

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.360 of 2022 in O.A. No.561 of 2021</u></p> <p>K.A. Salunke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that since subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 807/2018</u></p> <p>Dr C.V Ghorpade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant Mr Dalvi is no more.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to issue notice to the applicant informing that the matter is placed on Board on 6.7.2022 and whether he wants to engage a new Advocate.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.437 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M.B. BansodeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this matter can be disposed of as the grievance of the Applicant is redressed as his date of appointment is corrected and is placed in the seniority list as he claimed.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, O.A. is disposed of</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.336 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.S. Aher ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant, who is a Range Forest Officer, Gargoti, Kolhapur was suspended by order dated 28.3.2022 passed by respondent no.1. He has preferred statutory appeal dated 4.4.2022 under Rule 17 of the MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 before the Hon'ble Governor and also prayed for grant of interim relief. He has also made representation dated 4.4.2022 under Rule 4 of the MCS (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 to the respondent.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the said representation dated 4.4.2022 of the applicant against the suspension may be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that the representation of the applicant is pending before the Government authority.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, the respondents are directed to decide the representation of the applicant dated 4.4.2022 as expeditiously as possible and preferably within three weeks and communicate the decision to the applicant within a week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.67 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Kasar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar with Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that since subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.107 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.Y. Patel ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Snehal Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.194 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.A. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file additional rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OAs. No.154, 155 & 156/2022</u></p> <p>T.R. Jadhav T.D. Bhoye A.N. Shirsath Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that since subject-matter of these OAs. pertains to Transfer, the same may be placed before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matters may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 6.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.581 of 2022</p> <p>N.P. MahajanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that at Baramati there is new medical college and in the interest of medical students the applicant is transferred to Baramati on promotion on the post of Professor, Group-A. His brother who is suffering from schizophrenia can be placed under a very good care where there is schizophrenia ward. He wants time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. further submits that if the Applicant does not want to go to Baramati then he will have to forgo his promotion on the post of Professor, Group-A and remain on the same post of Associate Professor with all consequences which are going to be followed under G.R. of 12.09.2016.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate submits that she wants time to take instructions from the Applicant about his choice.</p> <p>5. Time granted to file affidavit-in-reply. Till then Applicant to continue on the same post of Associate Professor at J.J. Hospital.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 06.07.2022.) "</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 503/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.M Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Mr M.B Kadam, learned advocate and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate Mr Kadam states that Advocate Shri J.N Kamble is no more and therefore notice is to be issued to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to issue notice to the applicant informing that the matter is placed on Board on 6.7.2022 and whether he wants to engage a new Advocate.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 327/2022 in O.A 405/2022</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Shri C.M Jadhav & Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants) request for two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted as per request.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 105/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.N Guderiya ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed after two week with direction to the learned counsel for the applicant to remain present.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.7.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 508/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.V Borhade & O rs ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahaja, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per directions of this Tribunal, Shri Ishwar Kambie, Superintendent of Police, (Wireless), West Region, Pune, is personally present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O has pointed out the G.R dated 31.3.2021 issued by G.A.D, wherein it is stated that unless the Government servants clears the necessary departmental examination, he cannot be promoted. We agree that it is a matter of competency and the quality is required to be maintained as per the requirement of each department and Wireless Department is not exception to that, rather it is a matter of security and safety. However, Cryptography is a training and thereafter the applicant will have to appear for the examination and clear the same.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the applicants have cleared the Proficiency Test which is also a condition precedent for promotion to the post of Assistant Police Sub-Inspector (Head Wireless Operator).</p> <p>5. In the present case, it is not clear from the Respondent-State whether the applicants were offered the opportunity to go for the training.</p> <p>6. Under these circumstances, the G.R dated 31.3.2021 will not come in the way of the Department in considering the case of the applicants.</p> <p>7. The Respondents to verify the following points:-</p> <p>(a) Whether the Department has adopted the procedure of giving information to the applicants about undergoing the training in Cryptography as per their seniority?</p> <p>(b) Whether the Police Constables (Wireless Operator) who were sent for training were from the zone of consideration as per the seniority list prepared by the Respondent-Department?</p> <p>(c) Whether the applicants can be promoted on an undertaking that they shall go for training in Cryptography within the stipulated period as per their seniority and when their turn comes, at Pune or Delhi</p> <p>8. Learned P.O to inform the progress in the matter on the next date.</p> <p>9. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1020/2021</u></p> <p>Smt Jayashree G. Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Krishna Agarwal i/b Shri A.B Chalak, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record and copy served on the Respondent-State.</p> <p>3. Matter will again appear First on Board for final hearing on 13.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.06.2022

