Original Application No.	of 2	DISTRICT
	-	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	7	versus
	Γhe State of	Maharashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
(Litesoffing Officer	***************************************	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda Appearance, Tribunal's orde directions and Registrar's o	ers or	Tribunal's orders
		Date : 21.04.2016.
		O.A.No.183 of 2016 with M.A.No.152 of 2016 with M.A.No.171 of 2016
		B.B. Patil Applicant.
· 3.		B.B. Patil Applicant.
		Versus
	À	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
		Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocat
		for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learne
transfer of the second of the		Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
		2. Adjourned to 02.05.2016.
		Х
		Sd/-
DATE: 21/4/16		(A.H. Joshí, J.) Chairman
CORAM:		prk
Hon'ble Instice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairr Hon'ble Shri M. Ranicahkumar (Membe	nam) A	
APPEARANCE:	1111	
Sixon Pungm Mahaja	,	
Advosets for the Applicant		
Shi /Smi This Rej furchid C.P.D / P.O. for the Respondent/s	•••••	
Ad. To 215/16.	· · ·	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.37 of 2016

Shri M.P. Prabhukhanolkar

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

- Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- It is pointed out that the authority competent to grant commutation of the quantum nature sought by the Applicant, is the matter within the power of Respondent No.1 and not of any subordinates.
- In the result, the response of this O.A. has to be by Respondent No.1. Therefore, Respondent No.1 ought to file affidavit. However, time need not be wasted in asking Respondent No.1 to file affidavit, and it shall be appropriate if Respondent No.1 considers applicant's claim contained in the prayers in this O.A. as described in application dated 12.05.2015 and reminders (copies whereof are enclosed at Annexure I collectively at page, 41, 42 and 43).
- Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad is directed to communicate this order to Respondent No.1. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..
- For reporting compliance of action taken by ,5. Respondent No.1, S.O. to 04.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, 1.) Chairman

prk

21/4/16 DATE:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri-M. Ramoshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Sharsent: A.R. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shei/Smt : A & Got ad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 4/7/16.

Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s
	Applicativs
(Advocate)
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Description of the control of the c	
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.256 of 2016
	Dr. L.G. Pandule Applicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge
	prays for copies of certain inspection of file and copies of
	documents.
,	
	3. Inspection and copies as asked for by the
DATE: 21416	Applicant's Advocate be given.
CORAM:	
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	4. S.O. to 08.08.2016, with liberty to circulate before
APPEARANCE:	due date.
Shit/Smt.: R.M. Kolge	
Advosate for the Applicant	Sd/-
Shri/Smt: 145 School	(A.H. Joshi, Ly
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Chairman V

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl. MAT F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN.

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar'e orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.161 of 2016

K.H. Pimple

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, adjourned to 26.04.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, V.)

prk

DATE: 21/4/16 CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A-

AFFEARANCE:

Shri/Soct: S.S.Dere

Advosate for the Applicant

Shri/Smit: K.S. Falkwod C.P.D / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To 26/4/16

BE

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.04.2016.

C.A.No.11 of 2016 in O.A.No.1053 of 2013

Shri Angadh Rambhau Jadhavar

...Applicant

۷s.

Shri K.P. Bakshi & Ors.

...Respondents

- 1. Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-
 - (a) That the draft affidavit of the Additional Chief Secretary, Home is prepared however it cannot be field because it is not affirmed.
 - (b) Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department has gone to Gadchiroli for official visit.
 - (c) Time may be granted for filing reply.
- 3. It is sad to note that no explanation is coming forward as regards the reason due to which it was not affirmed well in time.
- 4. However time as prayed for is granted.
- 5. Longer time can be granted to ensure entire compliance.
- 6. In view of the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 3.05.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joski) J.) Chairman

CORAM:
Hon'bie lestice Shri A. St. Jeshi (Chairman)
Hon'bie Shri M. Malheshkathar (Member) A

Agricultures of the Agricult

Advance in the Applicant
Surface K.B. Bhoe
C.P.O. For the Engineerits

Ady To 3 5 2015

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, ' Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.655/2015

