

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.53 of 2023 in O.A. No.472 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This C.A. is filed to initiate action against the Respondent / Contemnor for non-compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 08.02.2023 in O.A. No.472/2021. Tribunal had given time limit of two months for compliance but there is no compliance within stipulated period.</p> <p>3. Issue notices to the Contemnor in person to show cause as to why action for contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against them.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.06.2023

O.A 121/2023

Dr P.N Kamble ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no 1 and Ms Jwala Jose, holding for Shri Talekar and Associates for Respondent no. 2.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that reply from M.P.S.C is awaited.

3. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.

4. S.O to 12.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023 with M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023</p> <p>A.D. Nargunde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that Tahsildar Mr. Ajit Kurade is present today. Learned P.O. has submitted that applicants in O.A.No.619/2023 have not passed the Departmental Examination within stipulated period and therefore as per Rules they are required to be reverted.</p> <p>3. On the point of defending the reversion if promotion is granted as per Rules, learned P.O. relies on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <i>Kishore Chandra Panigrahi Vs State of Orissa & Ors, (1996) 1 SCC 234</i> and also judgment of this Tribunal in O.A.No.810/2018, dated 30.09.2021, Shri Tanaji Laxman Savane Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 06.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">pk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.810/2016

N.P. KoliApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate a/w Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Advocate relies on the G.R. dated 24.06.2004. The said G.R. pertains to the fixing of seniority of the civil servants who are appointed on or before 15.06.1995.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Khaire states that delay was condoned. It means the point of limitation cannot be raised again.

4. Adjourned to 22.06.2023 at 11.30. FOB.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 21.06.2023
---	--

O.A 248/2023

Shri Samudre Babasaheb ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.
3. S.O to 12.7.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-
**(Medha Gadgil/
Member (A)**

Akn

Sd/-
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

21.06.2023

O.A 167/2023

Shri S.B Khedkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there are two judgments of the Tribunal, Nagpur Bench dated 10.3.2017 in O.A 749/2015 & O.A nos 285 & 286/2018 dated 21.8.2019 on the same point of seniority of Talathi who is transferred in the same Division is to be maintained.

3. Learned C.P.O to consider the ratio laid down in these two judgments and consider the case of the applicant.

4. Admit.

5. Place for final hearing on 5.7.2023. H.O.B.

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 21.06.2023
---	--

O.A 19, 20, 21, 88 & 223/2023

V.A Banne & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 19, 20 & 21/2023, Shri Vedant Bende holding for Shri B.U Deshmkh, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 88 & 223/2023 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants Shri Vedant Bende states that M.P.S.C is yet to file affidavit in reply.

3. S.O to 5.7.2023

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 630/2023</u></p> <p>Shri U.K Vetalq ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has submitted application seeking to withdraw the Original Application. Same is taken on record and marked as Exh. I.</p> <p>3. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn and resultantly the interim relief stands vacated.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.06.2023

O.A 708/2023

Manisha S. Naik ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Rati S. Sinhasane, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant challenges the order dated 16.6.2023, transferring the applicant from the post of Awal Karkun, Desk-4, Gaothan, Revenue & Forest Department, Collector Office, Kolhapur to Gadhinglaj.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant was due for transfer. She submits that on the basis of G.R dated 9.4.2018 the applicant gave list of 7 preferences and none of the 7 preferences were considered. Learned counsel pointed out that post at Kagal and Panhala are vacant and also the post of Circle Officer, Shroli, Tal-Karveer, Dist-Kolhapur is also vacant. Secondly, the challenge is given to the transfer order on the ground that the applicant and her husband are working in Government department and her husband is working as Talathi, Karvee, Dist-Kolhapur. Applicant is having two children, age 9 & 12 years. She further submits that one Ms Reena Ganpate, who had not asked for the post of Awal Karkun at Tal-Panhala is given posting at Panhala. However, she is not made party to this Original Application. One person Mr Nilesh Diwse, who was working as Awal Karkun in the Rationing Office, Kolhapur is given posting as Awal Karkun in Desk-4, Collector Office, Kolhapur.

