

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No. 40 of 2017 with O.A.No.192 of 2017 (Division Bench)</p> <p>T. P. Rathod P. B. PAtilApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit of Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai in terms of order passed by the Tribunal explaining the delay in completion of D.E. Affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.549 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>J. P. AmbareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was admitted and adjourned for final hearing in due course. However, for considerable time, it was not listed due to paucity of time and remain undated.</p> <p>3. Today, it is listed after much gap. Hence, to give one opportunity, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.279 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R. B. JaidApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. has been admitted and to be heard in due course. For long time, the matter remains undated due to paucity of time and today for the first time listed on board.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing. It be listed before Division Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.101 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S. D. Deshmukh ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are continuously absent and the matter was kept for dismissal of order. However, it was adjourned from time to time.</p> <p>3. Today, the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent.</p> <p>4. Today, this matter is listed after long gap since it was kept undated for considerable time. Therefore, in the interest of justice, it is adjourned to give one opportunity and be kept before Division Bench under the caption of 'For Dismissal' .</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.110 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>M. B. SonawaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter is ripe for final hearing but it was not listed for long time and kept undated due to paucity of time.</p> <p>3. O.A. therefore, be kept for final hearing before the Division Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.452 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>G. R. HadimaniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for MS S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. has been admitted and to be heard in due course. For long time, the matter remains undated due to paucity of time and today for the first time listed on board.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing. It be listed before Division Bench.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.590 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A. D. Metkari ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and adjourned for hearing in due course but it is not listed due to paucity of time and today for the first time listed on board.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.716 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>N. K. KiravaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals the matter was in the office undated and was not listed for hearing for long time.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned and be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.731 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>K. S. AwareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that matter is ripe for final hearing but not listed for long time.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is given for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.322 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>Y. A.MandaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was admitted and adjourned for final hearing in due course but remains undated due to paucity of time. After long time, it is listed on today's board.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.391 of 2018 in O.A.No.483 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>V. K.WashilkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter was in the office undated for long time and today for the first time, it is listed on board.</p> <p>3. Therefore, in the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.06 of 2018</p> <p>P. B. Ballyapalli ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the matter is ripe for final hearing but remains undated in the office for long time and for the first time, it is listed on board.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing to give one opportunity of hearing to the Applicant and his Counsel.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.1036 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S.V.SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and adjourned for final hearing in due course. For long time, it remains in the office undated due to paucity of time and today for the first time it is listed on board.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 21.06.2022
	<p align="center">O. A. No.992 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A. A. Shinde & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and adjourned for final hearing in due course. For long time, it remains in the office undated due to paucity of time and today for the first time it is listed on board.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.80 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>Ms. S. S. KaranjeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. is ripe for final hearing. However, it remains undated due to paucity of time and today it is listed after long time.</p> <p>3. Since, O.A. is ripe for final hearing, it be listed before the Division Bench for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 579/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.B Mude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants states that the applicants who are working as Clerk-cum-Typists appeared for the Limited Departmental Competitive Examination 2021, but the Respondents did not include 34 vacant posts because of which they lost their chance.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have filed representations dated 10.1.2022 and 14.3.2022.</p> <p>4. The Respondents are directed to decide the above representations as expeditiously as possible, preferably within one month.</p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.8.2022.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O to 2.8.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) <i>U</i> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Alkn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 325/2018 with O.A 13/2020</u></p> <p>A.M Jadhav [O.A 325/2018] R.S Pawar [O.A 13/2020] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 325/2018, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no. 2, Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 13/2020 and Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 in O.A 13/2020</p> <p>2. It is to be noted that on 28.4.2022, the matter was adjourned to 5.5.2022 for filing reply by learned C.P.O. After that matter was adjourned to 13.6.2022. However, the matter did not appear on Board on 13.6.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time to file affidavit in reply in O.A 13/2020 on behalf of M.P.S.C.</p> <p>4. Permission granted to the learned C.P.O to file affidavit in reply before the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O to 5.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 358/2022 in O.A 579/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.B Mude & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- A, ></p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.912 of 2015

S.G. Deshpande & 15 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.Y. Sakhare, Ld. Senior Counsel with Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents, Shri U.V. Bhosle holding for Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondents No.19, 22, 32, 34 & 44, Shri Abhijeet. U. Pawar holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, Ld. Special Counsel for the State and Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.38.

2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, Ld. Advocate submits that Shri M.R. Patil, Ld. Advocate has sent letters dated 1.7.2021 to Respondents No.19, 22, 32, 34 & 44 informing them withdrawal of his appearance and giving no objection for appointing another Advocate. The said letters are taken on record and marked Exhibit '1' Collectively for identification.

