

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice M. Nageshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>For Applicant: <u>M. Sahu</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>19/9/16</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 21.07.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.416 of 2016</p> <p>G.S. Pawar Applicant</p> <p>versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. Sanu, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of paper book reveals that English translation of annexures is erroneous and poor. Therefore the Applicant is directed to remove all the English translations of Marathi documents.</p> <p>3. All marathi annexures be retained and fresh pagination be done. Fresh index and synopsis be filed.</p> <p>4. In the event any of the Hon'ble Member does not follow the marathi documents, then English text can be asked for.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate Shri M. Sanu prays for eight weeks time for the compliance.</p> <p>6. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 19.09.2016.</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p align="right">pk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.As. No.312 & 313 of 2016

Shri R.A. Kulkarni (OA.312/16)
Shri P.B. Avhad (OA.313/16) ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondent

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate
for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Presenting Officer is called to make
statement as to following information:

- (i) Number of posts to be filled in.
- (ii) Number of candidates received from
MPSC.
- (iii) Number of applicants who are found
eligible and are appointed.
- (iv) How many candidates have furnished
satisfactory compliance and they are
appointed later.
- (v) Number of candidates regarding whom
scrutiny is still incomplete, and the
reasons why it is incomplete.

3. S.O. to 28.7.2016.

4. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order.

5. Steno copy and handast is allowed.

Sd/-
A.H. Joshi, J.
Chairman
21.7.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice SRI A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice SRI. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. Punam Mahajan

for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougale

for the Respondent's

Adj. To... 28/7/16.

Steno copy & Handast is
allowed.

HE

Date : 21.07.2016.

M.A.No.250 of 2016 in O.A.No.193 of 2014 (Aurangabad.

V.P. Kelkar Applicant.

Versus:

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for transfer of O.A. No. 193 of 2014 from Aurangabad Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai. O.A. filed on 10.07.2014.

3. In view that the Division Bench is not available at M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench, O.A. can be transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai.

4. Hence, in view of the foregoing following order is passed :-

- (a) O.A.No.193 of 2014 is transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai for hearing and disposal according to law.
- (b) Applicant should apply and collect the original papers by hand delivery and deposit the same within 15 days.
- (c) Applicant shall serve intimation of transfer in the office of C.P.O. and all Respondents.
- (d) Furnish additional sets and paper book to the Respondents.
- (e) No notice of transfer would be issued to the Applicant.

5. M.A. is accordingly allowed.

DATE: 21/7/16

ORDER BY:

Hon. the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

and Shri A. S. Deshpande (Member) A

Present:

Shri A.S. Deshpande

Shri A.J. Chougule

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. to M.A. is accordingly allowed.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
A.H. Joshi, J. (Chairman)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.07.2016.

M.A.No.251 of 2016 in O.A.No.660 of 2015 (Nagpur) with
M.A.No.252 of 2016 in O.A.No.661 of 2015 (Nagpur) with
M.A.No.253 of 2016 in O.A.No.827 of 2015 (Aurangabad)

M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.251/2016 in O.A.660/2015)
S.B. Korke & Ors. (M.A.252/2016 in O.A.661/2015)
M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.253/2016 in O.A.827/2015)

... APPLICANTS.

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.respondents.

DATE: 21/7/16

BY:

Shri. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri. Justice Shri M. Rameshwar (Member) A

BY:

A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

BY: A.J. Chougale

C.O. No. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 10/8/16

[Handwritten initials]


1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri A.S. Deshpande for the Applicants prays for time for filing fresh affidavit or service which is already affected by him by annexing the tracking report.

3. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 10.08.2016.

[Signature]
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

pk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri /Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>18/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">4</p>	<p>Date : 21.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.165 of 2016</p> <p>R.S. Patil Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The officer Shri D.N. Jadhav, Tahsildar, in the office of District Collector, Mumbai City, Mumbai, who has filed the affidavit, which is seen from page 74 onwards is personally present and he apologises for the manner in which paragraph 23 of the affidavit is written.</p> <p>(b) Shri D.N. Jadhav, Tahsildar, in the office of District Collector prays for two weeks time to file short affidavit stating the reasons due to which the recovery was effected.</p> <p>3. Affidavit be filed on or before 18.08.2016.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O..</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

