#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. No. 196/2018 in O.A. No. 199/2018 (Shri Gajanan D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.B. Sandanshiv, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 21.08.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 21-08-2018.
- 9. S.O. 21-08-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2017 (Shri Prasad F. Pawar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

DATE : 21.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Dr. Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.07.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. St. No. 944/2018 in O.A. St. No. 945/2018 (Shri Musaddiq Ahmed Madni Masood Ahmed Madni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**DATE** : 21.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the decision taken by the concerned respondent for grant of deemed date of promotion 21.08.2012. dated From the date of the said communication decision. the applicant has computed period of delay. Considering all these facts, the objection is waived. The objection of the delay of 1770 days is proper.
- 3. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20.08.2018.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along

with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. Reply be filed on or before 20-08-2018.
- 10. S.O. 20-08-2018.
- 11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

M.A. St. No. 887/2018 in O.A. St. NO. 888/2018 (Shri Sainath J. Korpakwad & Another V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Since the applicants are claiming continuation of pay, the issue of limitation would not come in picture and therefore, the objection is waived.
- 3. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicants for permission to sue jointly the O.A.
- 4. Perused the misc. application. Considered the contentions.
- 5. For the reasons stated in the M.A. it is allowed and disposed of and the applicants are permitted to sue jointly the O.A. subject to payment of requisite court fees by each of the applicant, if not paid.
- 6. The Office to register the O.A. on due scrutiny.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. St. NO. 888/2018

(Shri Sainath J. Korpakwad & Another V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 08.08.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 08-08-2018.
- 9. S.O. 08-08-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. St. No. 497/2018 in O.A. St. No. 498/2018 (Shri Arjun M. Maskar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.07.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. St. No. 866/2018 (Shri Amol V. Malkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

\_\_\_\_\_

**DATE** : 21.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Objection is waived, as no order of rejection is passed.
- 3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 13.08.2018.
- 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 9. Reply be filed on or before 13-08-2018.
- 10. S.O. 13-08-2018.
- 11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

O.A. No. 420/2018 (Shri Mahesh T. Doke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shri Ravindra Wankhede, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.M. Nagargoje learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 07.08.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Reply be filed on or before 07-08-2018.
- 9. S.O. 07-08-2018.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 419 OF 2018 with CAVEAT ST. NO. 786/18 (Shri Rajendra P. Bagade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.....

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

DATE : 21.06.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shir A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. It appears that the decision of the Tribunal in O.A. No. 300/2017 is not properly interpreted by the learned Collector.
- 3. In the circumstances, the learned C.P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Collector, Aurangabad to find out as to whether the impugned transfer order can be rectified.
- 4. S.O. to 26.06.2018.
- 5. Steno copy allowed to the learned C.P.O.

VICE CHAIRMAN

#### **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 406 OF 2018 (Shri Arun S. Gosavi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 06.08.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply, the concerned respondent is directed to explain as to whether in pay scales which pay scale of Rs. 15600/- 39100/- + Grade Pay Rs. 5400 + 800 = 6200 as found in Annexure A-6, page no. 37 exist according to the rule in the Directorate of Languages for the promotional post of Director of Languages or Deputy Director of Languages.
- 9. Reply be filed on or before 06-08-2018.
- 10. S.O. 06-08-2018.
- 11. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 975 OF 2018 (Shri Bharat D. Gadhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The order passed by the learned Member (Administrative) at Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Bench at Nagpur, would show that the order of the transfer of two Lecturers from Nagpur to Aurangabad was stayed vide order dated 11.06.2018 and 18.06.2018, Annexure A-5, page Nos. 22 & 24 respectively. The status quo was granted in each case of the applicants till the next date of hearing. The transferring authority was requested to consider the representations of those applicants till the next date of hearing. Next date of hearing in each of the O.A. is 25.06.2018 and 02.07.2018 respectively. Present applicant is transferred vide impugned order from Aurangabad to Nagpur.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 02.07.2018 to find out as to whether the concerned respondent had taken decision on the representations of the applicants therein and the decision shall have

effect on the transfer of the present applicant to Nagpur. In the circumstances, status quo is granted till 02.07.2018. The respondents are also directed to consider the representation dated 18.06.2018, Annexure A-4, page no. 21 of the present applicant before the returnable date and shall file copy of the decision on the next date.

- 4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02.07.2018.
- 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 9. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 10. Reply be filed on or before 02-07-2018.
- 11. S.O. 02-07-2018.
- 12. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 131 OF 2018 (Shri Digambar S. Chothe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 25.07.2018 for filing affidavit in reply. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 132 OF 2018 (Shri Sudhakar R. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 25.07.2018 for filing affidavit in reply. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 159 OF 2018 (Shri Shivaji M. Panzade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 21.06.2018.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 25.07.2018 for filing affidavit in reply. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.272/2017

(Dr. Vaishali Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 21.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Heard both sides. Case is reserved for order.