O.A.No.543 of 2022

V.Y. KhandebharadApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant challenges recovery of Rs.28,08,924/- by order dated 28.07.2021.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.07.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.06.2022

O.A.No.563 of 2022

V.D. PanvalkarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 27.07.2022.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.06.2022

O.A.No.565 of 2022

Y.B TikoleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant working as Forest Guard challenges order dated 20.05.2022 by which he is transferred from the post of Forest Guard Veer Nursery Territorial Range Office, Saswad, Pune to Forest Guard Social Forestry Range.

3. Learned Advocate challenges the order on the ground of G.R. dated 09.04.2018 by which the options are given and the State to follow the procedure under the said G.R. At page 7 of the O.A. list of 10 options given by the Applicant is mentioned.

4. Learned P.O. on instructions submits that the Respondents are willing and decided to post the Applicant at Forest Guard Social Forestry, Wadgaon-Maval which is the 10th option given by him. Learned P.O. submits that earlier posts were not available, but now the Applicant can be accommodated as per his choice.

5. Learned Advocate submits that if it is so then the matter can be disposed of.

6. Under this circumstances the Government to modify the transfer order accordingly on or before 07.07.2022.

7. O.A. is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.06.2022

O.A.No.575 of 2022

J.A. BodekarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. V.B. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant who is working as Forester at in Thane Forest Division, Mandvi prays that Respondents No.2 to 4 be directed to transfer him at Washi, Forest Division Thane on the ground that his wife is suffering from paralysis.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant to inform the percentage of paralytic condition from the Government hospital.
4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.07.2022.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. Adjourned to 06.07.2022. ✓

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1041/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to issue notice to the learned counsel for the applicant and the applicant also and inform that the matter is placed on Board on 6 .7.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.06.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 577/2018</u></p> <p>Shri C.S Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Mr M.B Kadam, learned advocate and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate Mr Kadam states that Advocate Shri J.N Kamble is no more and therefore notice is to be issued to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Registry is directed to issue notice to the applicant informing that the matter is placed on Board on 6.7.2022 and whether he wants to engage a new Advocate.</p> <p>4. S.O to 6.7.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- 2 (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.325 of 2022 in O.A. No.644 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.J. Sayyed ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that since subject-matter of this OA pertains to Transfer, the same may be transferred before the Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.63 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Lande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for extention of time to carry out the amendment granted by order dated 25.4.2022 in MA No.138/2022 in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Time extended by one week.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.361 of 2022 in O.A. No.583 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Sanap & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.583 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Sanap & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants points out para 14 of the judgment and order dated 5.3.2019 passed by this Tribunal in OAs. No.208, 209 & 210 of 2016. Ld. CPO to verify and come with proper instructions on the next date as to whether the respondents have complied with the order or not.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.340 of 2022 in O.A. No.539 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.D. Pawar & 15 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.539 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.D. Pawar & 15 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.5 of 2022 in O.A. No.769 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.R.S. Munir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that some rechecking of the dues is carried out by the Revenue & Forest Department. Therefore, she seeks four weeks time for completing the exercise.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.6.2022 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.359 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.R. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.318 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.U. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate states that OA No.1020/2021 be heard with the present OA.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.560 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO has filed application dated 21.6.2022 for speaking to minutes of the order dated 17.6.2022 passed in above OA No.560 of 2022 and sought following corrections in the same</p> <p>3. At page 2, para 2, line no.8 the date mentioned as '21.4.2022' is to be corrected as '29.4.2022'.</p> <p>4. At page 4, para 8, the words 'five days time' it to be corrected as 'fifteen days time'.</p> <p>5. At page 4, para 9, line no.5, the words 'we grant time' is to be corrected as 'we grant time up to 1.7.2022'.</p> <p>6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>7. Corrections be carried out in the order. Speaking to minutes disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.538 of 2022</u></p> <p>B.H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1044 of 2021</p> <p>R.B. KolpeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.98 of 2022</p> <p>J.S. PanditApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1060 of 2019</p> <p>R.M. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. Learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.4. S.O. to 08.07.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No. 591 of 2021 with O.A.No.1061 of 2021 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S. R. KasarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M. A. is filed for interim relief. Interim relief was already granted by the Tribunal and continued from time to time.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>