

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.575 of 2015 with M.A. No.377 of 2015

H.M. Kshirsagar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 8.7.2019.

~~DATE:~~ 21/6/2019

~~CORAM:~~

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jashi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) M/C-A

~~APPEARANCE:~~

Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 8/7/2019.....

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

(sgl)

MT

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p>COMES: <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A VICA</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>27/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>DI</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.94 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.S. Jakkanawar ..Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 27.6.2019. The respondents particularly respondent no.2 is directed to find out the difficulty in tracing missing credit of the applicant and what is being done about the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.634 of 2018

U.D. Kharat & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.

3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 27.6.2019.

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~  
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member) A- VC-A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. U.V. Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 27/6/2019 at the  
request of Ld. Adv. for the App.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/6/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p><del>Shri P.N. Dixit (Chairman)</del></p> <p><del>Shri S.P. Manchekar (C.M.C.-A)</del></p> <p><u>Shri U.V. Bhosale</u></p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant:</u></p> <p><u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p><u>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p><u>Adm. To: Admit.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.931 of 2018 with M.A. No.552 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 &amp; 2 in OA.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.888 of 2018 with M.A. No.224 of 2018

A.S. Shirsath & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 3.7.2019.

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Kaustubh Gidh Ld.  
Adv. holding for Shri Kranti L.C.  
Ld. Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 3/7/2019 at the  
request of Ld. Adv. for the  
appl.

*[Handwritten signature]*

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 21/6/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Smt/Smt. _____ Applicant and Ld. Advocate by the Applicant are absent. Smt/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj To: 26/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.988 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Ld. Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks to time to file reply. The respondents are directed to state the legal impediments, if any, in reconsidering the matter. If action is taken positively, statement may be made, failing which affidavit may be filed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.7.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.39 of 2019 in O.A. No.988 of 2018

S.R. Kale ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Ld. Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is for condoning delay of 4 years and 242 days. Husband of the applicant who was a Government employee disappeared and hence declared dead by judicial order.

3. For the reasons stated therein, MA is allowed and delay is condoned.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 21/6/2019

GOBAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/s Applicant and Ld. Advocate  
for the Applicant are absent  
Advocate for the Respondent  
Sd/-/and S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: MA is allowed.

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. (Chief Justice)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. DIXIT C.J.C.</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.R. Ghanavat</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>MA is dismissed.</u> <u>In view of dismissal of MA,</u> <u>OA is also dismissed.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>ME</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.431 of 2019 with M.A. No.265 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.M. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is for condoning delay of 4 years, 6 months and 19 days in filing OA. The reasons stated in the MA are that the applicant was unaware about the niceties of challenging the impugned orders. She was poor and under mental pressure. The reasons stated in the MA are totally unsatisfactory and therefore they cannot be construed as valid reasons.</p> <p>3. Hence, MA for condonation of delay is dismissed.</p> <p>4. In view of dismissal of MA, OA is also dismissed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

M.A.No.98 of 2019 in O.A.No.690 of 2018 with  
O.A.No.947 of 2018 with  
O.A.No.1087 of 2018

P.S. Vetal & Ors. (M.A.No.98/2019 in O.A.No.690/ 2018)  
S.S. Salve & Ors. (O.A.No.947/ 2018)  
S.S. Pingale & Ors. (O.A.No.1087/2018) ..... Applicants  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Counsel for the Applicants in M.A.No.98/2019, Shri Kaustubh Girh, instructed by Shri S. Kaushal, learned Counsel for the Respondents in O.A.No.690/2018, O.A.No.947/2018 and O.A.No.1087/2018 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Kaustubh Girh for the Applicants on instructions from his Senior Advocate Shri S. Kaushal states that he wants to make amendment and delete Respondent No.4 in all the three Original Applications.

