

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 477/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, holding for Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.6.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>Medha Gadgil</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2022

O.A.No.1235 of 2019 (Mumbai) with  
O.A.No.111 of 2020 (Mumbai) with  
O.A.No.224 of 2020 (Mumbai) with  
O.A.215/2020 with M.A.49/2021 (A'bad) with  
O.A.No.443/2020 (A'bad) with  
O.A.No.42/2021 (A'bad) with  
O.A.No.15/2022

M.K. Shivsaran & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.1235/2019 is absent. Heard Mr. A.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.111/2020, Mr. Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.224/2020, Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.215/2020 with M.A.49/2021 & O.A.No.443/2020, Mr. S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.42/2021, Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.15/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Some Applicants are present.
2. Learned Advocate Mr. Deshmukh has requested for permission to make amendment in O.A.No.15/2022.
3. Permission granted. Amended copy to be served upon learned C.P.O. and other concerned.
4. Today learned Advocate Mr. Shantanu Raktate has cited the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Bihar Staff Selection Commission and Others Versus Arun Kumar and Others reported in (2020) 6 SCC 362**. Taken on record. Copy is also served upon learned C.P.O.
5. Applicants who are present in-person are willing to bring on record the model answer paper of Amravati Board wherein marks are given for the steps. The same is to be placed on record through affidavit along with other relevant documents.
6. Adjourned to 13.06.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.614 of 2014 with O.A.No.938 of 2016</b></p> <p>D.E. Hirde (O.A.614/2014)  V.V. Chavan (O.A.938/2016) ....Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.614/2014 is absent. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.938/2016 and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere seeks time to find out judgment in the case law on the ground of ceased to be surplus as the query was made by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Matter is fixed on 26.04.2022 for the Respondents to produce the copies of the said judgments. The same is to be furnished in 4 sets, two for the Division Bench of this Tribunal, one for the learned P.O. and one for the learned Advocate in O.A.No.614/2014. Thereafter matter is to be fixed on 02.05.2022.</p> <p>4. With regard to approbate, reprobate and acquiescence points of dictation in Hirde's matter, the 3 judgments cited by learned Advocate Mr. Dere to be considered.</p> <p>5. Mr. Desai, learned Advocate on the point of ceased to be surplus and higher ranking and equivalence are not pleaded in the O.A. He has relied on the judgment on the point that if not pleaded cannot be considered for argument.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 26.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 173 &amp; 174/2021</u></b></p> <p>M.A Tapase R.K Kalaskar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she has received letter dated 22.4.2022 sent by the Under Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, along with the experience Certificate of the candidates previously selected in the years 2013 &amp; 2015. Copy of the same is given to the learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. The copy of the said letter dated 22.4.2022 along with Annexures is taken on record and marked as Exhibits. I, I(i), I(ii), I(iii) &amp; I(iv).</p> <p>4. As per the submissions made by the learned C.P.O, we hereby direct Shri Atul Patil, Deputy Inspector General of Police (Technical), Motor Transport Department, Pune, who was one of the Members of the Committee who gave the report dated 27.2.2022 to remain present before this Tribunal on 5.5.2022.</p> <p>5. S.O to 5.5.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 122/2022</u></b></p> <p>Smt Rekha P Satpute ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that in this matter, the Tribunal on 11.2.2022 staying the impugned reversion order. Relevant para 7 of the said order is reproduced below:-</p> <p align="center">“7. Impugned order is hereby stayed with liberty to the Department to take necessary action. Applicant is allowed to work on her earlier post till 22.2.2021”</p> <p>3. Thereafter, interim relief was continued till today. Learned P.O submits that the Respondent-State has passed final order by following the procedure on 6.4.2022. Thus the impugned order dated 2.2.2022 no more exists. Thus, the interim relief granted has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is working for the last five years on the post of Office Superintendent since 2017. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that now due to the order giving deemed date of promotion to one Mr Sushi V. Pote, the applicant is required to be reverted. She submits that in the year 2022 two orders of promotion were issued, one in the name of Shri Sushil V. Pote and another in the name of Shri Awale. Shri Awale informed that he is not interested for promotion and hence his post is still vacant and the deemed date granted to Shri Sushi V. Pote will not come in the way of the applicant, if she is allowed to continue in the same post.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O submits that applicant, Mrs Satpute was given promotion wrongly by the Department by superseding four candidates, Shri S.V Pote, Shri P.B Date, Smt V.M Kulkarni (Panse) and Smt M.B Mule Patil. Thus, these four candidates are senior to the applicant and are due for promotion.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O further submits that in view of the order dated 6.4.2022, the interim order passed by the Tribunal does not exists is correct.</p>

