

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1000 OF 2024**

Shankar M. Bankar & 10 Ors. ..Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri V.S. Talkute – Advocate for the Applicant
Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (J)
DATE : 21st August, 2024
PER : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson

ORDER

1. Heard Shri V.S. Talkute, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The applicants who are working as Sub-Divisional Engineers (SDE) are seeking directions to the respondent-authorities to revert the ad hoc promotees from the Assistant Engineer-I (AE-I) cadre and to promote the applicants to the post of Executive Engineer (EE) and to restrain the respondents from promoting any Engineers from the AE-I cadre to the post of Executive Engineer, by utilizing the posts reserved for promotion of SDE cadre as per the quota prescribed.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants relies on the order dated 22.7.2024 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.855/2024 N.S. Bhandari & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. wherein para 6 reads as under:

“6. We hereby direct that 5 posts from the quota of SDE for promotion to the post of Executive Engineer be kept vacant, until further orders.”

4. It is necessary to find out the quota of the SDE for promotion to the post of Executive Engineer and the eligibility of the present 11 applicants is required to be verified. We direct the State Government to place on record the information in a short affidavit.

5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants pointed out para 6.2 of the OA which reads as under:

6.2 The Applicants state that the Applicants are working as Sub-Divisional Engineers. The Applicants Nos.1 to 11 have been directly appointed through MPSC open competitive examination, initially on the post of Assistant Engineer, Grade-II. The individual details of the Applicants are given in the table as follows:

Sr. No.	Name	Date of Birth	Date of Appointment as AE-II	Date of Promotion to SDE	Date of Retirement	Years of Experience as of date	Eligibility completing 6.3 years as per GR dated 05-10-2015
1.	Shankar Maruti Bankar	01.06.1968	19.03.2001	25.04.2018	31.05.2026	23 years	July, 2024
2.	Manik Ramchandra Deshmukh	30.08.1971	28.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.08.2029	23 years	July, 2024

3.	Dhanraj Anandrao Patil	23.10.1973	15.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.10.2031	23 years	July, 2024
4.	Nandkishore Bhagwat Shewale	06.12.1972	26.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.12.2030	23 years	July, 2024
5.	Vijay Rajabhau Chopade	08.06.1973	20.02.2001	25.04.2018	30.06.2031	23 years	July, 2024
6.	Ajay Arun Kawale	23.06.1972	17.02.2001	25.04.2018	30.06.2030	23 years	July, 2024
7.	Mukund Moreswar Dange	22.08.1970	23.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.08.2028	23 years	July, 2024
8.	Rajendra Krishnarao Satpute	25.03.1971	27.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.03.2029	23 years	July, 2024
9.	Rajesh Shrikrishna Selokar	04.08.1972	22.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.08.2030	23 years	July, 2024
10.	Gajanan Mahadeorao Ghugal	23.04.1972	16.02.2001	25.04.2018	30.04.2030	23 years	July, 2024
11.	Madhukar Manoharrao Malolkar	03.01.1969	27.02.2001	25.04.2018	31.01.2027	23 years	July, 2024

6. The eligibility is shown after completion of 6.3 years by the applicants. Further the post of Executive Engineer the officer needs to complete requisite 7 years' service in the post of SDE. As per the said order dated 22.7.2024 at the relevant time 71 posts were vacant in the cadre of Executive Engineer and for SDE the quota was 51. Ld. Advocate for the applicants pointed out para 6.10(iv) of the OA which reads as under:

“The existing position for the WRD regarding filled posts and vacancies of the aforementioned posts is as follows which is to be verified at the Departmental level:

Sr. No.	Cadre Particulars	Total Posts	AEE (40%)	AE-I (25%)	SDE (25%)	SDO (10%)
1.	Post as per quota ratio	342	137	86	86	33
2.	Working Candidates	305	137	155	13	0
3.	Calculations	37 (Vacant)	0 (Vacant)	+69 (Excess)	-73 (Vacant)	-33 (Vacant)

7. OA is filed on 14.8.2024. The applicants relies on clause 2 of the GR dated 5.10.2015 and submits that the SDEs from the first batch completed 6.3 years in November, 2023, and became eligible for promotion to Executive Engineer in accordance with GR dated 5.10.2015 which specifically provides that in cases where the minimum experience period is more than 3 years, then if 90% of the required service is completed, the eligibility criteria may be relaxed. Similarly, the Applicants, i.e. the SDEs from the second batch are now eligible for promotion to the post of Executive Engineers, having completed 6 years and 3 months of service as SDEs, as per the said GR.

