

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>C.A 18/2022 in O.A 614/2021</u></p> <p>Shri B.D Ingale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr P.G Kayande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant produces copy of the letter dated 19.7.2022 from the office of the Accountant General, Maharashtra, wherein they have sanctioned the gratuity and pension. Respondents to act on the letter dated 19.7.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p>C.A. No.08 of 2022 in O.A. No.975 of 2019 with C.A. No.09 of 2022 in O.A. No.976 of 2019 with C.A. No.10 of 2022 in O.A. No.977 of 2019</p> <p>G.S. Bhajbhujee, B.A. Karale K.S. Dhanvijay & Anr.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. placed order of Appointment dated 20.07.2022 issued by Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department. Letter is taken on record and marked as Exhibit - 1.</p> <p>3. In view of this order, nothing remains in these C.As. Hence, these C.As are disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____) Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.267 of 2022</p> <p>M.V. Rajgure & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 to 9. It is taken on record. Copies of Affidavit are given to the other side.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks time to file Reply on behalf of Respondents Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1077 of 2018 with O.A. No.1109 of 2018</p> <p>M.M. Bansode & Ors, S.D. Sapkale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Private Respondent is absent.</p> <p>2. In this matter the Applicants are challenging appointment of the private Respondents to the post of P.S.I., i.e. Respondent Nos.7 to 160. By order dated 07.12.2018 <i>ex-parte</i> interim relief was passed and the Tribunal granted order that the Appointments are made subject to outcome of present O.A.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed in O.A. No.1109/18</p> <p>4. No Reply is filed by Private Respondents.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1005 of 2017</p> <p>P.B. DhanawadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.179 of 2021</p> <p>R. A. MaratheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In present O.A., the Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 23.01.2021 passed by the Respondent -Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Thane mainly on the ground of competency. 3. During the course of hearing, learned P.O. has referred G.R. dated 01.01.2021 wherein Chief Conservator of Forest (स्वतंत्र कार्यालय असलेले) is declared as Head of the Department under Rule 9 (22) of Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service) Rules, 1981. Therefore, the question was raised whether the Chief Conservator of Forest (Territory), Thane who has issued the order of suspension falls within the G.R. dated 01.01.2021. Learned P.O. however, sought time to explain this and the matter was, therefore, adjourned. 4. Today, learned P.O. tendered another G.R. of the same date i.e. 01.01.2021 issued by Revenue & Forest Department which shows that for financial purposes some authorities were declared as Head of the Department and one of them is मुख्य वनरक्षक (सर्व). 5. Therefore, the question arises whether the Chief Conservator of Forest (Territory) is totally independent authority or it falls within the authorities notified in G.R. dated 01.01.2021 or in any one of ^{another} G.R. dated 01.01.2021. This issue needs to be clarified by the office of Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. 6. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to file the affidavit of Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to clarify this position by next week. The copy of this order be sent to Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai for compliance. 7. Learned P.O. is also directed to apprise the said authority about this order and to get it comply by next week. 8. S.O. to 01.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p>O. A. No.690 of 2022</p> <p>Shri Prakash TikawadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office has raised objection on the point of delay which seems to have been not complied with.</p> <p>3. O.A. be listed after compliance of the office objection.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.341 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K. N. DeoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 536 of 2022</p> <p>S.M. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instructions from Commandant, SRPF, Dhule stated that the matter is still in process and requested for one week time to take remedial measures so as to give benefit of Section 20 of 'The Persons with Disability Act, 2016'.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, one week time is granted to do the needful.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.363 of 2022</p> <p>S. B. Toke ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.188 of 2022</p> <p>S. P. Kalgutkar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit along with copies of some communications.</p> <p>3. The Applicant's contention is that from time to time he applied for medical and other leave along with medical certificates and further contends that leave was at his credit at the relevant time. It is, therefore, necessary to see the record to find out whether the Applicant has made any such applications with medical certificates.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to file the copies of applications made by the Applicant with the copies of medical certificates, if any, and also to explain what was the leave at his credit at relevant time.</p> <p>5. Ten days' time is granted to file affidavit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.994 of 2021</p> <p>V. G. KolekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. again requested for short time stating that affidavit in reply is under preparation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.07.