... Applicant Shri D.L. Anuse Versus The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This matter though it pertains to Division Bench was mentioned by the learned Advocate Smt. Mahajan stating that there is an urgency, She stated that the Applicant is seeking appointment to the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector. The issue whether an ex-serviceman can get the benefit of the Certificate of ex-serviceman more than once is pending before the State Government as seen from the letter dated 22nd February, 2016 attached to the Affidavit-in-reply filed on 4.3.2016 on behalf of the Transport Commissioner. Learned Advocate Smt. Mahajan requests that the Respondent No.2 may be directed to take a decision on the proposal received from the Respondent No.4 The Respondent mentioned, expeditiously. No.2 is directed to take a decision on the reference made by the Respondent No.4 by letter dated 22nd February, 2016 within a period of one month from the date of this order. Hamdast.

The OA may be kept for further hearing on 7th June, 2016.

> Sd/-(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 21.04.2016

(skw)

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWA (Vice - Chairman)

- Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant Sim Som K. B. Blu

Carotte D. for the Respondents ocader passed can the eulocencel's

Houndoest

Original Application No. of	20 District Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.774 of 2013 with O.A.No.621 of 2015
	Shri Subhash Baburao KoravlApplicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for
	the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as
•	follows:-
	Applicant wants to amend the O.A. and as the
	amendment would be major, prays for leave to
	substitute memo of O.A., and furnish legible set
outer outstes	of annexures.
CORAM:	3. Leave for substitution of entire paper book for is
Hon'ble lustine Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	granted.
Hos ble Shr. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	
AFTEARANCE:	4. In view of that amendment O.A is to be filed.
Advanta for the A of the	Affidavits of the Respondents which already filed are
Advorate for the Applicant Shri (Sunt K.Y.) Y. Y. Y.	struck of.
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	5. Let the O.A. come up for hearing necessity of
Adj. 10. 14/6/16.	
1/12-10-	affidavit of the Respondents on 14.06.2016.

Sd/-(A.H. Joski, J.) Chairman

Original Application No. of S	20	DISTRIC	Ť
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
	versus		
The State of	Maharashtra and	others	•
	•		Respondent/s
			respondens
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,			
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	•
difections and negistral a orders			
	Date: 21.04.2016	5.	
	P A No 3	I3 of 2015 in O.A	No 706 of 2014
	N.A.NO.3	13 01 2013 111 0.7	.140.700 01 2014
	The State of Mah	arashtra & Ors.	Appli (Org.Respd.)
	Versus	•	
	VE1303		
	P.G. Kale		Respd. (Org. Appli.)
	1. Heard Shi	ri A.J. Chougule,	the learned Presenting
	Officer for the A	pplicant (Org. Re	espondent) and Shri A.R.
	Joshi, the learned		
		:	•
	2. At the re	quest of learned	P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule,
	adjourned to 08.0	06.2016.	
			0
	• :	*	Sd/-
		· ;	A.H. Joshi, J.)
		\	Chäirman
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	prk		
DATE: 21/4/15 CORAM:			
Hon'èle Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Cheirman)			
Hon'ble Shri Ni. Rameshkumar (Member) A			
APPEARANCE:			
Shrysme A.J. Changele		•	
Advosate for the Applicant (09 K99)			
Shri/Smt:			
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s (00) - 11/1)			•
Adj. To. 8/6/16			

Adv. P.V. Patil For 12. Ho.5.

Ad To AM Amit Sak for R.6.

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Travocate	
	versus
The State o	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
720F . N. 4 . 000 . N. 4 . 0 0	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.261 of 2016
	S.M.NOLOI G. EGIG
	R.R. Patil Applicant.
	Vanna
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer
	for the Respondents No.1 to 4, Shri P.V. Patil, the learned
	Advocate for Respondent No.5 and Shri Amit Sale, the
	learned Advocate for Respondent No.6.
48 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2. Learned Advocate Shri Amit Sale states that he has
	received instructions to appear for Respondent No.6 and
	prays for time for filing affidavit.
	prays for time for filling amounts.
	3. Time as prayed for is granted.
	Time as prayed for is granted.
DATE: 21/4/16	4. S.O. to 22.06.2016.
CORAM:	
Hon'ble Justice Shi A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Sd/-
Hon'ble Shri M. Bernesinkur pur (Member) A	
APPEARANCE:	(A.H. Joshi, J.) \ Chairman
SheWSau P. V. Adax	prk
Assume for the Applicant	
Shirthern : 11 G School C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 + 64.	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunai's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.186 of 2016

N.L. Sukalikar

.... Applicant.

