

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.936 OF 2022

Dr. Rahul Y. Sakpal ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri C.T. Chandratre – Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 21st September, 2022

PER : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson

ORDER

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant working as Assistant Professor, Government Medical College, Miraj, challenges the communication dated 31.8.2022 holding him ineligible for applying to the post of Assistant Professor-Pathology, pursuant to the advertisement dated 13.8.2021.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant points out to the Certificate of Experience and educational qualification of the applicant. There is no dispute about the educational qualification. However, his experience as Assistant Professor is questioned by M.P.S.C on the ground that he has not worked as Senior Resident for one year. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on the directions given by the Medical Council of India

dated 28.10.2020 wherein in Annexure-I(A) in Department of Pathology, the hierarchy is mentioned as follows:-

Professor
Associate Professor
Assistant Professor
Tutor/Demonstrator/Sr. Resident.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was appointed and has worked as Assistant Professor in Government Medical College, Miraj, from 1.8.2018 to 30.7.2019 as a bond service and then as Assistant Professor from 27.8.2019 till this date in B.K.L. Walwalkar Rural Medical College, Ratnagiri. The applicant is working as Assistant Professor which is higher to the Senior Resident. Learned counsel for the applicant further relies on the note for the purpose of working out the deficiency, wherein it is mentioned that any excess teaching faculty in higher cadre can compensate the deficiency of lower cadre of the same department only.

5. Learned C.P.O submits that the applicant does not have experience of Senior Resident and therefore his case was not considered by M.P.S.C.

6. Prima facie, we find that there is substance in the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant. We also considered the experience of 4 years put in by the applicant for the post of Assistant Professor for which he wishes to apply. However, as per MCI norms non-clinical post i.e. Pathology does not require one year experience.

7. Under the circumstances, we direct the M.P.S.C to allow the applicant to appear for the interview which is going to be held from 27.9.2022 to 29.9.2022. However, the same will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.



8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.11.2022.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
14. S.O to 4.11.2022. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
21.9.2022

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
21.9.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.210 of 2022</p> <p>D.T. DevkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Chintamani Bhangoji, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. Hamid D. Mulla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Commissioner of Police, Solapur City, Solapur is hereby directed to produce file of noting, whether he has given approval to reasons and directed Mr. Deepak Arave, Assistant Police Commissioner (Transport) Solapur to issue such order dated 08.04.2022.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.09.2022 at 12.00 noon P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.54 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Tilekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Place the above matter under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 23.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.6 of 2022 in O.A. No.574 of 2020</u></p> <p>L.D. Veer ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.3 & 4 and Shri M.D. Lonkar h/f Ms. A.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO pursuant to order dated 24.8.2022 produces the decision of the Govt. dated 20.9.2022 wherein leave of the applicant from 2.11.2006 to 10.11.2006 & 27.11.2006 to 11.6.2007 is treated as Extra Ordinary Leave and pursuant to that applicant should be given the pensionary benefits. The order is communicated to the Commissioner, Education, Pune. The Govt. decision is taken on record and marked Court Exh. 1 for identification.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.236 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. Yogesh Fegade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.230 to 232 of 2020</u></p> <p>Dr. J.S. Jagtap Dr. R.D. More Dr. S.S. Thakur Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file additional reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.10.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">/</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.556 of 2022 in O.A. No.833 of 2022</u></p> <p>Rizwan A. Kamle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this MA for amending the OA as per Annexure-A enclosed the MA.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. OA adjourned to 19.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.865 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.V. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Received report of Board of Referees dated 13.9.2022 from Grant Medical College & Sir J.J. Group of Hospitals, Mumbai wherein it is declared that the applicant is 'Unfit to perform the duties of Police Constable Driver because he is color blind (Protonopia)' along with summary of the test conducted by Medical Board. The same is taken on record and marked Court Exh.1 for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the report of the Medical Board, wherein the applicant is declared unfit, Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the OA by moving MA for amendment.</p> <p>4. Leave granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.385 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.K. Hankare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2022. If reply is not filed the matter will be proceeded without reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.464 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.D. Baraskar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S. Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.539 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.D. Pawar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the applicants has filed Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.609 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.I. Kochargi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed Leave Note.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.11.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.55 of 2022 in O.A. No.434 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.T. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is reinstated. However, for delay the respondents want to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>CA.49/2020 in OA.822/2019 with</u> <u>CA.50/2020 in OA.823/2019 with</u> <u>CA.51/2020 in OA.824/2019 with</u> <u>CA.52/2020 in OA.825/2019 with</u> <u>CA.53/2020 in OA.826/2019 with</u> <u>CA.43/2021 in OA.400/2019 And</u> <u>CA.44/2021 in OA.821/2019 with</u> </p> <p> Dr. G.P. Jangid (CA.49/2020) Dr. S.K. Balwant (CA.50/2020) Dr. D.A. Wani (CA.51/2020) Dr. N.B. Swami (CA.52/2020) Dr. M.M. Bagwan (CA.53/2020) Dr. C.D. Chapte (CA.43/2021) Dr. A.G. Dighe (CA.44/2021) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants. </p> <p> 2. Ld. CPO produces copy of order dated 14.9.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.Ps. No.9427/2022, 8598/2022, 10897/2022, 9429/2022 & 4721/2021 filed by the State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Dr. Deepak A. Wani & Ors. wherein the common order dated 8.1.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OAs No.821 of 826 of 2019 is challenged. The order dated 14.9.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court disposing the writ petitions reads as follows: </p> <p align="center"> <i>"12. In such view of the matter, we are of the opinion that the Government ought to implement the order of the Tribunal. We make it clear that all contentions on merit are left open for being looked into by the State for taking an appropriate decision on the basis of the judgments and orders which are governing the field, within three months from date.</i> </p> <p align="right">  [P.T.O.] </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

13. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petitions stand disposed of. No costs."

