D.G. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2 both. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.471 of 2022</p> <p>K.G. PatkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1061 of 2021</p> <p>B.G. KardeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A. No.195/2022. 5. S.O. to 05.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>