

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.391 of 2024</p> <p>S.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. states that so far no instructions are received from office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and thus require more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. 3. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' had been given directions in 'Para 5' of Order dated 03.05.2024 because case of Applicant was covered by 'Policy Guidelines' in (i) 'G.A.D. G.R. of 06.08.2002' and (ii) 'Home Department' G.R. dated 08.12.2009 as he had earlier served in 'L.W.E.' affected 'Gadchiroli District'. 4. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' as it now transpires has not considered case of Applicant during course of exercise to promote 'A.P.Is' to 'Vacant Post' of 'Police Inspectors' as per directions given in 'C.A.' No. 33/2024 regarding implementation of Judgment in 'O.A. No. 1268/2022'. 5. The 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' therefore directed again to expeditiously consider case of Applicant who is now serving in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' for transfer to any 'Vacant Post' of 'Police Inspector' in establishments of either (i) 'Superintendent of Police,

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Solapur' (Rural) (ii) 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' or (iii) 'Superintendent of Police, Sangli' within period of 'Two Weeks'; as it was required to have been done expeditiously as per directions in 'Para 5' of Order dated 03.05.2024 in accordance with 'Policy Guidelines' in (i) 'G.A.D. G.R. of 06.08.2002' and (ii) 'Home Department' G.R. dated 08.12.2009 in synchronized manner along with promotion of 'A.P.Is.' to posts of 'Police Inspector'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.555 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. RanpiseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that definitive action has not been taken by 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' regarding representation of Applicant dated 31.01.2024 as per 'Policy Guidelines' in 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 29.11.2022' by which in matters of transfer preference is required to be given to 'Ex-Servicemen'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then presents copy of 'Home Department (State Excise) G.R. dated 18.06.2024 regarding approval granted to revised 'Staffing Pattern' for establishment of 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and highlights the fact that in respect of 'Mumbai Suburban District' the 'Number of Posts' of 'Sub Inspectors State Excise' has been increased from 28 to 42; while in respect of 'Thane District' the 'Number of Posts' of 'Sub Inspectors State Excise' has been increased from 22 to 42. Thus; in all '34 Additional Posts' of 'Sub Inspectors State Excise' have been recently created in 'Mumbai Suburban District' and 'Thane District'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that no information is yet received from 'Principal Secretary (State Excise) Home Department' with respect to observations made in 'Para 5' to 'Para 7' of Order dated 03.05.2024 about decision</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>taken by 'Commissioner of State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' in respect of Applicant based on 'Home Department (State Excise) letter dated 25.05.2021 and about specific directions given in 'Para 8' of Order dated 03.05.2024 to ascertain validity of classification of posts of 'Sub Inspectors State Excise' into 'Executive Posts' and 'Non Executive Posts'.</p> <p>5. The 'Principal Secretary (State Excise) Home Department' is granted some more time to clarify about relevant law, rules or regulations relied upon for classification of posts of 'Sub Inspectors State Excise' into 'Executive Posts' and 'Non Executive Posts' as it has been extensively incorporated under revised 'Staffing Pattern' for establishment of 'Commissioner State Excise Maharashtra State, Mumbai' approved by 'Home Department (State Excise) G.R. dated 18.06.2024'.</p> <p>6. The 'Commissioner State Excise, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by considering the fact that there has been significant increase in overall number of 'Executive Posts' of 'Sub Inspector State Excise' including in 'Mumbai Suburban District' and 'Thane District'; without any further delay issue order to transfer Applicant who is 'Ex-Servicemen' as per 'Policy Guidelines' in 'G.A.D. G.R. dated 21.11.2022' to any of newly created 'Executive Posts' of 'Sub Inspector State Excise' in 'Mumbai Suburban District' or 'Thane District'; notwithstanding submission of requisite clarifications about policy aspects by 'Principal Secretary (State Excise) Home Department'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 28.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.06.2024

O.A. No.316 of 2024

R.R. DivteApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that based on directions given in 'Para 3' of Order dated 18.04.2024, the 'Special I.G.P. (Administration) in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' had sought information on 23.04.2024 from 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' about claim of Applicant regarding change of his 'Home District'.



