

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1055/2023</b></p> <p>Dr. V.R. Tirankar .....Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that nobody is present to give instructions.</p> <p>3. As nobody is present from the Department though specific notice was issued, under such circumstances, the interim relief granted staying the impugned order dated 10.08.2023 transferring Applicant from Dr. V.M. Government Medical College, Solapur to Government Medical College, Miraj is continued till next date. Respondents are directed to further allow the Applicant to continue at Dr. V.M. Government Medical College, Solapur.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.822 of 2023

S.G. Taji ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Banwadiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO submits that the file of transfer has been moved before the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 4.8.2023.

3. S.O. to 28.8.2023.

(sgj)

Sd/-  
(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
21.8.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No: of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.151 of 2023

H.C. Dasade & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicants and Advocate both are absent.

3. Admit.

4. S.O. to 4.9.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
21.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1063 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.V. Chaugule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Savita Tripathy holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders Praecipe dated 21.8.2023 and submits that similar issue is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and requests that the present matter may be adjourned sine die with liberty to mention.</p> <p>3. Hence, at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant the matter is adjourned Sine Die with aforesaid liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.788 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Manjare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Reena Prajapati holding Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the OA.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha' Gadgil) Member (A) 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.372 of 2023 in O.A. No.524 of 2023</u></p> <p>P.N. Atre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1016 of 2023

S.C. Tamkhede

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

21.8.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1014 of 2023

N.B. Mhatre

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

21.8.2023

(sg)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1012 of 2023

M.V. Patil

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
21.8.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1000 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.M. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has not been given provisional pension. He submits that no DE or criminal case is pending against him.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.9.2023 under Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.971 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.K. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has suspended without any DE in accordance with Rule 11 of Police Patil Rules.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to get information and file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2023 under Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.953, 954 &amp; 955 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.K. Khade S.K. Chandanshiv S.V. Kokare ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that enquiry was fixed on 28.8.2023 vide order dated 3.8.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA.</p> <p>3. Now the Departmental Enquiry is postponed and fixed on 11.9.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2023 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.966 of 2023</u></p> <p>Amruta Karwande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Purandare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files a Purshis dated 21.8.2023 and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p>3. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with aforesaid liberty and disposed off as such.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.8.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.8.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1037/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.G. Yewale ... Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the D.E which was initiated by charge sheet dated 22.9.2016 and the same is still pending.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 23.8.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b>  Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b>  Chairperson</p> <p>Akt</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1036/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Kailas B. Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Police Head Constable challenges the order dated 24.7.2023 transferring him from Junnar Police Station to Jejuri Police Station and prays that he be reposted to Junnar Police Station.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the transfer order is challenged on the ground that the same is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer. Further, the applicant is entitled for 5 years tenure as he was transferred to Junnar by order dated 7.12.2021 and thus have put in 1 year and 9 months at Junnar.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 6.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>21.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1035/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Jivraj P. Dhole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant challenges the transfer order dated 25.5.2023 by which he is transferred from the post of Forester, Satara Forest Division to Wildlife Department. The applicant submits that the post of Forester, Kadegaon, Sangli Forest Division, which is his choice posting is still vacant and is not considered. He has also asked for other choice postings, which should have been considered as per G.R dated 9.4.2018.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.687/2023 with O.A.No.688/2023</b></p> <p>R.R. Lembhe (O.A.687/2023) V.Y. Waghmare (O.A.688/2023)      ....Applicants Vs The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.      ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, holding for Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. Sairuchita Chowdhary, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.687/2023 and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.688/2023.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.687/2023 be detagged from O.A.No.688/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has tendered order dated 28.06.2023 passed by Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.7679/2023, Mr. Prashant S. Bedse Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. wherein directions were passed by the Hon'ble High Court that this Tribunal is not precluded from proceedings in the matter.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Ms. Sairuchita Chowdhary for Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.687/2023 has filed additional affidavit.</p> <p>5. O.A.No.687/2023 Adjourned to 28.08.2023</p> <p>6. O.A.No.688/2023. Admit. Place for Final hearing on 30.08.2023.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2023