Versus

....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

- Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale , the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad states that draft affidavit is received and short time is required for drafting and filing the same.
 - Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad was called as to 3. whether record has been brought by the Respondents.
 - P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad answers Learned affirmative.
 - Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. 5. Jagdale prays for liberty to inspect record.
 - Respondents are directed to give the Applicant's 6. Advocate to take inspection of the said record and supply him copies as may be asked for.
 - Request for time for filing affidavit is granted. 7.
 - Affidavit be filed on or before 28.04.2016. 8.
 - S.O. to 28.04.2016. 9.

DATE:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri W. Romeshkumar (Member) A

Shrismi. K.K. Jagdale

Advotate for the Applicant

Shri/Smi: This Gold

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 29/4/16

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
	Ver 3113
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	························)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corlam,	
Appearance, Tribunul's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date : 21.04.2016.
_	Date . 21.04.2010.
	O.A.No.138 of 2016
	A.L. Pansare Applicant.
	Versus
	VCISUS
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of learned Advocate for the
	Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge, adjourned to 04.07.2016.
DATE: 21/4/16	1
CORAM:	Sd/-
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	(A.H. Joshi, A)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumzı (Mınaber) A	Chairman
APPEARANCE:	prk
Shrt/Smt : R.M. Kolge	
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smt. K.S. GatkWed	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s.	

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
ZAT	
(Advocate	••••••)
	versu s
	00,340
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
	Ivespondeness
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunai's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	Tribular 5 Oraces
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.98 of 2016
	Dr. P.S. Pawar Applicant.
	Dr. P.S. Pawar Applicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
**	
	1. Heard Shri M.O. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	rresenting officer for the Respondents.
	2 (annual DO for the Bernaudente fort MC
	2 Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S.
	Gaikwad states that he has received oral instructions apart
	from written instructions.
	3. As per oral instructions received by learned P.O.
	the Government is in the process of cancellation of
DATE: 21/4/16	impugned order, restoring the Applicant in the position as
CORAM:	before and giving suitable posting to the Respondent No.3
Hamilto Justian Chai A. II. Land Con. h.	in due course.
den de dariet Rameshkunar (Member) A	in due course.
Agrica Agrica	A For reporting compliance action as may be taken
	4. For reporting compliance, action as may be taken
M.D. Lollar	S.O. to 28.04.2016.
divisite for the Applicant	
Let/3mt 1. Ks. Ankwood 2007 P.U. for the Respondent/s	Sd/-
dy To. 28/4/16.	(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Original Application No. 0	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(P) (C) (C)	
(Presenting Officer	······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.93 of 2016
	D.D. Sherkhane Applicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2 Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M
	Kolge has tendered rejoinder. It is taken on record.
	3. Adjourned to 23.06.2016.
	Sd/-
DATE: 21/4/16	(A.H. Joshi, (A.)
CORAM:	Chairman A
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	prk
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	
APPEARANCE:	
ShrVSmt : R.M. Kolga	
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smt: J. & Ghad	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Ad To 2-3/6/16.	
Adl Ta 2-316116.	

Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	; ;;;;; ;
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
(Fresenting Onice))
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's ocders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.23 of 2016
	V.P. Suryawanshi & Ors Applicants.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant is absent and has filed a leave note. Shri K.B.
	Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	Brise, the learned i resenting officer
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise
	has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record. Copy be
	served on the learned Advocate for the Applicant.
	Served on the leave
	3. S.O. to 20.06.2016.
nam alit	Sd/-
DATE: 2-1/4/12 CORAM:	(A.H. Joshi, W
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chaitman)	Chairman
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	prk
APPEARANCE:	
ShrVSmt: Loave hat after 0.73.km//e Tallon on Vecond. Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri /Smt K.B. 12 hDe	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	