3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that information pertaining to claim of Applicant regarding change of 'Home District' has been submitted on 18.05.2024 by 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' to 'Special I.G.P. (Administration) in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'.

4. The 'Special I.G.P. (Administration) in office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' is thus informed to consider information received from 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri Chinchwad' on 18.05.2024 and take appropriate decision within period of 'One Week' about claim of Applicant regarding change of his 'Home District'.

5. S.O. to 02.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.542 of 2024</p> <p>S. S. Adagale & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Talekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants through Video Conference and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that Applicants are working as 'Instructors' on 'Clock Hours' basis and Government wants to fill up the post. He submits that there is no difference between those working as 'Craft Instructors' on 'Clock Hour Basis' and those working on 'Contractual Basis'.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel further placed reliance on the Order passed by this Tribunal in M.A 783/2023 in O.A 481/2023 with M.A.No.784/2023 in O.A 1391/2023 (R.B Mote & Ors with P.B Mane & Ors Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.), dated 18.01.2024. He submits that present Applicants are also similarly placed like Applicants in O.A. No.481/2023 and O.A.No.1391/2023. Hence, services of both the Applicants as 'Craft Instructors' be protected till final decision of this O.A. No.542/2024.</p> <p>4. The learned PO submits that Umeshchandra Salunkhe, the Applicant No.2 in this O.A.No.542/2024 is not in service as his service is terminated few months back, therefore, the O.A. No.542/2024 has become infructuous in case of Applicant No.2. She further submits that Applicant No.1 in this O.A.No.542/2024 is still working as 'Craft Instructor' in 'Government Industrial Training Institute, Satara'.</p> <p>5. In view of above, we protect the services of the Applicant No.1 till final hearing of this O.A.No.542/2024.</p> <p>6. 'Four Weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 19.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.06.2024

**O.A. No.414 of 2024 with O.A. No. with 522 of 2024
with O.A. No. 527 of 2024**

**A.V. Malwad & 2 Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 21.06.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A. No. 414 of 2024. It is taken on record.
3. Learned PO states that they do not intend to file separate 'Affidavits-in-Reply' in O.A. No. 522 of 2024 and O.A. No. 527 of 2024.
4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that they do not want to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 21.06.2024 filed on behalf of Respondent No. 2.
5. Learned PO thereupon states that as pleadings are now complete in O.A. No.414 of 2024 with O.A. No. with 522 of 2024 with O.A. No. 527 of 2024; these be admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing'.
6. The O.A. No.414 of 2024 with O.A. No. with 522 of 2024 with O.A. No. 527 of 2024 are thus kept for 'Final Hearing'.
7. S.O. to 28.06.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders</p> <p>Date : ²¹ 24.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.426 of 2024 With O.A.No.444 of 2024</p> <p>L. A. Dandge A. M. WaruleApplicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre and Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsels for Applicants, Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondent No.2. 2. The learned PO submits that Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 has already filed. 3. The learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply. 3. S.O.to 05.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p>vsm</p>
--	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

²¹
Date : ~~24.06.2024~~

O.A.No.440 of 2024 With O.A.No.587of 2024

S. A. Patil

A. S.Chaudhari

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. N. Biradar and Shri V. A. Nagrani, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1.

