M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

M.A. No.71 of 2021 in O.A. No.138 of 2020

S.B. KumbharApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri K.K. Mishra, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. This Application is for Condonation of Delay of 05 years 09 months and 22 days for filing O.A. if the limitation is counted from order dated 21.04.2014.
- 3. O.A. is filed by the Applicant Shri Sunil B. Kumbhar for appointment on compassionate ground. His father was Class IV employee died in harness on 21.12.1998. After his death his widow Smt. Anjana B. Kumbhar made application on 23.06.1999 for appointment on compassionate ground however, nothing was communicated to her.
- 4. Thereafter, the Applicant himself made an application for appointment on compassionate ground on 07.10.2013 stating that his mother Smt. Anjana B. Kumbhar is enable to do any job because of her deteriorating health.
- 5. However, Respondent by letter dated 21.04.2014 informed the Applicant that since name of her mother is already in waiting list his claim cannot be considered as there is no provision for substitution of heir.
- 6. Thereafter, the Applicant through his Advocate gave notice to which Respondent gave Reply on 07.12.2019 stating that mother of Applicant had already

entine Notes, Office Memorando of alcalon, Appearation, flat care on a condition directions, and Registrar's orders

Participal materia

attainted age of 45 years and was not eligible for appointment on compassionate ground.

- 7. It is on the above background the Applicant has filed O.A. challenging order dated 07.12.2019 which is within limitation from date of impugned order.
- 8. It is after filing of O.A. the Applicant has filed this M.A. for Condonation of Delay, in view of the defense raised by Respondents that O.A. is not within limitation from the order dated 21.04.2014.
- 9. True, by order dated 21.04.2014 the Applicant was informed that his name cannot be taken in waiting list. However, the ground for rejection was that his mother name is already in waiting list. As such the Applicant was made to believe that his mother name is already in waiting list. It is precisely for this reason the Applicant did not filed the O.A. immediately after receipt of communication dated 21.04.2014.
- 10. Thereafter, for first time by communication dated 07.12.2019 the Applicant was informed that the Applicant's mother name came to be deleted on account of attaining 45 years of age. Therefore, the Applicant has filed the O.A. well within limitation from communication dated 07.12.2019 it is for this reason he has challenged order dated 07.12.2019 only in prayer Clause. As such O.A. is within limitation from communication dated 07.12.2019. In so far as communication dated 21.04.2014 is concerned it was not final rejection therefore there was no occasion to the Applicant to challenge communication dated 21.04.2014.
- 11. In view of above, I hold that O.A. is within limitation and M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.
- 12. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing on 02.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.574 of 2020

L.D. VeerApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. for direction to release retrial benefits. She retired on 30.06.2009 but retrial benefits were not released.
- 3. It appears that, after retirement she approached various authorities including Lokayukta, State of Maharashtra who issued some direction but the same were not complied.
- 4. It is on the above background the Applicant has filed this O.A. along with Application for Condonation of Delay.
- 5. Perusal of Reply of Respondent No.1 Deputy Director of School Education, Nashik reveals that while in service the Applicant was absent for some period in two phrases. Since, there were no orders of leave her service-book remained incomplete, ultimately she retired.
- 6. In Reply Respondent No.1 states that after decision of grant of Extra Ordinary Leave at Government level, necessary steps will be taken to process the papers for pension.

respondence in the Market of Section 1992.

Lighter than the section of the secti

- 7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his Applicant rendered more than thirty years service and even if her absence is treated as Extra Ordinary Leave without pay in that event also the Applicant will be entitled for retrial benefits.
- 8. Thus, it appears that due to non passing of necessary orders about Extra Ordinary Leave the matter is held up.
- 9. Now, the Applicant is more than Seventy years old therefore, O.A. deserves to be decided expeditiously and she should get retrial benefits in accordance to law if eligible for the same.
- 10. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take necessary instructions about the grant of Extra Ordinary Leave so that O.A. can be disposed of.
- 11. Respondent No.1 is directed to depute some responsible person to remain present in the Tribunal on next date with concerned record to appraise the Tribunal about the status of file and difficulties in grant of retrial benefits.
- 12. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 22.07.2021	
	O.A.Nos.428, 429, 430 & 431 of 2020	
	N. R. Tekam	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1 The Applicants and the	eir Counsel are

- 1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
- 2. In view of absence of Applicants and their Counsel, the matter is adjourned for hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 17.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

M.A. No.178 of 2021 in O.A. No.490 of 2020

S.R. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.
- 3. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
- 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.
- 5. S.O. to 17.08.2021.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

M.A. No.252 of 2021 in O.A. No.166 of 2021

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resp.)....Appl. Versus
B.B. Pawar (Org. Appl.)....Resps.