O.A.No.1226 of 2022

S. P.Khanvilkar & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Shri P. Dubey, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. S.Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.6 to 89.
2. As per the order passed on 03.08.2023, two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. However, no reply is filed.
3. The perusal of record reveals that short affidavit has been filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 on the point of exercise of quantifiable data placed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and no other pleading is made in respect of contentions raised by the Applicants in O.A.
4. Shir S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.6 to 89 submits that the issue in the present case is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.317/2023 in O.A.1064/2022 decided with O.A.1306/2022 on 17.07.2023.
5. Since the Respondents have failed to file detailed Affidavit in Reply despite enough time, the O.A. shall proceed without their Affidavit in Reply.
6. We are not inclined to accept request of learned C.P.O. for more time.
7. Learned Counsel for the Applicants sought time for hearing of O.A. and to go through the judgment delivered by the Tribunal in O.A.No.1064/2022 (referred to above) which according to Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant is already implemented by the Government.
8. S.O. to 05.09.2023 for final hearing.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 21.08.2023**

**O.A.No.1051/2023**

Dr. M.P. Tambe .....Applicant  
Vs  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicant challenges the order dated 10.08.2023 transferring Applicant from B.J. Government Medical College to India Gandhi Government Medical College, Nagpur.

3. Learned Advocate has submitted that the said transfer order is mid-term and mid-tenure. One Mr. Ashok Jadhav was to join at Applicant's place. Learned Advocate has pointed out order dated 17.08.2023 passed by M.A.T. Bench Nagpur in O.A.No.926/2023 which is filed by Mr. Ashok Jadhav is stayed. The said order is passed in contravention of Section 5(1)(a). Applicant Mr. Tambe is relieved on 10.08.2023. However he has not joined.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.08.2023.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 23.08.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 21.08.2023**

**O.A.No.1041/2023**

V.V. Bajare & Ors. ....Applicants  
Vs  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The seven Police Personnels have been deputed from Satara to Highway Safety Patrol.
3. Learned P.O. produces letter dated 18.08.2023 which was written by the S.P. Satara to the office of C.P.O. Learned P.O. has submitted that by this letter the S.P. Satara informs that total 39 Police Constables were temporarily deputed to High Way Patrol. However at present 146 posts are vacant in Satara Police Force since February 2023. Till today various religious festivals are performed in Satara, especially, Ashadhi Wari-Pandharpur Bandobast and other festivals and many VIP movements take place during that period and therefore it is necessary to maintain the law and order. The letter produced before me wherein S.P. Satara has given table regarding the period of bandobast, the reasons for the same and the Police Personnels deployed during that period. From January 2024 till today 59 Police Personnels were deputed. She further points out that as per letter S.P. Satara has informed that as per order dated 18.08.2023, out of 39 Police Personnels, 19 Police Constables are already relied to enable to join the High Way Patrol. Learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. K.N. Patil, Dy S.P. Satara has submitted that gradually remaining Police Personnels i.e. Applicants will be relieved step by step in view of the law and order.
4. The statement made by learned P.O. on instructions is accepted. Learned P.O. is directed to instruct the concerned Respondent to issue remaining orders before 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2023.
5. In view of this O.A. is partly allowed and disposed of.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1052/2023 to O.A.No.1054/2023</b></p> <p>Dr. S.P. Handralmath &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Applicants challenges order dated 10.08.2013 wherein, Applicant in O.A.No.1052/2023, Dr. S.P. Handralmath is transferred from Dr. V.M. Government Medical College, Solapur to R.S.C.M. Government Medical College, Kolhapur.</p> <p>Applicant in O.A.No.1053/2023, Dr. V.R. Rokade is transferred from Government Medical College, Miraj to Dr. Vaishampayan Memorial Government Medical College, Solapur</p> <p>Applicant in O.A.No.1054/2023 Dr. R.N. Bade is transferred from R.C.S.M. Government Medical College, Kolhapur to Government Medical College, Miraj.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.08.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 23.08.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Date : 21.08.2023**

**O.A.No.1055/2023**

Dr. V.R. Tirankar .....Applicant  
Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Applicant challenges the order dated 10.08.2023 transferring Applicant from Dr. V.M. Government Medical College, Solapur to Government Medical College, Miraj.

3. Learned Advocate has submitted that nobody is posted in the place of applicant at Solapur. Learned Advocate has submitted that the said transfer order is mid-term and mid-tenure hence, she be allowed to continue to work. Learned Advocate has submitted that she has served the Respondents today.

4. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.08.2023.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 23.08.2023. To be shown under the caption 'For Urgent Admission. In view of the nature of work of the Applicant, Applicant is allowed to continue to work on the post at Solapur.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.As. No.94 & 249 of 2022

S.S. Zade

S.S. Kamble

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Applicants

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 3 and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.2.