2. The learned Counsel for Respondent No.1- MPSC seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.

3. S.O.to 05.07.2024.

sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.43 of 2024</p> <p>R. G. ParekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D. H. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. The learned PO submits that short Affidavit in Reply is already filed in present OA. However, we want proper reply to be filed. 3. The learned PO therefore seeks time to file detailed reply. 4. S.O. to 19.07.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.243 of 2024</p> <p>G. M.KanadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that the Applicant was selected from Batch No.102 for the post of 'PSI' in 'General Category' in 2011. The Applicant has initially appointed as 'Police Constable' in the Category of 'OBC'. However, by GR dated 19.07.2011 the said caste of 'Vaishya Wani' was deleted from the list of 'OBC'.</p> <p>3. The Learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that although initially Applicant was appointed as Police Constable in reserved category of 'OBC' in 2001 but thereafter, Respondent No.1 State vide Notification dated 15.02.2008 had conducted 'Limited Competitive Departmental Examination' for the post of 'Police Sub Inspector'. The Applicant applied for the post of 'Police Sub Inspector' meant for candidates belonging to 'General Category' and Respondent No.1 on the recommendation made by Respondent No.3 had appointed Applicant to the post of 'Police Sub Inspector' against post meant for 'General Category'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The Learned Counsel then submits that Respondent No.2 by order dated 29.5.2014 promoted the Applicant to post of 'Assistant Police Inspector' and he has been working as 'API' till the date in 'General Category'.</p> <p>5. We therefore direct the Respondents to verify and find out the reasons why the Applicant was not considered for promotion to post of 'PI' along with Batch No.102 of 'PSI'.</p> <p>6. The learned Counsel for Applicant further submits that communication was sent by Respondent-State dated 17.05.2023 and the Applicant was informed that he was required to submit his 'Caste Validity Certificate' which has not submitted and therefore, he was not considered for promotion to the post of 'PI'.</p> <p>7. The learned Counsel pointed out that his 'Caste Validity Certificate' which is subsequent to date of DPC is at Exhibit-I, Page No.52 of OA issued by 'District Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Ratnagiri' on 05.04.2023.</p> <p>8. The learned CPO submits that the 'DPC' for promotion to 'PI' was conducted on 21.03.2023 that is prior to procuring of the 'Caste Validity Certificate' and therefore Applicant's name was not considered in the DPC.</p> <p>9. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>10. S.O.to 05.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.06.2024

O.A. No.468 of 2023

S.K. Mahale & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.T. Jaiswal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of Order dated 16.02.2024 of 'SP, Washim' by which 'One Police Constable' who had been relieved by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' was permitted to join on establishment of 'SP, Washim'; although it appears that validity of 'NOC' granted on 31.10.2022 by 'SP, Washim' had expired whereas in case of 4 Applicants who were granted 'NOC' on 15.09.2022 by 'SP, Washim', no action came to be taken by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to relieve them to join on establishment of 'SP, Washim'.

3. Learned PO states that so far no specific information has been received from 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' with respect to observation made in 'Para 2' and 'Para 3' Order dated 17.04.2024.

4. Learned PO therefore informed to obtain information about specific reasons why cases of 4 Applicants have still not been considered by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' though they had been granted 'NOC' by 'SP, Washim' on 15.09.2022 but exception was made in respect of 'One Police Constable' who came to be relieved based on 'NOC' granted on 31.10.2022 which had expired and yet he was permitted to join by 'SP, Washim' on 16.02.2024. Further learned PO to also take instructions from office of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' whether cases of 4 Applicants in this O.A. No. 468/2022 will now be considered as per general directions given in batches of O.A. No. 26 of 2022.

