

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1008/2015

**Mr. S.B. Burange ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate Mrs. Mahajan presents for my perusal a copy of the order dated 13/16.9.2017. It appears therefrom that the OA has worked itself out. Compliance therewith must be done within a period of eight weeks from today. The OA is disposed of with these directions with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)  
Vice-Chairman 21/9/17  
21.09.2017

DATE: 21/9/17

CCRAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

(skw)

~~Ad. To O.A. is disposed~~

of

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.524 OF 2017**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.841 OF 2017**

Shri Rahul D. Pawar & Ors. (OA No.524/17)  
Shri R.T. Dalavi (OA No.841/17) ..Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar – Advocate for the Applicants in OA.524/17

Shri N.P. Dalvi – Advocate for Respondent No.1 in OA.524/17

Shri Ravi Shetty – Advocate for Respondent No.8 in OA.524/17

Shri Sandeep Dere – Advocate for Applicant in OA.841/17

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents


CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 21<sup>st</sup> September, 2017

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA.524/17, Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 in OA.524/17, Shri Ravi Shetty, learned Advocate for Respondent No.8 in OA.524/17, Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA.841/17 and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Limited question that arises in present OAs is as follows:



Whether MPSC is justified in allowing candidates who have applied against reserved category by taking one or several concessions applicable to candidate belonging to reserved category, and have opted for being considered in reserved seat, can be considered and preferred for appointment on a vacancy notified for open/unreserved seat in supersession of a candidate who did not claim any concession including the fee to be paid, and has applied against an unreserved vacancy or seat?

3. Heard oral submissions of Advocate for the applicants, for MPSC and for State Government.
4. In present OA MPSC has filed affidavit stating that the requisition send by the State did not prescribe that candidate who avails concession available to applicants who have applied for appointment against reserved vacancy by availing concession for applicants applying in any vacancy reserved for any particular class as regards fee at concessional rate, should not be considered for appointment against vacancies which are not earmarked for reservation of any particular class.
5. In contrast with MPSC's stance, State Government tacitly supports the plea of applicant that the candidates who have availed concession in paying fee for applying against a vacancy reserved for any particular class, are not entitled to be considered for vacancy for which no reservation is provided. As a corollary it is suggested that a candidate who has availed the concession in fee is liable to be considered only against seat reserved for particular category. The State has however refrained from revealing its stance in eloquent and unambiguous language or terminology and rather has stated that in present case controversy has arisen over or due to action taken by MPSC, it ought to defend the case and relevant averment has to be replied by the MPSC.

6. MPSC as well as State Government being the authorities working under the Constitution of India, they are not entitled to take plea against one another or shirk the responsibility. The State ought to clarify its stance as to the wider prospective of reservation since the reservations and modality of its enforcement are matters of enforcement of rights under executive business of the Government.

6. In the background of plea of the State which has potential of contradicting what MPSC has done, is in the nature of 'inter-pleader', the State ought to elucidate its exact stance as to what should have been the course of action by and on the part of MPSC, as the State is the enforcing authority of reservations.

7. Therefore, this Tribunal considers it appropriate to direct the State Government to place on record its unambiguous stance and state as to its decision as to eligibility and entitlement of the candidates who opt for being considered for appointment against the vacancies which are not reserved - open merit category. Affidavit on this point be filed by an officer who shall not be lower in rank than the Secretary, GAD notwithstanding that the Secretary GAD is not a party to present OA.

8. Affidavit be filed on or before 27.9.2017.

9. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman  
21.9.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
O.A. No. 503 of 2017

Shri S.P. Arabatti & Ors. ..Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO states that he is aware that the case is to be decided within 3 weeks.

3. Ld. CPO states that the reply of the Collector shall be filed within one week.

4. This Tribunal is of the view that permanent absorption may not be within absolute power of the Collector. Therefore, the decision and the affidavit of the Government is necessary.

5. Therefore, the affidavit has to be filed by the Secretary, Revenue after due deliberation with departments such as Law and Judiciary Department apart from Finance Department, GAD etc. after taking into account the grievance as expressed in OA and the order passed in OA No.292 of 2014 and observations of this Tribunal as are contained in the interim order passed on 13.6.2017 in present OA.

6. Therefore, though Ld. CPO prayed for one week's time, three weeks time is granted, so that by completing entire exercise, affidavit be filed and the case can be decided within time stipulated by Hon'ble High Court.

7. S.O. to 31.10.2017.

8. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

DATE: 21/9/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 31/10/2017

Steno copy & Hamdast  
is allowed.

2/2

(sgj)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, Chairman)

Chairman

21.9.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION 674 OF 2017**

**DIST : PUNE**

Shri Pathan B. Nazirkhan )...**Applicant**

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra & others )...**Respondents**

Shri A.A Aghav, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

**DATE : 21.09.2017**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri A.A Aghav, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer prays for time for filing reply.

3. Learned Presenting Officer was called to state as to whether he has received instructions. Learned Presenting

  
A.A. Aghav

Officer sought time to find out whether instructions are received in his office and requested to adjourn the matter in the afternoon session.