Original Application No. of	DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
(Auvocate	······································
	versus
The State of	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
(Tesenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memorando of Coram, Appearance, Tribunul's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.215 of 2016
	Dr. Y.P. Shirshetty Applicant.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri S.P. Dighe, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer
	for the Respondents and Shri R.P. Bhumkar, the learned
	Advocate for Respondent No.4.
	Advocate for Respondent No.4.
	2. In the midst of hearing, learned Advocate for the
	Applicant Shri S.P. Dighe prays for leave to amend by
	substituting memo of O.A., index and synopsis, and
	undertakes to carry out the substitution within one week.
DATE: 21/4/16 CORAM:	3. Leave and time as prayed is granted.
Hon'ble Latioe Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	
len blo fly & Ramoshkumar (Member) A	4. S.O. to 29.04.2016.
ART GRANGER	
edine S.P. Dighe	Sd/-
disease for the Applicape.	(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Surfessional KB BNV	Chairman
R.P.Bhumkar for K. No. 4.	prk
d). To. 2-9/4/16.	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 21.04.2016.

D.A.No.980 of 2015 with D.A.No.981 of 2015

5hri G.P. Patil (O.A.No.980/2015) Shri M.B. Patil (O.A.No.981/2015) Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

- Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise 2 has tendered affidavit-in-reply for and on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.
- Respondent No.1 is directed to file affidavit as regards actions which the Government decides to take in relation to proposal submitted by Respondent No.2, Director General of Police, Maharashtra State on 12.03.2015 referred to in paragraph 12 of the affidavit filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 in this case.
- Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise is expected to write a 4. detail letter and secure instructions.
- For communication to Respondent No.1, Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
- Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise is directed to act accordingly.
- S.O. to 17.06.2016. 7.

Sd/-(A.H. Josh), J. Chairman

DATE: CORAM:

Hoo'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shrivsmi : R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant ,

Shri/Smt K.B. Khus C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....1716176.

[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	Companies of Demonstrate and the second of the 1997 of
Original Application No. ("Individual") of	in the control of the
	Applicant/s
(Advocate,	
	versus .
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.209 of 2015
	Shri Raosaheb Sadashiv Vichare Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for
	the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.
	2. It is seen that Respondent No. 2 has filed
	affidavit. No reply is come from Respondent No.1.
	3. Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department is
	directed as follows:-
	He should personally read the O.A. and reply filed by the Respondent No.2 and file his
DATE: 21/4/1/	response on the next date.
CORAM:	44.4
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this
Hen'the Sur. M. Rameshkungr (Member) A	order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is
AFCE SLANCE:	allowed.
Shriften L. A. K. John	
Advocate for the Applicant Shirt/Sint: 11. 6. 400-3	5. S.O. to 2.05.2016.
C.P.Q / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
	Sd/-
Adj. To21714	
#The	(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman

sba

Original Application No. of	20 District
	Applicant/s
(A.)	
(Advocate	parina)
	versus
TNL Chat	ONG 1 1
The State C	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	•
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	C.A.No.136 of 2014 in O.A.No.275 of 2010
	Dr. Balaji Baburao Birajdar & OrsApplicants
	pr. parel peper of project a con-
	Vs.
	Smt. Sujata SounikRespondent
	Smt. Sujata SounikRespondent
•	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents as follows:-
	Proposal is submitted to G.A.D. or 11.4.2016 and the matter would be
	followed out.
	3. For reporting compliance, S.O. to 2.05.2016.
DATE: 21/4/16	3. For reporting compliance, S.O. to 2.05.2016.
CORAM:	X
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Sd/-
Hou'ble shared Kampshkumar (Mamber) A	(A.H. Joshi, J.)
APPLARANCE:	Chairman
Sins P. Mahajan	sba
Advosete for the Applicant	
Shilling . K.S. Gaikuc	
C.P.U/P.O. for the Respondent/s	
1dy. To. 2/5/16	
in the second se	