5. S.O. to 25.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1544 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.V. Kadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 14.6.2024 of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.582 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.D. Aher ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Abhijit Devkhile, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant who was working as Deputy Engineer, PWD retired on 31.5.2017. He was appointed as Junior Engineer in 1982 and promoted as Dy. Engineer on 22.9.2016 and posted in ZP, Ahmednagar. The applicant failed to join within the stipulated time and as a result of which the promotion order was cancelled on 2.12.2016. He was not given posting after reversion and he superannuated on 31.5.2017. The cancellation order dated 2.12.2016 was revoked on 31.5.2017 i.e. on the date of retirement.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for retiral benefits of the applicant. As the applicant has not received any of the retirement benefits he made representation dated 22.1.2024 to the Secretary, PWD-Respondent No.1. He therefore prays that representation may be decided.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts, the respondent no.1 is directed to decide the representation dated 22.1.2014 of the applicant within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the order by respondent no.1.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.7.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1584 & 1585 of 2023</u></p> <p>Sainath C. Kalekar & Ors. S.A. Waratkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Amita Chaware, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to verify whether both these OAs are covered by the judgment and order dated 28.2.2024 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.1075/2023 & Other group matters Dr. H.P. Khobragade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. and file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.7.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.400 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.N. Devade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.R. Katariya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the above matter is covered by the judgment and order dated 15.2.2024 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OAs No.315, 316 & 318/2023.</p> <p>3. Admit. Ld. PO to verify the position.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1486 of 2023</u></p> <p>Nitinkumar @ Popat B. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.7.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file reply.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1403 & 1404 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.B. Kangude K.R. Mulani ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed in both the matters.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.7.2024 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1014 of 2023</u></p> <p>N.B. Mhatre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 14.6.2024 of respondents no. 2 & 3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.7.2024 for filing rejoinder</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha' Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.200 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Gophane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. M.E. Parulkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.218 of 2024 in O.A. No.200 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Gophane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. M.E. Parulkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for condonation of delay of 255 days. The applicant is the wife of deceased husband who was working as Supervisor in the office of Superintendent of Stamps, Mumbai. She is seeking family pension. Her husband expired on 29.6.2021. She approached the office of Superintendent of Stamps but her representations were rejected by letter dated 3.6.2022. Thereafter she approached Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P. No.11227/2023 which was disposed of by order dated 15.1.2024 asking her approach this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the said order dated 15.1.2024 there is no delay. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.326 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Renake & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kiran R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.158 of 2024 in O.A. No.326 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Renake & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kiran R. Upasani, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for condoning the delay of four months. The cause of action arose when the application for compassionate appointment was rejected on 14.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts as the matter is relating to compassionate appointment the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.644 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.A. Chougule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be placed with OA No.898/2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.147 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.D. Sukate ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Kurane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.146 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.R. Sutar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Kurane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgāf) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.145 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.P. Ghag ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Kurane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.105 of 2020 in O.A. No.145 of 2020</u> <u>M.A. No.106 of 2020 in O.A. No.146 of 2020</u> <u>M.A. No.107 of 2020 in O.A. No.147 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.P. Ghag K.R. Sutar S.D. Sukate Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Kurane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that there is delay of 1 year 9 months, 2 year 6 months and 1 year 7 months respectively in the above OAs. He prays for condonation of delay. He states that applicants retired as PSI who were given temporary promotions for two years. He states that pension of the applicants have been calculated as per pay scale of ASI instead of last pay drawn. He states that applicants have made a number of representations and also issued advocate's notice to the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts and as the OAs are related to pension, the delay is condoned. MAs are disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.733 of 2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Ujgare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to verify why pensionary benefits have not been paid to the applicant who has retired as Medical Superintendent on 30.4.2022. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that there is no DE pending. He was exonerated in DE on 3.2.2022 and acquitted in criminal case on 13.3.2023.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.649 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.K. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.M. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 5.7.2024 for filing MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

M.A.No.346/2024 in O.A.NO.1025/2022

A.D. Gangawane

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has submitted that O.A.No.1025/2022 is adjourned to 29.07.2024. Learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar has filed M.A.No.346/2024 with prayer that matter be finally taken up for hearing on 29.07.2024, as the issue involved is very small. He has submitted that the Applicant is given batch No.107, however, he claims that he belongs to batch No.104.

