**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 728/2013** (Shri Prashant N. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.l 21.9.2022 DATE :

# **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent no. 22, are present. Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for respondent no. 16 and Shri R.P. Dhase, learned counsel for respondent no. 19 (absent).

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

M.A. NO. 288/2022 IN O.A. NO. 599/2022 (Dr. Tushar T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2022 for hearing.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

M.A. ST. NO. 1274/2022 IN O.A. ST. NO. 47/2019 (Shri Rajendra P. Ghunwant & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) M.A. No. 35/2019 IN O.A. ST. 47/2019 (Shri Balraj S. Lanjile Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER	<b>TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS</b>
--------------	--------------------------

# CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Amol A. Chalak, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for applicant O.A., are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for removing office objections by the learned counsel for the applicant in M.A. No. 1274/2022 and also for hearing.

# **MEMBER (A)**

T.A. NO. 5/2018 (W.P. NO. 9261/2018) (Shri Pravin C. Janjal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH T.A. NO. 6/2018 (W.P. NO. 9314/2018) (Shri Prasanna R. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER	TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS
<u>CORAM</u> :	Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single
	Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]
<u>DATE</u> :	

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Abhay R. Rathod for himself and holding for Shri N.S. Kadrale, learned Counsel for the applicants in respective matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for final hearing.

# **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141/2018 (Shri Nandu P. Bankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent no. 2, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615/2018 (Shri Desai T. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.] DATE : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666/2018** (Smt. Laxmi S. Gadage Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.l 21.9.2022

#### DATE :

# **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705/2018 (Akbar Khan Nadar Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# **DATE** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 776/2018 (Shri Nana B. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE :21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

O.A. NO. 829/2018 WITH O.A. NO. 96/2020 (Shri Kiran P. Kolte & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 900/2018 (Shri Bhika M. Pathare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# DATE : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. IInd set not filed.
- 4. S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907/2018 (Shri Prabhakar A. satdive Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE :21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. IInd set not filed.
- 4. S.O. to 21.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68/2019 (Dr. Shripati K. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE :21.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117/2019 (Shri Sainath K. Matkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

# Bench.]

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Prasad D. Jarare, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 22.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 208/2019 (Shri Bhaskar P. Dole & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER**:

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

C.P. NO. 43/2019 IN M.A. NO. 97/2012 IN O.A. NO. 817/2011 (Shri Dharampal U. Dethe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

# OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

-----

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 (**leave note**).

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 487/2017 (Shri Bhagwanrao J. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single

> Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.N. Patale, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 109/2019 (Dr. Sonali B. Sayambar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. The learned counsel has tendered across the bar the rejoinder affidavit of the applicant. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

4. S.O. to 9.11.2022 for hearing.

# **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158/2019 (Shri Bhagwat M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single

> Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# **DATE** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit by the applicant.

# **MEMBER (A)**

O.A. NOS. 179, 180, 279, 280 AND 346 ALL OF 2019 (Dr. Suryakant D. Sonkhedkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS <u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters and Shri C.D. Biradar, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4 in O.A. No. 279/2019, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavits by the applicants in respective mattes.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 281/2019 (Shri Prasad B. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.]

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri D.T. Devane, learned Counsel for the applicant (**leave note**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. S.O. to 14.11.2022 for final hearing.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307/2019 (Shri Rahul D. Sathe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Rahul D. Khadap, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit of the applicants.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 448/2019 (Shri Anil T. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# <u>DATE</u> : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri S.D. Kotkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 15.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit by the applicant.