3. Prayer is accordingly considered and permitted to make necessary amendment.

4. In view of the foregoing statement of learned Advocate M.A.No.98/2019 filed in O.A.No.690/2018 for deleting Respondent No.4 is allowed. Hence, Respondent No.4 be also deleted in O.A.No.947/2018 and O.A.No.1087/2018.

5. Adjourned to 25.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.D. Karanjkar)  
Member(I)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM: Shri P. N. Dixit (C.V.C-1)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri A. D. Karanjkar (Member-A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.K. Rajpurohit

Ld. Advocate for the Applicant (M.A. 98/19)

Shri/Smt. Kaustubh Girh (M.A. 98/19)

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s  
instructed by Shri S. Kaushal Ld.  
Counsel for Respondents in O.A. 690/18,

Adv. To: O.A. 947/18 and O.A. 1087/18.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar Ld. CPO  
for the Respondents

MA is allowed.

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.388 of 2019

P.G. Sawant ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has served proposed private respondents.

3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 25.7.2019.

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 25/7/2019 at the  
request of Ld. Advocate for  
the appl.

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.368 of 2019

A.S. Yadav ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has served proposed private respondents.

3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 18.7.2019.

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM

Hon. J. (Chairman) P.N. Dixit (CVC-A)

APPLICANT

Shri/Sr. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant S.P. Manchekar

Shri/Sr. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 18/7/2019 at the request of Ld. Adv. for the appl.

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 21/6/2019</p> <p>COBAM:</p> <p><del>_____</del></p> <p><del>_____</del> P.N. DIXIT VC-A</p> <p><del>_____</del></p> <p><del>_____</del> S.S. Dere</p> <p><del>_____</del> Applicant</p> <p><del>_____</del> S.P. Manchekar</p> <p><del>_____</del> for the Respondent/s 1 &amp; 2</p> <p>Ms Ankita Nishad holding per</p> <p>Adv. To. Shri. A.A. Desai, Ld.</p> <p>Advocate for R. Nos.</p> <p>S.O. to 5/7/2019</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>O.A. No.1036 of 2018</p> <p>S.V. Sawant ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Ms. Ankita Nishad, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has drawn attention to order dated 28.8.2018 passed by Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.25 of 2018. Relevant portion of the same reads as under:</p> <p><i>"We hereby direct the respondent no.2 i.e. the MPSC to recommend the applicant for the post of Sub Inspector in State Excise Department against SC (general) or SC (female) as the case may be. Necessary recommendation shall be made within one month from the date of this order, instead of quashing the selection of respondent nos.4 to 6 for the post of Sub Inspector in State Excise Department main as per the selection of 2017, we observe that the consequences of selection of the applicant as directed by this Tribunal will follow the consequences in respect of respondents no.4 to 6 as per its own merits."</i></p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that the same may be made applicable in the present OA as well. Ld. CPO on behalf of respondent no.1 states that respondent no.1 is examining the case made by the applicant and it is under their consideration.</p> <p>4. Respondent no.1 is directed to intimate the progress regarding their consideration within one week.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 5.7.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>21.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance. Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 21/6/2019</p> <p><del>GOB A/c</del></p> <p><del>Mr. Justice H. Joshi (Chairman)</del></p> <p><del>Mr. Justice P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</del></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. G.A. Bandiwadker</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>Advocate for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: 18/7/2019 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the appl.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.764 of 2018</u></p> <p>N.S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 18.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 21/6/2019</p> <p>GORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)</p> <p>Hon. Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. A.D. Karanjkar (M)</p> <p>APPE: M.D. Lonkar</p> <p>Shri: M.D. Lonkar</p> <p>Advt. for Applicant: S.P. Manjekar</p> <p>Shri: S.P. Manjekar</p> <p>C.P.O./R.A. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: Issue notice before admission returnable on 25/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MK</p>	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.567 of 2019</p> <p>M.P. Lad &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manjekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.07.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 25.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.D. Karanjkar) Member(I)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 21/6/2019</p> <p>CHIEF: Shri P. A. Dixit (C/JG A)</p> <p>MEMBER: A. D. Karanjkar (Member A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Ms. Madhavi Ayyapan Ld. Adv. holding for Shri S. B. Talekar Ld. Advocate</p> <p>Shri/Ms. S. P. Manchekar C.P.O.</p> <p>MA &amp; OA will be heard together.</p> <p>S.O. to 26/6/2019.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.576 of 2019 WITH M.A.No.320/2019</p> <p>A.P. Patil &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Madhavi Ayyapan, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. M.A. and O.A. will be heard together.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission in O.A.No.576/2019 returnable on 26.06.2019.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 26.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- (A.D. Karanjkar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O.A.No.535 of 2019