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A.No.1118 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the order passed by the Tribunal on 04.07.2022, reply to M.A. was to be filed within three days before the date of hearing but not filed. Therefore, learned P.O. today requested to take affidavit in reply on record stating that due to personal difficulties, he could not file it before three days of the date of hearing.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, allowed to file reply.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing of M.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.416 of 2022 in O.A.No.486 of 2020</p> <p>S. D. TajaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment to O.A. to bring certain subsequent facts on record <i>inter-alia</i> contending that in the matter of co-delinquent, his punishment was modified and he was subjected to censure only.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. Hence, allowed to amend O.A.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.118/2016 with O.A.8/2018</u></p> <p>Shri M.K. Rathod R.D. Ugale Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicants and their Advocate absent. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate sent Leave Note for today only. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>2. These two matter are quite old and needs to be decided expeditiously. It may be noted that Shri V.V. Joshi is not attending the Tribunal for last two years. Now he should make some alternative arrangement, if he is not able to remain present on next date. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing on 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.39/2021</u></p> <p>Smt. M.M. Jawadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned for final hearing to 26th July, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.988/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.Y. Mokashi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate absent. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate sent Leave Note for today only. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. is present.</p> <p>2. It may be noted that Shri V.V. Joshi is not attending the Tribunal for last two years. Now he should make some alternative arrangement, if he is not able to remain present on next date. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1133/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.J. Dange ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, short time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.666 of 2021</p> <p>Smt.Varsha BansodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Aditya Raktade, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. In present O.A. the Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.08.2021 and Corrigendum dated 09.08.2021. The Applicant was serving at Kolhapur and was transferred to Sindhudurg. Her grievance is that her option No.1 was not considered. She further raised grievance that Respondent No.4 – Shri Tukaram Kumbhar served at Sangali twice but he was again given Sangali. The Applicant has also claimed Sangali as option No.1. When the options were submitted in general transfer, Sindhudurg was option no.2 of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant's husband is serving at Kolhapur, therefore, option No.1- Sangali was convenient to her and it should have been considered but it was given to Respondent No.4 though he already worked at that place twice.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. During the course of hearing, it was suggested to learned Counsel for the Applicant that now general transfers of 2022 will be effected in next two months which are already delayed due to certain administrative reasons and, therefore, the Applicant can avail this situation by making representation afresh which will be considered in the general transfer.
5. Learned Counsel for the Applicant further submits that Applicant's husband is serving at Kolhapur and her children are also studying at Kolhapur. He has pointed out that in general transfer, there would be one vacancy at Sangli since Smt. Annapurna Mali is due for transfer. Apart, the Applicant may also consider some other options which would be falling vacant in general transfer.
6. In view of above, on instructions from client, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation to Respondent No.1 / competent authority citing her difficulties and giving options to be considered in ensuing general transfer.
7. The Respondent No.1 shall consider the representation appropriately in accordance to policy of the Government and relevant Government decisions.
8. The Respondent No.1 may consider that Applicant has never served at Sangli and representation be considered appropriately.
9. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.664 of 2018</p> <p>A @ P.B. Lohar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Viraj Hake, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant prays that M.P.S.C. be directed to provide the list of the affected open female candidates and further allow to amend the present O.A. for adding the affected parties. He further prays that M.P.S.C. be directed to consider the claim of all selected candidates from the NT(B) female category on the basis of their individual merits for the post of Police Sub-Inspector and consider the claim of the Applicant from NT(B) female category on her individual merit. By way of interim relief it is prayed that Respondent No.3 be directed to appoint any successful candidate from the Main Examination for the post of Police Sub-Inspector pursuant to the advertisement bearing No.41/2017.</p> <p>3. By order dated 06.08.2018, the Tribunal directed M.P.S.C to furnish to the Applicant the addresses of the candidates named in Para 6.13 of O.A. to enable to implead them as Respondents.</p> <p>4. It appears that the Applicant has added the names of Respondent Nos.4 to 7 as private Respondents on the basis of information furnished by M.P.S.C. on 24.08.2018. Accordingly, the amendment was carried out on 28.08.2018. No order of interim relief was granted. On 05.12.2018, Mr A.R. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant appeared in this matter along with group of connected matters. [P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

The following order was passed which is reproduced for ready reference.