MEMBER (A)

VICE-CHAIRMAN

C.P.No.04/2017 IN O.A.No.122/2015 (Dr. Ashok Havelikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 21.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 25-07-2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE-CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.457/2017 WITH C.P.NO.42/2018 IN O.A.NO.497/2015
(Aisha Begum w/o. Md Bin Gulam Husain Alkaseri
V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 21.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Md Bin Gulam Husain Alkaseri husband of the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Heard both sides. Case is reserved for order.

MEMBER (A)

VICE-CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.192/2018 IN O.A.NO.700/2012 (Atharoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Y.P.Deshmukh learned
Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha
Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for
respondents.

2. This is an application for restoration of the O.A. to its original stage. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE-CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.700/2012 (Atharoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 21.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Y.P.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Upon restoration of the O.A. to its original stage, issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-08-2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or service proof is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 20-08-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### MEMBER (A) VICE-CHAIRMAN

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.676/2006 (Baliram Sutar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE: 21.06.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

(PER: JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE-CHAIRMAN)

Heard Shri Gajendra D. Jain learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. By the present application applicant is seeking following reliefs:
  - "(A) This Honourable Tribunal may be pleased to issue appropriate order or directions to the respondents to grant time bound promotion to the applicant from the year 1994 by modifying and varying the order dated 13-07-2005 passed by the respondent no.2.
  - (B) This Honourable Tribunal may be pleased to issue appropriate order or directions to the respondents to grant pay scale of promotional post in Rs.5500-7000 to the applicant by modifying the order dated 13-07-2005."

- 3. Admitted facts on record would show that the present applicant was regularized w.e.f. 14-09-1972 in the post of Helper. Said order was passed by the concerned on 30-10-1976. By that time applicant was promoted as Welder. applicant claims that he should have been considered for time bound promotion upon completion of 12 years' from the date of regularization. The documents filed by himself i.e. Exhibit-H (paper book page 40) would show that the applicant was considered for grant of time bound promotion but the said promotion was refused to him as the gradation of the present applicant in ACR for the relevant period was "C" i.e. average.
- 4. Paragraph 6 of the affidavit in reply would show that the present applicant was not even qualified for the promotional post. For the post of Welder, passing of course through Industrial Training Institute was qualification and the present applicant has passed only 1st Standard Examination. Despite this, the Departmental

Promotion Committee (DPC) considered his case and finding that his Annual Confidential Reports were not up to the mark, and therefore, the time bound promotion was not granted to him. In the next DPC held on 27-06-2005 case of the applicant was considered and time bound promotion was granted to him from 01-10-2003.

5. Considering all the undisputed facts above, the relief sought of grant of time bound promotion from the year 1994 cannot be considered. In the result, we pass following order:

#### ORDER

Original Application stands dismissed without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A) VICE-CHAIRMAN

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 418/2018

(Kavita Tarachand Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents, returnable on 30.7.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 418/2018

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. As from the record, it appears that the res. no. 2 Shri Sachin Prakash Talekar, who is a male candidate has been selected, though it appears that the present applicant ought to have been selected on the post, presently without going into the merit of the original application interim relief in terms of para 16 (a) of the present O.A. is hereby granted, which reads as under:-
  - "16 (a). Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, the respondent no. 1 may not issue the appointment order to the respondent no. 2 from the Open Female category as per the name included in the Final Selection List dated 6.6.2018 for the post of Assistant State Examiner of Documents."

### ::-3-:: O.A. NO. 418/2018

- 9. Without filing detailed affidavit in reply, the res. no. 1 is hereby directed to file his short affidavit explaining the reasons for exclusion of name of the present applicant from the final selection list.
- 10. S.O. to 30.7.2018.
- 11. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21-6-2018

M.A. 194/2018 IN O.A. ST. 704/2018 (Nilesh S. Badgujar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 2.8.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### <u>::-2-::</u> <u>MA 194/18 IN OA</u> ST. NO. 704/18

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 2.8.2018.
- 9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21-6-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 218/2015
(Kalpeshkumar M. Sharma V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

None appears for the applicant. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. After raising query by this Tribunal vide order dtd. 7.2.2018, the learned Advocate for the applicant has already sought adjournments on 2 occasions to satisfy the same. However, today none appears for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19.7.2018 either for hearing on the query raised by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 7.2.2018 or for passing necessary order in default.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 685/2015 (Rahul B. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 7.8.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 165/2017

(Dr. Sunil P. Bhamre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 6.8.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 443/2017

(Dr. Uttam B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has expired. The learned P.O. submits that a Writ Petition filed by the respondents against the order dtd. 13.12.2016 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. no. 635/2013 is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.
- 3. In the circumstances, at the request of both the sides, S.O. to 7.8.2018 for taking appropriate steps by the learned Advocate for the applicant and reporting further progress in the writ petition by the learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 692/2017 (Bhanudas R. Watane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTOR W.T. LOCILL V.C.