8. Ld. CPO submits that the applicants have not completed 7 years' service.

9. As the applicants have not put in 7 years' service and if the respondent-Government is not willing to give them benefit of clause 2 of the GR dated 5.10.2015, then it is necessary for the Respondent-State to make a statement on affidavit that though the ad hoc promotion is given to Assistant Engineers-I by crossing the limit of their quota and

consuming the quota of SDE then specific statement is to be made that when the candidates from SDE after completion of their 7 years' service become eligible the persons who are from Assistant Engineer-I are given ad hoc promotion to the post of Executive Engineer, they will be immediately reverted or they will be adjusted in their quota. The State also to make a statement that when promotions are given to 51 candidates to fill up 71 posts of Assistant Engineers which are lying vacant since last one year.

10. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

11. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
21.8.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
21.8.2024

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.928/2024

D.A. NevrekarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 28.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.511 of 2024 in O.A. No.1000 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Bankar & 10 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.S. Talkute, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.956 OF 2024 (S.B.)

S.S. Shinde **....Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant
Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


CORAM : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

DATE : 21.08.2024

O R D E R

1. Applicant prays to modify the zone allotment cum promotion order on the post of Divisional Forest Officer, Group A, Senior Scale dated 25.06.2024 issued by the Respondent to the extent of allotment of Revenue Division and posting and allot the Revenue Division of Aurangabad to the Applicant which is vacant till today with consequential service benefits.

2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted that Applicant was working as Assistant Conservator Forest, Hingoli, Aurangabad since June 2023. Prior to this, Applicant was working at Latur for a period of four years. Now Applicant is transferred to Pune Revenue, Division. On query learned Counsel has submitted that the applicant on protest has joined Pune Division. Learned Counsel has submitted that as per Notification dated 14.07.2021 issued by the G.A.D., order and rules, for allotment of Revenue Divisions, for appointment by nomination and promotion to Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) posts the case of the Applicant falls under Rule 6 (3)(d) which is regarding information is to be communicated to the concerned officers in merit list or select list.



3. Learned Counsel has submitted that on 28.05.2024 the Applicant submitted the preference for his posting at Aurangabad. Learned Counsel has pointed out letter dated 17.05.2024 sent by the Under Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department to the Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur wherein he has given chart of the vacant posts. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Civil Services Board meeting was conducted on 05.06.2024. Learned Counsel relied on the Notification dated 14.07.2021 issued by the G.A.D., with regard to the order and rules for allotment of Revenue Divisions, for appointment by nomination and promotion to Group A and Group B (Gazetted and Non-Gazetted) posts. Rule 5 related to the procedure to be followed for allotment of Revenue Division. Learned Counsel relies on Rule (b) regarding Revenue Division allotment by rotation. Learned Counsel has submitted that he should have been given posting as per the preference given by him at Aurangabad. Learned Counsel has placed reliance on the judgment dated 31.01.2024 passed in O.A.No.942/2023, S.V. Shinde & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that all the posts are allotted by order dated 16.08.2024 and hence there is no vacancy available at Aurangabad as on today. She has submitted that all the rules are followed as per Notification dated 14.07.2021. She has pointed out to the minutes of C.S.B meeting which are marked at Exhibit-E. The said CSB meeting has considered the choice given by the Applicant at Aurangabad Revenue Division. However, as per Rule 6(4), 6(5)(c) and 6(5)(b) of the Notification dated 14.07.2021, Applicant's posting at Pune was decided in the CSB meeting.

5. Considered submissions of learned Counsel on the ground of interim relief. Rule 6 (3)(d) of Notification dated 14.07.2021 is regarding procedure to be followed while making Revenue Division Allotment reads as follows :

“(d) Preference shall be called from concerned officers for one Revenue Division.”



The chart relied by learned Counsel along with letter dated 17.05.2024 reads as below :

अ.क्र	विभागाचे नांव	रिक्त पदे	अ.क्र	विभागाचे नांव	रिक्त पदे
१	नागपूर	०१	२.	टमरावती	००
३	औरंगाबाद	०१	४	कोकण. १	०१
५	नाशिक	००	६	कोकण. २	०२
७	पुणे	०३			

Rule (5) (b) of the Notification dated 14.07.2021 is regarding procedure to be followed for allotment of Revenue Division reads as below :

“(5) (b) : Revenue Division allotment by rotation : After following the procedure as specified in rule 6(5)(a), if there is no post available in the Revenue Division preferred by the officer, then the allotment of Revenue Division to such officers shall be made by rotation in the remaining Revenue Division which are remained for allotment. While allotting Revenue Division by rotation, the order of allotment shall be in the sequence of Nagpur, Amravati, Aurangabad, Kokan-1, Nasik, Kokan-2 and Pune. The concerned officers shall be allotted with one Revenue Division each in accordance with their rank/ number in Merit List or Select List.”