# **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 853/2019 (Shri Shriram C. Pachpute & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

# **<u>DATE</u>** : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 1.

# **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 446/2020 (Shri Sandip P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Y.B. Bolkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit by the applicant.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 688/2021 (Shri Eknath B. Parmeshwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

------

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 16.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

#### MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 21/2022 (Smt. Savita B. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE :21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. The learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

4. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36/2022 (Shri Balasaheb N. Patharkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

#### DATE : 21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit by the applicant.

# **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 367/2022 (Ikramoddin Khiyamoddin Khatib Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

# **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE :21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 17.11.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the remaining respondents and also for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the applicant to the affidavit in reply of respondent no. 3.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 639/2022 (Shri Prashant S. Morale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

------

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply by the respondents.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764/2022 (Muzaffar Abdul Sd. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. Await service.
- 4. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 767/2022 (Shri Jayawant R. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

------

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply by the respondents..

#### **MEMBER (A)**

m.a. 210/2015 in o.a. st. 439/2015 and others (Syed Sarfaraz Ahmed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 4, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

#### MEMBER (A)

M.A. NO. 249/2020 IN O.A. NO. 1009/2020 (Shri Bharat S. Maher Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

# **OFFICE ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

\_\_\_\_\_

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

# **MEMBER (A)**

M.A. 5/2021 IN O.A. ST. 778/2020 (SHRI Shridhar R. Kundatwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri R.D. Biradar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

M.A. 14/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1471/2020 (Smt. Varsha M. Kalyankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

# **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

# **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Nitin Bhapkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022 for filing the affidavit in reply by the respondents.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

M.A. 205/2021 IN O.A. ST. 2467/2019 (Shri Vijaykumar B. Rathi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

## DATE : 21.9.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

- 2. The Division Bench is not available.
- 3. Await service.
- 4. S.O. to 19.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

M.A. 249/2021 IN O.A. 463/2019 (Shri Ravikant R. Hadoltikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2, 3 & 6 in O.A., are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

M.A. 307/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1307/2021 (Shri Trimbak V. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>[This matter is placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench.]DATE:21.9.2022

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Sunita Shelke, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 4, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

#### **MEMBER (A)**

M.A. 385/2021 IN O.A. NO. 555/2021 (Shri Mahendra D. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) [This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.] <u>DATE</u>: 21.9.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned counsel holding for Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.189 OF 2021 (Sakharam C. Kashid V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Sakharam C. Kashid V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.214 OF 2021 (Ramnath N. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 3.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

#### MEMBER (J)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.336 OF 2021 (Raosaheb S. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Raosaned S. Bhosale V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 3.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

#### **MEMBER (J)**

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.365 OF 2021 (Prabhakar B. Jondhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

-----

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 3.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.522 OF 2021 (Ravindra D. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Short affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

4. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 07.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.395 OF 2017 (Shobha R. Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

-----

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 19.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.408 OF 2019 (Sandeep G. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Sandeep G. Salve V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

\_\_\_\_\_

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.557 OF 2019 (Venkat Namule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Venkat Namule & Ors. V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)DATE: 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2019 (Sanjay M. Churmurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Sanjay M. Churmurkar V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 17.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.898 OF 2019 (Babu K. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

**<u>DATE</u>** : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1011 OF 2019 (Vitthal S. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

-----

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Short affidavit filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1048 OF 2019 (Ramchandra L. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.C. Swami, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 21.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.115 OF 2021 (Kadubai S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Kadubai S. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.K. Khandelwal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.09.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.175 OF 2021 (Ajay R.More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.689 OF 2021 (Ajay R.More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)DATE : 21.09.2022.ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the O.As.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.09.2022 for final hearing.

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.321 OF 2021 (Dr. Pramod V. Wawdhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Dr. Pramod V. Wawdhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.V. Kurunkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri A.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4, is **absent**.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.314 OF 2021 (Govind A. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Govind A. Jadnav & Ors. V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.351 OF 2021 (Kautik Y. Kachole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Kautik Y. Kachole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjiani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.368 OF 2021 (Nanda M. Paul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Nanda M. Paul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.43 OF 2020 (Baliram M. Malhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Bailram M. Mainare V/s. State of Manarashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

CORAM: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)DATE: 21.09.2022.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.656 OF 2018 (Dr. Sunil D. Dhumal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

(Dr. Sunil D. Dhumal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 07.11.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.843 OF 2022 (Krishna E. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

## **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3. None present on behalf of the respondent No.4.

2. This Original Application is filed challenging the impugned posting order of the respondent No.4 dated 20.09.2022 (Annex. 'A-5') issued by the respondent No.1 i.e. the State of Maharashtra through its Additional Chief Secretary (Water Resources), Water Resources Department, Mumbai in place of applicant as Executive Engineer, Krushna Marathwade Construction Division No.4, Osmanabad.