2. During the course of arguments the Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that his submissions are twofold while challenging the appointment of respondent no.2 in these two OAs. Firstly the certificates are beyond the cutoff date i.e. the date of advertisement dated 3.9.2019 and secondly the certificates issued to the respondent no.2 on completion of their service in Home Guard of 1095 days as consolidated service are not genuine and as per rules for issuing the valid certificate of 1095 days.

3. After hearing submissions it is found that though there is prayer in respect of first submission, no prayer is found in respect of giving challenge to genuineness of the Home Guard certificate of respondent no.2.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants wants to move a Misc. Application for amendment and accordingly he wants to add Commandant, Home Guard, Pune as party respondent no.4 in both the OA.

5. S.O. to 4.9.2023. Removed from FOB.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

21.8.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

21.8.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.714/2023</b></p> <p>M.A. Hajari .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. files reply. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 11.09.2023 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1003/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Pradip S. Shirsat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant pursuant to the advertisement dated 3.11.2020 applied for the post of Under Secretary (Law), Law and Judiciary Department. Learned counsel submits that the applicant stands at Sr. No. 2, in the merit list and the person who stands at Sr. No. 1 is Respondent no. 3. Learned counsel submits that the applicant challenges the recommendations of Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice..</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 29.8.2023. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Aku</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 801/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Santosh K. Perekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Anita Gavkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to quash and set aside the impugned letter dated 17.4.2023 issued by Respondent no. 2, refusing promotion to the applicant to the post of Naib Tahsildar.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.9.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 18.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

21.08.2023O.A 946/2016**SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES**

V.B Salunkhe ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has moved application for speaking to the minutes in the order dated 9.8.2023.

3. In para 3 of the said order it is stated as under:-

3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has been promoted to the post of Head Clerk by order dated 17.8.2021. Hence, the original cause of action no longer survives. However, the applicant seeks deemed date of promotion from 9.11.2015.

4. Learned counsel submits that the same be clarified as under:-

3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has been promoted to the post of Head Clerk by order dated 17.8.2021. Hence, the original cause of action no longer survives. However, the applicant seeks deemed date of promotion from 9.11.2015 **with all consequential service benefits.**

5. In para 4 of the said order it is stated as under:-

4. In view of the above, we direct the applicant to make representation regarding grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of Head Clerk w.e.f 9.11.2015 to the Respondents within a period of two weeks and the Respondents are directed to take decision on the same within a period of 3 months and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.

6. Learned counsel submits that the same be clarified as under:-

4. In view of the above, we direct the applicant to make representation regarding grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of Head Clerk w.e.f 9.11.2015, **with all consequential service benefits** to the Respondents within a period of two weeks and the Respondents are directed to take decision on the same within a period of 3 months and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.

7. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.

8. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>21.08.2023</b>
	<b><u>O.A 993/2023</u></b>
	Shri Mahadev K. Pujar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri R.L Ahde, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays that the impugned merit list dated 25.5.2023 be quashed and set aside to the extend of names of Respondents no 4 to 18.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption ' <b>for Dismissal</b> ' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
	8. S.O to 11.9.2023.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.534/2023 in O.A.No.991/2023</b></p> <p>Y.M. Bhurkud .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is seeking amendment in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Amendment to be carried out within a week and amended copy to be served to all the concerned forthwith.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 18.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>R.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.No.187/2021</b></p> <p>D.N. Shinde .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. R.A. is filed by learned Advocate seeking review of order dated 20.06.2023 passed by this Tribunal dismissing O.A.No.187/2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.499/2022 in O.A.No.31/2022</b></p> <p>Y.B. Wagh .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person seeks time to file documents on record in respect of calling attention of motion which was circulated on 02.08.2023 in the Legislature.</p> <p>3. It is to be filed on affidavit and copy be served upon all the concerned.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 200px;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> / <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1044/2021</b></p> <p>R.B. Kolpe .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Mangle has filed M.A.No.609/2022 seeking intervention in O.A.No.1044/2021.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2023. M.A be tagged along with O.A. on the next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....