- 1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) is present.
- 2. Respondent (Org. Applicant) and his Advocate Shri S.S. Dere both are absent, though copy of M.A. is served in person.
- 3. This M.A. is filed for grant of two months time to implement the order passed by this Tribunal on 20.04.2021.
- 4. O.A. No.166/2021 was filed for direction to release retiral benefits. The Applicant was convicted by Learned Special Judge on 03.03.2009, he preferred appeal and in mean time he retired on 30.04.2016. He came to be acquitted on 20.04.2020. O.A. was disposed of on the basis of statement made by learned P.O. in view of acquittal pension papers will be processed. Accordingly O.A. was disposed of with direction to release retiral benefits as per his entitlement within a month.
- 5. Now, this M.A. is filed for extension of time inter-alia contending that steps are seen taken to complete the papers since service-book is incomplete and required to be sent to pay verification unit.
- 6. In view of above, one month time is granted from today to pass appropriate orders and to release retiral benefits of the Applicant to which he is entitled in law.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.267 of 2021

G.N. TateApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 is absent.
- 2. On request of learned P.O. adjourned to 27.07.2021 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.
- 3. S.O. to 27.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.266 of 2021

S.S. Paithankar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted by way of <u>last</u> chance.
- 3. S.O. to 05.08.2021.

V

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.234 of 2021

V.E. MhatreApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.
- 3. S.O. to 27.07.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.172 of 2021

T.F. Nagvekar VersusApplicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1, 2 & 3. It is taken on record.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.
- 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
- 5. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.159 of 2021

H.P. KateApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.
- 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.
- 4. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.95 of 2021

G.N. Dongarkar VersusApplicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Enough time is granted but no Reply is filed. On previous date most last chance was granted with specific direction that no further time will be granted but in vain.
- 3. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant further time for Reply. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.
- 4. S.O. to 20.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.486 of 2020

S.D. TejaneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
- 3. Since pleading is complete adjourned for Final Hearing on 17.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.314 of 2021

S.N. TembeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.4, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Aniket Ransubhe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

- 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
- 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
- 4. S.O. to 17.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders	Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
--	----------------------------------

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.410 of 2021

S.T. ZawareApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule,

learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.406 of 2021

A.M. LabdheApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.
- 3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 22.07.2021 O.A. No.321 of 2021 D.N. TondeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. S.O. to 12.08.2021. 4. Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 22.07.2021	
	O.A.No.793 of 2019	
	D. P. Nagare	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & C	OrsRespondents.
		Counsel are absent. Shri A Officer for the Respondents i
	In view of leave note Counsel for the Applicant, the hearing.	e of Shri K.R. Jagdale, learne e matter is adjourned for fina
	3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.	

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 22.07.2021	
	O.A.No.11	of 2020
	S. S. Kamble	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 The Applicant and his Co Chougule, learned Presenting Off present. 	ounsel are absent. Shri A. J. ficer for the Respondents is
,	In view of leave note of Counsel for the Applicant, the m hearing.	f Shri K.R. Jagdale, leanred natter is adjourned for final
	3. S.O. to 20.08.2021.	
		Sd/-
		.P. Kurhekar) Vlember(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 22.07.2021	
	O.A.No.335 of 2020	
	S. M. Sathe	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard the Applicant in pers learned Presenting Officer for the Reaction 	

- ugule,
- On request of the Applicant in person, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
- 3. S.O. to 09.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 22.07.2021	
	M. A. No.156 of 2021 in	O.A.No.655 of 2020
	Dr. K. S. Deshpande	Applicant
	Versus	
·	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	 Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwa the Applicant and Shri A. J. Ch Officer for the Respondents. 	adekar, learned Counsel for ougule, learned Presenting

of O.A.

- 2. This M.A. is filed to challenge the order dated 11.02.2021 passed by the Government during the pendency
- 3. By this order, the Government had rejected the representation made by the Applicant.
- 4. It being subsequent development, allowed to amend O.A.
- 5. Amendment be carried out within a week.
- 6. Two weeks time is granted to Respondents to file reply to the amendment in O.A.
- 7. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.
- 8. O.A. is adjourned to 06.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

R.A. No.02 of 2021 in O.A. No.580 of 2019

D.S. ShindeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
- 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 4. Adjourned in view of leave note.
- 5. S.O. to 12.08.2021.

Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

R.A. No.01 of 2021 in O.A. No.935 of 2017

S.R. KoliApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
- 2. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note.
- 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent is present.
- 4. Adjourned in view of leave note.
- 5. S.O. to 12.08.2021.

Sd/(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders
--

Date: 22.07.2021

R.A. No.12 of 2017 in O.A. No.808 of 2016

J.M. GuravApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Applicant-in-Person is absent.
- 2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present and requested for adjournment, since Smt. K.S. Gaikwad is enable to remain present for hearing.
- 3. S.O. to 26.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	

Date: 22.07.2021

M.A. No.257 of 2021 in O.A. No.485 of 2021

P.R. Abhang & 2 Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.485 of 2021

P.R. Abhang & 2 Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.08.2021 keeping the point of limitation open.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 26.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A. No.295 of 2020

A.G. Shinde & Ors.,

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Today matter is for final hearing, however learned Advocate for the Applicant raised issue that Respondents No.2 & 3 have not filed their Reply which is necessary for the adjudication.
- 3. In so far as Reply of Respondents No.2 & 3 is concerned, it was for the learned P.O. to file Reply but no Reply is filed on their behalf. Reply is filed only on behalf of Respondent No.1 and at no point of time request was made for grant of time for filing Reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 & 3. Thus, apparently Respondents No.2 & 3 dom not want to file separate Reply.
- 4. In view of above, matter needs to be heard finally since it is already fixed for final hearing.
- 5. However, on request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for Final Hearing.
- 6. S.O. to 12.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

[*P.T.O.*

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal' s	orders
	O.A. No.	.247 of 2021
	the Applicant and Ms. S. Presenting Officer for the Re	diwadekar, learned Advocate fo .P. Manchekar, learned Chic
	3. S.O. to 12.8.2021.	Sd/- >
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

22.7.2021

(sgj)

22.7.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.219 of 20	<u>021</u>
	R.M. Tayade & Ors.	Applicants
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to firejoinder.	
	3. S.O. to 26.8.2021.	
	Sd/-	Sd/- >

(P.N\ Dixit)

Vice-Chairman

22.7.2021

(sgj)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson 22.7.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.As. No.138 & 139 of 2021
	S.G. Koli R.R. Koli Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day and copy will be given to Ld. Advocate for the applicants.
	3. S.O. to 5.8.2021.
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson

22.7.2021

(sgj)

22.7.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.133 of 2021

Dr. N.K. Chavan

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO makes a grievance that copy of OA is not served yet though earlier on 6.4.2021 order was given to the applicant. If the order of the Tribunal is not complied with, the matter will be dismissed.
- 3. Matter will appear under the caption of dismissal for non compliance of the order.
- 4. S.O. to 29.7.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N↓Dixit) Vice-Chairman 22.7.2021 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.7.2021

(sgj)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

22.7.2021

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	O A No 110 o	£2021
	O.A. No.110 of	1 2021
	Dr. R.M. Haridas	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.	
	3. S.O. to 20.8.2021.	
		Sd/- K

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Vice-Chairman

22.7.2021

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. N</u>	o.60 of 2021
	V.T. Surve	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Vidya Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply. 3. S.O. to 13.8.2021.	
	Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman 22.7.2021	Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.7.2021
	(sgj)	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.218 of 2020 with M.A. No.231 of 2020	
	K.B. Vaidya Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. CPO informs that reply has been filed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.	
	3. S.O. to 20.8.2021.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
•	(P.N. Dixit) (Mr Vice-Chairman 22.7.2021 (sgj)	idula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.7.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.447 of 2021

Kalpana D. Talape

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The applicant is agitating against the punishment order issued against her by Principal Secretary on 20.10.2020 and 12.10.2020. The applicant was inflicted with the punishment of reduction in rank for a period of two years.
- 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
- 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.9.2021.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.Nl Dixit) Vice-Chairman

22.7.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Chairperson 22.7.2021

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	O.A. No.810 of 2018	
	T.L. Savane	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The matter is de part heard.	
	3. S.O. to 5.8.2021.	
	Vice-Chairman Cha	Sd/- R. Bhatkar, J.) irperson .7.2021

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	

C.A. No.24 of 2021 in O.A. No.734 of 2020

M.H. Gaikwad

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO on instructions from Ms. Swetha Boddu, IFS, ACF-2, Social Forestry, Nashik informs that matter has been referred to Treasury, Nashik on 13.7.2021. She seeks two weeks time to inform the progress.
- 3. S.O. to 5.8.2021.