1 N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshankumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>22/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1058 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri N.B. NarangApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondent</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that he has instructions to delay for one month's time more in addition to time already granted so as to enable the Special Cell (Establishment) to process the matter and take/secure the decision.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance S.O. to 22.8.2016.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman 21.7.2016 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

**O.A.No.729 of 2016 to O.A.No.732 of 2016 with
O.A.No.734 of 2016 to O.A.No.736 of 2016**

1. B.M. Yadav (O.A.No.729/2016)
2. K.B. Shinde (O.A.No.730/2016)
3. S.N. Bhoite (O.A.No.731/2016)
4. S.V. Palse (O.A.No.732/2016)
5. V.W. More (O.A.No.734/2016)
6. G.C. Salunkhe (O.A.No.735/2016)
7. U.S. Kalbhor (O.A.No.736/2016) **.. Applicants**

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **.. Respondents**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 21.07.2016

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 02.08.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission nearing.

This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and

Respondent No.2 is called to state in the affidavit as to whether the Respondent No.2 would like to follow same course as adopted by the Respondent No.2 while passing order dated 13.07.2016, copy whereof is at page 23(A). If the Respondent No.2 wants to follow the same course, Respondent No.2 shall be free to do so and in that event filing of affidavit shall be dispensed with.

If Respondent No.2 wants to take difference view, Respondent No.2 should file affidavit contesting the O.A. without fail on the next date.

Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned C.P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

S.O. to 02.08.2016.

0
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

M.A.No.195 of 2016
in
Original Application No.359 of 2016

DISTRICT: THANE

P.O. Yasatwar**.... Applicant.****versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.... Respondents.**

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 21.07.2016

ORDER

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. It is seen that by order passed on 13.06.2016, Smt. Medha Gaagii, Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department and Dr. Harshdeep Kamble, Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration were directed to file affidavit.
3. Affidavit is filed by Dr. Harshdeep Kamble, Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration Department.
4. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents has tendered two affidavits one in O.A. and another in M.A.. Both the affidavits are taken on record respectively.
5. It is seen that affidavit of Dr. Harshdeep Kamble, Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration Department does not state the fact that due and adequate steps are taken. Further, it does not disclose that directions are given to maintain the diary which will be kept in the custody of the proper officer and will be exercised by the responsible officer to attend to day to day cases.

Telling reasons that because of some other cases, the O.A. was not properly attended is wholly unsatisfactory explanation.


It is the fact known to the public, including all the Government officers, that the office of Chief Presenting Officer is poorly manned and it may not be possible for them to track all the e-mails, though in fact the office of learned C.P.O. is expected to do it. In this background statement of Dr. Harshdeep Kamble, Commissioner to the effect that e-mail was sent to the learned P.O. is like reporting slip shod compliance.

The Respondent No.2 and his office were expected to monitor that their instructions have properly reached to the concerned learned P.O.. Barely sending e-mail would not serve the purpose nor prove it to be an act of diligence. This attitude exhibits apathy then sympathy and sincerity.

If the Respondent No.2 wants he can file fresh affidavit, failing which ~~Dr. Harshdeep~~ stern observations would be made against him.

10. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Stenographic and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

11. S.O. to 01.08.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.319 OF 2016****WITH****MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO.161 OF 2016**

DISTRICT: PUNE

N.D. Patil**.... Applicant.****versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors****....Respondents.**

None for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 21.07.2016

ORDER

1. Applicant and Advocate are absent.
2. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents states that though letter dated 09.05.2016 is sent today and time as sought was by the Respondents was granted by this Tribunal, so far, para-wise remarks or even the communication is not received.
4. In view of the foregoing, learned P.O. is directed to furnish the name of the incumbent holding the post of Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
5. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad has furnished the following name :-
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

Smt. Medha Gadgil, Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education Department.


Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file her own affidavit on the following points :-

- (a) Whether her office has received notice / intimation of date of hearing from this Tribunal or the learned Advocate for the Applicant or from the office of Chief Presenting Officer or from this Tribunal ?
- (b) The date of which her office has brought to her notice the fact and pendency of present Original Application.
- (c) What steps she has taken for defending that O.A. after she came to know about the pendency of the O.A., and date of hearing ?
- (d) Reasons as to why none from the office of Respondent No.1 has attended to this O.A. and learned P.O. is not duly instructed ?
- (e) What steps and measures she would take to ensure that the intimation about the O.A. received from the learned Advocate / learned P.O. and / or this Tribunal do not remain unattended and arrangements to attend to the case is done only after full application of mind ?
- (f) What arrangements she shall make for punctual attending to the notices of the court matters?
- (g) Show cause as to why she should not be personally saddled with costs for failing to attend this matter from her side as well as by other Respondents in spite of grant of adequate time.
- (h) Has she filed any affidavit answering similar questions before this Tribunal in any other case and what is case number thereof.