3. Dr. S.K. Balvant-Applicant in CA No.50/2020 is present in the Court.

4. Thus, we direct the respondents to take policy decision within three months 14.9.2022 i.e. the date of the order of the Hon'ble High Court. It is to be noted by the respondents that time will not be extended further.

5. S.O. to 22.12.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
21.9.2022

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
21.9.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A was proceeded without Affidavit-in-Reply since it was not filed within the time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. In the interest of justice it is taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.461 of 2022</p> <p>K.N. MavchiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent Nos.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.940 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KashidApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant's grievance as seen from prayer clause 9 seems to be very limited and O.A. can be disposed of after taking instruction from the Respondents on the grievance raised by the Applicant. <i>Prima-facie</i> there seems to be nothing for adjudication of any serious issues.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction from the Respondent and to appraise the Tribunal by next date.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file short Affidavit, if any.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.602 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. GanageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.20 of 2019 in O.A. No.54 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Tilekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Suryavanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Place the above matter under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 23.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2022

O.A.No.642 of 2022 with in O.A.No.643 of 2022

P.B. DurgeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .

2. Earlier it was informed by the Respondent-State that the report is submitted by the enquiry officer and file was sent to G.A.D for final conclusion in D.E. and G.A.D. would require maximum two months time for the same. Thereafter by order dated 20.07.2022 two months time was granted and matter was fixed for further progress on today.

3. Learned P.O. today pursuant to the earlier order informed that in O.A.No.643/2022 enquiry officer has completed the procedure and submitted the report to the disciplinary authority.

4. G.A.D is made suo moto party Respondent No.3 in the array of Respondents. G.A.D. is called upon to furnish the details of the progress in this D.E.

5. Now learned P.O. submits that the earlier information was incorrect and enquiry officer has submitted the report to the Skills, Employment, Entrepreneurship and Innovation Department on 16.09.2022.

6. It is noted that, charge memo was issued on 30.12.2015 in O.A.No.642/2022 and O.A.No.643 /2022. Enquiry Officer was appointed on 27.08.2019 and 16.08.2019 in O.A.No.642/2022 and O.A.No.643 /2022 respectively.

7. Why enquiry officer was not appointed from 30.05.2015 to 27.08.2019 / 16.08.2019. This is to be stated in affidavit by Principal Secretary or Joint Secretary to explain the delay in appointing enquiry officer.

9. Adjourned to 22.09.2022 at 1.00 p.m.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.515 of 2022 with O.A. No.516 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These O.As were proceeded without Affidavit-in-Reply since it was not filed within time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in O.A. No.515/2022 and Respondent Nos.1 & 2 in O.A. No.516/2022.</p> <p>4. Insofar as O.A. No.516/2022 is concerned, independent Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.1 is required since the impugned order is passed by the Respondent No.1 so as to justify order dated 22.04.2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 21.09.2022
	<p align="center">M.A.No.422 of 2019 in O.A.No.646 of 2016</p> <p>S.P. SableApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. By way of last chance adjourned to 07.10.2022 for learned Advocate to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.483 of 2022</p> <p>M.G. PrajapatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.2 and 3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.09.2022 at 10.30 a.m.. Interim relief to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.405, 448, 449, 455 & 456 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.M. Jadhav, N.K. Gaikwad, S.D. Sutar, S.R. Gadhari, P.V. Shirbhate ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matters called out at 10.30 a.m. however, the Ld. Advocate for the applicants is not present, though the Hon'ble High Court has given specific directions to dispose off these matters till 29.9.2022.</p> <p>3. Later on Smt. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants appears at 11.15 a.m. and files written arguments along with its annexures and the same are taken on record. A copy is given to the Ld. PO.</p> <p>3. In the written arguments she has annexed affidavit in reply dated 15.9.2017 filed by Ravindra P. Ate, Joint Secretary, School Education & Sports Department in OA No.634 of 2017 (Shrikant D. Sutar & 10 Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.).</p> <p>4. She has also annexed memo of Writ Petition No.4885/2018 filed by the State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Shrikant D. Sutar & Ors. in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order dated 13.7.2017 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.634 of 2017.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.9.2022 21.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.929 of 2022

Sachin B. Dhole ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant seeks deemed date in the cadre of Deputy Collector and seeks further direction to decide the representations dated 14.6.2021 and 7.9.2021.

3. The respondents are directed to take decision on the representations within a period of two months and communicate the decision to the applicant within one week thereafter. OA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
21.9.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
21.9.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.831 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder in the mean time.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.829 of 2022</p> <p>R.T. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Aditi Mairal, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.802 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. ShirgaonkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.757 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. DhumaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.689 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ChandaliyaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Anita Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.761 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.901 of 2022 with M.A. No.543 of 2022</p> <p>M.R. NaladkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and Shri Kunal Damale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 and Shri Damale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 has also filed Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 submit that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A. during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. In this O.A. Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 08.09.2022 and thereafter M.A. is filed to vacate interim relief. In O.A. Reply is already filed by all Respondents therefore O.A. itself needs to be decided on merit along with M.A.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.472 of 2022 in O.A. No.780 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note adjourned to 07.10.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.236 of 2022 in O.A. No.260 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note. 3. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note adjourned to 12.10.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>