Sd/
(P.Nl Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)

Vice-Chairman
22.7.2021

22.7.2021

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A. No.253 of 2021		
	V.A. Patil	Ap	plicant
	Vs. The State of Mahar	ashtra & OrsRes	spondents
	Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Ghoad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record. Copy is given to Ld. Advocate for the applicant. 3. By consent admit. 4. S.O. to 5.8.2021 for final hearing.		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	· 2
	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairm 22.7.2021 (sgj)		r, J.)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	C.A. No.3 of 2019 in O.A. No M.B. Sonawane	o.705 of 2016 Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Nair, learned Special Counsel with Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. This CA is filed for implementation of the order dated 16.3.2017 passed in the above OA. The operative part of the order states that, "Considering all these facts, it has to be held that the probation period of the applicant has deemed to have been ended after 2 years from 21.1.2013." Today during hearing Sr. Counsel Mr. Nair pointed out letter dated 3.4.2019 at page 69 of CA. Perusal of the same shows that probation period of the applicant has been ended on 11.2.2015.
- 3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Kapildeo Prasad Sah & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar & Ors., 1999 SCC (L&S) 1357. Ld. Advocate draws our attention to the observations in para 9 of the judgment which reads as under:
 - "9. Since notice of contempt and punishment for contempt is of far reaching consequence, these powers should be invoked only when a clear case of wilful disobedience of the court's order has been made out. Whether disobedience is wilful in a particular case depends on the facts and circumstances of that case. Judicial orders are to be properly understood and complied. Even negligence and carelessness can amount to disobedience particularly when attention of the person is drawn to the court's orders and its implication. Disobedience of court's order strikes at the very root of rule of law on which our system of governance is based. Power to punish for contempt is necessary for the maintenance of effective legal

n

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

system. It is exercised to prevent perversion of the course of justice."

- Ld. Sr. Counsel Shri Nair for the respondents relied on para 11 and 12 of the judgment which reads as under:
 - No person can defy court's order. Wilful would exclude casual, accidental bonafide or unintentional acts or genuine inability to comply with the terms of the order. A petitioner who complains breach of court's order must allege deliberate or contumacious disobedience of the court's order.
 - 12. Nothing has been shown that the claim of the respondents that appellants have not been appointed against any vacancy existing on January 1, 1992 is not true or that the respondents are intentionally or deliberately advancing this plea to deprive the appellants of their right to the arrears of the salary for some ulterior motive. That being so, it was not a case where proceedings for contempt could have been initiated against the respondents. High Court is right in dismissing the contempt petition. However, since there is a serious dispute whether any vacancy existed or not as on January 1, 1992 against which appellants or anyone of them could have been appointed the matter certainly needs examination but perhaps only by way of an interlocutory application in the writ petition and not by way of contempt. Thus, though upholding the order of the High Court, we send the matter back to the High Court to go into the question if any vacancy existed as on January 1. 1992 and, if so, pass appropriate orders."
- After examining the order issued by the respondents, we notice that there is delay in compliance. Sr. Counsel Mr. Nair submits that the delay may kindly be condoned and the respondent has expressed his unconditional apology for the same.
- In view of the above, we find there is no contempt as alleged and therefore this CA is disposed off.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 22.7.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.7.2021

(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 22.07.2021	
	O.A.No. 327 of 2016	
	M. M. Kathe & OrsApplicants	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents. 	
	2. The matter pertains to seniority. Learned Counsel for	
	the Applicant wants to add near about more than 40	
	respondents since they are likely to be affected. He submi	
	that his client is collecting names and addresses of th	
	proposed respondents and exercise will be completed with	
	two weeks.	
	3. Two weeks time is granted by way of last chance for	

taking necessary steps.

Λ

S.O. to 13.08.2021.