3. The applicant is working on his present post of Executive Engineer, Krushna Marathwada Construction Division No.4 immediately after issuance of order dated 06.04.2018 (Annex. 'A-1'), issued by the respondent No.1 by way of promotion and posting amongst others. The applicant has already completed usual tenure of three years. By previous order dated 09.08.2021 (Annex. 'A-2'), the applicant was transferred to Omerga. However, as per order dated 23.08.2021 (part of Annex. 'A-3 (i)' collectively at page No.33 of P.B.), his order of transfer dated 09.08.2021 was cancelled and he was given extension.

4. It is further contended that at the time of issuance of impugned order dated 20.09.2022 (Annex. 'A-5'), the respondent No.4 was in waiting of posting. In view of the same, reference of provisions of Section 4(4) of Transfer Act in the impugned order dated 20.09.2022 is misplaced. Because of the impugned order dated 20.09.2022, the applicant apprehends his displacement from his present posting.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant has still not received his transfer order. As per the impugned order dated 20.09.2022 (Annex. 'A-5'), the respondent No.4 is being given posting as against the vacancy being created on transfer of the applicant.

6. It is the further contention of the applicant that the respondent No.4 has addressed the letter dated 21.09.2022 (Annex. 'A-6') in the name of designation of the applicant seeking charge of that post.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions in that regard submitted that the No.4 personally approached respondent the applicant and insisted for handing over the charge to him. In view of the same, according to him, this is a fit case to grant interim relief of stay to the execution and implementation of impugned order of posting of the respondent No.4.

8. Learned C.P.O. opposed the submissions and stated that he would take necessary instructions and would file response.

9. After having considered the Original Application, annexures and rival submissions, it appears that at least the transfer of the applicant from his present posting is contemplated. Whether infact the transfer order of the applicant is issued or not is not clear from the impugned order of posting of the respondent No.4 dated 20.09.2022 (Annex. 'A-5'). In view of the same, the matter is kept tomorrow in order to ascertain through learned C.P.O. about the transfer order of the applicant, if any, and status of vacancy. Till then, the charge of the post of Executive Engineer, Krushna Marathwade Construction Division No.4, Osmanabad to remain with the applicant.

10. S.O. to 22.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.609/2022 (Amol S. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Applicant has not gone for training which started on 19-09-2022 and no evil consequence has followed as yet, therefore, as of now, there is no apparent cause of action for grant of interim relief. However, liberty is granted to the applicant to approach the Tribunal if any evil consequence follows.

3. S.O. to 07-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.676/2022 (Balaji B. Tekale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>** : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri S.B.Solanke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of both sides, S.O. to 03-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.34/2022 (Sachin D. Shrimanwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>** : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

#### **DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant

and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the

respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer,

S.O. to 27-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

M.A.NO.404/2022 IN O.A.NO.330/2022 (Datta Nannaware & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>** : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.P.Golewar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of both sides, S.O. to 27-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.325/2019 (Bapusaheb Chavre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri R.C.Bramhangaonkar, learned Counsel for

the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned

Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-09-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### C.P.NO.26/2018 IN O.A.NO.447/2019 (Prabhakar Tayde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

# OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

#### **DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Counsel for the

applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting

Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.28/2018 (Shashank B. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>** : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

#### **DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Counsel for the

applicant is **absent**.

Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the

respondent authorities and Shri Mahendra Kochar,

learned Counsel for respondent nos.3 to 5, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.42/2018 (Tukaram Bondre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the

applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting

Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.800/2018 (Dr. Kirankumar Bondar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.M.Chate, learned Counsel for the

applicant is absent. Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief

Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. S.O. to 14-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.164/2020 (Hemant Chhajed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.M.Hajare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.409/2020 (Kamini S. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.P.Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant

and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the

respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.431/2020 (Purushottam G. Khule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri S.G.Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri S.P.Salgar, learned Counsel for respondent nos.3 to 5 and Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Counsel for respondent no.6, are present.

2. S.O. to 09-11-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.600/2021 (Subhash Dhature V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for

the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting

Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.759/2021 (Ashwini Wavhal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>** : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

DATE : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri A.V.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.809/2021 (Dr. Imran Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>**: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

M.A.NO.100/2020 IN O.A.NO.236/2018 (Krushnalal R. Shirshi Gule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

## OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**<u>CORAM</u>** : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri M.R.Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### M.A.NO.308/2021 IN O.A.NO.492/2021 (Ganesh Chate & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Counsel for the

applicants and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting

Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-10-2022.

MEMBER (A)

#### M.A.NO.29/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.11/2022 (Yashvant M. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This case is placed before Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21-09-2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting

Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 12-10-2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 838 OF 2022 (Dr. Shrikant Shankarrao Shingarwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21.09.2022

#### ORAL ORDER :

**OFFICE ORDER** 

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is brought to our notice that in the similar set of facts and circumstances this Tribunal has granted interim relief thereby directing the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to call the applicants therein for interview subject to outcome of the said petitions. In the present matter the same order is prayed for. The present applicant is holding the qualification of M.D. in Biochemistry. It is submitted that even for the said subject there cannot be a precondition to have one year experience of working on the post of Senior Resident. the circumstances we deem it In appropriate to pass order which we have already passed in the similar earlier matters. Hence, the following order: -

#### ORDER

(i) Respondent No. 2, MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 838 OF 2022

#### of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Biochemistry, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.

(ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18.11.2022.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 18.11.2022.

## (viii) The learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled on 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.

(viii) Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 839 OF 2022 (Dr. Gorakh Vithalrao Suramwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21.09.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

**OFFICE ORDER** 

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is brought to our notice that in the similar set of facts and circumstances this Tribunal has granted interim relief thereby directing the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to call the applicants therein for interview subject to outcome of the said petitions. In the present matter the same order is prayed for. The present applicant is holding the qualification of M.D. in Forensic Medicine. It is submitted that even for the said subject there cannot be a precondition to have one year experience of working on the post of Senior Resident. the circumstances we deem it In appropriate to pass order which we have already passed in the similar earlier matters. Hence, the following order: -

#### ORDER

(i) Respondent No. 2, MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 839 OF 2022

#### of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Biochemistry, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.

(ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18.11.2022.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 18.11.2022.

## (viii) The learned PO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled on 27<sup>th</sup> & 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.

(viii) Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 837 OF 2022 (Manisha Shantaram Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

# CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE:21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 14.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 14.10.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2022 (Saheb Pemaji Kapure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

#### <u>CORAM</u> : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

#### <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 21.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

#### 7. Provisional pension and other retiral benefits may be given as per rules pending decision in the present matter.

- 8. S.O. to 21.10.2022.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 726 OF 2022 (Dinesh Narayan Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE:21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.9.2022.

ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022-HDD

MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD T.A.NO. 11/2022 (W.P.NO. 7659/2022) (Dr. Shaikh Aizaz Iqbal Ahmed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.N. Sabnis, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 26.9.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. S.O. to 26.9.2022.
- 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 942 OF 2019 (Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Dadamiyan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE:21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 14.11.2022.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 842 OF 2022 (Dr. Ramdas Balajirao Panchal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

(This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE** : 21.09.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant has submitted that he is working on the post of Assistant Professor in Obstetrics & Gynecology Department in Vilasrao Deshmukh Government Medical College, Latur on temporary basis. He has completed his Post Graduation Degree in M.S.(Obstetrics & Gynecology) during the period 2015 to 2018. The applicant has enclosed his experience certificates for working as Assistant Professor on temporary basis in various medical colleges covering the period from 2018 to till date.

