

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.128 of 2022</p> <p>M. N. KatteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gugale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for final hearing, the issue was raised about the competency of Respondent to issue eviction notice.</p> <p>3. Yesterday, learned P.O. sought time to ascertain the legal position of quarter in question falls in BDD Chawl, Warali. Notably, in <i>O.A.No.121/2021 (Shri Dilip Jadhav V/s Commissioner of Police & Ors)</i>, dated 25.02.2022, the Tribunal dealt with the issue of competent authority for eviction of premises falls in BDD Chawl. The Tribunal recorded findings that for BDD Chawl, the Director, BDD Chawls is the competent Authority. In view of notification issued by the Government dated 03.02.1956. These Notifications and the provisions of Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1956 are thoroughly discussed in the said judgment.</p> <p>4. Today, learned P.O. tendered order dated 21.12.2022 issued by the Joint Commissioner of Police, Mumbai for withdrawal of eviction notice which is subject matter in the present O.A. with liberty to avail the proceeding of Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1956. The letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>5. In O.A., the Applicant has challenged recovery order dated 11.02.2021 also and prayed for refund of Rs.1,00,320/-. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that after filing of O.A also recovery is continued from Pay & Allowances and till date Rs.1,91,520/- are recovered.</p> <p>6. Insofar as recovery is concerned, the challenges to recovery order dated 11.02.2021 is still there which is not cancelled by the Respondents. They have cancelled only eviction order dated 03.11.2021.</p> <p>8. O.A., therefore, will have to be heard on merit in respect of recovery order.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. is directed to come prepared and argue the matter.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 03.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.858 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. Rane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. Court time got over hence adjourned to 12.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.550 of 2022</p> <p>O.S. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Pothare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.744 of 2022 in O.A. No.1288 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.J. Tarhal & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>3. OA adjourned to 22.12.2022. OA be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.12.2022 21.12.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.541 of 2022</u></p> <p>J.R. Chhapriya ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files an application dated 21.12.2022 and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA No.541 of 2022. The said application dated 21.12.2022 is taken on record and marked Court Exhibit '1' for identification.</p> <p>4. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.12.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.12.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.12.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1286/2022</u></p> <p>Shri M.C. Bhave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.01.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. The Respondents waive service of notice as copy is already served on the Respondents and affidavit of service is tendered by the learned counsel for the Applicant in the Court today and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>9. S.O to 4.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gaggil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1224 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. KamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 11.01.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.951 of 2021</p> <p>S. Y. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted by way of most last chance to file Affidavit on the issue rent free quarter.</p> <p>3. Affidavit should be filed by Respondent No.1</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K. S. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit of the Applicant with some Annexure. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.12.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1234 of 2022</p> <p>L.M. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.931/2021</u></p> <p>Shri B.G. Sagare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In this O.A, as per order passed by the Tribunal on 20.12.2022, learned P.O. was to file Affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day, but it was not filed.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. requested to take the matter on Board for filing Affidavit-in-reply stating that yesterday, he could not file it.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, allowed to file Affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.12.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.1110/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.R. Sangle ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents for quite some time.</p> <p>2. The O.A. has checked history of litigation. In O.A, the Applicant has simply prayed for direction to the Respondents to pay interest on delayed payment at the rate of 18% for the period for which Applicant has been kept away from exercising his legal rights. Thus, the prayer is too vague without making specific claim as to for which period and on what amount Applicant is claiming interest.</p> <p>3. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant made various submissions, but those are not pleaded specifically in O.A. Apart, prayer clause is also totally vague.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh proper O.A. with appropriate pleadings and prayer within a month.</p> <p>5. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. as stated above.</p> <p>6. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.12.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.691 of 2022 in M.A.692 of 2022 in O.A.No.75 of 2022</p> <p>S. K. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file reply in M.A. but it is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. Both Misc. Applications be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.479 of 2020</p> <p>K. P. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt.Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing as a last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right"> ↙ Sd/- ↘ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1225 of 2022</p> <p>R. M. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1285, 1292 & 1293 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. Khaire & Ors. R. M. Ghodke & Ors. G. B. PatilApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In all these Original Applications, the Applicants who are presently posted at C.P. Mumbai are seeking transfer to the establishment of Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon, Beed and Usmanabad respectively. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 09.01.2023. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1188 of 2022</p> <p>P. N. WayalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vishal Golambate holding for Shri H. M. Inamdar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.3. The Respondent No.4 is Private Respondent who is served but absent.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1056 of 2022</p> <p>T. S. MullaniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Y. Gharal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 17.02.2022 whereby his claim for compassionate appointment has been rejected. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.01.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 18.01.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.22 of 2022 in O.A.No.496 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>A. B. Baviskar & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>2. This R.A. is filed along with M.A.No.590/2022 for condonation of delay which allowed today. Therefore, R.A. is required to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply to R.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1094 of 2022</p> <p>H. K. SanasApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt.Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., three weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.590 of 2022 in R.A.No.22 of 2022 in O.A.No.496 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>A. B. Baviskar & Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 7 months caused in filing R.A. in respect of order passed by the Tribunal on 17.06.2021 in O.A. No.496/2020.</p> <p>3. The Original Applicant has not filed reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. However, Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Ori. Applicant opposes the M.A. stating that delay is not properly explained. The Tribunal decided the O.A. by order dated 17.06.2021 and the R.A. ought to have been filed within 1 month. However, there is no denying that it was Covid-19 Pandemic situation. Indeed, the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 passed in suo moto W.P.No.03/2020 held that in cases where the limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. Whereas, R.A. is filed on 07.10.2022. As such, the delay comes to near about 4 months and not 7 months.</p> <p>5. In the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone the delay so as to decide the R.A. on its own merit.</p> <p>6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W^r (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.30 of 2022</p> <p>D. V. Wadhel ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, the issue raised about competency of Respondent No.2 to issue eviction notice. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that eviction proceedings were required to be filed before the competent authority under Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1955 and not doing so, the eviction notice issued by Senior PI of Police Station is bad in law, to see whether the provisions of Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1955 is applicable, it is necessary to see in which ward the quarter in question is located. However, there is no clarity on this issue.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. orally submits that quarter falls in ward-A, therefore, the Deputy Commissioner of Police, (Headquarter) Greater Bombay is the competent authority for carrying out eviction proceeding. He sought short time to file affidavit as to in which ward, the quarter in question falls.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.347 of 2022 in O.A.No.1065 of 2021</p> <p>R. A. JoshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Wth (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.12.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.742 and M.A.No.748 of 2022 in O.A.No.1285 & 1292 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. Khaire & Ors. R. M. Ghodke & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule & Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Misc. Applications are disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>