[P.T.O.]

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as the applicant is working on the said promoted post and she is not yet relieved, therefore, she should be allowed to continue on the said post for a period of one week.</p> <p>8. In view of the above facts, we are not inclined to accept the prayer of the learned counsel for the applicant to continue the applicant on the post of Office Superintendent for a period of one week, as it is not the prayer in the present Original Application. It is a separate cause of action. As of today, the Original Application has become infructuous.</p> <p>9. Original Application stands disposed of as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.380/2021 with O.A.392/2021</u></p> <p><b>Shri B.M. Chodankar &amp; Ors.</b> ... Applicants <b>Shri S.S. Sali &amp; Ors.</b> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, matter is adjourned for hearing on 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2021.</p> <p>3. This matter be kept with connected matters on 17<sup>th</sup> June, 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v v v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 22.04.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.490/2021</u></p> <p><b>Shri G.K. Patil</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for declaration that Applicant's date of birth be declared as 22.11.1967. According to Applicant, initially, date of birth was recorded as 01.06.1965 in Service Book, but later on his application, it was corrected as 22.11.1967 on the basis of Gazette Notification as well as extract of Birth Register issued by Tahasildar, Pachora, District Jalgaon. According to him, thereafter, the said corrected entry was cancelled by Department illegally.</p> <p>3. In view of above, it is necessary to have on record the Xerox copies of relevant pages of Service Book showing the date of birth recorded therein and erasers/cancellation, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08<sup>th</sup> June, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>22.04.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 364 OF 2021**

**DISTRICT : SANGLI**

Shri R.G Kadam & Ors

)...Applicants

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...Respondents

Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

**Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

**DATE** : **21.04.2022**

**PER** : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

**ORDER**

1. In this Tribunal, some matters were filed, where the appointments were claimed on the basis of fake Sport Certificates. Therefore, this being the serious issue, the office of the learned C.P.O requested the Tribunal to take up the group of these matters where the issue of fake Sports Certificates is involved.

2. The Tribunal has given directions to both the parties to identify the matters where the issue of fake Sports Certificates is involved. The office of the learned C.P.O has given the list of group of matters and the said group was placed on record as the matters falling under the issue of fake Sports Certificate.

3. At the time hearing of the matters, it is noticed that in some matters the issue of fake Sports Certificate is not involved, but the

relevant issue was whether the Sports Certificates issued were validated before the stipulated date for which the Certificate is given for the purpose of claiming benefit of 5% reservation for obtaining Government job as per G.R dated 30.4.2005.

4. The Tribunal, therefore, started hearing these matters under the caption of Special Group from 18.4.2022. It also transpired that in some of the matters the Government could not file the reply as the matters are of the year 2021 and 2022 also.

5. In the present matter, the learned counsel Mr Desai has completed his arguments and today learned C.P.O was supposed to argue the matter.

6. Learned C.P.O submits that there is no service of notice on the Respondent no. 6 and she calls upon the learned counsel for the applicants to show service of notice on Respondent no. 6, Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Nagpur Region, Nagpur, at Divisional Sports Complex, Koradi Road, Mankapur, Nagpur. Learned counsel for the applicants produces copy of service of notice send through email to Respondent no. 6 on 1.7.2021. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to give hard copy of the Original Application along with annexures to the office of the learned C.P.O forthwith.