G.M. Sawant ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 26.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.D. Karanjkar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

DATE: 21/6/2019  
CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)  
Hon'ble Shri H.P. Jadhav (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member-A)

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/S: H.P. Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt: S.D. Dole  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

A.O. To: Issue notice before  
admission returnable on  
26/07/2019.

MP

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 21/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (VLC-A)</p> <p>Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri Sanjeev Thorat Ld. Advocate holding for Shri R. Malkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Sd/- S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. / for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt. To: 25/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.546 of 2018</p> <p>S.B. Khemnar ..... Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sanjeev Thorat, learned Advocate holding for Shri R. Malkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Sanjeev Thorat prays to make necessary amendment and also serve the relevant documents.</p> <p>3. - Adjourned to 25.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.D. Karanjkar) Member(I)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</u>  <u>Hon'ble Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member)</u>  <u>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashankar (Member)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:  Shri/Smt: <u>S.D. Rankhambe</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u>  C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt. To: <u>27/9/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1033 of 2015</p> <p>T.D. Tayade ..... Applicant  Versus  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1 Heard Shri S.D. Rankhambe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2 Learned Advocate Shri S.D. Rankhambe for the Applicant seeks adjournment for making amendment. The same is permitted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____  (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit)  Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O.A.No.578 of 2019

S.S. Kamble & Ors. .... Applicants  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. -

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 26.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.D. Karanjkar)  
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman(A)

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (VGA)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Manchekar (Chief Presenting Officer)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: Issue notice before  
admission returnable on  
26/6/2019.

*File*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 21/06/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member) A J</p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>Shri/Smt: S.S. Dere</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt. To: MA. No. 318/2019 is therefore allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MJD D/e</p>	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.318 of 2019 in O.A.No.578 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Kamble &amp; Ors. .... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. - Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is for sue jointly by persons who claim to be similarly situated. Learned C.P.O. has no objections regarding the same.</p> <p>3. M.A.No.318/2019 is therefore allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.173 of 2019

S.N. Naik & Ors. ..Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 9.7.2019.

Sd/-  
 (P.N/ Dixit)  
 Vice-Chairman (A)  
 21.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 21/6/2019  
 CORAM:  
 Member Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Member Shri P.N. DIXIT (Vice-Chairman)  
 Member Shri M. P. Patil (Member)  
 APPEARANCE:  
 Shri U.V. Bhosale  
 Shri S.P. Manchekar  
 C.P.O / Presenting Officer  
 Ad. To: 9/7/2019 for reply.  
 [Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.244 of 2019

R.B. Jagtap ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.  
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer  
for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
21.6.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 21/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S. P. Manchekar (Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit (C-1)

APPEARANCE:

Applicant and Advocate  
for the applicant are absent.

