

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1145 of 2023</p> <p>V. S. Lambhate <span style="float: right;">.....Applicant</span>            Versus            The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and 2 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3. No one is present on behalf of Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant states that this O.A. No.1145/2023 has been amended and then copies have served on Respondents 1, 2 and Respondent No.3. Further O.A.No.1145/2023 as amended has also been served on Respondent No.4- Chief Executive Officer, SRA.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 contends that 'Interim Relief' granted to Applicant on 07.9.2023 be vacated because 'Respondent No.3' was not heard as the copy of this amended O.A.No.1145/2023 had not been served prior to 07.09.2023 on 'Respondent No.3.' In this regard, learned Counsel for 'Respondent No.3' placed reliance on certain judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and Hon'ble High Court which are as under :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 1990 SCC (243) ( Ratilal B. Soni &amp; Ors. V/s State of Gujarat &amp; Ors.)</li> <li>2. (1997) 8 SCC 373 (State of Punjab &amp; Ors. V/s Inder Singh &amp; Ors)</li> <li>3. 2008 SCC Online AP 87 : (2008) 6 ALD 393 : (2009) 73 AIC 533 : (2009) 1 SLR 172 : (2008) 5 ALT 548 (Municipal Commissioner, Tirupati Municipal Corporation, Tirupati, Chittoor District V/s A. Sumalini &amp; Ors.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Learned Advocate of Respondent No.3 also relied upon the provisions of Section 24 of Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985 to argue against the extension of Interim Relief.

4. Learned P.O. states that no instructions have been received as yet on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to file 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 including contention of learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 regarding continuation of Interim Relief after the Next Date.

6. Learned P.O. further states that he has no instruction to represent Chief Executive Officer, SRA- Respondent No.4. Further no Special Counsel is present on behalf of Chief Executive Officer, SRA- Respondent No.4. Learned P.O. therefore to inform Chief Executive Officer, SRA to file Affidavit in Reply being Respondent No.4 after amendments to this O.A.No.1145 of 2023.

7. The issue relating to continuation of Interim Relief will be heard on Next Date subject to Affidavit in Reply being filed by Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

8. 'Interim Relief' to continue till Next Date.

9. S.O. to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

( Debashish Chakrabarty )  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.698 of 2022 with O.A.No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 (Speaking to Minutes)</p> <p>B. P. Chavan &amp; Ors. M. P. Rao &amp; Ors. N. V. Pawar</p> <p align="right">....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is taken up for 'Speaking to Minutes' to correct two 'Inadvertent Mistakes' in 'Para No.3' and 'Para No.4' of the order dated 14.09.2023 :-</p> <p>(a) In Para No.3 :- Home Department's G.R. is mentioned as dated '28.11.2017' whereas it should be read as dated '26.10.2017'.</p> <p>(b) In Para No.4, Home Department's G.R. is mentioned as dated '28.11.2017' whereas it should be read as dated '26.10.2017'.</p> <p>5. The order be read accordingly.</p> <p align="right">             ( Debashish Chakrabarty )            Member(A)         </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2023

O.A.No. 698 of 2022  
with  
O.A.No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022

B. P. Chavan & Ors.

M. P. Rao & Ors.

N. V. Pawar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. is directed to file Affidavit in Reply on behalf of (i) Additional Chief Secretary (Home), Home Department-Respondent No.1, and (ii) Director General of Police, Maharashtra State-Respondent No.2 with regard to remedial action that is proposed to be taken by them with reference to observations made in order dated 14.09.2023.

3. Learned P.O. to inform Commissioner of Police, Nashik- Respondent No.4 in O.A.698/2022 with O.A.306/2022 not to initiate any action to fill up the 21 'Vacant Posts' of 'Police Constables'.

4. Learned P.O. is granted 'Two weeks' time to file 'Affidavit in Reply' on behalf of (i) Additional Chief Secretary (Home), Home Department and (ii) Director General of Police, Maharashtra State.