3. We note that affidavit of service is filed by Applicant No.11-Shri Niranjana Telang, Executive Engineer, PWD, Pune on 17.2.2016. It appears that amongst other respondents, except respondents represented by Shri M.R. Patil, Ld. Advocate, none has come before Tribunal or engaged any Advocate. The matter is of 2015 and the Tribunal has taken drive to hear all the old matters.

4. Ld. Senior Counsel for the applicants seeks permission to file additional affidavit as in the efflux of time certain developments have taken place. He seeks two weeks time. He submits that he will file the same on or before 5.7.2022 so that other side will have sufficient time to deal with it.

5. Under such circumstances we keep this matter for final hearing at the request of Ld. Senior Counsel for the applicants on 19.7.2022 at 2.30 p.m.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
21.6.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
21.6.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>C.A 27/2022 in O.A 645/2017 with O.A 509/2020</u></p> <p>Shri Manoj A Damale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.7.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.367 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>L. M. Aiwale Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has challenged communication dated 02.01.2018 whereby M.P.S.C. has given approval to the proposed punishment.</p> <p>3. After long gap, the matter is listed on today's board. It is necessary to know the final status of punishment order, if any.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and apprise the Tribunal tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.1014 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>K.A. LoharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. None for Respondent No.2.3. In O.A. challenge is to the order dated 05.10.2018 whereby one enquiry committee was constituted to enquire into the complaint against the Applicant. By this time the proceeding must have been completed, and therefore it is necessary to now the present status to find out whether cause of action survives.4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and appraise the Tribunal by next date.5. S.O. to 13.07.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.278 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R.B. JaidApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and was to come for final hearing in due course. The matter was in the office undated and for the first time, it is listed.</p> <p>3. In O.A. challenge is to initiation of D.E. started in 2016. The record further reveals that during pendency of O.A., D.E. has been completed and punishment of censure has been imposed in 2018 itself but the matter remains pending.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this O.A. be kept on tomorrow's board for passing further order since today the Applicant and his Counsel are absent and for the first time, it is listed on board.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.764 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>N.S.ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was in the office undated for long time and today for the first time it is listed. It further reveals that after passing impugned order the Department has taken some remedial measures in the matter of initiation of inquiry under the provision of Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act 2013.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal about the said proceeding so that necessary orders can be passed in this O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.961 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>U.M. KaduApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and adjourned for Final Hearing in due course. However, it was not listed before the Bench and remained undated. In the interest of justice, adjourned for Final Hearing. S.O. to 18.07.2022. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.846 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and adjourned for Final Hearing in due course. However, it was not listed before the Bench and remained undated. In the interest of justice, adjourned for Final Hearing. S.O. to 18.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S.H. BobadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that Affidavit-in-Reply was filed long back but matter is not listed for hearing. It was undated in the office. Since matter is ripe for Final Hearing it be kept before Division Bench for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.765 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>S. H. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that O.A. was admitted and adjourned in due course but not listed for long time and today, it is listed for the first time.</p> <p>3. In O.A., challenge is to initiation of D.E. started in 2018. It is, therefore, necessary to know the status of D.E. which must have completed by this time.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and apprise the Tribunal by tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v v v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2022

O.A.No.750 of 2021 with M.A.No.391/2021

M.S. RathodApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply dated 07.06.2022 filed through Mr. Bapusaheb Jagannath Gade, Superintending Engineer & Director in the office of Directorate of Irrigation Research and Development, Pune is to be taken as affidavit-in-reply in both O.A.No.750/2021 as well as M.A.No.391/2021. She be allowed to correct the caption accordingly.

3. Allowed. Amendment to be carried out in the copy of Tribunal as well as learned Advocate.

4. Learned Advocate submits that in the list of added Respondents the names of 6 Respondents are repeated so there will be change in the serial number. He seeks permission to make necessary correction accordingly.

5. Permission granted. Allowed to make amendment in the copy of Tribunal as well as learned P.O.

6. Adjourned to 23.06.2022. Part Heard.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2022

O.A.No.780 of 2021

A.K. JadhavApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.


3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2022.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Adjourned to 05.07.2022.

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.368 of 2022 in O.A.No.780 of 2021</p> <p>A.K. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.368/2022 is moved for amendment as mentioned in Schedule-A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out within one week and amended copy to be served upon learned Advocate forthwith.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2022

O.A.No.588 of 2022

S.S. GamreApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate challenges the order dated 17.05.2022 issued by Respondent No.1 and the order which is issued in favour of Respondent No.2.