Affidavit answering directions hereinabove given be the filed on or before 31.08.2016.

Steno copy and hamdast is allowed to learned P.O., with directions to communicate this order to the Respondents and concerned officers.

S.O. to 31.08.2016.


 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

C.A.No.47 of 2016
in
Original Application No.883 of 2014

M.G. Shaikh**.... Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****....Respondents.**

Shri M.G. Shaikh, Applicant in person.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 21.07.2016

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.G. Shaikh, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Perusal of the Contempt Petition reveals that the order of Tribunal passed in O.A.No.883/2014 required the Applicant to file an undertaking, and thereupon the Respondents were required to make payment of post retirement dues.
3. It is seen that the Applicant had furnished the undertaking and communicated the compliance to the Respondents. It is also seen that the Directorate of Technical Education and Training had communicated to the Secretary concerned the compliance on the part of the Applicant by letter dated 23.12.2015, and requested for further action.
4. Since no compliance was made by Respondents- Contemnors, he has served on the official's a notice as well as personal notice on Contemnors. It is seen that the order of this Tribunal passed in O.A. is not complied with despite notice.

5. After filing of this C.A., Applicant also sent intimation on 15.06.2016. In the aforesaid background it is fit case for taking cognizance of contempt.

6. At this stage learned P.O. states that the matter be kept back which will enable the Respondents to contact the officer concerned to find out the stage of compliance.

7. The case was again called out for hearing.

8. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Baban V. Virnak, Assistant Director (N.T.), Directorate of Vocational Education and Training, M.S. Mumbai states as follows :-

- (a) Payment towards encashment of leave would be made within 15 days from today.
- (b) Proposal relating to the release of Applicant's pension is prepared by the Pune office and it will be furnished to the office of Accountant General on 26.07.2016.
- (c) The case would be pursued and efforts will be made to secure the pension payment order within 15 days and report thereof would be made on the next date.

9. Contemnor is put notice that if compliance is not reported on the next date, the cognizance would be taken at the outset.

10. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

11. S.O. to 12.08.2016.

0
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent(s)

(Presenting Officer.....

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders M.A.272/2016 in O.A.417/2016</p>
<p>21/7/16 Coram - Hon. Shri R.B. Malik (MJ) Shri D.B. Khaire, Cf. Id. adv. for the appl. Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the R&B. MA is accordingly allowed. <i>skw</i></p>	<p>Dr. P.S. Awate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>The application for amendment seeks to implead certain facts and add to the prayer Clause which is not something inconstant but as already mentioned in the OA and the prayer Clause is consistent therewith. Therefore, without much ado, the application for amendment is allowed. Amendment as per the Schedule hereto be effected within a period of two weeks from today. A consolidated copy of the OA after amendment be filed and the copy be furnished to the learned P.O. The prayer is accordingly allowed in these terms with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>skw</i> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 21.07.2016</p>

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.213/2016 in O.A.421/2016

Shri R.K. Ghodke ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhisikar, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 18.08.2016


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and questions such as limitation and alternative remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 1st August, 2016. The learned present do waive service.


(R.B. Malik) Member (J)
21.07.2016

(skw)

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon. ~~Shri R.B. Malik~~ (Chairman)
Hon. R.B. Malik (Member) A

Adv. S.S. Deokar

Shri K.B. Bhisikar
Presenting Officer Respondent/s

Ad. P. 11.8.16



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... APPLICANT

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... RESPONDENT

(Presenting Officer.....

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders O.A.2/2016</p>
<p><u>21/7/16</u> Coram- Hon. Shri R.B. Malik (M)</p> <p>Hon. A.V. Bandiwadkar for the app. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the R.s.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>skw</i></p>	<p>Shri N.A. Polani ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Rejoinder is taken on record. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Sd/-</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 21.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.745/2016

Shri Shaikh Alim Shabbir ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.S. Shaikh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhisre, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 01.08.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and questions such as limitation and alternative remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement to be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 1st August, 2016. The learned ... do waive service. *Hundeggar*

Sd/-
(R.B. Maliki)
Member (A)
21.07.2016

(skw)

21/7/16
Hm.
Coram - Shri R.B. Maliki (M/J)

Shri M.S. Shaikh for the
App.
Shri K.B. Bhisre, P.O. for the
Resp.