3. As per clause 3.3.1 of the advertisement No. 041/2021, requirement of experience for minimum qualification for the post of Assistant Professor (Obstetrics & Gynecology), includes three years' Junior Resident in a recognized permitted medical college in the concerned subject and one year as Senor Resident in the concerned subject in a recognized permitted

medical college, in case in which minimum educational qualification prescribed is M.D. Obstetrics & Gynecology or M.S. Obstetrics & Gynecology. The aforesaid minimum experience required is in accordance with the notification issued by Indian Medical Council dated 12.02.2020 as per "Teachers in Medical Institution (Amendment) Regulations, 2019." The Tribunal has already granted interim relief in the following two types of cases: -

:: - 2 - ::

(a) Where, Indian Medical Council has given a letter that facility for gaining experience as Senior Resident, is not available in a particular course and, therefore, it is not possible for the candidate to acquire that experience in that course; and

(b) Where facility for gaining experience is available however, total period of experience gained is falling short by small margin say, 2 to 7 days or so.

The present case is altogether different. In the instant matter, facility for gaining experience as Senior Resident is available. However, the applicant has experience of few months only as Senior Resident. In this matter the applicant has claimed relief mainly on the ground of submissions made in paragraph 12 in the present O.A. (page-9 of paper book), which reads as follows: -

> "12. Applicant says that, MCI and MUHS regularly carry out inspection of all Medical Colleges including Govt. Medical Colleges. The inspection by MCI is for purpose of granting sanction to strength of students in the college which depends upon the strength

of teaching faculty. MUHS carries out inspection being the Affiliating University of the Medical Colleges in State of Maharashtra. During the course of inspection, academic qualification and eligibility of each teaching faculty both permanent and adhoc is verified inspection committee. Inspection by committees of MCI & MUHS have treated appointments of all bonded and D.S.B. adhoc Assistant Professors as legal and valid appointments. Thus, even according to MCI & MUHS, a candidate who is appointed on the post of Assistant Professor without having worked on the post of Senior Resident, is duly qualified to hold the post of Assistant Professor. MCI & MUHS have always treated them duly qualified and eligible candidates to hold the post of Assistant Professor."

4. Though the applicant has referred to inspection and communication by NMC and MUHS, he has not added these institutions as respondents, which otherwise appear to be proper and necessary parties. While making oral submission, the learned counsel for the applicant has sought permission to add MCI & MUHS as party respondents, which was granted. Amendment in this regard should be carried out within 7 days from today.

5. In case the facility for gaining experience of Senior Resident is not available anywhere, there is *prima facie* no reason for causing prejudice to any candidate, as Maharashtra Public Service Commission would not have got any valid application. Similarly it is a normal rule that if a candidate does not fulfill minimum eligibility requirement as advertised for the process of recruitment undertaken then relaxation should not be ordinarily given in individual cases rather the same relaxation should be made available to all the potential candidates who may be prejudiced by limiting the relief only to a few who may be before the Tribunal as applicants.

:: - 4 - ::

6. However, considering balance of convenience, relief prayed for by the applicants in those two types of cases has already been granted. In order to provide equal opportunity to all the potential candidates, it is necessary to notify relaxation in clauses relating to minimum experience in relation to educational qualification, so that all the potential candidates can have opportunity to avail the benefits of such relaxation. Therefore, respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are being directed to consider the case of the applicant in the present O.A., if merit is found in the cause of amendment action. and necessary in the corresponding advertisement may be made and opportunity for appearing for the interview may be provided to all the similarly situated candidates.

7. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18.11.2022.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O. to 18.11.2022.

13. The learned PO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled.

14. Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

#### MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD C.P. NO. 14/2022 IN O.A.NO. 367/2019 (Arvind D. Sulakhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, issue fresh simple notice to the respondents, returnable on 14.10.2022.

3. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

4. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

5. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

6. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

7. Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2017 (Shaikh Liyakat Shaikh Dildar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE :21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.P. Golewar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.11.2022.

ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022-HDD

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, **BENCH AT AURANGABAD** M.A.NO. 62/2021 IN O.A.NO. 461/2020 (The Chief Engineer Water Resources Department Aurangabad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench) 21.09.2022 DATE : **ORAL ORDER :** 

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A., Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. No. 461/2020, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.10.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 420 OF 2020 (Anish S. Patel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE:21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits he does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit and, therefore, the matter be placed for hearing.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for hearing.

ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022-HDD

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 401 OF 2021 (Remreddy R. Aitwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A) (This matter has been placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

#### **DATE** : 21.09.2022

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022-HDD

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2018 (Sahebrao D. Deshmukh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE :21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 3.10.2022. **High on board.** 

**MEMBER (A)** 

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD O.A.NO. 664/2021 WITH M.A.NO. 77/2022 (Sanjay D. Gangawane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM :Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)<br/>(This matter has been placed before the Single<br/>Bench due to non-availability of Division<br/>Bench)DATE :21.09.2022<br/>ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

At the request of learned counsel for the applicant,
S.O. to 28.9.2022. High on board.

**MEMBER (A)** 

T.A. No. 12/2022 (W.P. No. 3413/2022) (Shubham K. Gadve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri A.S. Shejul, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 14.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 745 OF 2022 (Karbhari M. Bahure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri D.K. Rajput, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 14.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 154 OF 2020 (Venkatesh V. Joshi & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Mujahed Hussain, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 14.10.2022 for admission.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43 OF 2020 (Baliram M. Malhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER**:

Heard Shri W.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 368 OF 2021 (Nanda M. Paul & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.10.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 965 OF 2019 (Ashok S. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 13.10.2022.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353 OF 2020 (Shivraj V. Kangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.C. Swami, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed sur-rejoinder affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2020 (Rajendra V. Marale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents. The present matter is pertaining to grant of pay scale as per the G.R. dated 12.09.2003. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 20.10.2022.

3. The burden is upon the applicant to produce on record necessary documents required for deciding the present Original Application.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369 OF 2021 (Naushabee Ibrahim Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri S.B. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.10.2022 for admission

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534 OF 2021 (Shaikh Mohammed Shoeb Sarfaraz V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of the respondents. The present matter is pertaining to compassionate appointment. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 20.10.2022.

3. The burden is upon the applicant to produce on record necessary documents required for deciding the present Original Application, as the respondents have failed to file affidavit in reply.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 148 OF 2022 (Dr. Rajendra R. Dharmadhikari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that as per the interim order passed by this Tribunal in the present O.A. the respondents have paid the provisional pension to the applicant up to July, 2022.

3. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

5. S.O. to 12.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 02/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1333/2020 (Kailas S. Kalke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri S.C. Swami, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Khutwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.10.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 89 OF 2018 (Sayeda Khalida Md. Naeem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.R. Pande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 filed short affidavit together with documents. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other sides.

4. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 06.10.2022 for filing response to the above-said short affidavit, if any by the applicant and respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 434 OF 2019 (Namdeo S. Ghone V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 370 OF 2019** (Yasmin Hshmi Vasim Hashmi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) \_\_\_\_\_ **OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) DATE : 21.09.2022. **ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 & 6 and Shri M.S. Taur, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during verification of documents, he has come across some developments in the present matter, which he would like to file on record by way of short affidavit together with the relevant documents, if any and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the applicant can be directed to produce on record documents referred by her in the representation / application dated 19.01.2019 (Annexure A-12) submitted to the respondent No. 2.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant however submitted that the applicant has already filed copies of documents, whichever were available with her and therefore, no anymore documents can be filed by the applicant.