5. S.O. to 05.10.2023.

  
( Debashish Chakrabarty )  
Member(A)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.602 of 2023 in O.A.No.1201 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A. G. Gholap &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.602 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A 315/2023 in O.A 501/2023 with M.A 316/2023 in O.A 502/2023 with M.A 317/2023 in O.A 503/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.S Pitale &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Applications are filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the Original Applications.</p> <p>3. All the applicants are appointed on compassionate grounds on the post of Clerk-cum-Typists. According to the learned counsel for the applicants, as per G.R dated 21.12.2019, the applicants were placed in a supernumerary post vide impugned order dated 17.3.2020, initially for a period of 11 months. Subsequently, the Respondents have issued order dated 18.1.2023 continuing the applicants on supernumerary posts. Hence, learned counsel submitted that there is no delay.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O submits that there is a delay of 2 years and 3 months because the first order was issued on 17.3.2020 and the Original Application was filed on 2.5.2023.</p> <p>5. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants, the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2023

O.A.No.1201 of 2023

A. G. Gholap & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 19.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 914/2016</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Later on</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.A Desale ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Learned P.O submits that Ms Niketa C. Pande, Under Secretary, Urban Development Department, Shri V.A Dhotre, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department and Shri P.S Shivtare, Desk Officer, Skill Development Department are present.</p> <p>2. We direct the Ms Niketa C. Pande, Under Secretary, Urban Development Department, Shri V.A Dhotre, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department to file affidavit in reply whether Municipal Corporations form part of the Government and can be called Government for the purpose of Pension.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>  Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  <b>Chairperson</b></p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.226 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>N. L. Khairnar</b> ...Applicants</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. Tripathi holding for Shri S. S. Gosavi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 22.09.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.09.2023 as Part-Heard.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.596/2023 in O.A.No.819/2017</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.  .....Applicant (Org. Respondents)</p> <p>Vs.  V.P.V. Valson .....Respondents(Org. Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents and Ms. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Applicants).</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the order of the Tribunal was passed on 01.08.2023 wherein directions were issued to Respondents to reinstate the service of the Applicant as Assistant Engineer, Class-I within six weeks from the date of the order. However, the order was uploaded on 10.08.2023 or 11.08.2023 and thereafter six weeks can be counted. If it is so then for compliance of the said order the time available with the State is upto 03.10.2023 or 04.10.2023. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the State wants to challenge the said order before the Hon'ble High Court and therefore opinion of the concerned authorities is sought. It will require some time and therefore it is prayed that three months time be granted.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed affidavit in M.A. opposing this application of granting three months time. Learned Counsel has submitted that since the applicant was out of service since last six years and it is the second round of litigation three months time may not be granted to the Respondents.</p> <p>4. We grant three weeks from 03.10.2023 i.e. upto 25.10.2023. Hence, M.A. filed seeking extension of three months time for compliance of order passed in O.A. is granted upto 25.10.2023.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is partly allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>C.A.No.4/2020 in O.A.No.1119/2015</b></p> <p>Dr. B.M. Lad .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kanchi, holding for M/S. Talekar &amp; Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. informs that she has received instructions from M.P.S.C. that the revised list is prepared published 18.09.2023. However, name of the Applicant, Dr B.M. Lad is not shown in the said list as he is age barred. Learned P.O. is directed to file affidavit to that effect.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.325/2018 with O.A.No.13/2020</b></p> <p>A.M. Jadhao &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.325/2018, Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.13 /2020, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Mr. D.B. Khaire holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file affidavit pursuant to the order dated 26.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 12.10.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>R.A 09/2023 in O.A 570/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri Balasaheb G. Kingare &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.G Kingare, applicant in person and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.10.2023.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 19.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 28/2023 in O.A 101/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.T Ghawali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**21.09.2023**

**O.A 501/2023 with O.A 502/2023 with O.A 503/2023**

Shri P.S Pitale & Ors ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants pray that the impugned order dated 17.3.2020 issued by Respondent No. 1 and order dated 18.1.2023 issued by Respondent no. 3 be quashed and set aside.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.10.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