State/Cmt: S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 18/7/2019

*SGE*

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p><del>CORAM</del></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>P.N. Dixit (VCA)</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dey</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>25/6/2019 at the request of Ld. Adv. for the appl.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.205 of 2019 in O.A. No.559 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.R. Khatavkar ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 25.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/6/2019</p> <p><u>WITNESSES:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (V.C.A.)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere Ld. Adv. holding Ld. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 18/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.221 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.S. More ..Applicant</p> <p>    Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>    Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: _____</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice: _____</p> <p>for the Applicant: <u>P.N. DIXIT (V/G #)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>for the Applicant: <u>V.P. Potbhare Ld. Adv. holder for Shri. Ashish Rajkar</u></p> <p>for the Respondent/s: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>5/7/2019 at the request of Ld. Adv. for the Appl.</u></p> <p align="right"><u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.88 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.N. Waghmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO has produced a communication dated 18.6.2019 asking the applicant to produce the following documents:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>१. वैद्यकिय प्रमाणपत्र.</li> <li>२. संगणक परीक्षा उत्तीर्ण झाल्याचे प्रमाणपत्र.</li> <li>३. हिंदी व मराठी उत्तीर्ण झाल्याचे प्रमाणपत्र व गुणपत्रक.</li> <li>४. परिविक्षाधीन कालावधि पुर्ण झाल्याचा प्रस्ताव.</li> </ol> <p>3. She was also asked for the same on 28.3.2019. However, no reply has been received. Ld. Advocate for the applicant should inform this Tribunal before the next date when the applicant proposes to comply with the communication mentioned above.</p> <p>4. At the request of Ld Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 5.7.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 21.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.867 of 2018</p> <p>D. K. Chougule .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time for filing M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.06.2019 .</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.194 of 2017</b></p> <p>I. M. Pathan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel are not attending the Tribunal regularly and their absence are noted on some dates.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is claiming interest on delayed payment of the benefit of Time Bound Promotion scheme, when the matter was taken up for hearing on 09.08.2018, it was pointed out by the learned Counsel for the Applicant about maintainability of the application. Learned Counsel admitted that there is no provision of payment of interest but sought time to search judgment and to produce the same on record. However, again the Applicant and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p>4. In view of above, as the Applicant and his Counsel are not interested in the matter, the O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O.A.No.775 of 2017

S. N. Raktate ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. D. Parsekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Toady the matter is fixed for final hearing and during the course of hearing of learned Counsel of the Applicant, it was transpired that the Applicant is claiming benefits on the post of Selection Grade Additional Collector with deemed date. In fact, he stands retired on 31.12.2013 from the post of Additional Collector. His grievance is that after his retirement his juniors were promoted and brought in the Selection Grade Additional Collector. He further contends that had his case examined by D.P.C. at appropriate time. He would have got Selection Grade of Additional Collector and, therefore, now claiming benefit of the post of Selection Grade Additional Collector with deemed date.

3. Thus, the basic issue in the matter is about promotion on the post of Selection Grade Additional Collector which was not given to the Applicant till his retirement. This being the position, the matter seems basically pertains to promotion as it is not only of deemed date of promotion. As per office order, the matter of promotion pertains to Division Bench and not Single Bench.

4. The office is, therefore, directed to examined the matter and place it before appropriate bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O.A.No.265 of 2016

P. J. Ganjale .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this Original Application, challenge is to the impugned order dated 01.10.2013 whereby the appointment of the Applicant on the post of Kotwal stands cancelled. As the O.A. was not filed within limit it was failed along with an application for condonation of delay which was allowed for giving opportunity to the Applicant to decide the matter on merit. Thereafter, the matter was taken up for hearing.

3. On 01.03.2019, the O.A. was dismissed in default with observation that the matter is quite old and being adjourned for a long time. Thereafter M.A.No.199/2019 was filed for restoration which came to be allowed by order dated 11.04.2019. After restoration the matter was again listed for final hearing.

4. However, today again the Applicant and his Counsel are absent. As such, it is crystal clear that the Applicant and his Counsel are not interested in the matter.

5. The challenge is to the order of cancellation from the posting of 2013. The period of six years now over and the matter seems to have become stale and, therefore, the Applicant and his Counsel are not interested in the matter.