3. M.A.No.346/2024 stands disposed of. O.A.No.1025/2022 shall be taken up, if time permits.

4. Adjourned to 29.07.2024. HOB.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.956 of 2017</u>
	S.D. Jadhav & 9 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	<p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Ld. PO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Jyoti Chandrashekhar Punwatkar, Senior Tribal Development Inspector O/o Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane is present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions submits that 12 juniors of the applicants who were working as Superintendent were given ad hoc promotion on 4.3.2013 on the vacant post which were in fact available for the direct recruit quota and as direct recruit were not available/found those posts were filled up by giving ad hoc promotion to the promotees from feeder cadre of Superintendent. These juniors worked till 30.8.2019 i.e. the date when the direct recruits were made available. Therefore on 30.8.2019 all these juniors were reverted to the post of Superintendent.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that as and when the posts in the quota of promotion fall vacant the present applicants were regularly promoted from November, 2018 till May, 2021 as per their seniority. Applicant No.1 and 10 are junior most in the list of these 10 candidates and therefore they were not promoted as the posts were not found in promotee quota. She further pointed out that the seniority list dated 15.4.2017 of the post of Superintendent is published and in the said list the names of the candidates in the cadre of Superintendent are going to be considered for promotion to the post of Warden Group-3 as per seniority. The names of applicant no.1 and 10 are included at Sr. No.20 and 47.</p> <p>5. On instructions we are informed that 3 objections were received for provisional seniority list of the cadre of Superintendent and hearing was given yesterday and one person remained absent. We expect the deptt. to finalise and publish the seniority list of the cadre of Superintendent on or before 4.7.2024. As of today there are 3 vacancies of the post of Warden Group-3.</p> <p>6. Ld. PO relied on the affidavit in reply dated 28.2.2018 filed by Sudarshan P. Nagare, Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane. She refers to para 8 of the reply and states that 12 employees who were junior were given ad hoc promotion because they were holding degree in Bachelors of Social Work (BSW) which is the requirement of this post and present applicants were not holding the degree in Bachelors of Social Work (BSW) but were holding the degree of Masters of Social</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Work (MSW). The Masters of Social Work can be done by a person who holds degree of any faculty.</p> <p>7. Ld. PO submits that when juniors of the applicants were promoted in 2013, present applicants did not take objections till filing of this OA on 10.10.2017. Ld. PO further pointed out that prayer of the applicants in only for deemed date but they have not challenged in the prayer the promotion given to juniors and therefore at this stage nothing can be substituted and added as prayer against any juniors.</p> <p>8. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that the Hon'ble High Court, Bench at Nagpur by order dated 25.9.2012 in W.P. No.5312/2010 and order dated 21.10.2013 in W.P. No.414/2013 has clarified that though the requirement is BSW however, MSW also can be appointed and to be considered as eligible qualification for Superintendent. Ld. Advocate submits that why this order was not considered while preparing the seniority list when the applicant no.8 was at Sr. No.1 and applicants no.2 & 3 were at Sr. No.3 & 4 and applicants should have been given priority and the promotion order was passed in July, 2013.</p> <p>9. Ld. Advocate for the applicant raises a point as to July, 2023 how many vacancies were available and if at all vacancies which were available as per promotee quota for this post, the present applicants no.8, 2 & 3 should have been given promotion from that date and they are entitled to get deemed date of promotion from that date.</p> <p>10. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that when seniority list was published on 18.2.2017, applicants for the first time came to know that they were senior to juniors who are promoted and hence they moved this OA and therefore in the application it is mentioned that DPC was held on 15.4.2017 and applicants were found fit for promotion.</p> <p>11. We specifically note that Smt. Jyoti Chandrashekhar Punwatkar, Senior Tribal Development Inspector remains present at 10.30 a.m. dot and gives proper assistance to the Tribunal. We appreciate her efforts.</p> <p>12. We direct the Additional Tribal Commissioner, Thane to file affidavit on or before 26.6.2024 on the following points:</p> <p>(i) In 2013 whether the juniors were promoted from promote quota or from direct recruit quota.</p> <p>(ii) As per para 6 of the said affidavit dated 28.2.2018 filed by Sudarshan P. Nagare, 4 vacancies were shown for promotees, so the question is these 4 vacancies were available for which year.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 27.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.695 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. K. B.Mithari & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicants through Video Conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.561 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. SingalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R. L. Adhe, learned Counsel for the Applicants through and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that new Advertisement is issued on 01.03.2024 of 'Driver-Constable'.3. The learned CPO is directed to verify whether the fact of this case is different then the fact of the case of 'Full Bench' of the Tribunal' regarding 'Driver-Constable'.4. The learned CPO seeks one week time.5. S.O. to 28.06.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.06.2024
	O. A. No.489 of 2024
	S. S. WalkeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri V.V.Kabade, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned Counsel for Applicant submits that there are two reports given by 'Vishakha Committee'.
	3. The Commissioner of Police is hereby directed to send a responsible officer in this matter on 25.06.2024 at 2.30 PM.
	4. S.O. to 25.06.2024
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>
	vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.450 of 2024</p> <p>S.G. KaraleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to S.O. to 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.261 of 2024</p> <p>R. M. Kale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Nikita Bhosale holding for Shri V. P. Sangvikar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicants submits that reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is necessary in this matter.</p> <p>3. The learned PO submits that reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 is already filed and seeks time to file reply on behalf of other Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.249 of 2024</p> <p>D.D. KoliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.76 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. K. B. BatteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel for Applicant seeks time to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.06.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.06.2024
	O.A.No.615 of 2024
	R. R. ShirkeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.
	3. S.O. to 05.07.2024.
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>
	vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.676 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. V. N. PalangeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant through Video Conference and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1536/2023</u></p> <p>R.P Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, matter is preponed and kept on 25.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.746/2024