8. S.O to 26.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>21.09.2023</u>
	<u>M/A 542/2023 in O.A 255/2023</u>
	Shri Ashok S Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The present Misc Application is filed by the applicant seeking directions that the Tribunal be pleased to decide the O.A finally in the light of the judgment and order dated 10.8.2023 passed in O.A 416/2022 in the matter of Shri A.S Jage Vs The State of Maharashtra.
	3. The Respondents to consider the case of the applicant in the light of the judgment and order dated 10.8.2023 passed in O.A 416/2022 in the matter of Shri A.S Jage Vs The State of Maharashtra.
	4. S.O to 12.10.2023.
	Sd/  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

Akn



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 50/2023 in O.A 709/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.J Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This C.A is filed by the applicant for not complying with the order of this Tribunal dated 23.9.2022, wherein the Tribunal has directed the Respondents to reinstate the applicant and to complete the departmental enquiry within four months from the date of the order, i.e., on or before 23.1.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submitted that the applicant is reinstated in service, but departmental enquiry is not yet completed.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submitted that the enquiry has been completed and show cause notice is issued to the applicant. However, the applicant has raised objection that Shri J.R Bankapure, the Enquiry Officer who has conducted the enquiry is more than 70 years old and is against the G.R dated 20.6.2022 issued by G.A.D, wherein it is clearly stated that the age of the Enquiry Officer, who is conducting the enquiry should not be more than 70 years.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, Shri Mahendra B Warbhuvan, Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development is directed to file personal affidavit on the point that how and why Shri J.R Bankapure was appointed as the Enquiry Officer.</p> <p>6. S.O to 6.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 902 with O.A 1002/2022</u></b></p> <p>R.R Godse S.P Deshpande ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants challenge the impugned orders dated 20.2.2019, 112.2.2020 and 9.2.2022 passed by Respondents No. 4, 2 and 1.</p> <p>3. The applicants are working as Constables in Subsidiary Intelligence Bureau. Pursuant to departmental enquiry, they were dismissed from service. Hence, the applicants challenge the abovementioned impugned order and prays that they be reinstated in service.</p> <p>4. S.O to 30.10.2023. H.O.B.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 45/2023 in O.A 614/2022</u></b></p> <p>N.D Gangurde ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply and submitted that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.9.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil)  Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 54/2022 in O.A 248/2014</u></b></p> <p>Smt I.S Mulgaonkar (legal heir S.Y Mulgaonkar ) ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Respondent-State has filed W.P Stamp No. 25219/2022 before the Hon'ble High Court and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 58/2022 in O.A 863/2017</u></b></p> <p>Smt C. V Bhosale &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As the matter is filed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court challenging the order of this Tribunal and the same is pending, matter adjourned to 20.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 36/2021 in O.A 1029/2014 (O.A 678/2013-Nagpur) with C.A 37/2021 in O.A 679/2013 (Nagpur) with C.A 38/2021 in O.A 680/2013 -Nagpur, with C.A 39/2021 in O.A 1032/2014 (O.A 681/2013-Nagpur) with C.A 40/2021 in O.A 1033/2014 (O.A 438/2014-Nagpur) with C.A 05/2021 I n T.A 1/2020</u></b></p> <p>R.S Bidkar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri R.G Panchal, learned ccounsel for the applicants absent. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant in C.A 5/2021 in T.A 1/2020 and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the applicants are reinstated in service, but they are not paid their back wages. Learned counsel further submits that the order of this Tribunal is challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P Nos 1002/2022 &amp; Ors and the same is pending.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 2.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- _____</p> <p><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 30/2021 in O.A 970/2014</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.D Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply in respect of the chart of holding date of D.P.C, year wise vacancy chart and seniority number of the applicant and how it went ahead.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>21.09.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 814, 860 &amp; 1033/2021</u></b></p> <p>A.R Patil &amp; Ors S.B Karad &amp; Ors S.B Dabholkar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that similar issue is pending before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P 16025/2022 and the decision in the said matter has direct bearing to the facts of these cases.</p> <p>3. In view of this, all the matter are adjourned to 19.10.2023 and it is to be removed from the caption 'High on Board'.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>Medha Gadgil</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.70 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>H. D. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicants who have appeared for the examination for the post of Civil Engineer, Group 'C' pursuant to advertisement dated 05.04.2018 could not be selected and their names are not mentioned in the Draft Select List, dated 19.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that within Civil Engineer, Group 'C' there are three grades i.e. 'A', 'B' and 'C' and these three Applicants have appeared in all 'A', 'B' and 'C' Grades of Group 'C' Civil Engineer post. The Draft List was published on 16.09.2019 and in the said list, names of the Applicants were not found subsequently on 19.05.2021, the Respondents published an Additional Draft Selection List and in the said Additional Draft Selection List, names of the Applicants are found.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicants further submits that in the said Advertisement total 367 posts of Civil Engineer, Group 'C' were advertised. In which, 318 candidates came to be selected keeping the minimum criteria of 45% of the marks and thereafter 277 candidates were selected to fill in the post out of total 367 posts.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's order