7. Learned C.P.O has submitted that though the affidavit in reply dated 1.9.2021, by Shri J.B Patil, Deputy Transport Commissioner (Admn) in the office of Transport Commissioner, M.S, Mumbai is filed on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2, and it is also referred by learned counsel in his submissions, learned C.P.O today submits that Respondent no. 6, Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Nagpur Region, Nagpur, at Divisional Sports

Complex, Koradi Road, Mankapur, Nagpur has not filed the affidavit in reply. Learned C.P.O submits that inadvertently that was slipped from the office of the learned C.P.O. But the reply of Respondent no. 6, Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Nagpur Regional, Nagpur is necessary in this matter.

8. Learned counsel for the applicant Mr Desai raised the objection to the submissions of learned C.P.O and opposed vehemently that the Respondent-State should not be allowed to file the affidavit in reply at this stage, especially when the submissions of the applicants are over.

9. In view of the circumstances and the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicants and the issues raised therein, we are inclined to grant time to the learned C.P.O to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 6. Learned C.P.O prays for two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 6. However, we grant time till 29.4.2022 to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 6 and learned counsel for the applicants is allowed to make further submissions to this reply.

10. Matter is Part Heard and to be placed on Board on 4.5.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**Place : Mumbai**

**Date : 22.04.2022**

**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 253/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.A Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working as a Junior Clerk with the Divisional Joint Registrar, Cooperative Society, Konkan Division, Navi Mumbai, since 31.12.2003. He was appointed in the reserved category of VJ(A). The Certificate of the applicant was invalidated by the Caste Scrutiny Committee on 15.3.2021. He has challenged the same before the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition Stamp No. 7238/2021, and the same was dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant seeks protection under G.R dated 5.6.2018.</p> <p>3. In this case a major issue is involved in respect of G.R dated 5.6.2018 which is not challenged. However, the G.R states in order to implement the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 6.7.2017 in Civil Appeal No. 8928/2015, a Sub Committee of Ministers is formed.</p> <p>4. We call upon the Respondent-State to file a short affidavit as to why the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is not yet implemented and why the Sub Committee which is already working under G.A.D has violated condition No. 3 of the G.R dated 5.6.2018.</p> <p>5. We find G.A.D as necessary party to the proceedings as it involves very serious issues in respect of implementation of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, we direct the applicant to amend the Original Application by adding G.A.D as Party Respondents forthwith. Copy of the Original Application is to be served on the added Party Respondent, G.A.D.</p> <p>6. S.O to 5.5.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Medha Gadgil</b>) <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(<b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b>) <b>Chairperson</b></p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.226 of 2020 in O.A.No.495 of 2020</b></p> <p>S.A. Shaikh &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 5 years, 6 months and 4 days which has aroused in between 30.05.2013 to 04.12.2018. Learned Advocate submits that the order of recruitment was initially issued on 30.05.2016. Similarly situated persons like the present Applicant had challenged O.A.No.136/2012, wherein by judgment dated 19.01.2015 the said O.A. was allowed. The Respondent-State had challenged the said judgment before the Hon'ble High Court by filing Writ Petition No.12327 of 2016. By order dated 04.12.2018 the Hon'ble High Court remanded the matter. After remanding the matter, this Tribunal finally decided the said O.A..</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate further submits that in this O.A. the applicant had further moved M.A.No.68 /2019 seeking permission to file separate petition. By order dated 13.02.2019, M.A.No.68 /2019 was allowed and disposed of.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that pursuant to order dated 13.02.2019 he has filed the present O.A. on 17.09.2020. Therefore the delay occurred in the filing of present O.A. be condoned.</p> <p>4. The submissions of learned Advocate in respect of delay up to 13.02.2019 are accepted. However, there is further delay of nearly 1 year and 1 month, even if we condone the period of COVID-19 pandemic. There is not proper explanation to</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>this delay. However in view of the submissions of the facts we condone the delay and allow the M.A.No.226/2020 with the costs of Rs.3000/- to be paid to Police Welfare Fund.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.226/2020 is allowed subject to payment of costs.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2022.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 12.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.04.2022