4. Learned Presenting Officer states in the afternoon session as follows:-

(a) Instructions are not received either by email or by letter.

(b) He had contacted the Collector, Pune and Presenting Officer has been requested to pray for time.

5. It is shocking and unacceptable that inspite of service of notice, Collector, Pune has failed to respond to notice and has failed to give instructions to the learned Presenting Officer.

6. Collector, who himself acts as a quasi-judicial authority ought to have attended to the case promptly and given proper instructions to the learned Presenting Officer in present case.

7. Learned Presenting Officer was called to furnish name of the Collector, which he has furnished as follows.

(a) Shri Saurabh Rao, Collector, Pune is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with cost of Rs. 10,000/- for his failure to attend to the case and make necessary

arrangements for appearance/filing reply/praying for time.

- (b) Reply to the show cause notice be filed before next date.


8. Shri Saurabh Rao, Collector, Pune is directed to file affidavit on following points:-

- (a) He shall call for all papers pertaining to present O.A. and all files concerned.
- (b) He shall personally read all papers including present O.A.
- (c) Find out the date on which notice of O.A. and letters from learned P.O. were received, and the date on which the O.A. was brought to their notice.
- (d) After forming opinion if facts warrant, he shall take a conscious decision as to the stance to be taken to defend this O.A. by filing affidavit or to reconsider applicants' case, and place his decision on record in present O.A, if any decision on his part is needed.
- (e) In case it is seen that the conscious decision to defend the case in proper manner was not taken before the date of this order, take decision as to what steps he would take and what procedure he would device, introduce and adopt, to have each case studied to identify the point involved, and then to take a decision as to modality of filing affidavit.
- (f) Find out reasons due to which affidavit-in-reply was not filed in present O.A. despite service of notice and grant of adequate time.
- (g) Place on record what modalities he would adopt to avoid the neglect in attending the cases before this Tribunal



and in order to ensure punctual attendance to notices of this Tribunal and letters of learned P.O, notices by parties and Advocates against any action or contempt etc.

9. Irrespective as to whether Collector files reply to the Original Application on merits, the case may be heard on the next date either for interim relief, or for final disposal.
10. Promotion, if any, order by Collector, Pune, those shall be subject to the outcome of this Original Application.
11. S.O to 10.10.2017.

  
Sd/-  
(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**Place : Mumbai**  
**Date : 21.09.2017**  
**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./O.A. No.

of 20

*Mr. K.L. Katwate*

IN

Original Application No.

*829* of 20 *17*

ADV. G. W. MATIAS FOR R.I.  
ADV. G. W. MATIAS FOR R.I.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

objection:-

1] Illegible:- 118, 134

2] Black shadings:- 121 to 128  
137 to 140

*objections not removed.*  
*20.9.17.*

*4/9/17*

*D/B not available.*

*Board discharged.*

*Adj to 21/9/17 at the  
request of adv. for the  
app.*

*Bk*

DATE: *21/9/17*

Members: *Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)*  
*Mr. Rameshkumar (Member)-A*

ADVOCATE:  
*A.V. Bandiwadker*

Advocate for the Applicant  
*S. Suryawanshi.*

Adv. G.W. Matias for R.I.

*Adj. To: Removed from  
Board,*

*Applicant shall collect  
notice & serve*

*Bk*

*Removed from board.  
Applicant shall collect notice  
and serve.*

*Sd*

*Adv. Joshi*  
*21/9/2017*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O. A. NO 218/15

DATE : 24/8/17

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. : G. A. Bandiwadker

Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. : H. G. Ghod  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 21/9/17 at the request  
of P.O.

P.H.  
H.G.

At the request of learned P.O.  
S/o to 9/11/2017.

Sd

at 9/11/2017

DATE : 21/9/2017

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. : B. A. Bandiwadker

Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt. : H. G. Ghod  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : At the request of  
learned P.O. S/o to 9/11/2017.

H.G.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2017.

M.A. Stamp No.1044/2017 in C.A. Stamp No.1045/2017 in  
O.A.No.69 of 2016 with  
C.A. Stamp No.1045 of 2017 in O.A.No.69 of 2016

Dr. B.G. Manoorkar .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents on instructions states as  
follows :-

(a) Steps have been taken to sanction payment  
liable to be made to the Applicant in view of  
the order passed in O.A.No.69 of 2016 (of  
Aurangabad Bench).

(b) The bill has been prepared and sent to the  
Treasury Office and amount would be  
transferred / credited into the Applicant's  
account.


(c) Time may be granted for filing apology towards  
delay in compliance.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays that the  
Contempt Application Stamp No.1045/2017 be listed for  
hearing on 26.09.2017.

4. In view of the forgoing, adjourned to 26.09.2017.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

Sd

(A.H. Joshi)   
Chairman

DATE: 21/9/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : .....None for the appl.


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : .....N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....26/9/2017.