"OA No.40/18 with MA No.214/18 with MA No.215/18, OA No.41/18 with MA No.216/18 with MA No.217/18, OAs No.57/18, 161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 347/18, 348/18,349/18, 357/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 443/18, 510/18, 512/18, 389/19, 395/18, 659/18, 662/18, 664/18 and 720/18

M.C. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Rathod, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs No.40/18, 41/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 389/18, 395/18) and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mention that identical matters are being looked into by the Hon'ble High Court; Bench at Aurangabad and the same are likely to be heard in the month of January, 2019. He, therefore, seeks adjourned to 4.2.2019.

3. S.O. to 4.2.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
5.12.2018"

Thereafter the matter as per Roznama appeared on board on 30.04.2019 and matter was adjourned on 08.07.2019, however after 08.07.2019 no further date is shown.

5. In view of this, learned C.P.O. is directed to find out connected O.As and what decision is taken by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad.

6. S.O. to 22.07.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.44 of 2022</p> <p>C.G. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to the order dated 23.06.2022 learned Advocate informs that Respondent No.3, Ms. Manisha M. Mankar has been served and she has given acknowledgement and so also handed over one letter dated 02.02.2022 written by her to Respondent No.2, D.G. In this letter she has requested the D.G. that she has been promoted by the Department and she is wrongly made party Respondent and affidavit-in-reply which is filed by the Respondent No.1 is to be treated as her reply. This is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I.</p> <p>3. The Applicant seeks promotion to the post of Office Superintendent in handicapped quota w.e.f. 14.10.2021 and thus challenges appointment to the post of Respondent No.3. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant suffers 40% disability. As per certificate dated 13.06.2014 the Applicant suffers from physical impairment, Post-Polio Residual Paralysis in left lower limb.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate relies on G.R. dated 05.07.2021 where 4% reservation is granted for the disabled persons.</p> <p>5. At the request of learned C.P.O. Adjourned to 22 .07.2022 P.H.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Jadhav,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Gawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that matter of Appointment of Police Patil belong to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Hence, matter be assigned to Single Bench.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.703 of 2022</p> <p>S. G. Tambdi & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.08.2022. 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 7. S.O. to 11.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.427 of 2022 in O.A.No.703 of 2022</p> <p>S. G. Tambdi & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A .No.427 /2022 is allowed. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.422 of 2022 in O.A.No.696 of 2022 with M.A. No.423 of 2022 in O.A.No.697 of 2022 With M.A. No.424 of 2022 in O.A. No.698 of 2022</p> <p>S. S. More & Ors. S. P. Khare & Ors. B. P. Chavan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Applications are allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.Nos.422, 423& 424/2022 are allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.124 of 2022</p> <p>S. B. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Advaita Lonkar holding for Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 3. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A. E. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.325 of 2022</p> <p>R. N. ChaudhariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav holding for Shri R. G Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 19.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.696 of 2022 with O.A.No.697 of 2022 With O.A. No.698 of 2022</p> <p>S. S. More & Ors. S. P. Khare & Ors. B. P. Chavan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.07.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 25.07.2022. N</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.382 of 2022</p> <p>R. R. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time particularly in the light of prolong suspension of the Applicant without any steps to take review.</p> <p>4. O.A. be proceeded without reply and be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.393 of 2022</p> <p>S. V. BairagiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondent No.3 is already served but absent.</p> <p>3. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>4. Since the pleading is already complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.425 of 2022 with O.A.No.426 of 2022</p> <p>S. H. Ayare ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. In both Original Applications, challenge is to the suspension orders dated 15.02.2019 and 29.06.2019. Thus, initially the Applicant was suspended on 15.02.2019 in view of registration of offence under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act and thereafter again he was suspended by order dated 29.06.2019 in view of registration of crime against the Applicant under Section 302 of IPC.</p> <p>4. Both the Original Applications be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.495 of 2022</p> <p>K. K. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.556 of 2022</p> <p>K. S. ShengaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.655 of 2022</p> <p>S. D. SarwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to correct the name of the Applicant which is wrongly mentioned as Ravan D. Sarwade instead of Shravan D. Sarwade in the title clause of the O.A. 3. Allowed to correct and be corrected immediately. 