6. I am of the view that no case is made out to give interim relief. The judgment dated 31.01.2024 passed in O.A.No.942/2023 relied by learned Counsel is the case wherein large variation in number of Vacant Post was involved. However, in the present case it is not so. Time granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply. As the Applicant is senior most he was given posting by applying the rule of rotation at Pune and thereafter the juniors were considered and thereafter by rotation they got their postings.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.09.2024.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 18.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.933 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Khairnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Preeti B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.934 of 2024

P.C. Chaudhari ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Preeti B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
21.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
21.8.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.935 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.A. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Preeti B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2021 in O.A. No.970 of 2014</u></p> <p>V.D. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.108 of 2023 in O.A. No.623 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.G. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.9.2024 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2024 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.5 of 2024 in O.A. No.1016 of 2018</u></p> <p>C.S. Atkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Ranjane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. CA is filed due to non-compliance of the Order dated 15.3.2023 passed in the above OA. RA is filed by the State after 10 months from the date of Order. Ld. PO states that State is filing Writ Petition in the Hon'ble High Court. Shri Bapusaheb Ramdas Sonawane, Under Secretary, Water Resources Department is present in the Court. The officers present in the Court are neither conversant with the facts of the case nor the Order dated 15.3.2023 of the Tribunal. This is pathetic position. This is deliberate attempt of the State to defeat the Order dated 15.3.2023 of the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Shri Deepak Kapoor, Additional Chief Secretary, Water Resources Department to take note of this. Ld. PO to forthwith communicate this order to the Additional Chief Secretary, Water Resources Department.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2024 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.19 of 2024 in O.A. No.417 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. We appreciate the presence of Smt. Kiran Ajit Meher, Law Officer, Directorate of Municipal Administration, Navi Mumbai.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.20 of 2024 in O.A. No.907 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Malusare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri Rushikesh Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.49 of 2024 in O.A. No.1115 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO tenders a copy of appointment order dated 20.8.2024 of the applicant in compliance of the order dated 17.2.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2024 21.8.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.409 of 2024 in O.A. No.558 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.R. Ghorpade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri K.R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.459 of 2024</u></p> <p>W.P. Mundhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.676 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. V.N. Palange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2024 21.8.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.786 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Ahire ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.823 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.M. Umak & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>OA No.825/2024-Mumbai (OA No.33/24-Nagpur)</u> <u>OA No.826/2024-Mumbai (OA No.483/24-Nagpur)</u></p> <p>Dr. M.P. Motghare Dr. P.B. Khandate ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri R.S. Sirpurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.853 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.G. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.877 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.R. Thorat ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.878 of 2024</u></p> <p>J.R. Chouduari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2024 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.916 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Cheke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.952 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.R. Landge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.968 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.N. Kambli & 9 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants makes an oral application for speaking to minutes of the order dated 8.8.2024 passed in the above OA. He states that in para 3 of the order dated 8.8.2024 the period '2017-18' be corrected as '2007-18'.</p> <p>3. Order be corrected and read accordingly.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 21.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.685 of 2024</p> <p>U.B. PardheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.408 of 2024</p> <p>M.S. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Smt. R. Todankar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.09.2024</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.928/2024

D.A. NevrekarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 28.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.876/2024

S.W. Kadse

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. In view that reply is not filed till date, matter to proceed without affidavit-in-reply.
3. Adjourned to 18.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.824/2024

R.B. Tadvı

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Reply is filed. Learned Counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.
3. Adjourned to 11.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.790/2024

M.S. WamanApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 18.09.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.846/2024 with O.A.No.730/2024

S.V. HitareApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent in O.A.No.846/2024, Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A.No.730/2024.

2. Matters are taken up for hearing. Sheristedar informs that matters are not found. Registry is directed to find the same.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. S.S. Kate and Mr. S. Kale appearing for Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.846/2024 and 730/2024 respectively and Mr. Warunjikar, learned Counsel appearing for Respondent No.5 are absent.

4. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere has submitted that pleading are complete. He has submitted that though matters are kept today at the request of learned Counsel Mr. Warunjikar he is not present today.