Adj. to 1/8/2016.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent.....

(Presenting Officer.....

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders O.A.444/2016</p>
<p><i>24/7/16</i> <i>coram - H.M. Shri R.B. Malik (M/J)</i> <i>Smt A.J. Patil holding for shifts.</i> <i>Shri P.S. Bhavake for the app.</i> <i>Ms. N.G. Gohad, p.o. for the resps.</i> <i>I.R. to continue till further orders.</i> <i>S.O. to 27/7/16.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ME</i></p>	<p>Dr. R.V. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. A.J. Patil holding for shifts. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit-in-rejoinder. Interim order till now in force is continued unaltered orders and the matter is adjourned for re-argument to 27.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 21.07.2016 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent(s)

(Presenting Officer.....

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders O.A.711/2014</p>
<p><u>21/7/16</u> Coram - Hon. Shri R. B. Malik (M)</p> <p>Adv. Smt. Punam Mahajan for the app. Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the R.S.B. S.O. to 30/8/16.</p> <p><i>skw</i></p>	<p>Shri S.B. Chavan & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>Smt. Mahajan, the learned Advocate seeks leave to withdraw appearance and informs that she has already sent written intimation to the clients. The learned Advocate for the Applicants is allowed to withdraw appearance and is relieved herefrom and discharged. By way of caution, issue notice hereof to the Applicants asking them to appear on 30th August, 2016.</p> <p>S.O. to 30th August, 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">~ <i>Sd/-</i> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 21.07.2016</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

21.04.2016

R.A 7/2016 in O.A No 1235/2009

Shri S.T Shinde ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri A.S Deshpande with Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Although we heard the arguments of Shri Deshpande for some time, but now Ms Gonad interjects with permission requesting for time to file reply. We make it clear that the reply must be filed on the next date because although the review may be of 2016, the relevant facts and events took place long before and the Applicant has been dismissed from service. Therefore, one way or the other the Review Application will have to be decided so that the Applicant could know his fate early.

We direct the office of this Tribunal to place before us the original record of the Original Application on the next date and also direct the Respondent to place on record the relevant record pertaining to the D.E.

S.O to 11.8.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Deshpande / V.P. Potbhare
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad
C.P.O. for the Respondents

S.O. to 11/8/16.

[Handwritten signature]

DATE:

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. _____

Shri/Smt. _____

Shri/Smt. _____

Shri/Smt. _____

Shri/Smt. _____

Shri/Smt. _____

[Handwritten signature]
21.0
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

[Handwritten signature]
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Writ/P.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram. appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>_____ 19/11/16 _____ _____ A. H. Joshi (Chairman) _____ (Member) A</p> <p>_____ Lata Patne _____ _____ Applicant</p> <p>_____ Archana B.K. _____ _____ Respondent/s</p> <p>_____ 19/11/16 _____ _____</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.11 of 2016 in O.A. No.1053 of 2013</u></p> <p>Shri A.R. Jadhavar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Lata Patne, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Shri A.V. Jewlikar, Under Secretary, Home Department states that pursuant to sanction already granted by the Government, next step of furnishing bill to the Treasury by subordinate officers and subject to further time which Treasury may take, compliance may be done within four weeks and report thereof shall be submitted on the next date.</p> <p>3. In view of the request of Ld. PO. S.O. to 1.9.2016.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) (J.) Chairman 21.7.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 21/7/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. S. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. for O.P.s. are admitted. <u>S.O. to 28/7/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p><u>21.07.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 617, 618, 619 & 620 /2016</u></p> <p>Shri E.J Shiledar & ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents.</p> <p>Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicants and Smt Krunal Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Mrs Mahajan stated that she does not want to file rejoinder. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 28.7.2016. In the meanwhile, if the respondents want to take action which has been taken in case no 663/2016 & ors, they are at liberty to do so. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[Signature] (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna Murar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>B. A. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>08/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>4</u></p>	<p><u>C.A. No.133 of 2015 in O.A. No.1051 of 2015</u></p> <p>Smt. M.C. Jadhav ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that he has received copy of affidavit filed by the State, today and prays for time to verify certain facts from the applicant and make statement on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 21.7.2016 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.161 of 2014 with O.A.No.189 of 2014 with
O.A.No.190 of 2014 with O.A.No.968 of 2014

J.H. Kadam (O.A.161/2014)
M.V. Kulkarni (O.A.189/2014)
A.R. Jadhav (O.A.190/2014)
S.P. Bhosale (O.A.968/2014)

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Urs.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Manajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Smt. Manjekar, the learned Advocate for Respondent.