O.A.No.950 of 2019 with M.A.No.516 of 2019 with  
O.A.No.1018 of 2019 with M.A. No.561 of 2019 with  
O.A.No.465 of 2021 with  
O.A.No.489 of 2021 with M.A.No.276 of 2021 with  
O.A.No.494 of 2021 with M.A.No.402 of 2021 with  
O.A.No.500 of 2021 with M.A.No.580 of 2021

S.K. Inamke

G.F. Patil

S.M. Shinde

R.R. Asawa

M.P. Jamadar

H.G. Kulkarni & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Savita Surayawanti, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A.950/19 with M.A.516/19 & 1018/19 with M.A.561/19), Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A.465/2021), Shri R.R. Asawa, Applicant-in-person in (O.A.489/21 with M.A.276/21), Shri M.P. Jamadar Applicant-in-person (O.A.494/21 with M.A.402/21), Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in (O.A.500/21 with M.A.580/21) and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is submitted that in these matters, learned C.P.O. is appearing for the Respondents.

3. By <sup>consent</sup> ~~concern of all~~ matters are kept on 25.04.2022.

Sd/-

v v x v

(M.A. Lovekar)

Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 22.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center">M.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.384 of 2021 with M.A. No.17 of 2022 in O.A. No.435 of 2021 with M.A. No.18 of 2022 in M.A. No.231 of 2021 in O.A. No.442 of 2021 with M.A. No.19 of 2022 in M.A. No.233 of 2021 in O.A. No.443 of 2021 with M.A. No.20 of 2022 in M.A. No.234 of 2021 in O.A. No.444 of 2021 with M.A. No.550 of 2021 in O.A. No.387 of 2021 with M.A. No.521 of 2021 in O.A. No.932 of 2021 with M.A. No.172 of 2022 in O.A. No.436 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. More S.S. Raorane Mrs.S.V. Kelkar &amp; Ors Smt.S.S. Nilwan &amp; Ors Smt. S.M. Khedkar &amp; Ors. R.H. Borkar S.R. Arte A.R. Borkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted on behalf of the Applicant that in this batch of cases extent of delay is not identical and hence separate applications are required to be filed. It is further submitted that chart will be prepared giving therein necessary details of delay in individual cases after taking instructions. On this ground time is sought.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2022. </p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D.V. Wadhel .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 29.04.2022. /</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1174 of 2019</b></p> <p>V.V. Panse .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she will consider whether this Original Application is required to be amended so as to include in it additional ground in respect of G.R. dated 29.09.2003. She further states that she may apply for making an additional amendment for challenging communication dated 16.04.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022. </p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Chaudhari .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and None for Respondent Nos.5 &amp; 6.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file Reply for remaining present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022. </p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <p>4. On oral request of Learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 29.04.2022. </p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.513 of 2021 in O.A. No.383 of 2021 with M.A. No.514 of 2021 in O.A. No.385 of 2021 with M.A. No.515 of 2021 in M.A. No.207 of 2021 in O.A. No.393 of 2021 with M.A. No.516 of 2021 in O.A. No.434 of 2021 with M.A. No.517 of 2021 in M.A. No.229 of 2021 in O.A. No.440 of 2021 with M.A. No.518 of 2021 in M.A. No.230 of 2021 in O.A. No.441 of 2021 with M.A. No.519 of 2021 in M.A. No.235 of 2021 in O.A. No.445 of 2021 with M.A. No.520 of 2021 in M.A. No.236 of 2021 in O.A. No.446 of 2021 with M.A. No.545 of 2021 in M.A. No.205 of 2021 in O.A. No.391 of 2021 with M.A. No.546 of 2021 in O.A. No.386 of 2021 with M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>G.J. Mhatre G.V. Sawant R.D. Sawant &amp; Ors. M.L. Langi N.N. Kadam &amp; Ors. V.B. Chafekar &amp; Ors. S.N. Rane &amp; Ors. S.S. Salunkhe &amp; Ors. S.S. Dixit &amp; Ors. S.S. Manjrekar A.B. Kohtekar &amp; Ors. A.C. Mantri D.M. Vishwasrao .