4. Learned P.O while opposing the application has submitted that G.R dated 8.4.2018 is scrupulously followed. The applicant has given 10 choices, but she repeated the choices and only 5 places were given as choice posting. Moreover, the husband and wife are transferred and posted in the same District and therefore there is no violation of the policy decision of the Government. Learned P.O further submitted that reply is filed and copy is served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

5. Prima facie, it appears that the Respondent-State has followed the procedure. No interim relief is granted.

6. S.O to 22.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 604/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Ramsing M. Pardeshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant who is working as Circle Officer presently at Hirwal, Tal-Nandgaon, Dist-Nasik, has given 10 preference of his choice at the time of his transfer dated 6.8.2021 and out of the 10 preferences, the post of Circle Officer at Mohadi, Tal-Dindori, Dist-Nasik is going to fall vacant on the retirement of the concerned Circle Officer and his case can be considered.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that interim order be passed of keeping the post of Circle Officer, Mohadi, Tal-Dindori, Dist-Nasik, vacant. Such order cannot be passed.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply within two weeks, by way of last chance. If reply is not filed, the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 5.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 689/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.R Gujrathi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order of suspension dated 10.11.2022.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 28.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 344/2023 in O.A 551/2023</u></p> <p>Kalpana Tongaonkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shreyas Deshpande holding for Shri Ravindra Bhamare, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the order dated 20.11.2019. The Original Application is filed on 11.5.2023. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that excluding the Covid period concession, there is a delay of 430 days.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 12.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.683/2023

K.A. BadiwaleApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

8. Adjourned to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.685/2023 with M.A.No.402/2023

S.A. Pereira & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Matter pertains to Single Bench. Registry is directed to place the matter before appropriate Single Bench.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.680/2023</p> <p>S.J. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 28.06.2023. To be shown under the caption For Urgent Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.675/2023</p> <p>P.V. MangureApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.06.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 28.06.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.678/2023</p> <p>T.S. TajiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate states that the Applicant is challenging the procedure followed by the Respondent at the time of termination.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 12.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.667/2023

K.S. MeherApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. We direct Mr. Sonawane, ACP to remain present tomorrow at 10.30.
3. Adjourned to 22.06.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.698/2023

A.P. HiralkarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has filed appeal dated 21.09.2022 and prays that the appeal be decided within a stipulated period of eight weeks.

3. In view of the above, O.A. is disposed of with following order :

Respondents to decide the appeal within a period of eight weeks i.e. on to before 25.08.2023 and the decision as may be should be communicated to the Applicant within one week thereafter.

4. O.A. stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.526/2023

D.S. DeulkarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has challenged order dated 19.07.2022 passed by Respondent No.2, Collector thereby recommending the name of Respondent No.4 for appointment to the post of Peon and consequential impugned order dated 22.07.2022 issued by Respondent No.3, SDO thereby granting illegal appointment to the Respondent No.4 on the post of Peon and also to direct the Respondent No.2 to 3 to select and appoint the Applicant on the post of Peon.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.07.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2023

C.A.No.46/2023 in O.A.No.931/2022

Shah A.A.G. DastagirApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to order dated 20.06.2023 Ms. Sarita Bandekar, Joint Secretary, Agricultural Department is present today. She states that Applicant's file has been signed by the Hon'ble Chief Minister today and the orders will be issued today itself.

3. Statement of Ms. Bandekar is accepted. In view that nothing remains in the matter, C.A. states disposed of.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.1121/2016

P.N. ShelkeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the provision of ESBC quota was made in the year 2014. However, due to the stay by the Hon'ble Supreme Court for recruitment under ESBC quota there were no appointments. However, it is mentioned in the affidavit-in-reply dated 19.12.2016 filed by the Respondent through Mr. Pradeep Kohli, Administrative Officer in the office of Chief Conservatory of Forest the Respondent has mentioned that as there was stay for recruitment in ESBC quota the said posts are kept vacant. Learned Advocate has submitted that against that quota which is now named as EWS the Respondent-State may consider to appoint the Applicant.
3. Learned P.O. seeks time in view that brief is not traceable.
4. Adjourned to 22.06.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 96/2023</u></p> <p>Dr N.P Mahajan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Patankar, Joint Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, is directed to remain present with details of the medical facilities available at Government Medical College, Baramati regarding the treatment to the mentally disabled brother of the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.6.2023.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gädgil) v Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 39/2023 in O.A 66/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. The present M.A 39/2023 in O.A 66/2023 be tagged with O.A 19/2023 & Ors.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.7.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 21.06.2023</p>
	<p>O.A.No.383/2023</p> <p>S.T. ThombareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. In view that learned C.P.O. is before another Court adjourned to 22.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.364/2023</p> <p>A.U. Raskar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.07.2023</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.06.2023

**O.A.No.322/2023 with O.A.No.360/2023 with
O.A.No.361/2023 with O.A.No.370/2023**

Dr. M.M. Patil & Ors.Applicants

Vs.