2. At the request of learned P.O., Adj. To
02.08.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

Hon'ble. Mr. P. K. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble. Mr. _____

Sd/- P. Kulkarni

Sd/- K.S. Gaikwad

Adj. To 0218716

Sd/-
(A.M. JOSHI, J)
Chairman

pk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

Advocate

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.07.2016.
	O.A.No.667 of 2016 with O.A.No.668 of 2016
	V.D. Sul (O.A.667/2016)
	A.S. Gosavi (O.A.668/2016) ... Applicant
	versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Urs ... Respondent
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for Respondents has tendered the affidavit. It is on record. Copy whereof is served upon learned Advocate.
	3. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for Applicants prays for two weeks' time for filing affidavits necessary.
	4. Time as prayed for is granted.
	5. S.O. to 28.07.2016.
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice Ramchandra A. ...</p> <p>Advocate: <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Smt/Snr. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adv. To: <u>28/7/16</u></p>	prk


 (A.H. Joshi, J.J.)
 Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

Dated:

Applicant:

Respondent:

The State of Maharashtra and others

Presenting Officer:

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.07.2016.
	O.A.No.420 of 2016 with M.A.No.208 of 2016
	R.K. Malsnikhare Applicant
	versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gonad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gonad for the Respondents states that she has received instructions from the respondent No.1 and prays for a week's time for the reply.
	3. Time as prayed for is granted.
	4. S.O. to 22.08.2016.
DATE: 21/7/16	
CORAM:	
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	
Hon'ble Justice (Member)	
Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar	
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smt. N.G. Gonad	
C.P.O / P.O. for the respondents	
Adj. To 22/8/16	

Sd/-
(A.H. JOSHI, J.)
CHAIRMAN

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Application


Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer).....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Nagesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.L. Jadhav</u> Adv. <u>holding for P.G. Panchal</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Amis</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p>	<p>Date : 21.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.63 of 2016</p> <p>A.B. Bansode Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.L. Jadhav, the learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to move for early hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.240 of 2016

S.R. Kathod

...Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant has tendered the rejoinder. It is taken on record.

3. Respondent No.2 is directed to file affidavit answering the following queries :-

- (a) Whether appeal is preferred by the Applicant against order of suspension and as to whether it is pending.
- (b) The reasons due to which Applicant's appeal is pending, if it is pending.
- (c) Whether there is any legal impediment in hearing and deciding the Applicant's appeal.
- (d) The time limit within which Applicant's Appeal would be decided if it is pending and if there be no legal impediment in deciding it.

4. Affidavit be filed on or before 31.08.2016.

5. Filing for the affidavit on the foregoing points is dispensed if the Appeal is heard and decided in the meantime.

6. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. S.O. to 31.08.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri K. B. Bhise (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : B. A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K. B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's

Adj. To: 21/8/16

sd/-
A.H. JOSHI, J.
CHAIRMAN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

Advocate

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.07.2016.
	O.A.No.216 of 2016
	A.P. Charate
	versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Urs.Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for Applicant prays for time to consider as to whether rejoinder is necessary.
	3. In view of foregoing, Adjourned to 18.08.2016.
DATE: 21/7/16	
CORAM:	
Hon'ble Justice Mr. V. S. Joshi (Chairman)	
Hon'ble Justice Mr. S. S. Patil (Member) A	
Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar	
Shri K.B. Bhise	
Adj. To: 18/8/16	

Sd/-
V.S. JOSHI
Chairman

PER

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.669 of 2016

K.A. Gosavi

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Orsrespondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajburoni, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Shri N.K. Rajburoni for the respondents prays for time for filing reply.

3. It is indicated that if reply filed in O.A.No.669 of 2016 can be adequate for this O.A., separate reply need not be filed. However, respondents are free to file reply within three days.

4. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned Advocate to communicate this order to the respondents.