5. Learned Counsel submits that thus 90 posts were available on 19.11.2018 out of 277 posts. Out of 277 candidates, in the first selection list dated 19.11.2018, total number of 256 candidates joined and 21 candidates failed to appear for document verification, so further additional selection list dated 16.09.2019 was published consisting of 21 candidates out of which three candidates chose to remain absent for document verification.

6. Learned C.P.O. points out that as three candidates remained absent out of 21 candidates for documents verification one Shri Abhishek Sutavane filed *W.P. No.1595/2021* at Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, bench at Aurangabad claiming for appointment as per merit of the marks obtained by him along with two others candidate and claimed appointment against those three candidates who remained absent for document verification.

7. The Respondents thereafter published additional selection list on 19.05.2021 consisting of six candidates in which three candidates got selected. However, other three candidates were meritorious then the present Applicants were selected and they joined as per their appointment orders dated 30.12.2021. The said *W.P.No.1595/2021* was subsequently withdrawn. The names of the Applicants appeared in that list which cannot be entertained as all three meritorious candidates above them are selected whereby the selection additional list became extinct and the recruitment procedure is closed. Learned C.P.O. submits that these three present Applicants cannot be accommodated and appointed. She further submits that selection process was started in 2018 and last appointment order was published on 30.12.2021 and moreover the list of six candidates was published on 19.05.2021 and now in the year 20023 grievance is made by the Applicants.

8. Learned Counsel for the Applicant requested for one day time.

9. S.O. to 22.09.2023.

Sd/-  
(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1008 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>G. R. Kale</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that she will file 'Affidavit in Reply' during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that they are not interested in filing 'Affidavit in Rejoinder.'</li> <li>4. Since the pleading is complete, the matter is admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing.'</li> <li>5. S.O. to 05.10.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- ( Debashish Chakrabarty ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1130 of 2023</p> <p>S. G. More ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</li><li>3. Interim Relief to continue till next date.</li><li>4. S.O. to 05.10.2023.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( Debashish Chakrabarty ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.988 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>R. D. Kanade</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C. P. O. submits that inadvertently contents in para no.6 of the order dated 01.09.2023 is remained to be taken into account. Hence, she seeks two weeks' time to get instruction.</p> <p>3. Time is granted as prayed for.</p> <p>4. The matter is fixed on 05.10.2023 under 'Urgent Admission' caption.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar. J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.09.2023

O.A.No.734 of 2023

D. M. Bhoire & Ors .....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. on instruction submits that as per Time-table of website of Government of Maharashtra, the results are going to be published in November, 2023. Hence, the matter is not heard on interim relief though pressed by learned Counsel for the Applicant.
3. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur is hereby directed to file Affidavit in Reply in respect of 11 circles while answering our three questions.
4. S.O. to 12.10.2023.