The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Siddhant Sinha i/b. Mr. Hammurabi & Solomon Partners for Respondent No.3.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3. Adjourned to 12.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.06.2023


**C.A.No.54/2023 in O.A.No.257/2019 with
C.A.No.55/2023 in O.A.No.256/2019**

Dr. P.D. Shendge & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Mahajan adjourned to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.06.2023

O.A.No.309 of 2017

P. B. WankhedeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. The perusal of record reveals that matter was not regularly listed before the Tribunal and after long gap, it is listed today for hearing.

3. In view of above, in the interest of justice one opportunity is granted to applicant and his Counsel to remain present for hearing.

4. S.O. to 06.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.06.2023

C. A. No.25 of 2023 in O.A.No.996 of 2021

S. S. ShindeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit of Contemnor-Shri Rahul Rekhawar, Collector, Kolhapur. It is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. further states that Respondent is contemplating to issue necessary orders subject to outcome of W.P. He, therefore, requested for one day time.

4. S.O. to 22.06.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C. A. No.10 of 2023 in O.A.No.367 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. UmraniApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit of contemnor No.2 - Shri A. R. Kale, Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration, Bandra, State of Maharashtra. It is taken on record. 3. This Contempt Application is filed for taking action against the Respondents because of non-implementation of order passed by the Tribunal on 20.07.2022 in O.A. No.367/2022. The Tribunal directed to release gratuity and regular pension within a month and further directed to pay interest on gratuity at the rate applicable to GPF from 01.10.2020 till the date of actual payment. 4. It is after the order passed by the Tribunal, the Respondent No.2 realized that there is recovery of Rs.4,76,947/- from the Applicant because of higher pay scale granted to the Applicant while giving second benefit of Time Bound Promotion. It is because of that aspect; the Respondents could not implement the order passed by the Tribunal. 5. Learned P.O. has pointed out that the Respondent No.2 had issued notice to the Applicant under Rule 134-A of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982 to which Applicant had sent reply on 16.06.2023 giving his consent for recovery subject to outcome of the decision in O.A. that would be filed challenging recovery. 6. The Applicant in person also stated that presently he has no objection if the amount of Rs.4,76,947/- is recovered from gratuity subject to challenge ^{to} to recovery and the Respondents be directed to release remaining benefits in terms of order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. 7. Learned P.O. stated that in view of recovery, last drawn pay of the Applicant is downgraded and proposal is sent to A.G. for approval of pension as well as gratuity which is based on downgraded last drawn pay and the said amount will be paid soon. 8. Two weeks' time is granted to take necessary steps in the matter. 9. 05.07.2023 Sd/- Sd/- <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A. No.65 of 2022 in O.A. No.263 of 2019</p> <p>D.B.Vithoba KodagApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt Application is filed to initiate contempt proceeding for non-compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal on 30.09.2021 in O.A. No.263/2019, By the said order communication dated 21.11.2018 was quashed and set aside, the Respondent / Contemnor were directed to count previous service of the Applicant for the purpose of pension and accordingly pensionary benefits be released within three months from the date of order.</p> <p>3. Now, period of near about 18 months is over but there is no compliance to the order passed by the Tribunal. The Applicant is presently 80 years old pensioner.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submit that the Writ Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the Tribunal but she fairly concedes that there is no stay to the order of the Tribunal. Indeed, Writ Petition is filed quite belatedly i.e. in April 2023. It is thus apparent that Respondents are not serious to implement the order passed by the Tribunal and their approach is very causal. As such, <i>prima-facie</i> there is contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The perusal of record reveals that till date contemnor have not filed Affidavit-in-Reply.

6. Already enough time is availed by them. However, in the interest of justice one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply of the contemnor to Show Cause as to why action for contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against them.

7. S.O. to 28.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

L.O.

8. In the afternoon session, the Respondent No.3 - Smt. Nita Sachin Kate, Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sangali appears. She sought time to file Affidavit in Reply.