5. S.O. to 28.07.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri N.K. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar (Member)

ADVOCATE:

Shri Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENTS:

Shri Shri N.K. Rajburoni

C.P.O. (for the respondents)

Adj. To: 28/7/16

prk

Sd/-
TA.H. Joshi (Jr) (Member)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.173 of 2016

S.B. Ambre

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.K. Bhangoji, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Tribunal has passed an order on 08.06.2016 and directed to State Government to file the affidavit pursuant to paragraph 3 thereof.

3. In the affidavit filed by the State at page 70 onwards certain, reply is given at paragraph 4. In the background that prima facie different view may not be possible, Respondents are directed to file affidavit as to the reasons, if any, to take a different view of the matter, than one which is taken in O.A.No.920/2014.

4. Affidavit need not be filed if positive steps as directed are taken for granting the benefit of applicant.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O..

6. S.O. to 12.08.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Karandharam (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : C.K. Bhangoji

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 12/8/16

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.254 of 2016

N.S. Deshmukh

Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.1 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri M.R. Patil the learned Special Counsel for the Respondent states as follows :-

- (a) Affidavit is already filed as to compliance of the earlier order.
- (b) Assurance is given that a sincere efforts will be made as regards pending issues and expeditious decision, and whatever relief which applicant is found eligible would be given to him.
- (c) Four weeks time be granted for this purpose.

3. Time as prayed for is granted, hoping that nothing to be complied would remain.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned P.O..

5. S.O. to 30.08.2016.

Sd/-
A.H. Joshi, J. &
Chairman

prk

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : *A.V. Bandiwadekar*

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : *M.R. Patil* *Sp. Counsel*

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's

R.1.
K.S. Gaikwad

PO for R.No. 2

Adj. To..... 30/8/16

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer).....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.713 of 2015 with O.A.No.714 of 2015

K.P. Aghav

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B. respondents prays for two weeks time for filing affidavit.

3. In view that one affidavit was already filed for or last chance three weeks is granted.

4. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to read and to communicate this order to the respondents

5. S.O. to 18.08.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

COPIES:

For the Petitioner Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

For the State Shri A. H. Joshi (Member) A

For the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

For the Respondents

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

For the Respondents

Adj. To 18/8/16

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____


12/3/16

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.07.2016.
	O.A.No.840 of 2015
	S.S. Shelke
	versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Orsrespondent.
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant and MS. N.G. Gokhale, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for Applicant prays for time for enabling him to contest Applicant as to whether Applicant wants to pursue the O.A..
	3. Time as prayed for is granted.
	4. S.O. to 11.08.2016.
DATE: <u>21/7/16</u>	
BY NAME:	
Hon'ble Justice S.A.H. Joshi (Chairman)	
Hon'ble Justice M.S. Bandekar (Member) A	
BY NAME:	
Shri/Smt. <u>A.N. Sanghvi</u>	
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smt. <u>M.G. Gokhale</u>	
C.P.O / P.O. for the respondents	
Adj. To <u>118/16</u>	
	 (A.H. JOSHI, J) Chairman
	prk

[Handwritten mark]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.151 of 2016

D.T. Jagtap

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Urs.

1. Heard Shri U. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A. is opposed by the averment contained in paragraph 9 of reply filed by Respondent No.3, which is on page no.44 of the paper book.

3. Learned Advocate Shri U. Bhosale for the Applicant states that the Writ Petition bearing No.9051 of 2016 along with 34 others Petitions, on which the Respondents are relying was in fact decided on the date when the affidavit was filed.

4. Respondent No.3 is directed to state, by the affidavit, as to whether the decision as stated by learned Advocate for Applicant is rendered by Hon'ble High Court and whether inspite of the said judgment it is possible for the Respondents' to continue to contest to the date of the date O.A.

5. Affidavit be filed in the next date.

6. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned Advocate to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. S.O. to 28.07.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Sri M. Kantesh Kumar (Member)-A-

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : U. Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Sd/-
(A.H. JOSHI, J.)
CHIEF JUSTICE

pk

Adj. To: 28/7/16

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

Advocate

The State of Maharashtra and others

Representing Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.07.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.233 of 2016</p> <p>T.B. Gonsalves</p> <p>versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Member Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri U. Bhosale for the Applicant, S.O. to 28.07.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- A.H. Joshi Chairman</p>
<p>DATE: 21/7/16</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Smt. M. Anamoshkar (Member)</p> <p>U. Bhosale</p> <p>Archana B.K.</p> <p>28/7/16</p>	<p>PK</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____

(Advocate)