Sd/-  
(~~Debashish Chakrabarty~~)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 1199 of 2023</p> <p>K. A. Kundalwal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she has challenged the Transfer Order dated 30.06.2023 by which she is transferred from the post of 'Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology', B. J. Government Medical College, Pune to 'Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology', Government Dental College, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that as per G.A.D.G.R. dated 09.04.2018, the preference of the Applicant should have been called for but is not done by the Respondents. She further contends that it is Mid-Term transfer as per Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfer and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005. Hence, prays for Interim Relief.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. opposes grant of 'Interim Relief' <i>inter-alia</i> stating that the Applicant came very late before the Tribunal because her Transfer Order was issued on 30.06.2023 to post her as 'Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology' at Government Dental College, Mumbai.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that the Tribunal has earlier granted 'Interim Relief' to Professors, Associate Professor and Assistant Professors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

working in (i) Grant Government Medical College, Mumbai in O.A.No.880, 884, 885 and 888/2023 on 21.07.2023; O.A.No.881, 882 and 883/2023 on 25.07.2023, O.A.No.1033 and 1034/2023 on 18.08.2023 (ii) B. J. Medical College, Pune in O.A.No.936/2023 on 31.07.2023; O.A.No.1123/2023 on 30.08.2023. Therefore, as parity of consideration is required to be accorded by the Tribunal in respect of all 'Transfer Orders' of the 'Medical Education and Drugs Department', in which 'Interim Relief' has already been granted, the 'Transfer Order', dated 30.06.2023 of the Applicant to the post of 'Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology' Government Dental College, Mumbai is stayed till 'Next Date'. Applicant be allowed to join back and continue to work on the post of 'Assistant Professor of Anesthesiology', B. J. Government Medical College, Pune.

7. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.10.2023.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

13. S.O. to. 06.10.2023.

Sd/-

( ~~Signature~~ )  
( Debashish Chakrabarty )  
Member(A)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.872 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>A. A. Power</b> .....<b>Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...<b>Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. It is taken on recrd. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that information is still not received from the Food and Drugs Administration Department about their willingness to consider the request of the Applicant for appointment to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Food and Safety Officer, Sangli'.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date.'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ( <b>Debashish Chakrabarty</b> ) <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.594/2023 in O.A.No.574/2023</b></p> <p>S.I. Bhosale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Adjourned to 03.10.2023 for Final Hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gargil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 21.09.2023**

**O.A.No.1196/2023**

S.M. Kamble .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 13.10.2023.

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1121/2022 with M.A.No.244/2023 in O.A.No.401/2023 with O.A.No.928/2023 With O.A.No.1203/2022</b></p> <p>A.V. Pujari &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C., a/w. Mr. Kaustubh Gidh &amp; Mr. Kartikaya Bahadhar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Taken on board at the request of learned Advocate Mr. Gidh.</p> <p align="center">SUO MOTO SPEAKING TO MINUTES</p> <p>3. In orders dated 15.09.2023 passed in O.A.No.1121/2022 with M.A.No.244/2023 in O.A.No.401/2023 with O.A.No.928/2023 as well as in O.A.No.1203/2022, The date of adjournment is wrongly shown as '<b>29.09.2023</b>' It be corrected as '<b>22.09.2023</b>'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Mr. S.P. Baraswade for the applicant in O.A.No.1203/2022 is directed to remain present.</p> <p>5. Ordered accordingly. Adjourned to 22.09.2023 at 12.30 p.m.</p> <p align="center">11</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.NO.914/2016</b></p> <p>A.A. Desale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Mr. D.B. Khaire holding for Mr. Mr. S.N. Rajpurohit, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. We direct the Joint Secretary or the Deputy Secretary of the UDD and Finance Department to remain present today at 1.00 p.m. as the matter pertains to applicability of pension scheme.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 25.09.2023 for Final Hearing. (P.H.) (F.O.B.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.09.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1030 of 2023</b></p> <p><b>K. J. Bhosale ....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  ( Debashish Chakrabarty ) Member(A)</p> <p>vsm</p>