9. S.O. to 28.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.120 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.N. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.7.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1201 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.S. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgā) Member (A) 21.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1301 of 2022 with O.A. No.1302 of 2022 with O.A. No.1303 of 2022</p> <p>A.A. Wanve S.B. Bhapkar S.N. BangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these O.As. Reply is already filed by the Respondent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1120 of 2022</p> <p>M.B. BhaleraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, admit for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 21.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.309 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.S. AbnaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant three weeks time is granted to file M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.07.2023.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J) </p>

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 21.06.2023

O.A. No.942 of 2022

M.G. ChaudhariApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Caveat.

2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. Perusal of Affidavit-in-Reply reveals that Respondent No.2 – The Divisional Commissioner, Nashik had already forwarded proposal on 25.01.2023 to the Respondent No.1 – Government for considering claim of the Applicant for Deemed Date of Promotion on the post of Tahsildar w.e.f. 07.09.2009 i.e. date of one which his Junior was promoted. Thus the proposal for Deemed Date of promotion is pending with the Respondent No.1.

4. It is only incase Deemed Date of Promotion is granted then only the Applicant can raise his claim for further promotion ~~under~~ the cadre of Deputy Collector. Period of more than six months is over but no decision is taken by the Government on the proposal dated 25.01.2023.

5. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to take instruction on the proposal dated 25.01.2023 forwarded by the Respondent No.2 – The Divisional Commissioner, Nashik and apprise the Tribunal within two weeks so that O.A. could be disposed of in proper manner.

6. S.O. to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-
 (Debashish Chakrabarty)
 Member (A)

Sd/-
 (A.P. Kurhekar)
 Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.08 of 2023 in O.A. No.287 of 2020 with C.A. No.09 of 2023 in O.A. No.194 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. P.N. Kakade Dr. A.S. BendreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In sofaras C.A. No.08/2023 in O.A. No.287/2020 is concerned there is partial compliance and remaining compliance is in process.</p> <p>3. Further in sofaras C.A. No.09/2023 in O.A. No.194/2021 is concerned there is absolutely no compliance and not a single benefit is paid to the Applicant Mr. Dr. A.S. Bendre.</p> <p>4. Tribunal decided both O.As. by order dated 15.06.2022 whereby impugned order were quashed and set aside. Respondents were directed to release retiral benefits within two months from the date of order. However, though period of more than 10 months is over there is no full compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal. The Applicants are retired Government servant and they are required to be paid their legitimate dues without loss of time but the Respondents have not taken expeditious steps for the compliance. Admittedly, Respondents have not challenged the orders passed by the Tribunal and have decided to accept the judgment. This being so, Respondents / Contemnor are required to be dealt with under the Contempt of Court Act for the breach^{of} disobedience for the direction given by the Tribunal.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.623, 626, 598, 599 & 658 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. V.N. Dekate & Ors. Dr. A.H. Dhanawade & Ors. Dr. C.S. Lone Dr. D.D. Patil Dr. M. Chincholikar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs No.623, 626 & 658 of 2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri D.V. Sutar, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.3 (ZP, Nashik) in OAs. No.598 & 599/2023.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO to file reply by 28.6.2023 and provide a copy to the Ld. Advocates for the applicants.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.7.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.6.2023 21.6.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1180/ 2016, 110/2017 & 401/2017</u></p> <p>R.M. Janbandhu & Ors. K.S. Joshi M.A. Patel ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPC prays for one day's time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.6.2023 21.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.592 of 2015</u></p> <p>J.S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order dated 6.6.2022 passed in the above OA, as the writ petition is pending in the Hon'ble High Court the matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.6.2023 21.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.315 of 2016 & Ors.</u></p> <p>S.J. Wayachal (OA.315/2016) A.S. Bhosale (OA.425/2016) G.T. Deokar (OA.426/2016) K.V. Kalekar (OA.436/2016) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for applicants in OAs No.315/16, 425/16 & 426/2016, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA.436/2016, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA No.315/2016 and Smt. K.S. Gaiad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate tenders a copy and rely on the additional affidavit dated 13.7.2021 filed by Shri Devendra Vishwanath Tawade, Under Secretary, MPSC on behalf of respondent no.1 in OA No.293 of 2020 (Shri Amol Ramdas Lohar Vs. MPSC & Ors.).</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 21.6 2023 21.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>