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>2. It is submitted on behalf of the Applicant that in this batch of cases extent of delay is not identical and hence separate applications are required to be filed. It is further submitted that chart will be prepared giving therein necessary details of delay in individual cases after taking instructions. On this ground time is sought.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.552 of 2021 with M.A. No.282 of 2021 with O.A. No.553 of 2021 with M.A. No.283 of 2021</p> <p>K.T. More P.G. Kulkarni .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.658 of 2021 with M.A.316 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. Kshirsagar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2022.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.315 of 2022</b></p> <p>V.B. Suryawanshi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2022.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. Adjourned to 15.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadghat) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.316 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.B. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 15.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <i>U</i> Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.317 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.S. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 15.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 197/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.S Tike &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that copy of the Original Application is not served on the office of the Accountant General, Respondent no. 7.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that copy of the Original Application is served on the office of the Accountant General, Respondent no. 7 on 18.4.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1044/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.B Kolpe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1 is filed. If reply is not filed by Respondent no. 2 before the next date, the matte will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.56 of 2021 in O.A.No.990 of 2019</b></p> <p>K.N. Gawade .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that G.A.D. by order dated 27.03.2022 has given consent to give deemed date of promotion to the Applicant and the said file was sent to the Finance Department. The Finance Department has also concurred on 10.04.2022 and now the matter is pending before the Ministry of State Finance for final approval.</p> <p>3. Our query that whether the Criminal Appeal matter is decided or not is not answered by the learned P.O. On instructions learned P.O. submits that the Government has implemented the order by giving deemed date of promotion to the Applicant subject to the outcome of the pending appeal which is filed by the State against the acquittal.</p> <p>4. In view of above, C.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>22.04.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 799/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri U.R Shinde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents no 1 &amp; 2 and Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 8.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Raktate, for Respondents no 3 to 8 files affidavit in reply and serve copy on the other side. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Respondent-State has not file reply. Learned C.P.O is directed to file reply before the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 13.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 22.04.2022**

**O.A.No.349 of 2022**

P.D. Thorat .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.04.2022.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. Adjourned to 27.04.2022 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.353 of 2022</b></p> <p>A.S. Shelke ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.04.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>

[P.T.O.]