Steno copy & Hamdast  
is allowed.



prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/9/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Sms: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>H.F. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>16/10/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ME</i></p>	<p><u>21.09.2017</u></p> <p><u>C.A 47/2017 in O.A No 84/2016</u></p> <p>Smt Surekha D. Muluk ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 16.10.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within one week or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record</p> <p>8. S.O to 16.10.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd A.H. Joshi, JJ Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/9/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>H. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>26/9/2017.</u> Steno copy &amp; Hamdast is allowed to Ld. C.P.O. <i>H.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.09.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.235 of 2015 in C.A. Stamp No.925 of 2015 in O.A.No. 517 of 2013</p> <p>Dr. H.N.S. Hashan .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions from Shri S.H. Bhosle, Under Secretary, Mantralaya, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Necessary orders for grant of condonation and consequent service benefits shall be issued on or before 25.09.2017.</p> <p>(b) Personal attendance of Dr. Pawar, Contemnor No.2 may be exempted for today, and that he shall appear before this Tribunal on 26.09.2017.</p> <p>(c) M.A.No.235/2015 and C.A.Stamp No.925/2015 may be adjourned for hearing on 26.09.2017.</p> <p>3. In view of statement and request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.09.2017.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd (A.H. Joshi.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/9/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Heard. admit</u></p> <p><u>To come up for hearing in due course.</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.09.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.384 of 2017</p> <p>G.A. Agale .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The said affidavit is affirmed by Shri Vikram N. Dhamal, Under Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Heard. Admit.</p> <p>4. To come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**O.A.874/2017**

**Mrs. F.R. Shaikh ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Ms. S.P. manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice made returnable on 16.10.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 21.9.17  
Vice-Chairman  
21.09.2017

DATE: 21/9/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIE (Member)

APPEARANCE: (Vice-Chairman)

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to S.O. to 16/10/17.

*Only*



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.658/2017

**Mr. S.M. Bade** ... Applicant  
Vs.  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad holding for Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

I have perused my order dated 26.7.2017. Mr. Lonkar submits for my perusal an order dated 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2017 issued by the State in Vocational Education and Training. He submits that leave be granted for him to amend the OA so that he could challenge this particular order and in the meanwhile, the interim relief granted on 26.7.2017 be continued for further some time. Regard being had to the nature of the proposed amendment, the concerned MA dispensed with and leave is granted to the Applicant to incorporate the above referred to in OA for the purpose of challenging it and for making consequential amendments within a period of one week from today. The copy of the OA after amendment be furnished to the learned PO for the purpose of filing Affidavit-in-reply/Additional Affidavit-in-reply and in the meanwhile, the order dated 26.7.2017 is extended till the next date.

S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> October, 2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 21.9.17  
Vice-Chairman  
21.09.2017

DATE: 21/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)  
(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad, holding

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

for A. B. Kololgi.

Adj. D. S.O. to 12/10/17.

Hamdast.

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 2.11/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar</del> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</del> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><del>Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</del></p> <p><del>Adj. To: S.O. to 3/11/17.</del> O.A. is admitted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH Gid</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.714/2017</u></p> <p><b>Mr. M.K. More</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Mr. Bandiwadkar undertakes to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day. On this statement at the Bar, the OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2017.</p> <p>S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 21717 Vice-Chairman 21.09.2017</p> <p style="text-align: left;">(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/9/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  <del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del>  (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u>  Shri <del>A.V. Bandiwadekar</del> (skw)  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad.</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>M.A. is allowed.</u>  </p>	<p><u>M.A.403/2017 in O.A.875/2017</u></p> <p><b>Mr. S.S. Agawane &amp; Ors. ... Applicants</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik)  Vice-Chairman  21.09.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.875/2017

**Mr. S.S. Agawane & Ors. ... Applicants**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice made returnable on 25.09.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 21.9.17  
Vice-Chairman  
21.09.2017

DATE: 21/9/17

CHIEF:

~~SHRI. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

~~SHRI. R. S. MALIK~~ (Member)

APPEARANCE:

*(Vice-Chairman)*

~~SHRI. A.V. BANDIWADDEKAR~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~SHRI. MS. N.G. GOHAD~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 25/9/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

*Tribunal's orders*

**M.A.409/2017 in O.A.883/2017**

**Mr. P.Y. Nagpurkar ... Applicant**  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice made returnable on 16.10.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.

DATE: 21/9/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~  
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)  
(Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 16/10/17.

*Adj*

*R.B. Malik*  
21.09.17  
(R.B. Malik)  
Vice-Chairman  
21.09.2017


# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/9/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</del>  <del>(Vice Chairman)</del>  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)  <u>Vice-chairman</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:  <del>Shri</del> <u>C.T. Chandratre</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  <del>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</del>  CPO/PO for the Responders  holding for <u>M.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>Adj. To: .....  <u>S.O. to 16/10/17</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.793/2017</u></p> <p><b>Mr. D.D. Pawar</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Time for service of notice is extended by four weeks from today.</p> <p>S.O. to 16<sup>th</sup> October, 2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">   <u>21.9.17</u>  <b>(R.B. Malik)</b>  Vice-Chairman  21.09.2017 </div> <p style="text-align: center;">(skw)</p>