3. Learned Advocate submits that only Respondent No.1 is served and Respondent No.2 is not served.

4. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicant who was working as a Storekeeper at Jawhar in the office of Executive Engineer, Public Works Department is transferred to Ratnagiri as a Storekeeper in the place of Respondent No.2. Learned Advocate challenges the order on the following grounds :-

(a) Applicant was posted at Jawhar by order dated 26.06.2019 therefore he has not completed his tenure of three years. Hence, it is a mid-tenure transfer.

(b) Applicant was working in Tribal area therefore as per G.R. dated 06.08.2002 Applicant has to be given choice posting for which he has submitted application on 03.05.2022.

(c) As per G.R. dated 09.04.2017 of G.A.D. preference is not considered.

(d) He further submitted that Respondent No.2 has not completed one year at Ratnagiri. However he is favored and transferred at the place of applicant by order dated 25.10.2021 disclosing that the Applicant was transferred to Ratnagiri from Mumbai.

Hence transfer is illegal.

5. Learned Advocate further submits that Applicant's son is studying in college at Mumbai.

6. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 23.06.2022.

Sd/- 

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.226 of 2022 in O.A. No.354 of 2020</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra ..Applicant Vs. K.R. Gupta & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent-original Applicants and Shri J.J. Carlos, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.5 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.572 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 21.6.2022</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.348 of 2022 in O.A. No.551 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Hire & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ramakant Paranjape, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.551 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.P. Hire & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ramakant Paranjape, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>21.6.2022 21.6.2022</p> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.451 of 2022</u></p> <p>Harshada R. Gangurde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar. learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MPSC is directed to provide addresses of respondents no.3 & 4 to the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.7.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.366 of 2022 in O.A. No.459 of 2022</u></p> <p>Vijay B. Mahajan & 2 Ors. ..Interveners And S.A. Jamadar & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Interveners and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Interveners state that she has filed the above MA for intervention and seeks time for hearing on the MA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2022

O.A.No.349 of 2017

K.V. Patil

....Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Chandratre submits that about six months back he has given NOC to the Applicant at his request so as to enable him to engage another Advocate.