U.G. Shinde ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant is covered by Para 6 of Order of Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.393 of 2016 [Vijaya Milind Vs. State of Maharashtra] decided on 2nd January, 2016.
3. Respondents to verify.
4. Issue notice returnable on 28.06.2024.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. S.O. to 28th June, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
21.06.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
21.06.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.160/2024

Dr. S.D. Wagh ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents. Shri V. Shelatkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 is absent.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant produces letter dated 21.03.2024 given by NMC. It is taken on record and marked as 'Court Exhibit No.1'. By this letter, NMC considered the experience of Tutor equivalent to experience of Senior Residential Doctor and so the case of Applicant is to be allowed, as she is found eligible to apply for the post of 'Assistant Professor'.

3. Learned CPO submits that Respondent Nos.1 to 4 seriously dispute this letter. Shri V. Shelatkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 has produced this letter. Therefore, he is directed to remain present on next date.

4. However, as in view of objections raised by learned CPO, we direct Respondent No.3 - National Medical Council, New Delhi to file their Affidavit about the contents mentioned in that letter are true and correct.

5. We make it clear that unless this fact is stated on Affidavit, we cannot give any response to their letter.

6. S.O. to 27th June, 2024 under the caption of 'Part Heard'.

SD/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
21.06.2024

MB/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
21.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.500/2024</u></p> <p>P.S. Madane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The concerned officer of Department to find out the documents and furnish the same on which Applicant relied.</p> <p>3. As the grievance of the Applicant is redresss, nothing remains in the matter. Hence, OA stands disposed off. No orders as to Costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 21.06.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Chairperson 21.06.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
objections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.06.2024

O.A. No.389 of 2024

A.A. KoliApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. files 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder', if any.
4. Learned P.O. and also learned Advocate for the Applicant states that as pleadings are complete, O.A. No. 389 of 2024 be admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing'
5. Since pleadings are complete, O.A.389 of 2024 is admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing'.
6. S.O. to 19.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No.

of 20

IN

Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Sections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.06.2024

O.A. No.390 of 2024

V.R. Bhosale

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. states that he has just received information from 'Home Department' and therefore seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

4. S.O. to 05.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.06.2024**O.A. No.517 of 2024****P.D. Katkar****.....Applicant****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri Aditya Raktade, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 is absent.

2. Learned PO states that they will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' during the course of the day.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks liberty to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 28.06.2024 for 'Final Hearing'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

Tribunal's orders

M.A.No.342/2024 in O.A.No.665/2024

Dr. B.A. Pawar .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent.

2. M.A.No.342/2024 is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking transfer of O.A.No.665/2024 from Single Bench II to Single Bench III.

3. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that the suspension matters are currently heard by the Single Bench II and transfer matters are heard by Single Bench III. She has submitted that as the issue of transfer and suspension is interlinked and hence, matters are to be heard by one and the same Bench. It is further pointed out that earlier in the similar matter the O.A was transferred. Hence on parity the present O.A. also be transferred from Single Bench II to Single Bench III.

4. Learned Presenting Officer Ms. Gaikwad and learned Counsel Mr. Lonkar oppose the M.A.

5. M.A. is disposed of as allowed. O.A.No.665/2024 is to be placed before Single Bench III.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Date : 21.06.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

M.A.No.347/2024 in O.A.No.25/2024
Tribunal's orders

N.M. Pawar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A. Chalak, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent

2. M.A.No.347/2024 is filed under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking transfer of O.A.No.25/2024 from M.A.T. Bench Nagpur Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai.

3. Applicant prays that her Selection for the post of Para-Medical Technicians including the post of Electrocardiography (ECG) Technician. Learned Counsel has submitted that his matter needs to be heard urgently and finally and therefore he has moved M.A. as no Division Bench is available in M.A.T. Bench Nagpur.

4. In view that the Division Bench is already constituted consisting of my Brother Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman(J) M.A.T. Bench Nagpur and my Sister Ms. Medha Gadgil, Hon'ble Member(A), M.A.T., Mumbai and the said Division Bench will be taking Urgent Matters of M.A.T. Bench Nagpur through video conference on Monday i.e. 24.06.2024 at 2.30 p.m., present O.A.No.25/2024 is also to be placed before the said D.B.