6. S.O. to 29.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

O.A. Nos. 302/2020, 317/2020 & 05/2021 (Rajesh M. Choudhary & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) \_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** \_\_\_\_\_

> CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) DATE : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

\_\_\_\_\_

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As..

2. The present matters have already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

-----

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 903 OF 2019 (Dr. Vithal R. Mekane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D. Kawre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri S.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without rejoinder affidavit of the applicant in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 21.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 01 OF 2020 (Seema S. Dalavi & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Mujahed Hussain, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 14 OF 2020 (Gokulgir B. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without rejoinder affidavit of the applicant in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185 OF 2020 (Baliram B. Mahale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u> : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted as a last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 453 OF 2020 (Bhatu R. Mahale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

•

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri Vinod Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 14 OF 2020 (Gokulgir B. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without rejoinder affidavit of the applicant in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 486 OF 2020 (Jitendra S. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Shri M.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without rejoinder affidavit of the applicant in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17 OF 2021 (Parashram S. Khetre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Smt. Viday Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 410 OF 2021 (Tulsiram D. Bakle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri K.A. Ingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondents. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondents in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2021 (Bhagwant S. Naik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

------

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri G.P. Darandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vijay Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

3. Await service of notice on the respondents.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 650 OF 2021 (Prakash T. Vaichal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri A.D. Kawre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 as one more last chance for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 805 OF 2021 (Mahadev S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

· · ·

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

# Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. None present on behalf of respondent No. 6, though duly served.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 5.

3. S.O. to 10.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2021 (Pralhad S. Sonune V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

· · · ·

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 29.09.2022 for admission.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 24 OF 2022 (Jayant R. Ambhore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

· · ·

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

# <u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri K.A. Ingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 20.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 27 OF 2021 (Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Hyder V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.9.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 331 OF 2021 (Mandabai C. Kambat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 358 OF 2022 (Kiran V. Jagdale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.P. Darandale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. S.O. to 07.10.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 372 OF 2022 (Babasaheb S. Korekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 379 OF 2022 (Dr. Prakash R. Kanade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as one more last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2022 (Dilip R. Bari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)DATE : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732 OF 2022 (Avinash H. Samudre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day service affidavit would be filed.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 715 OF 2022 (Navnath A. Patwadkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.S. Mundhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 760 OF 2022 (Hitesh S. Vispute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

 Await service of notice on the respondent Nos. 1 & 3.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 14.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 228/2021 in O.A. St. No. 775/2021 (Jagannath T. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in M.A.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

MEMBER (J)

\_\_\_\_\_

M.A. No. 288/2021 in O.A. St. No. 491/2019 (Satish S. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 410/2021 in O.A. No. 675/2021 (Dr. Balaji M. Mirkute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri A.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, the affidavit in reply is not filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. Hence, the present matter will proceed further without affidavit in reply of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in accordance with law.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier in M.A. to continue till then.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

\_\_\_\_\_

M.A. No. 251/2022 in M.A. No. 18/2020 in O.A. No. 81/2018 (Sayyed Wali Abdul Khadar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. ORAL ORDER:

# Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 29.09.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A. No. 304/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1027/2022 (Ravikiran R. Ahire & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS** 

> CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) DATE : 21.09.2022.

#### **ORAL ORDER** :

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.10.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.09.2022

**MEMBER (J)** 

\_\_\_\_\_

M.A. No. 276/2022 in O.A. St. No. 778/2022 (Bhimrao A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDER

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri R.R. Wakekar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 19.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)** 

\_\_\_\_\_

#### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 2020 (Devendra I. Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J) <u>DATE</u>: 21.09.2022. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the side, S.O. to 10.10.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**