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The Applicant, aspiring to become Assistant Executive Engineer has challenged the 2<sup>nd</sup> answer key published on 11.03.2022 in respect of 7 questions. The Applicant has cleared his Preliminary Examination and then appeared for the Main Examination which was conducted on 18.12.2021. The 1<sup>st</sup> answer key of the Main Examination was published on 27.12.2021. The Applicant did not raise objection to the 1<sup>st</sup> answer key. However, 2<sup>nd</sup> revised answer key was published on 11.03.2022. The objections were not invited by the M.P.S.C. to this 2<sup>nd</sup> revised answer key. The results are published on 25.03.2022. The interviews are yet to be conducted but the date is not yet scheduled.</p> <p>8. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in order to point out the correct answer the Booklet 'D' of Paper-1 and Paper-2 is referred wherein she raised objections in Question Nos.45, 70 and 88 from the Paper-1 and Question Nos.20, 67, 90, 96 from the Paper-2, regarding the correctness of the 2<sup>nd</sup> revised answer key. As per the submissions of learned Advocate the answers given by the M.P.S.C in the 1<sup>st</sup> answer key of these questions were correct and because of the revised answer key the applicant scored less. The cut-off marks for the post of Assistant Executive Engineer in Open General Category is 268 marks.</p> <p>9. For further instructions as prayed by both sides matter is fixed on 25.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A.No.240 of 2022 in O.A.No.376 of 2022 With O.A.No.376 of 2022</b></p> <p>K.V. Ambudkar &amp; Anr. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil along with Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. No.240/2022 is hereby allowed.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission in O.A.No.376/2022 returnable on 25.04.2022.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. The Applicant applied for the post of Police Sub Inspector pursuant to Advertisement dated 27.06.2016 and appeared for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination. The Applicant submits that he is similarly situated candidate and his case is covered by order dated 07.10.2021 passed in O.A.No.270/2021 in Mr. Sandip Dhanraj Gaikwad Versus M.P.S.C. &amp; Ors.</p> <p>7. The learned P.O. is directed to verify the same.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.04.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.159 of 2021 in O.A.No.114 of 2020 with O.A.No.114 of 2020</b></p> <p>R.S. Jikare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.159 of 2021 is filed seeking condonation of delay of 7 years and 2 months.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.4 Private Respondent is served. Learned Advocate has filed service report. It is taken on record. Applicant has challenge the appointment order on the post of Assistant Superintendent to be filled in by the Commissioner of Agriculture, Agricultural Commissionerate, Pune. Cause of action aroused on 03.03.2014 wherein appointment was given to Respondent No.4. Applicant has earlier filed O.A.No.218/2016. The said O.A. was decided by order dated 31.01.2019, challenging the appointment of Respondent No.4. The Tribunal had immediately directed the Applicant to file representation, which was done by the Applicant. The said representation was decided on 27.06.2019 wherein the Applicant's claim was rejected.</p> <p>4. The present O.A. is filed on 12.11.2019 i.e. after rejection of representation on 27.06.2019. The learned Advocate further submits that though in this O.A. he had challenged the order dated 03.03.2014 i.e. appointment order given to the Respondent No.4, however as per the order passed by the Tribunal dated 31.01.2019 he has submitted representation and the said representation was</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>decided on 27.06.2019. Thus there is no delay at all.</p> <p>5. The learned C.P.O. relies on the affidavit-in-reply filed by the State and submits that the original cause of action occurred on 03.03.2014 and therefore the State is opposing this O.A. on the ground of condonation of delay of nearly 7 years. We accept the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Technically there is no delay in view of the order passed by the earlier Division Bench on 31.01.2019 in O.A.No.218/2016.</p> <p>6. M.A. is hereby allowed. O.A. adjourned to 06.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

22.4.2022  
As per order dt 22.4.2022,  
amend't crossed out on 22/4/2022

*Malal*  
22/4/2022

**Date : 22.04.2022**

**M.A.No.178 of 2022 in M.A.No.91 of 2022 in  
O.A.No.1355 of 2009**

P. Agavane & Ors.  
D.V. Thorat .....Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Abhijeet Pawar, learned Advocate instructed by Mr. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Pursuant to the order dated 16.02.2022 the Respondent-State is today called upon to inform development in respect of compliance of order dated 08.12.2020.

3. The learned Advocate Mr. Abhijeet Pawar further submits that pursuant to further order dated 01.04.2022 the Department has received the report from the Commissioner, Maharashtra State Examination Council that the Department has verified the certificates of 67 candidates and verification of only 6 candidates is remained. One certificate of sports is yet to be received.

4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to add Party Respondent, Commissioner, Maharashtra State Examination Council, Dr. Ambedkar Marg, Pune.