5. Learned P.O. submits to the orders of the Court.

6. Hence, O.A.No.25/2024 is also to be placed on the same board of Division Bench consisting of Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman(J) M.A.T. Bench Nagpur and Ms. Medha Gadgil, Hon'ble Member(A), M.A.T., Mumbai.

7. In view of above, M.A. stands disposed of. O.A. Adjourned to 24.06.2024 at 2.30 p.m.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023 with M.A 364/2023 in O.A 619/2023 with O.A 619/2023</u></p> <p>A.D Nargude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents And R.D Pardhi ... Ori Applicant</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants in C.A 43/2023 in O.A 32/2023, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 619/2023.</p> <p>2. By consent matter adjourned to 8.7.2024. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1536/2023</u></p> <p>R.P Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, matter is preponed and kept on 25.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 110/2023 in O.A 553/2022</u></p> <p>Dr R.R Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the file is pending with Shri Tanaji Sawant, Hon'ble Minister, Public Health Department since 26.2.2024.</p> <p>3. Respondent No. 2, is hereby directed to pursue the matter as it is a Contempt proceeding.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 83/2023 in O.A 166/2018</u></p> <p>S.P Jedhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan i/b Shri D.B Khaire, learned advoca for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the amount of leave encashment was paid on 10.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces copy giving the break up of the leave period and the calculation of the leave encashment paid to the applicant. The copy of the same is handed over to the learned counsel for the applicant. Learned P.O further submits that the file is still pending with the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>4. Respondents to take note that the applicant is a Widow and her son is mentally disabled and she is not getting Pension since last two years.</p> <p>5. We direct the Respondent No. 1, Shri Dinesh Waghmare to personally follow up with this matter pending with the office of the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>6. S.O to 5.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>C.A 41/2024 in O.A 428/2021</u></p> <p>S.A Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.s Gaiwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.7.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.552 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Kiran Kuchekar holding for Shri Pratik P. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files an application dated 21.6.2024 and states that OA No.569/2024 was decided in favour of the applicants including the present applicant. Hence, she seeks leave to withdraw the above OA. Application is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.659 of 2017</u></p> <p>Mrs. Vasudha Kallur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sudhir Patil learned Advocate for the Applicant on VC from Aurangabad and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is not in the country and will be coming in the last week of September, 2024. She wants to file rejoinder along with some documents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. We keep this matter peremptorily on 27.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2024 in O.A. No.149 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Suryawanshi & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Eknath Dawale, Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department is present in the Court.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO on instructions submits that since 27.3.2024 the file is pending with the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.1494/2023

B.D. Shelar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent

3. Learned C.P.O has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit-in-reply will be filed.

4. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the concern.

5. Adjourned to 19.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.1449/2023

S.B. Angule

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

3. Adjourned to 26.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.1333/2023

A.R. Surve .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

3. Adjourned to 05.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.1300/2023

V.C. Pasalkar .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 02.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.675/2023

P.V. Mangure . Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.V. Sutar along with Ms. Anjali Shaw, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Misc. Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 05.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

M.A.No.334/2024 in O.A.No.675/2023

P.V. Mangure .Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. D.V. Sutar along with Ms. Anjali Shaw, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.334/2024 is filed for taking O.A.No.675/2023 for Final Hearing. Learned Counsel Mr. Sutar has submitted that the judgment dated 09.05.2024 passed by the M.A.T. Bench Nagpur in O.A.No.628/2023 and the facts in the present O.A.No.675/2023 are same.

3. M.A. stands allowed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

**M.A.No.95/2024 in M.A.No.96/2024 in
O.A.No.1591/2023**

R.L. Bali Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. B.R. Khichi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. M.A.No.95/2024 is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A.No.1591/2023. M.A.No.96/2024 is filed for amendment in O.A.No.1591/2023 for adding Party Respondents in the array of Respondents.

3. M.A.No.96/2024 for amendment in O.A.No.1591/2023 is allowed. M.A.No.96/2024 is disposed of as allowed.

4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in M.A.No.95/2024 i.e. for condonation of delay.