*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.964/2023</b></p> <p>P.R. Acharekar .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that Applicant has filed appeal before the His Excellency the Hon'ble Governor on 22.08.2022. Applicant is already retired on 31.01.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of the fact that the Appeal is pending before the His Excellency the Hon'ble Governor, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1020/2023</u></p> <p>Manisha M. Parkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as a Clerk challenges the impugned communication dated 3.7.2023 issued by Respondent no. 2, pursuant to the advertisement dated 14.6.2023 for appointment to the post of Assistant Section Officer. Learned counsel submits by the said communication dated 3.7.2023 all the juniors to the applicant are held eligible to appear for the examination which is going to be conducted on 16.9.2023.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>AKD</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.05.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1043/2023</u></b></p> <p>Smt Manjini U. Pandagale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the impugned order of removal from service dated 19.7.2023. The applicant delivered twins for the first time on 8.5.2011 and again delivered twins for the second time on 29.7.2022. The applicant was removed from service on the ground that the applicant is having 3 children and therefore cannot continue in service.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.9.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption <b>'for Dismissal'</b> and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 18.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akt</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>21.08.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1032/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Laxman S. Ahiwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 27.7.2023 and seeks direction to fix the seniority as per the date of appointment.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.9.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 1028/2023</u></b></p> <p>Shri Sainath J. Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the impugned orders dated 14.1.2021, 15.9.2021 and 13.1.2023.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.9.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.73/2022 in OAs.119/2019, 705/2020 &amp; 576/2020</u></p> <p>Ashvini T. Sakore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Ashvini T. Sakore, Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is explained to the applicant that the order dated 7.4.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above OAs. is not implementable on account of lack of period of experience and it is a separate cause of action. Hence, nothing remains in this CA and the same is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.8.2023 21.8.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.P Wadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant is present in person. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo Moto Speaking to the Minutes in para 2 of the order dated 3.8.2023, where it is stated that the file is pending with the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. The word the Hon'ble Chief Minister is to be substituted by Chief Secretary.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the Chief Secretary has submitted the file before the Hon'ble Cabinet Minister on 11.8.2023 and after the approval of the Hon'ble Cabinet Minister, it will be submitted to the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 23.8.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.536/2023</b></p> <p>R.M. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. S. Sinha, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 tile rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.219/2023</b></p> <p>S.K. Kite .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.A. Devkhile, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 04.09.2023 to take instructions.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.239/2023</b></p> <p>G.C. Belle .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.Nos.513, 514 &amp; 515/2023</b></p> <p>B.J. More &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. states that reply is filed in two O.As and seeks time to file reply in one O.A.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.412/2023</b></p> <p>B.P. Patil .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that as per order dated 17.04.2022 passed by M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad in O.A.No.502/2021 filed by the co-delinquent, the enquiry was conducted. Learned Advocate states that as on today the extended date is also over, so he seeks necessary order against the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. is directed to find out what the stage of the enquiry.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 24.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.483/2023</b></p> <p>M.S. Jkaybhaye &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned C.P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>3. Respondents No.4 and 5 is hereby directed to file reply. The Director, District Malaria Office to look into the file and process the file for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.09.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1008/2023</u></p> <p>Shri G.R Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that this Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents Nos 1 and 5 to transfer on request (zero based seniority) from the Establishment of Respondents No 2 and 3 to the establishment of Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>3. This matter appears to be very serious in view of the condition of the wife of the applicant and hence it is to be placed before the Inspector General of Police, Respondent No. 1, tomorrow itself as the matter is fixed on 24.8.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.8.2023. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 952/2023</u></p> <p>Shri G.T Fatil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Dvekhile, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files service of notice.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.8.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 942/2023</u></b></p> <p>Rajeev V. Shinde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants challenge the impugned letter dated 23.5.2023.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.8.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>21.08.2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1030/2023</u></b></p> <p>Smt Kamal Jeevan Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Staff Nurse challenges the impugned orders dated 13.6.2023 and 30.6.