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda or Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.07.2016.
	O.A.No.261 of 2016
	K.R. Patil
	versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent
	1. Applicant and Advocate are absent.
	2. Heard Ms. N.G. Gonad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri A. Sale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.6.
	3. Learned Advocate Shri A. Sale for Respondent No.6 has tendered reply. It is taken on record.
	4. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gonad for Respondents No.1 to 4 states that a week's time is required for filing reply.
	5. Time as prayed for is granted.
	6. S.O. to 18.08.2016.
DATE: 21/7/16	
<u>COPIES:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri S. S. Chavan (Member)	
APPEARANCE: Applicant and Adv. Shri/Smt. N.G. Gonad are absent	
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.G. Gonad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Adv. A.S. Sale for R.No.6	
Adj. to: 18/8/16	
	(A.H. JOSHI) Chairman
	prk

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.727 of 2016 with O.A.No.728 of 2016

K.R. Khainar (O.A.727/2016)

K.D. Kadaki (O.A.728/2016)

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Qrs

1. Heard Smt. Punam Manajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhisre, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 28.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal should be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve the Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing, authenticated by Registry, along with complete particulars of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of final hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 17 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery or by post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the office within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Respondent No.3 is directed to show cause why in view of the common order passed in O.A.No. 467 and 467 of 2016, impugned order should not be set aside.

8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned Advocate to communicate this order to the Respondent.

9. S.O. to 28.07.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Applicant: K. Mahajan

Respondent: M. Rameshkumar

Shri K.B. Bhisre

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/7/16

(A.H. JOSHI, J.)

Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.07.2016.

O.A.No.727 of 2016 with O.A. No.728 of 2016

K.R. Khainar (O.A.727/2016)

K.D. Kabadī (O.A.728/2016)

... Applicants

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Qrs

... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 28.07.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal shall be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing, authenticated by Registry, along with complete payment of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 10 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery or by post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the office within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Respondent No.3 is directed to show cause why in view of the common order passed in O.A.No.466 and 467 of 2016, impugned order should not be set aside.

8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned Advocate to communicate this order to the Respondents.

9. S.O. to 28.07.2016.

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri. Smt. : K. Mahajan

Advocate for Applicant

Shri. Smt. : K. B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/7/16

4

prk

(A.H. JOSHI, J.)

Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.406 of 2016

Shri S.K. Sawant ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for
the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Presenting Officer is called to make a
statement as to following information:

- (i) Number of posts to be filled in.
- (ii) Number of candidates received from
MPSC.
- (iii) Number of applicants who are found
eligible and are appointed.
- (iv) How many candidates have furnished
satisfactory compliance and they are
appointed later.
- (v) Number of candidates regarding whom
scrutiny is still incomplete, and the
reasons why it is incomplete.

3. S.O. to 28.7.2016.

4. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order.

5. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed.

DATE: 21/7/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member)-A

APPEARANCES:

Shri/Smt. : A. A. Gharte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A. J. Chougule

L.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/7/16

J

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
21.7.2016

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>A.H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>_____</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Sri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Sri/Smt. <u>Arclana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>12/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>4</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1016 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri K.R. KumbharApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondent/s</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Arclana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that connected cases are asked to come on board on 12.8.2016.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to add Collector, Pune as respondent no.3 and incorporate suitable amendments in the OA.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. Amended copy be served on the Collector, Pune punctually.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 12.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) <u>_____</u> Chairman (I) 21.7.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/7/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Smt. A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B. K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>08/8/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.880 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.N. Gosavi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has tendered copy of order dated 15.7.2016 by which the applicant is granted deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 1.6.2014. By the said compliance prayer clause (a) and (b) are satisfied.</p> <p>3. In so far as prayer clause (c) is concerned, the matter will be examined and statement would be made on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.8.2016.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman 21.7.2016 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>21/7/16.</u></p> <p>Coram - Hon' Justice Sri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Shri A.S. Deshpande Adv for the Applicant.</p> <p>Smt Archana B.K. P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Heard. order passed in Tribunal Column. S.O. to 22/7/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p>	<p><u>C.A. No.8 of 2015 in O.A. No.1038 of 2013</u></p> <p>Shri D.R. Bhamre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Deshpande, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that respondent no.2, who is arrayed as contemnor in this CA has retired and present incumbent of the post of Secretary, PWD, who is now a contemnor is served with a notice of action for contempt, yet the order is not complied with. He therefore, prays for leave to amend and add parties.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.7.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi,) Chairman 21.7.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>