5. Adjourned to 26.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.1020/2024

R.R. SuryawanshiApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar states that he will file affidavit-in-service.

3. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar has submitted that he has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.5 and he seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

4. Adjourned to 28.08.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.1018/2024

S.P. BhosaleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant (through video conference) and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant challenges order dated 26.02.2024, qua the Applicant, by which the Applicant was transferred from the post of Police Inspector, Police Commissionerate Pune City to the post of Police Inspector, Police Commissionerate Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar City.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.09.2024.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 04.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.1017/2024

K.N. GaikwadApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 28.08.2024 for filing affidavit-in-reply. Status quo, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

O.A.No.1031/2024

D.B. AhireApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Punam Mahajan has submitted that she has instructions to appear on behalf of Respondent No.4 and she seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Applicant working as Circle Officer, challenges transfer order dated 14.08.2024 issued by Respondent transferring him from Bhayandar to Thane. Applicant has completed his tenure. Both Applicant and Respondent No.3 are relieved.

4. Learned Counsel challenges the transfer order on the ground that transfer order is passed by the Collector who is not the competent authority. Learned Counsel has submitted that the order dated 14.08.2024 was issued to the Applicant on 18.08.2024. Learned Counsel has submitted that the order was issued and uploaded on 18.08.2024. He states that the date of the order is fabricated. Learned Counsel Mr. Bhosle has submitted that Applicant is relieved on 19.08.2024. Applicant prays for status quo ante

5. Learned P.O. has submitted that Respondent No.4 has joined at Bhayandar i.e. in the place of Applicant.

6. Though competency of the authority is challenged no irreparable loss is going to be suffered by either of the parties. There is no reason to grant order of status quo ante.

7. Adjourned to 28.08.2024. No status quo ante will be granted.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.499 of 2023 in O.A.No.969 of 2023</p> <p>S. D. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. B. Vijaymane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. No further time will be granted to file Reply on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.296 of 2024</p> <p>G. M. KokateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.632 of 2024</p> <p>B. T. SalunkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhsoale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. The learned PO is directed to clarify the status of pensionary benefits of the Applicant and informs about the same on next date positively.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.896 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that instructions are received from the concerned department and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.622 of 2023 in O.A.No.984 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. SasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms R. Harpale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that she will file Affidavit in Reply during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The learned PO also seeks time to collect certain information regarding amount of DCPS.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.611 of 2024</p> <p>T. M. A. KhanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO has brought to the notice of Tribunal about payment towards GIS and GPF. The learned PO on instructions also informs the court that the Respondent has forwarded proposal in respect of 4th and 5th installment as per 7th Pay Commission to the concerned department.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks two weeks' time to file Affidavit in Reply positively.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.09.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.273 of 2024</p> <p>K. G. DhapateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. No further time will be granted to file Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.51 of 2024</p> <p>V. S. BoraleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that instructions are received from the concerned department and seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1572 of 2023</p> <p>M. B. Chandanshive Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The last chance was already granted to learned PO to file Affidavit in Reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1614 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. JoshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The last chance was already granted to learned PO to file Affidavit in Reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1325 of 2023</p> <p>T. B. BorateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. The most last chance is granted and no further time will be granted to file Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.664 of 2023 With O.A.No.665 of 2023</p> <p>B. N. Rangdal Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply by way of last chance. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

M. A. No.515 of 2024 in O.A.No.816 of 2024

T. G. KandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Misc. Application is filed for condonation of delay in filing O.A.No.816/20204 wherein the Applicant has prayed for directions to Respondents to release his retirement benefits i.e gratuity, leave encashment and regular pension with interest. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2022.

3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that there is no delay in filing the Original Application. In support of her submission, the learned Advocate relied on the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **2022 LiveLaw (SC) 537 (M. L. Patil (Dead) through LRs V/s State of Goa and Anr.) and (2008) 8 SCC 648 (Union of India & Ors. V/s Tarsem Singh)**.

4. The learned PO has not yet filed Affidavit in Reply. M.A. for condonation of delay will be considered after filing of Affidavit in Reply.

5. S.O. to 10.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2024

M. A. No.517 of 2024 in O.A.No.1011 of 2024

A. L. KoliApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.09.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~ / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 23.09.2024.

Sd/-

(A. N. Karmarkar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.68 of 2024</p> <p>V. S. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for Applicant prays for time to file Misc. Application for amendment. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A. N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p>

vsm