5. Time granted. Adjourned to 05.07.2024

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Date : 21.06.2024

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">O.A.No.1326/2023 with O.A.No.1327/2023 with Tribunal's orders O.A.No.1328/2023</p>
	<p>J.M. Ganvir V.B. Avhad K.R. Muthe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P. Avhad, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants are directed to give complete set of documents on which they rely to the learned C.P.O. If documents are not supplied to learned C.P.O. they will not be taken into consideration while hearing the matter.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.07.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2024</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.58/2023 in O.A.No.834/2022 with C.A.No.59/2023 in O.A.No.878/2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Waghmare & Ors. Dr. R.R. Rajput .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. K. Gajra, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. In view that order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.834/2022 with O.A.No.878/2022 is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petition is pending, C.A. is adjourned to 19.07.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.1040/2017 with M.A.No.244/2024

Y.G. Bhambure

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has filed M.A.No.244/2024 for production of documents and also for amendment for adding paragraphs in respect of handwriting expert's opinion which the applicant wants to rely.
3. Amendment to be carried out on or before 27.06.2024 and amended copy to be served upon the concern on 28.06.2024.
4. M.A.No.244/2024 is hereby allowed. O.A. Adjourned to 15.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.956/2017

S.D. Jadhav & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale learned Counsel for the Respondent.

2. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan seeks time as learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale has served copy of affidavit-in-reply yesterday.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 28.06.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.1619/2023

R.S. Takate .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Applicant present in person and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today Section Officer from the office of Medical Education and Drugs Department is present. Learned P.O. on instructions states that the Department will consider the case of the Applicant as per Clause 9 of G.R. dated 15.12.2017.

3. We direct the concern Respondent to file affidavit-in-reply on the next date, otherwise the matter will proceed without affidavit-in-reply.

4. Adjourned to 02.07.2024.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.385/2022

S.K. Hankare & Ors.

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent.

2. It is prayed by the Respondent that on account of Assembly, the Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department has no time to file affidavit. We do consider the position. However, as the Assembly is getting over on 12.07.2024 we direct the Additional Chief Secretary to file affidavit on 16.07.2024 and we also call upon the answer to paragraph 6 of our order dated 18.06.2024.

3. Adjourned to 16.07.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2024

O.A.No.349 of 2024

S. C. Bhosale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO for the Respondents submits that as per Tribunal's Order dated 19.06.2024 in O.A.No.619/2024 & Ors., the School Education and Sports Department has issued G.R. on 20.06.2024 regarding 5% reservation to the Sport Persons in Government Service. The GR issued on 20.06.2024 pertains to updation with relaxation of condition about affiliation or approval to any State Level Federation or Association from Maharashtra Olympic Association.

3. The learned PO submits that this GR dated 20.06.2024 may be used in O.A.349/2024.

4. However, so far as removal of Applicant from the training course is concerned, we pointed out in Para No.5 of our earlier order dated 09.05.2024 wherein we have specifically mentioned that "Respondent No.4 is directed not to take coercive action against the Applicant who is undergoing training on the post of 'Police Constable'". Thus, as submitted by learned Counsel for Applicant that the order dated 19.06.2024 issued by Police Training Centre, Pune to send back Applicant from training is gross violation of direction in Para No.5 of our order dated 09.05.2024. We take serious note of it and we direct all the Respondents to allow the Applicant to start his training from tomorrow. The order of Tribunal be implemented forthwith.

5. S.O. to 05.07.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1597 of 2023</p> <p>B.S. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that as per directions given in 'Para 6' of Order dated 02.05.2024; the Applicant has submitted 'Fresh Representation' to 'District Collector, Sangli' on 15.05.2024 along with '5 Option' for posts of 'Awal Karkoon' including post of 'Awal Karkoon, (EGS) Tahsil Office, Kadegaon, District Sangli'. 3. The 'District Collector, Sangli' as per directions in 'Para 7' Order dated 02.05.2024 is now expected to take appropriate decision with an 'Open Mind' about 'Fresh Representation' submitted Applicant on 15.05.2025 and thereupon pass 'Reasoned Order' during 'General Transfer: 2024'. 4. The O.A. is accordingly disposed off. No Order as to Cost. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">AGR</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>