4. The Applicant has challenged the recovery of Rs.1,44,622/- and and sought refund of the same on the ground that it is impermissible in law. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.08.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 10. S.O. to 18.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>24.07.2022</u></p> <p>M.A 390 with O.A 373/2021 with M.A 104/2022 in O.A 373/2021.</p> <hr/> <p>The Principal Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mantralay, Mumbai & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri Arunkumar K. Jadhav</p> <p style="text-align: right;">... Respondent (Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks permission to amend the prayer clause 6(a). Leave to amend the prayer clause 6(a) is granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. M.A 390/2022 is filed seeking to modify the order of this Tribunal dated 4.5.2022 passed in M.A 104/2022 in O.A 373/2021.</p> <p>4. In this Misc Application, the Respondents prays that para 6 of the order dated 4.5.2022 passed in M.A 104/2022 in O.A 373/2021 is to be modified to certain extent. Para 6 reads as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“6. In view of the above circumstances, we direct the Department that subject to outcome of this O.A, promotion is to be granted to the Applicant and this order is to be communicated to all the concerned.”</p> <p>5. We accept that the submission of the learned P.O in respect of modification of the order to the extent “promotion is to be granted to the applicant”. Hence, we modify and accordingly para 6 is to be read as follows:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“6. In view of the above circumstances, we direct the Department that subject to outcome of this O.A, applicant is to be considered for promotion as per rules and this order is to be communicated to all the concerned.”</p> <p>6. In view of the above, Misc Application is allowed.</p> <p>7. M.A 104/2022 with O.A 373/2021 to be placed on Board on 4.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.99 of 2019</p> <p>P.P. JambhaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution and it appears that cause of action does not survive.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.804 of 2017</p> <p>A.A. DigheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has already been appointed on the post of Police constable. Hence, the cause of action no longer survives in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.382 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Viraj Hake, learned Advocate for holding for Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has been already appointed on the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector. Hence, the cause of action no longer survives in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. has become infructuous and is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>[PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.304 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that she will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.153 of 2020 with M.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>Y.J. Jagtap & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is withdrawing the O.A. Allowed to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. is dispose of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.517 of 2022</p> <p>A.P. Jogdand,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. Admit, with liberty to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 18.08.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.625 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Akale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that the file has been cleared by General Administrative Department and now it is with Home Department for issuance of final order. 3. Learned P.O. seeks one week time. Time as prayed is granted. 4. S.O. to 28.07.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 14/2022 in O.A 343/2021</u></p> <p>S.G Rajput & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that pursuant to the order dated 4.5.2022, the meeting of the D.P.C was held on 9.6.2022. However, in view of the non-availability of the Government, final decision could not be taken.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 11.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 25/2020</u></p> <p>Smt C.N Suryawanshi & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri A.A Desai, learned counsel for Respondents no 1 & 2.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned counsel Mr Desai for Respondents no 1 & 2, matter adjourned to 11.8.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>21.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 34/2022</u></p> <p>K.A Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel files Vakalatnama today and submits that the Respondents be directed not to proceed with passing final orders in the Departmental Enquiry.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Respondents cannot pass any final order in the departmental enquiry as the applicant has already retired in view of G.R dated 2.12.1997. He also relied on the judgment of the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal dated 18.8.2017 in O.A 34/2015.</p> <p>4. The Respondents to take into consideration the G.R dated 2.12.1997 and also the judgment of the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal dated 18.8.2017 in O.A 34/2015.</p> <p>5. S.O to 8.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/. (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>