6. It is also pointed out by the learned P.O. that subsequently the advertisement has been issued on 26.02.2016 for recruitment of Kotwal and accordingly, the process has been complete. Thus, it seems that the post is already filled in by making fresh appointment. The Applicant has not joined the selected candidate in the proceeding.

7. in view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="755 488 954 517">Date : 21.06.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="976 546 1192 575">O.A.No.719 of 2017</p> <p data-bbox="755 595 1403 633">D. R. Rupawate .....Applicant</p> <p data-bbox="755 658 829 687">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="755 719 1338 757">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="755 786 1403 2004" style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. The relief claimed in the O.A. to pay interest on leave encashment, G.P.F. etc. and for payment of difference of Rs.100/- in incentive.</li><li>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly admits that the Applicant has not made representation before filing of the O.A.</li><li>4. Needless to mention that before filing of the O.A., the Applicant was required to avail other remedy of filing representation which he has not availed.</li><li>5. In view of above, the O.A. deserves to be disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make proper representation.</li><li>6. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation within two weeks from today.</li><li>7. If the representation is made within two weeks, the Respondent No.1 shall decide the same in accordance to rules within two months thereafter and shall inform about the decision as the case may be to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further recourse of law as may be permissible.</li><li>9. No order as to costs.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1062 2033 1234 2161" style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="722 2161 763 2184">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O. A. No.565 of 2019

Dr. T. S. Sarvade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O. A. No. 564 of 2019

Dr. S. B. Adagale

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O. A. No.566 of 2019

S.S. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 08.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.06.2019.

O. A. No.350 of 2019

Dr. B. D. Palange

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 17.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**21.06.2019**

**R.A 03/2017 in O.A No 546/2016**

Shri Ashok L. Jadhav ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for leave to amend for adding para/ground A1.

3. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.

4. Learned C.P.O is directed to secure instructions and respond as regards the amendment.

5. S.O to 3.7.2019.

**DATE :** 21/6/19

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. R.G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.B. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 317/19

*l*

*l*  
Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, *l*)  
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**21.06.2019**

**O.A No 474/2019**

Shri N.R Thakur ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**DATE :** 21/6/19

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manohar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Head

*order passed in  
Tribunal Colyuan,  
S.O to 4/7/19.  
e*

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for applicant prays for leave to amend for adding one prayer and for placing on record one annexure.

3. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant states that if Respondent no. 2 concedes to the prayer now introduced, applicants shall be satisfied.

5. Learned C.P.O is directed to secure response from the proposal of Respondent no. 2 on foregoing paragraph no. 3.

6. S.O to 4.7.2019.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi/J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>21/6/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Uday Warunjikar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manjekar</u> C.P.C./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>312/19 place</u> <u>Before O/B.</u></p>	<p><b>21.06.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 272/2019</u></b></p> <p>Dr V.P Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Uday Warunjikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O to 3.7.2019. Place before Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**21.06.2019**

**O.A No 1120/2018**

Smt S.N Salve @ S.P Dethle ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**DATE :** 21/6/19  
**CORAM :**  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE :**  
Shri/Cmt M.B. Kadam for O.A.  
Sudavarte  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adjus. Date 10/7/19

*B*

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam holding for Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3. Shri H. Davewala, learned advocate for Res.no 4, absent.

2. Learned C.P.O prays for four weeks' time to furnish action taken report.

3. Two weeks' time is granted.

4. S.O to 10.7.2019.

Sd/-

*(Signature)*  
**(A.H Joshi, Chairman)**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**21.06.2019**

**C.A 17/2019 in O.A No 878/2016**

Shri P.M Bhokare ..... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**DATE :** 21/6/19

**CORAM :**  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE :**  
Shri/Smt. R.U Homwale for J.T Bansode  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Mavelekar  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to read  
disposed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh @.

~

1. Heard Shri R.U Homwale holding for Shri J.T Bansode, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Disposed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh Contempt case if order remains to be obeyed inspite of serving personal notice to the Contemnors.

~

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, (J.)  
Chairman