Date : 21.09.2016.

M.A.No.371 of 2016 in O.A.No.443 of 2014 (Nagpur)

R.D. Wardhane .... Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.Y. Deopujari, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.Y. Deopujari states that if notices are issued he would collect those today itself.

3. In view of the statement of learned Advocate issue notice returnable on 04.10.2016.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 04.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A~~
O.A. No. 443/14
Shri S.Y. Deopujari
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 4/10/16.

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016.

C.A.No.47 of 2016 O.A.No.883 of 2014

M.G. Shaikh .... **Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri M.G. Shaikh, Applicant in person is absent.

3. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents prays for time for securing suitable communication to confirm that order passed in O.A. is complied with.

4. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 29.09.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : Appl. in person present
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....29/9/16.

[P.T.O.

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 21/9/16 CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A~~ APPEARANCE : ~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. ~~Advocate for the Applicant~~ (Org. Resp.) Shri/~~Smt.~~ B.A. Bandiwadekar, Adv. ~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl.) Adj. To 27/9/16.	**Date : 21.09.2016.** **R.A.No.2 of 2016 in O.A.No.956 of 2014 with O.A.No.957 of 2014 with O.A.No.958 of 2014** **The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Applicant.** **Versus** **S.T. Tiwari & Ors. ....Respondents.** 1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants). 2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad prays for time to study and address. 3. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. S.O. to 27.09.2016 Sd/- **(A.H. Joshi, J.)** **Chairman** prk

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 21/9/16 CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A~~ APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : None for the appl. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To 26/9/16. prk	**Date : 21.09.2016.** **O.A.No.444 of 2016** **Dr. R.V. Jadhav .... Applicant** **Versus** **The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.** 1. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Shri P.S. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. 3. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Respondents states that file in which the decision to transfer is taken is not brought and prays for day's time. 4. Adjourned to 26.09.2016. Sd/- **(A.H. Joshi, J.)** **Chairman**

[P.T.O.

Original Application No. ... of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.60 of 2006 in O.A. No.1083 of 2003
	Shri S.D. Sankhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. List the CA for hearing on 28.9.2016 before Division Bench consisting of myself and Hon'ble Vice-Chairman. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 21.9.2016 (sgj)

DATE: 21/9/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri ..... (Member) A~~
ADMINISTRATIVE:
Shri/Smt.: B.A. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....28/9/16 before DB
consisting of myself & Hon. V/C.

bfe

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders CA.116/2014 in OAs.3, 24, 38, 39, 40 & 41/2014
DATE : 21/9/16 CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri G. Pannikumar (Member) A~~ APPEARANCE : Shri Smt. : J.N. Kamble Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. : D.B. Khaire spl counsel ~~C.P.O.~~ for the Respondent/s Adj. To.....5/10/16.	Shri S.R. Pimputkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Special Counsel for the Respondents. 2. Ld. Special Counsel states that compliance as would be done till next date would be reported. 3. S.O. to 5.10.2016.) Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 21.9.2016 (sgj)

P.T.O.

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.681 OF 2016

DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

M.I.A. Mujawar .... **Applicant.**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 21.09.2016.

O R D E R

1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.

3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has tendered the affidavit. It is taken on record.

4. Perusal of the affidavit reveals that the affidavit is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2, by Shri Dildar B. Tadvi, Police Inspector, office of Superintendent of Police (Rural), Solapur.

5. It is quite likely that the Superintendent of Police (Rural), Solapur has lot of pressure of work and therefore he has authorized Shri D.B. Tadvi, Sub-Inspector attached to his office to sign the affidavit.

6. The officer, Shri D.B. Tadvi who has signed the affidavit has neither understood the seriousness or nor has understood even the facts of the matter. The approach and language of the affidavit fail to communicate whatever record depicts. This state of situation would mean that the case goes uncontested. It turns out to be a case of contest at the hands of an officer who does not understand as to what he has to say and also does not understand the meaning of whatever he is saying.

7. While this order was being dictated, learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents prays for time stating as follows :-

> In the interest of justice opportunity be give Superintendent of Police, Solapur (Rural) to fully examine the matter and even to examine the contents of the affidavit.

8. If Superintendent of Police (Rural), Solapur decides to file appropriate affidavit, considering the entire record, he may do so on or before next date.

9. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 17.10.2016.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.



(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 21/9/16 CORAM: ~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~ Hon'ble Shri R.B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE: Shri S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri Archana B.K. ~~C.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents Adj. to O.A. is Admitted. S.O. to 23/9/16. FH	**Date : 21.09.2016** **O.A.No.161 of 2016** **Shri K.H. Pimple ....Applicant** **Versus** **The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents** 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Original Application is admitted and put up for argument on 23.09.2016. Sd/- **(R.B. Malik)** **Member (J)** Sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.758 of 2016

Smt. R.S. Indalkar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar requests that the facts arising out of communication of 31.08.2016 by the Principal of Daulatrao Jadhav Jail Officers Training College, Yerwada, Pune be allowed to be incorporated by way of amendment and said communication be allowed to be challenged.

3. The practical difficulty stated by him in so far as the Applicant concerned because of which it will consume a lot of time, if the regular M.A. was to be filed. Therefore, the said request is granted.

4. The amendment be carried out within two weeks from today. Consolidated copy of the O.A. after amendment be filed and copy be furnished to the learned P.O. for her to file affidavit-in-reply.

