

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.122 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant relies on the judgment of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Kunda Santosh Kerkar Vs. Hon'ble Speaker, Goa Legislative Assembly & Ors. 2016(5) Mh. L.J. 593, on the point of issuance of show cause notice is necessary before reversion.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.3.2022. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>22.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.37 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.P. Nagare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are directed to produce form of the applicant on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.2.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.1008 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.P. Khude ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was before Single Bench. However, since the subject-matter of this OA is Time Bound Promotion, it is transferred to Division Bench.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant has been given the benefit of ACP Scheme. Now the issue remains of pay fixation and payment of arrears.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO states that pay of the applicant has been fixed and arrears will be paid within one month.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, we direct the respondents to pay the arrears to the applicant within one month. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.791 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.S. Gandhi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.3.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.As. No.236 & 237 of 2021</u>
	<p>K. Suryakrishnamurty A.N. Padwal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard M/s. Troy Legal, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.236/2021, Ms. Ritika Gupta i/b. Law Counsellors, Ld. Advocate for Applicant in OA No.237/2021, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri B.S. Shinde, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.4, 6 & 7 in OA No.236/2021 & for Respondents No.5 to 10 in OA No.237/2021 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.11 in OA No.237/2021.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocates for the respondents seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.3.2022 by way of last chance.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p>

000-4-2019)

[Sp]- MAT-F-2 E.

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 22.02.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.04 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate along with Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Drere, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in their prayer clause 9[d(a)] on page 17 which is reproduced as below:-</p> <p>"The Hon. Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents that, in case of administrative exigencies the ad-hoc promotion to the post of PSI should be granted only from the list of successful candidates of the examination 2013."</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. submits that Department has taken decision on this point and the list and they are continuing with their successful candidates. However, C.P.O. will file on record after confirming it again.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. submits that as on today Department is considering the successful candidates from list of examination from 2013 till now. She will collect the information from the Department and file on record by tomorrow.</p> <p>5. In the interest of transparency in the public grievances, Respondents are directed that they should update list periodically and published in public domain as finalized in August 2021.</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

- 6. Learned C.P.O. is directed to update list along with mark list and should be supplied to learned Counsels for the Applicant by email and learned Counsels for the Applicant shall supply email address to learned C.P.O.
- 7. Respondents are also directed to display mark list of successful candidates for transparency so that there should be no confusion that candidate obtaining less marks have been selected.
- 8. Learned C.P.O. will submit tomorrow data of which last list was updated.
- 9. She will submit about how long prayer clause 9[d(a)] is to be continued.
- 10. Steno Copy supplied to both side.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Sd/-

(Shree Bhagwan) 42/22
Vice Chairman (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.585 of 2016</p> <p>R.S. BodakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter was previously heard but because of Covid-19 pandemic situation, it could not be further heard and today it has been put for rehearing. 3. After rehearing the matter, the bench comes to the conclusion that DPC has been taken place on 30.08.2021 as submitted by learned P.O. and while taking DPC, G.R. dated 15.12.2017 issued by GAD for the employees who are eligible for promotion but D.E./ court cases are likely to be pending for long time. The decision is to be taken regarding their regular or provisional promotion as per provisions of GAD G.R. dated 15.12.2017. The main point of G.R. is at sr. no.6 of page 4/13 of G.R. and sr. no.9 of page no.4 of G.R. In view of this G.R., it appears that respondents are under compulsion to follow the G.R. and call D.P.C. by regular method or by circulation and take the decision of Applicant on provisional promotion as per sr.nos. 6 and 9 of page 4/13 of G.R. dated 15.12.2017 within 30 days from the receipt of date of this order. 4. The Respondents / Disciplinary authority is empowered as Appointing Authority to take the decision as per G.R. dated 15.12.2017 after two years from D.P.C. meeting which have been kept in sealed cover envelop of such employees. 5. The Respondents are directed to take decision within 30 days from the receipt of this order. 6. The Respondents are directed to communicate the decision to the Applicant and this Tribunal through learned P.O. within thirty days from the receipt of this order. 7. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs. 8. Hamdast granted. Sd/- <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (Shree Bhagwan) Member(J) Vice - Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.43 of 2020</p> <p>H. R. DasApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to dismissal of Police Naik, Bakkal No-2241. The impugned order is from page nos.86 to 91, Exb. 'A'. The relevant portion of order is on page no.90. The dismissal order has been passed by the Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune city. Subsequently, the Applicant has submitted an appeal to Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Maharashtra State vide order dated 16.08.2016, page 448 of VOL.4 . After hearing of appeal of the Applicant, it appears that appeal was heard by the Hon'ble State Minister (Urban) and order passed on 11.12.2018, Exb-B, page no.91 to 94, Vol.1. The appeal has been rejected. Now the Applicant has approached this Tribunal and contention of the Applicant is that his appointing authority is Commissioner of Police while he has been dismissed by order dated 15.06.2016, Exb. 'A', page 86, Vol.1 by Additional Commissioner of Police. His argument is that an officer below appointing authority cannot dismiss the Applicant. In view of this, it is necessary to take reply of all the Respondents. Learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 6. However, Respondent No.1 has not filed reply till now.</p> <p>3. It is very crucial O.A. The Applicant was dismissed as Police Naik as per order dated 15.06.2016, page no.86 of Vol.1 and to adjudicate the matter since policy decision of Home Department/Police Department is involved regarding appointing authority or disciplinary authority, affidavit on record is very necessary by Respondent No.1.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. In view of this situation, the Respondent No.1 is directed to ensure that reply is filed within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order positively before 08.03.2022. The copy be served to Applicant also.