3. Adjourned to 12.07.2022. To be kept under the caption of 'Dismissal'.

Sd/-

(Medhā Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 508/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.V Borhade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Today, the matter is not on Board. Learned counsel for the applicant Mrs Punam Mahajan has given leave note. Hence, it is not possible for us to call her. However, in view of the oral application made by learned P.O, to modify our earlier order dated 20.6.2022, we find that no prejudice will be caused to the other side as we are interesting in getting correct information on this point.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that instead of Shri Sunil Ramanand, Additional Director General of Police (Wireless), Shri Ishwar Kamble, Superintendent of Police, Wireless, West Region, Pune, who was on the Selection Committee and who is knowledgeable about the facts of the case will remain present.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, we modify the order dated 20.6.2022 and we direct Shri Ishwar Kamble, Superintendent of Police, Wireless, West Region, Pune, to remain present before this Tribunal on 22.6.2022 at 12.30 pm.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.6.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA.47/2021 in OA.280/2017 with OA.241/2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Punathil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that as far as contempt in OA No.241/2019 is concerned, they have sent entire proposal on 28.4.2022 along with orders of this Tribunal after incorporating para 36 of the judgment and order dated 25.6.2021 passed by this Tribunal in the above OAs to the office of Accountant General.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.6.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>21.6.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 508/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.V Borhade & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Today, the matter is not on Board. Learned counsel for the applicant Mrs Punam Mahajan has given leave note. Hence, it is not possible for us to call her. However, in view of the oral application made by learned P.O, to modify our earlier order dated 20.6.2022, we find that no prejudice will be caused to the other side as we are interesting in getting correct information on this point.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that instead of Shri Sunil Ramanand, Additional Director General of Police (Wireless), Shri Ishwar Kamble, Superintendent of Police, Wireless, West Region, Pune, who was on the Selection Committee and who is knowledgeable about the facts of the case will remain present.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, we modify the order dated 20.6.2022 and we direct Shri Ishwar Kamble, Superintendent of Police, Wireless, West Region, Pune, to remain present before this Tribunal on 22.6.2022 at 12.30 pm.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>21.06.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 869/2021</u></p> <p>Priyanka A. Vidyagar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.G Kudle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant shows the service and submits that though he has sent the papers by Speed Post, Respondent no. 3 has refused. He produces the said envelope.</p> <p>3. This is considered as a good service. However, we direct M.P.S.C to communicate to Respondent no. 3, where she is working that the matter is filed against her questioning her appointment to the post of Industries Officer and also make it clear that the matter will be heard on 5.7.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O to 5.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.906 of 2021 with O.A. No.907 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.K. Mokashi & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Meena Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.269 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.G. Sali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. The above matter was heard and closed for orders on 16.6.2022. However, there are certain clarifications required. Ld. PO to verify the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2022 for rehearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 21.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.510 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>P.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. None for Respondent No.4 3. On perusal of record reveals that matter was undated in the Office and for the first time it is listed before this Bench. 4. The perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply long back. 5. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1, if any. 5. O.A. be placed before Division Bench. 6. S.O. to 05.07.2022. <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.425 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>D.C. ChaureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.3 – M.P.S.C. has only filed Affidavit-in-Reply but no Reply is filed on behalf of other Respondents. Matter was not listed for the long time and for the first time it is listed before this Bench. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. O.A. be placed before Division Bench. S.O. to 07.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.415 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On perusal of record reveals that matter was lying undated in the office and no Reply is filed by the Respondent. Indeed, even if matter is not listed before the Bench, Respondent ought to have filed Affidavit-in-Reply in the Office, since notices are already issued and served upon the Respondents long ago. 4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 5. O.A. be placed before Division Bench. 6. S.O. to 04.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.361 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>A.R. GholaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. None for M.P.S.C. 3. On perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.1 had filed short Affidavit adopting Affidavit-in-Reply filed in O.A. No.389 of 2018. However, no Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 – M.P.S.C. 4. Perusal of record further reveals that matter was in the office undated and today for the first time it is listed before this Bench. 5. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 6. O.A. be placed before Division Bench. 7. S.O. to 05.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.346 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>D.R. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On perusal of record reveals that Respondent No.2 had filed Affidavit-in-Reply long back. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1. Matter was in Sine-die list as seen from the order passed by the Tribunal on 21.01.2019. Today for the first time it is listed before this Tribunal. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing before Division Bench. S.O. to 04.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- Ww (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.32 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>G.H. Gavhane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. On perusal of record reveals that matter is ripe for hearing. Since, Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent is already filed but matter remained undated in the office. Today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.4. Since, O.A. is ripe for Final Hearing it be kept before Division Bench for Final Hearing.5. S.O. to 05.07.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.455 of 2018 in O.A. No.649 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>P.K. Karne & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. Perusal of record reveals that this M.A. was filed for restoration of O.A. long back but the office has not listed it before the Bench. Today for the first time it is listed before this Bench.4. M.A. be placed before Division Bench for hearing.5. S.O. to 29.06.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.107 of 2019 (Division Bench)</p> <p>N.K. ChicheApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On perusal of record reveals that matter was undated and for the first time today listed before this Bench for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for adjournment.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 05.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.599 of 2018 (Division Bench)</p> <p>D.G. RajputApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 3. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 4. In view of leave note adjourned for Final Hearing 5. S.O. to 05.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.264 of 2022 in O.A. No.509 of 2015</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus P.G. Kolape (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) 2. Respondent (Org. Applicant) and his Advocate both are absent. 3. This M.A. is filed by Original Respondents No.2 - The Secretary, Samajik Vikas Samanvay (G.A.D.) for modification of order dated 22.03.2022 passed by the Tribunal on hearing the parties on merit. 4. Learned P.O. has pointed out that since the M.A. is for modification of the order passed by the Division Bench, the M.A. is to be considered by same Division Bench. 5. In view of above, this M.A. be placed before the Division Bench headed by Hon'ble Chairperson for further orders. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>C.A. No.08 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018 with M.A. No.344 of 2020 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R.S. Salunkhe & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ansari Faiyaz, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">\</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.831 of 2021</p> <p>S.D. GiriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.365 of 2022 in O.A. No.1136 of 2016 (Division Bench)</p> <p>N.A. KhanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This amendment Application is filed seeking regularization of service on the post of Urdu Typist in alternative. In O.A. the Applicant is claiming regularization for the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade – Urdu). Initially, he was appointed on the post of Urdu Typist and thereafter came to be appointed on the post of Stenographer (Higher Grade – Urdu) and Government availed his services for almost 26 years. After 26 years his services were terminated on the ground that he didn't possess requisite qualification for Stenographer (Higher Grade – Urdu).</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. fairly concedes that the Applicant can be permitted to amend O.A. as prayed in M.A. and time be granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment.</p> <p>4. In view of above and in peculiar circumstances of the present case the M.A. for amendment deserves to be allowed for consideration of the Applicant's case for regularization on the post of Urdu Typist. Amendment be carried out within 3 days.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to the Respondent to file Reply to the amendment.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.867 of 2016 (Division Bench)</p> <p>R.M. HaridasApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education & Drugs Department will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>