5. Permission granted. Amendment is to be carried out forthwith. The Commissioner, Maharashtra State Examination Council to inform Deputy Director, Public Health Department, Pune as to how much time is required to verify the genuineness of the certificates of Marathi and English typing of 6 candidates.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.04.2022 to added Respondent.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. Adjourned to 28.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgi) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.325 of 2020 in O.A. No.61 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Applicant (Org. Resp.)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>N.D. Bhosale ..... Respondent (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) and Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that in case M.A. No.325/2020 is allowed then the main matter can be heard finally. There is an order passed by learned predecessor on 17.09.2021 that the O.A. No.61/2020 and M.A. No.325/2020 shall be considered together. Under the circumstances learned P.O. seeks time to consult Respondent No.5 – Shri Sanadip Palwe, Addl. S.P. Usmanabad and make the statement as to how the matter should proceed further i.e. whether the P.O. shall represent Respondent No.5 or Respondent No.5 will have to engage another Advocate.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.06.2022.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">   Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar)  Member (J) </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gajanan Kukde, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.06.2022 for filing Reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.916 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. Taware .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Leave note is filed by learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre.</p> <p>2. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A.S.A.S. Nadeem .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Leave note is filed by learned Advocate Smt. Ranjana Todankar.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A.No.328 of 2021</p> <p>P.A. Bodekar <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.Ā. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.57 of 2021</p> <p>H. R. Devale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. R. Ghanavat, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted to file affidavit along with some document which he intends to produce on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.316 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. R. B.Nirmale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. time is granted till 25.04.2022 to file affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.561of 2021</b></p> <p>K.A. Salunkhe .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to take suitable steps to amend O.A. since there is no challenge to the order of transfer by which he aggrieved. Indeed, no such transfer order is placed on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, sought time to take necessary steps.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.341 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. Rathod <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A., Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 11.05.2020. However, during pendency of O.A., the Respondents have initiated D.E. which is at the verge of completion and only final order remains to be passed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant stated that his client has received show cause notice dated 28.2.2022 as to why he should not be removed from service, to which he has given reply on 29.03.2022. He, therefore, submits that final order in D.E. is likely to be passed at the end of this month, and therefore, request to adjourn the matter till first week of next month.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.798 of 2020</p> <p>S. G. Kamble ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard ShriS. K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.241 of 2022 in O.A. No.343 of 2022</p> <p>H.K. More .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Urmila Pulekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perused papers.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that delay of 60 days was caused because of Pandemic and correspondence with the concerned authorities.</p> <p>4. Considering the length of the delay and reasons for condonation thereof, Application is allowed. Delay stands condoned. <i>Jr</i></p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.04.2022

O.A. No.343 of 2022

H.K. More .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Urmila Pulekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M.N. Katte .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply of Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3 is filed by learned P.O. is taken on record. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to go through the Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.132 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.K. Patankar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 09.06.2022 for filing Reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.248 of 2022</p> <p>N.N. Revadekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.06.2022 for filing Reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 22.04.2022

O.A. No.334 of 2022

S.N. Raktate .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 16.06.2022. 

Sd/-

(M.A. Lovekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.244 of 2021</p> <p>R.D. Mahajan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Sejal. Hariyan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 17.06.2022 for filing Reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.119 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Nikam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 16.06.2022 for filing Reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.136 of 2022</p> <p>D.M. Khutale .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day he will file Reply, give its copy to other side so that the matter can be heard finally.</p> <p>3. By consent, s.o. to 25.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.834 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Leave note is filed by learned Advocate Smt. Ranjana Todankar,</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1227 of 2019</p> <p>H.S. Khude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks permission to file Reply to the Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.560 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. Chajchidak .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Junior Advocate holding for Shri R.B. Kamble / S.B. Sayyad, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. Anbhule, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The Applicant desires to withdraw this Application unconditionally. Pursis to this effect is filed.</p> <p>3. The Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p align="right">/</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.657 of 2020</p> <p>S.A. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge submits that the Applicant himself wants to conduct this matter in person and it be kept on 28.04.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2022. </p> <p align="right">Sd/- (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.04.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.131 of 2022</b></p> <p>P.P. Mahajan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Shraddha Raut, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On oral request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.06.2022 for filing Reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (M.A. Lovekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>