5. Apart from above, following two documents are also required to adjudicate the matter i.e. D.P.C. which was held in 2008 as per Ex.R1, page 622 to 624 where Applicant's name appeared at Sr.No.281 on page no.624, Vol.1.

6. On submission made by learned C.P.O. the applicant was appointed on 06.07.1991 in Pune as a proof of that learned CPO has shown original service book of the Applicant. She is directed to file relevant record of service book. On 3rd page of Service Book, it appears that the Additional Commissioner of Police has taken back the Applicant in service on 13.11.1992 after giving warning. This order has been passed by the Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune city on 13.11.1992. Learned C.P.O. has submitted documents written by Deputy Commissioner of Police Head Quarter 1 of Commissioner of Police, Pune City dated 01.08.2015 to director General of Police (Desk 12) in the first para itself it is written that in his establishment deputy Commissioner head quarter post has been upgraded on 29.01.1988 to Additional Police Commissioner post as new post and from 12.06.2001 the post of Deputy Commissioner of Police head quarter is vacant. The Deputy Commissioner of Police Head Quarter is a new post which has been created. During the period from 1988 to 2001 since the Deputy Commissioner Head Quarter post was not there, an appointing authority for Police Constable post will be to Additional Police Commissioner and he has been directed that since between 1988 to 2001 there is absence of DCP Head Quarter, Additional Commissioner of police should act as appointing authority of police constables. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file this letter on record.

7. The matter is adjourned by two weeks.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-
(Shree Bhagwan)
Vice - Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.424/2020</u></p> <p>Dr. K.B. Batte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply to the amended O.A. Time granted as last chance.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 8th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.719/2021</u></p> <p>Shri U.S. Sorate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.1 with Annexures. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing tomorrow i.e. 23rd February, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.540/2021</u></p> <p>Shri E.P. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondent No1 and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he would file Rejoinder by tomorrow with copy to other side and requested to keep the matter on day after tomorrow for hearing, since it is Part Heard.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24th February, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.111/2022 in O.A.124/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.B. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 08.03.2022. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. 6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 8th March, 2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.103/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.N. Sayyad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 9th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.16/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.S. Unavane & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O, three weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.580/2021 in O.A.500/2021</u></p> <p>Shri H.G. Kulkarni & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 8th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.556/2021 in O.A.984/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.B. Gopale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply to M.A on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing to 10th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.534/2021 in O.A.949/2021</u></p> <p>Shri K.V. Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply. Time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1st March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.360/2021 in O.A.808/2021</u></p> <p>Shri B.R. Sheelwant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate absent. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned for hearing on 16th March, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A.106/2022</u>
	<p>Shri B.M. Bichkule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that the name of Applicant is already taken in waiting list at Serial No.19. However, the grievance is that he will attend the age of 45 years within next 6 months and once name is deleted, he will be left without appointment.</p> <p>3. It appears that 18 other candidates are above the Applicant in waiting list, and therefore, the Department is facing difficulty in issuing appointment letter to the Applicant.</p> <p>4. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 11th March, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A.93/2022 in M.A.48/2022 in O.A.07/2022 with M.A.94/2022 in M.A.49/2022 in O.A.08/2022 with M.A.95/2022 in M.A.50/2022 in O.A.09/2022.</u></p> <p>Shri V.S. Hankare & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 22.03.2022.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 22nd March, 2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.101 of 2022 in O.A. No.28 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. More & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.2.2022 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.28 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. More & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.2.2022 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.90 of 2021 in O.A. No.803 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Walunj & 40 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Tanmay Sawant holding for Shri M.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that there are about 20 applicants within the jurisdiction of this Bench and other applicants are not coming within the jurisdiction of this Bench. Hence, he seeks permission to all the applicants to proceed with the above OA at Mumbai.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed and all the applicants are permitted to proceed with the above OA at Mumbai.</p> <p>4. OA is adjourned to 21.3.2022.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022 (sgj) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.588 of 2021 in O.A. No.860 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.U. Pawar holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to file MA for amendment in proper format with annexure.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022 </p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2022 in O.A. No.406 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.M. Labdhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that order has been partly complied with. However, she seeks one week's time to verify the same and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.3.2022.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</td> <td align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022	Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022
Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022	Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.113 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.M. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sejal A. Hariyan i/b. P. Padmanabh & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging the order dated 11.11.2021 passed by the appellate authority i.e. Divisional Commissioner, Pune dismissing the appeal on the ground of delay of 45 days. In reply the respondents are directed to show service of punishment of DE on the delinquent officer.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.51 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.V. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.3.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.178 of 2022</u></p> <p>Ajaykumar S. Gengane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks circulation for tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Shri Sriram Yadav, Joint Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department to remain present tomorrow i.e. on 23.2.2022 at 10.30 a.m. sharp with the file.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.2.2022 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.48 of 2021 in O.A. No.935 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits that the order in the OA has been complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of the above CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 22.2.2022 22.2.2022 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.51 of 2021 in O.A.No.763 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. KokaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. on instructions from Ms. Pramodini Amrutwad, Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services, Pune Division, Pune submits that the order has been partially complied with. She further submits that the payment of back-wages which remained to be paid, the order to that effect is issued on 13.08.2021. However, she states that the money is to be disbursed within two weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>22.02.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142 with 143 & 144/2015</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P Nalavade, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dr. Govind Y. Khati, Director, Ayush Division, Mumbai, is present in person. He states that Respondents will pursue the matter and see whatever dues are payable to the applicant, will be paid as early as possible.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.3.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.104 of 2022 in O.A. No.373 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.K. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 4.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of MA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 22.2.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 22.2.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>