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	Date : 22.07.2021	
	O.A.No.594 of 2015	
	M. V. Gawas	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents.
		. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for s S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief e Respondents.
	2. On previous da	te, the Applicant has amended the
	O.A. by incorporating of	one more ground namely breach of
	Rule 8(20) of Maharasht	ra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal)
	Rules, 1979.	
	3. Learned P.O. wa	ants to file reply to the amendment
	O.A.	
	4. Since O.A. is of	2015, it needs to be expedited, and
	therefore, be kept for final hearing with liberty to learn P.O. to file reply to the amendment O.A. by next date w	
	service of it on other side	e.
	5. S.O. to 12.08.202	21.
	Sd/-	\ . Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 22.07.2021 O.A.No.267 of 2016 Dr. S. M. Nilange & OrsApplicants	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
·	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in absent.	
	Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note.	
	3. S.O. to 12.08.2021.	
	Sd/-	
·	(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar)	

vsm

Member (A)

Member(J)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

	Tribunal's orders	fice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date : 22.07.2021		
O.A.No.914 of 2016			
Applicant	A. A. Desale		
	Versus		
sRespondents.	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.		
	 Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwa the Applicant and Ms S. P. Presenting Officer for the Respon 		
for the Applicant has f	2. Today learned Counsel		
	Rejoinder. It is taken on record.		
016, it needs to be expedit	3. Since the matter is of 201	·	
inal hearing with liberty	and therefore, be kept for fin		
r, if any.	learned P.O. to file Sur-Rejoinder,		
	4. S.O. to 12.08.2021.		
\\ / Sd/-	: ∫ Sd/-		
(A.P. Kurhekar)			
Member(J)	Member (A)		

...Respondents.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	al's orders or Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 22.07.2021	
	O.A.No.696 of 2016	
	S. V. Raut	Applicant
	Versus	

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

- 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he was under impression that the Respondents may file Sur-Rejoinder to his Rejoinder and the point of jurisdiction of the Tribunal, and therefore, he is not prepared for final argument.
- 3. Perusal of record reveals that the Respondents have raised the issue of jurisdiction of the Tribunal in reply.
- 4. The Applicant has filed Rejoinder on 05.10.2016 since then no Sur-Rejoinder is filed though the period of five years if over. It is thus obvious that the Respondents do not want to file Sur-Rejoinder, and therefore, assumption of learned Counsel for the Applicant is incorrect.
- 5. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for final hearing.
- 6. S.O. to 29.07.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A.No.301 of 2016

J. A. M. H. Momic & Ors.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for two weeks time to file additional affidavit so as to challenge the final seniority list. He is required to amend the O.A. suitably.
- S.O. to 12.08.2021.

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 22.07.2021	
·	O.A.No.304 of 2016	
	P. N. Dalal	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. but she is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties.
- 3. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant also sought time to file additional affidavit along with seniority list which he received under RTI Act.
- 4. In so far as the Minutes of DPC of 1996-1997 is concerned, learned P.O. is directed to produce the same since it is important for the correct decision of O.A.
- 5. S.O. to 10.08.2021.

Sd/- Sd/
(Medha Gadgii) (A.P. Kurhekar

Member (A) Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

M. A. No.225 of 2021 in O.A.No.338 of 2021

L. T. Gulve

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

- 1. The Applicant in person is absent. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.
- 2. This M.A. seems to have been filed by Shri Laxman Gulve for intervention in O.A. However, after filing of M.A. he is not attending the Tribunal. Even the copy of M.A. is not served upon the Ori. Applicant or Respondents which is mandatory as per the procedure and Rules. Despite M.A. was kept alive to give enough opportunity to the Applicant but in vain.
- 3. M.A. was listed before the Tribunal on 08.07.2021 and having found that the Applicant is absent. M. A. was adjourned to give him one more opportunity.
- 4. However, today also the Applicant who has filed M.A. in person is absent.
- 5. As such, he appears not interested in M.A. Therefore, we have no other alternative except to dismiss the M.A. in default.
- 6. Accordingly, M.A. is dismissed in default.
- 7. Insofar as O.A. is concerned, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly stated that instead of hearing the matter on the point of interim relief, O.A. be heard on merit since pleading is complete. His submission is quite fair and deserves to be accepted.
- 8. O.A. be kept for final hearing.
- 9. S.O. to 10.08.2021.

L

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgii) Member (A) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,

Appearance, Tribunal's orders

directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 22.07.2021

O.A.No. 862 of 2016

A. J. Telvekar & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar with Shri M. R. Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Speical Counsel for Respondent No.1 and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2.
- 2. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel made a statement that he is appearing on behalf of all remaining Respondents namely Respondent Nos.3 to 143. He undertakes to file reply Vakalatnama for remaining Respondents since Vakalatnama is only for Respondent No.101.
- 3. The office is directed to take note of appearance of the Advocates and it should be accordingly published in cause list since the Advocates raised grievance that their names are not in the cause list, and therefore, they are not aware of the matter.
- 4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.
- 5. S.O. to 17.08.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) .` Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)