

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders   | Tribunal's orders   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>DATE:</b> <u>21/12/16.</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b><br/>           Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL<br/>           (Vice - Chairman)<br/>           Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b><br/>           Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u><br/>           Advocate for the Applicant<br/>           Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u><br/>           C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 4/1/17.</u></p>  | <p><b>21.12.2016</b><br/> <u>O.A No 1084/2016</u></p> <p>Shri K.D Shaikh ... Applicant<br/>           Vs.<br/>           The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Dere states that inadvertently, he has not collected the copy of notice to be served on the Respondents. He requested that fresh notice may be issued.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 4.1.2017.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O 4.1.2017.</p> |

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

|   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|

**21.12.2016**

**O.A No 899/2016**

Shri H.R Das ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Applicant in person and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. O.A is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder if need be.

Place for final hearing on 11.1.2017.

DATE: 21/12/16

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)  
~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:  
~~Shri/Smt. \_\_\_\_\_~~ Applicant in person

Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise

~~C.A.O/P.O. for the Respondents~~  
Rejoinder filed by Applicant

~~Adj. To.....~~  
S.O. to 11/1/17.  
O.A. is admitted.

FH  
[Signature]

Akn

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: ..... of 20 ..... DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

**21.12.2016**

**M.A 543/2016 in O.A No 1027/2016**

Shri V.B Kulkarni ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 4.1.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 4.1.2016.

DATE: 21/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 4/1/17.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)

Vice-Chairman <sub>P.T.O.</sub>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**21.12.2016**

**O.A No 1170/2016**

Dr R.R Avhad ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Chief Presenting Officer files affidavit in reply. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar states that the Applicant was working on a temporary post as Assistant professor in Dentistry in Grant Medical College, Mumbai. He has since been displaced by person selected through MPSC. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar stated that the proposal to regularize the services of Dental Surgeon and Assistant Professor in Dentistry is pending before the Government and a decision is likely to be taken <sup>shortly</sup> suitably. There are certain vacancies in Government Dental College at Mumbai where the Applicant can be temporarily accommodated. There is a vacancy in Government Dental College, Nagpur also where the Applicant is ready to join. He has submitted a representation accordingly to Respondent no. 1. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar prayed that Respondent no. 1 may be directed to consider the aforesaid representation of the Applicant pending disposal of this Original Application.

Respondent no. 1 is directed to take a view on the representation submitted by the Applicant regarding temporarily accommodating him on one of the vacancy in Government Dental College till Government decides about regularizing of services of the Applicant and similarly situated persons.

S.O to 11.1.2017. Handson.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 21/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by R. No. 1

Adj. To

S.O to 11/1/17.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

21.12.2016

M.A 533/2016 in O.A No 1053/2016

Shri K.A Shinde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for  
the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

This Misc Application is filed to amend the  
Original Application. Though learned Presenting  
Officer opposes any amendment, I find that the issue  
raised by the Applicant can be effectively replied by  
the Respondents in their reply <sup>to be</sup> filed in case the  
amendment is allowed.

Considering the prayer made in the Misc  
Application, I am inclined to grant leave to the  
Applicant to amend the Original Application.

Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale stated that he  
will amend the Original Application by 23.12.2016  
and serve the amended copy on the Respondents  
within one week.

S.O to 11.1.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

DATE: 21/12/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To M.A. is allowed.

S.O. A. Adj. to 11/1/17.

*[Signature]*