

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.10.2016

**M.A. No. 318 of 2016
in
O.A. No. 831 of 2016 (D.B.)**

S. B. Jagtap Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application for condonation of delay of about one year. The details of the facts of the OA are not required to be set out. The case assigned is that some delay occurred in the matter of declaration of the result itself.

3. In any case, this is not a matter where the applicant could be assailed of a conduct which can be called contumacious. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the delay is condoned.

4. Misc. Application is allowed with no order as to costs.

5. The applicant and the office of this Tribunal are directed to process the matter further to get the OA registered and place before the appropriate bench for hearing.

DATE: 21/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. J. N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to M.A. is Allowed.

[Signature]

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)**

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.10.2016

O.A. No. 739 of 2016 (D.B.)

S. R.S. Munir Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The Affidavit-in-reply is taken on record. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate insists on the grant of interim relief. He does not want to file rejoinder.

3. In my opinion, ^a ~~the~~ ^{ed} ~~fix~~ ^{be} ~~date~~ ^{is} given for hearing will be in the interest of all concerned for obvious reasons.

4. The Original Application is admitted and is appointed for final hearing before the Second Division Bench on 8.11.2016.

DATE: 21/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by Respondent.

Adj. To.....

O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 8/11/16.

FH
@10/11

VSM

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/10/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. Ms. S.P. Mandekar and holding for A.R. JOSHI Advocate for the Applicant. Archana B.K. holding for K.B. Bhise. Shri / Smt. _____ C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To 26/10/16.</p> <p>PH <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.10.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.198 of 2015 with O.A.No.238 of 2015 Suhask Madhukar Bakre (in O.A.No.198 of 2015) Miss Varsha Devchand Bhatara (in O.A.No.238 of 2015) (Dr. Mrs. Varsha Rajesh Rathod) ...Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate in O.A.No.198 of 2015 and she holds for Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.238 of 2015 (accompanying O.A.) and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri Nikhil Mallewar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri Sachin Pawar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.198 of 2015 and for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.238 of 2015. None for the Respondents No.4 in O.A.No.238 of 2015 for whom Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate has filed Vakalatnama.</p> <p>2. The affidavit-in-reply of the 4th Respondent is not filed. The OA is now adjourned for further hearing making it clear that if the Affidavit-in-reply of the 4th Respondent is tendered on that date, it will be taken on record. The date is adjourned from 24.10.2016 to 26.10.2016.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.10.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (R.B. Malik) (Rajiv Agarwal) Member (J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.10.2016

O.A. No. 1004 of 20165

With

O.A. No. 194 of 2016

with

O.A. No.410 of 2016

S.L. Devare & 73 others

C.J. Kamble & Ors

N.N. Jagtap

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer with Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents and Shri Sonkavade, the learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Spl. Counsel for the Government.

2. I am informed that Shri D.B. Khaire has been appointed as Special Counsel in these matters for the respondents. It will not be proper to make any detailed observation but it seems that in good measure, these Original Applications may have worked themselves out and, therefore, I direct that Shri D.B. Khaire must remain present in these matters before me on 24.10.2016. It should be shown as Part Heard.

DATE: 21/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. Ms. Archana B.K.~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~

with Ms. N.G. Gohad.

Shri. Sonkavade

Adj. To.....

holding for D.B. Khaire

Spl. counsel for Govt.

S.O. to 24/10/16.

Part heard.

PH

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.10.2016

O.A. No. 583 of 2016 (D.B.)

R.G. Bhise Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. If the Sur-rejoinder is to be filed by the Respondents, it must be filed on the next date and not thereafter.
3. Admit, liberty to mention.

DATE: 21/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri. K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by
Applicant.

Adj. To: _____

O.A. is Admit.

Liberty to mention.

[Signature]

Sd/-

**(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)**

VSM

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.10.2016

M.A. No. 408 of 2016

in

O.A. No. 286 of 2016

S. G. Yewale

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The applicant by this Misc. Application wants to amend the OA and seeks to incorporate the events which can be called subsequent events. Cautious cognizance of subsequent events can always be taken and the application is, therefore, allowed. The amendment herein mentioned is carried out within two weeks from today. A consolidated copy of the OA after amendment be filed and copy be served to learned PO to file affidavit-in-reply/ additional reply.

3. The MA is allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.

4. The OA is adjourned to 23.11.2016.

DATE: 21/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To M.A. is Allowed

D.A. Adj. to 23/11/16

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 21.10.16
Member(J)

VSM