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.7.2018 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 480/2017

(Dnyaneshwar B. Sonawane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 21.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. On the last date also none has appeared for the applicant. Learned P.O. submits that O.As. of similar nature are posted on 17.7.2018. In the circumstances, the present matter be posted on 17.7.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 118/2018 (Sharad G. Jade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record affidavit in reply of respondent. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.8.2018 for hearing on admission.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. 36/2018 IN O.A. 846/2011

C.P. 37/2018 IN O.A. 843/2011

C.P. 38/2018 IN O.A. 842/2011

C.P. 39/2018 IN O.A. 278/2012

C.P. 40/2018 IN O.A. 634/2011

C.P. 41/2018 IN O.A. 277/2012

(Vilas S. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### **OFFICE ORDER**

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, V.R. Bhumkar, M.P. Gude, N.U. Yadav and Smt. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for respondents in respective matters..

2. Learned C.P.O. / P.Os. submits that the respondents have filed a writ petition against the order of the Tribunal in the O.As. before the Hon'ble High Court and today said writ petition is kept for hearing. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.7.2018 for reporting progress in the writ petition and / or for compliance of order in O.As.

#### ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21-6-2018

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

### C.P. 13/2018 IN O.A. 633/2014

(Dr. Ashok R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3

- 2. Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate submits that he is under instructions from res. nos. 4 & 5 to appear on their behalf in the present matter and he seeks some time to file his V.P.
- 3. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the res. nos. 1 to 3.
- 4. It is to be noted that the order dtd. 27.1.2017 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. no. 633/2014 is still remained to be complied with by the respondents. In the circumstances, without filing affidavit in reply in the present C.P., the respective respondents are hereby directed to file compliance report positively on the next date i.e. on 18.7.2018, failing which the C.P. would be heard on that date.
- 5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

#### ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21-6-2018

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 345/2017 (Abhiman M. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that acknowledgement is yet to be received regarding service of notice on res. no. 6. At his request, S.O. to 25.7.2018 for filing service acknowledgement of res. no. 6.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245/2018 (Vasant Dada Bhapkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM, HIGHIOF MA TOCHEN

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appears for the applicant. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that there are no instructions from res. no. 1, whose acknowledge regarding service of notice is awaited. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24.7.2018.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 415/2017 IN M.A. ST. 1254/2017 IN O.A. 393/2007 (Himat B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Sumedha T. Jagtap – Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicant for condonation of delay of 1518 days caused in filing M.A. St. no. 1254/2017 for restoration of O.A. By the order dtd. 26.6.2013 passed by the Tribunal O.A. no. 393/2007 has been dismissed for want of prosecution.
- 3. It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the applicant that since the applicant has moved from place to place, learned Advocate was unable to contact him and, therefore, delay has been occurred in filing restoration application. In the O.A. the applicant is seeking deemed date of promotion, who is already superannuated from the service. Learned P.O. submits that the delay is enormous.

### <u>::-2-::</u> <u>M.A. 415/17 IN M.A. ST.</u> <u>1254/17 IN O.A. 393/07</u>

4. In the circumstances, for the reasons stated in the M.A. the present misc. application is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the delay of 1518 days caused in filing M.A. St. no. 1254/2017 for restoration of O.A. is hereby condoned.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. ST. 1254/2017 IN O.A. 393/2007 (Himat B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Sumedha T. Jagtap – Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A. no. 393/2017 which has been dismissed by the Tribunal for want of prosecution vide order dtd. 26.6.2013.
- 3. Today by passing separate order in M.A. no. 415/2017 the delay of 1518 days caused in filing the present M.A. i.e. M.A. St. no. 1254/2017 for restoration of O.A. is condoned.
- 4. Perused the application. Considered the contentions.
- 5. For the reasons stated in the present M.A. it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the O.A. no. 393/2007 is restored to its original file.

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393/2007 (Himat B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

**DATE** : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Sumedha T. Jagtap – Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Upon restoration of O.A. issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 6.8.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rifles, 1988, and the

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 393/2007

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 6.8.2018.
- 9. Steno copy / humdast allowed for both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 54, 55 & 56 ALL OF 2018

(Sarita Gadekar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Raghavendra N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, M.P. Gude, I.S. Thorat, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, Smt. Resha S. Deshmukh and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that acknowledgement of notices of some respondents are remained to be received.
- 3. Learned C.P.O. & P.Os. file on record affidavit in replies of res. no. 3 in respective O.As. The same taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicants. At the request of learned C.P.O. / P.Os. S.O. to 7.8.2018 for filing affidavit in replies of remaining respondents in respective matters.

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21-6-2018

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 720/2013 (Uddhav V. Padgilwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HISTOR M.T. LOCHE V.C.

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

AND

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks accommodation. The present matter is very old, however, in the interest of justice, as a last chance, S.O. to 19.7.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 764/2013 (Chandramohan D. Bhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CODAM . HIGTIGE M TO LOCKE W.C.

**CORAM**: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

**AND** 

ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)

DATE : 21.6.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 26.7.2018 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

**VICE CHAIRMAN**