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant working as Sister-in-charge in District Hospital Shahapur seeks directions that the minutes of the Meeting of the Civil Services Board held on 13.6.2023 and 30.6.2023 be produced. The applicant further seeks direction that she be posted to Police Hospital, Naigaon or Civil Hospital, Thane.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.9.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A 1022/2023</b></p> <p>Dr Shailesh N. Bhawe ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R Kulkarni, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 10.8.2023 transferring him from the post of Professor, Government Medical College, Miraj to Swai Ramanand Tirth Rural (S.R.T.R) Government Medical College, Ambejogai, Dist-Beed.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that applicant was promoted to the post of Professor Physiology on 31.12.2021. Earlier he was posted on deputation by order dated 17.5.2021 at Government Medical College, Sindhudurg. He joined on 19.5.2021 at Sindhudurg and thereafter he was relieved by order dated 15.6.2022 and posted at Government Medical College, Miraj and he joined on the said post on 16.6.2022. Thus, the present transfer order is mid-term and mid-tenure. Learned counsel has further submitted that nobody is posted in his place and prays for protection.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O to 24.8.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.527 of 2023 in C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus D.B.Vithoba Kodag (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed by State to recall the order dated 08.08.2023 passed by the Tribunal whereby the Tribunal directed to frame charge against the Contempt and matter was adjourned to 22.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that <sup>in</sup> Writ Petition No.6635 of 2023 filed by Respondents, Hon'ble High Court by order dated 07.08.2023 directed to continue ad-interim relief and matter was adjourned to 31.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Thus it appears that in Writ Petition ad-interim relief is granted. This being so, it is necessary to wait till 31.08.2023.</p> <p>5. In aforesaid reasons framing of charge is deferred till 04.09.2023.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p align="center">NMN <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>C.A. No.63 of 2023 in O.A. No.794 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>D.F. Fernandes &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt proceeding is filed for willful disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal on 22.12.2022 in O.A. No.794/2021 whereby directions were given to the Respondents / Contemnor to regularize the services of the Applicant w.e.f. 01.01.2023 and to extend all consequential service benefits within two months from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Period of more than 8 months is over but no compliance is done. The Tribunal had issued Show Cause Notice to the Contemnors. Contemnor No.1 – Dr. Kapil Aher has only replied to Affidavit stating that Department is taking opinion of L&amp;JD for filing Writ Petition against the order passed by the Tribunal. Other Contemnor have not filed Reply to Show Cause Notice.</p> <p>4. As stated above, Tribunal had granted two months time by order dated 22.12.2012 and though period of more than 8 months is over, there is no compliance. Thus <i>prima-facie</i> there is disobedience of the order of the Tribunal for which charge for the contempt of the order of the Tribunal needs to be framed.</p> <p>5. Today, none of the Contemnor is present, learned P.O. sought two days time to keep them present.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 23.08.2023 for framing charge.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span>  Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.81 of 2023 in O.A. No.238 of 2023</p> <p>S.V. Rajwade .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt Application is filed for disobedience for the order passed by the Tribunal on 05.06.2023 in O.A. No.238/2023 whereby Respondents were directed to release regular Pension subject to deduction of 10% Pension per month for one year and to release Gratuity within two months from the date of order. Period of two months is over but the order of the Tribunal is not fully complied with.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that matter is in process to release Gratuity and regular Pension, Hence she sought time.</p> <p>4. <del>Since</del> the order is not complied within two months as directed by the Tribunal, neither the extension is sought by the Contemnor. <i>Prima-facie</i> there is Contempt of order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. In view of above, issue notice to the Contemnor to Show Cause as to why action for contempt of order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against them as per Contempt of Court Act, 1971.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.76 of 2023 in O.A. No.110 of 2023</p> <p>P.F. Rathod .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</li> <li>Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav requested for short Adjournment.</li> <li>Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>Learned P.O. submits that order is complied with and nothing remains to be paid.</li> <li>Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Advocate Shri K.B. Jadhav should confirm from Advocate Shri K.B. Jadhav whether the Applicant received all the benefits in terms of the order passed by the Tribunal so that Contempt proceeding can be disposed of.</li> <li>S.O. to 22.08.2023.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.42 of 2021 in O.A. No.678 of 2020 to O.A. No.680 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. Mujawar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. hearing of Contempt proceeding is adjourned to 23.08.2023 since he intend to avail necessary <sup>stay</sup> orders <del>for stay</del> from Hon'ble High Court to the order passed by the Tribunal in respect of which this Contempt proceeding are filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.08.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.79 of 2022 in O.A. No.605 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karvekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that still some amount of interest <sup>on</sup> <del>of</del> 7<sup>th</sup> Pay arrears is not paid.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is directed to file Affidavit showing details as to what amount remains unpaid in terms of the order passed by the Tribunal on 25.07.2022 in O.A. No.605/2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.08.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.08.2023</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.323/2023</b></p> <p>D.N. Dhole .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. B. Mangale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she will file reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.09.20<sup>23</sup> for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>