5. S.O. to 5.10.2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sba

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/Smt.~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O.~~ P.O. for the Respondents
Adj. To 5/10/16

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.880 of 2015

Shri S.N. Gosavi **....Applicant**

Versus

The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. is being instructed by Smt. Bhavana Charudatta Lad, Senior Clerk from the office of Additional Commissioner of Police, South Region, Nagpada, Mumbai i.e. Respondent No.2. That prayer clause (a) and (b) have been fulfilled and remains in so far as they are concerned. Prayer clause (c) is consequential thereupon and in my view, unnecessary time is being consumed to make sure that the O.A. worked itself out.

3. I directed that whatever requirement remained, it must be completed on or before 30.09.2016 and the matter be placed for final order on 3.10.2016. It is also directed that on the adjourned date, some responsible senior officer must remain present.

4. S.O. to 3.10.2016. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sba

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
Shri/~~Smt.~~ : A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K.
~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 3/10/16.
Hamdast.

2

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.156 of 2016

Smt. K.A. Jamadar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.M. Savagave; the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. O.A. is adjourned for hearing making it clear that if sur-rejoinder is to be filed, it must be filed on the next date and not thereafter.

3. S.O. to 3.10.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

DATE : 21/9/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri/Smt.~~ G. M. Savagave

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ K. S. Gaikwad

~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.

~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 3/10/16.

Sba

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

M.A.No.358 of 2016 in O.A.No.926 of 2016

Dr. S.N. Kundetkar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 5.10.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 5.10.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

DATE : 21/9/16.
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. Amol Joshi
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 5/10/16.

2

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2200 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

DATE : 21/09/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri/Smt.~~ S.B. Gaikwad

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K.

~~C.P.O./~~P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is admitted, S.O. to 13/10/16.

~~Adv. for~~

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.794 of 2016

Dr. S.R. Chinchkar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B, Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time fo file affidavit-in-reply. Last chance was granted and sufficient time was given.

3. The O.A. proceeds without affidavit-in-reply making it clear that on the next date whenever matter appears before the bench, if the reply has tendered, it will be taken on record but no further date will be given thereafter.

4. In this view of the matter, O.A. is admitted and placed for hearing before appropriate bench on 13.10.2016.

5. S.O. to 13.10.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sba

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.830 of 2016

C.R. Rajput ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents informs that the proposal in favour of the Applicant has been forwarded to the G.A.D.

3. In this view of the matter, last chance is granted for reply.

4. S.O. to 5.10.2016..

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

DATE: 21/9/16
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE:
~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ Ms. Savita Suryawanshi.
~~C.G.P.~~ P.O. for the Respondents
Adj. To 5/10/16
Pass Reply

Sba

[P.T.O.

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 21/9/16 CORAM : ~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~ Hon'ble Shri R.B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. ~~C.P.O.~~/P.O. for the Respondents holding for K.B. Bhise. ~~Adj. To:~~ O.A. is Admitted. S.O. to 13/10/16.	**Date : 21.09.2016** **O.A.No.737 of 2016** **Smt. V.Y. Makasare ....Applicant** **Versus** **The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents** 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time fo file affidavit-in-reply. Last chance was granted and sufficient time was given. 3. The O.A. proceeds without affidavit-in-reply making it clear that on the next date whenever matter appears before the bench, if the reply has tendered, it will be taken on record but no further date will be given thereafter. 4. In this view of the matter, O.A. is admitted and placed for hearing before appropriate bench on 13.10.2016. 5. S.O. to 13.10.2016. Sd/- **(R.B. Malik)** **Member (J)**

Sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.910 of 2016

Shri R.B. Shaikh ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.P. Hakke Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 19.10.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 19.10.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. KAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R.B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. R.P. Hakke Patil
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Adj to S.O. to 19/10/16.

Sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.934 of 2016

Shri B.M. Doke etc.11 ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 19.10.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 19.10.2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sba

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents
~~Adj to~~ S.O. to 19/10/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 21/9/16 CORAM : ~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~ Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE : ~~Shri/Smt.~~ : A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant ~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K. ~~C.P.O./P.O.~~ for the Respondents ~~Adj to~~ M.A. is Allowed. Sba	**Date : 21.09.2016** **M.A.No.369 of 2016 in O.A.No.934 of 2016** **Shri B.M. Doke etc.11 ....Applicant** **Versus** **The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents** 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid. Sd/- **(R.B. Malik)** **Member (J)**

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.09.2016

O.A.No.928 of 2016

Smt. L.N. Koli ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maha. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent nos.1, 2 & 4 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Herein learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar argued for some time for interim relief. It seems that the main O.A. itself should be heard expeditiously. It is not appropriate at this stage to make any detailed comments. However, at least two vital aspects of the matter including one is about the order of this Tribunal (Hon'ble Vice Chairman) as well as other aspect, it would be fruitless exercise if time was consumed in hearing interim relief application and O.A. separately and the final order is postponed.

3. I direct the Respondents to carefully note that the affidavits-in-reply must be filed on the next date itself and for that also longer date will not be given. In fact, if the said affidavits are not filed as directed, the O.A. shall proceed further without reply. The O.A. is expedited and adjourned for affidvit-in-reply on the next date.

4. A copy thereof be furnished to the learned Advocate for the Applicant at least one day in advance. The matter be placed before the appropriate bench.

5. S.O. to 5.10.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sba

DATE : 21/9/16
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri/S~~ A.V. Bandiwadekar
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.S. Gaikwad.
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents no. 1,2 & 4.
M.D. Lonkar for R.No3
~~Adv~~ S.O. to 5/10/16.