	The state of the s
Original Application No. (1994) of	20 DISTRICT
	Applicant/s
(Advocate)
	versus
	versus
The State o	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date: 21.04.2016.
	Date: 21.04.2010.
	O.A.No.579 of 2015
	Smt. Mahabubi Palgambar ShaikhApplicant
	Vs.
	The Commissioner of Police & OrsRespondents
	1. None for the Applicant. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as
	follows:-
	State Government has taken policy decision and
	State Government has taken policy decision and
	has decided that the Police Constables who
	were recruited, however their services were
	terminated on the ground that they had
	simultaneously applied for multiple units and
	they will be absorbed in the employment by
	revoking the order of termination.
DATE: 21/4/16	3. Necessary instructions for compliance are issued
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	at the second of Bolise and implementation
CORAM:	to the Director General of Police and implementation
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	will be done within four weeks from today.
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A	Will be dolle within roat weeks from today.
APPEARANCE:	
	4. S.O. to 15.06.2016.
Shrismi: Hime to the all.	
Advotate for the Applicant	Sd/-
Shri/Smi . It & School	
C.P.O. for the Respondent's	(A.H. Joshi, Y.Y.
A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF	Chairman
14111s	sba
Adj. To	

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of	20 Діятвіст
Service of the servic	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
	versus
The State of	f Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(D) 41 Off our	
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	C.A.No.51 of 2014 in O.A.No.465 of 2008
	The Tracers AssociationApplicant
	Vs.
	Dr. S.K. Goel & AnrRespondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate
	for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as
	follows:-
	(a) The order passed in O.A.No.465 of 2008 before Hon'ble High Court.
DATE: 21/4/15 CORAM:	(b) Writ Petition filed by the State is expected to come up for hearing on 15.07.2016.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Momber) A-	3. In view that the statement made by the learned
APPEARANCE:	P.O. adjourned to 10.11.2016 with liberty to circulate
Shri/Smt : XX Jogdalc	before due date if occasion arises.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.B. Bhix	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	Sd/-
Adj. To 10/11/16.	(A.H. Joshi, (L.) Chairman

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	f 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advanta	
(Advocate	
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
(Fresetting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Tribunal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders	
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	M.A.No.123 of 2016
	Shri Deepak Popatrao Khade & OrsApplicants
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned
	Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to
	sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to
	sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the
	Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not alread
	paid. M.A. disposed off accordingly.
	Sd/-
DATE: 21/4/16	(A.H. Joshi, J.)
CORAM:	(A.n. Joshi, J.) (Chairman
Hon'ble Justice Simila. H. Joshi (Citairmag) Hon'ble Shri M. Rammilleumar (Mumber) A	sba
APPEARANCE:	
shish S.P.Manchalar	
Advosate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smi: K.S. Galkulad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Ad To MA dulesed of according	

Original Application No. of 2	O DISTRICT Applicant/s
	Approxima
(Advocate	
(Advocate	
	persus
The State of	Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 21.04.2016.
	O.A.No.271 of 2016
	Shri Deepak Popatrao Khade & Ors Applicants
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Leard Mc S.P. Manchekar, the learne
	1. Heard Wis. S.I. Walletter
	Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, th
	learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	3. Admit to comp up for hearing in due course.
and the second of the second o	C41
	Sd/- (A.H. Josni, T.K.) (
	Chairman
	sba
1.1.4	
DATE: 21/4/16	
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	
Hon ble Justice Shir A. 11. Joshi (Chamber) A	
Showshit: S. P.M. an charac	
Advocate for the Applicant Shri-/Smt.:	
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s	
A lunch	
At To To come up for hearing	
in due couse	
IN AUC COAD	

	***	CIVIDIA		
Original Application No.	of 2	0	District	r
				Applicant/s
(Advocate	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)		
		versus		
	The State of	Maharashtra an	d others	
	e de la companya de l		y y New Year	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)		
Office Notes, Office Memorar Appearance, Tribunal's directions and Registrar	orders or		Tribunal's orders	
		<u>M.A.</u>	164/2016 in C	D.A.800/2014
		Shri C.J.	Versus	Applicant
		Hea N.P. Dalv	i, learned Advoc	gari holding for Shri ate for the Applicant earned P.O. for the

Respondents.

This MA has been filed to restore the OA 800/2014 which was dismissed in default by order dated 21st March, 2016. Shri Kininge, learned Advocate stated that it was because of his fault that the matter was

dismissed in default as he could not remain present in this Tribunal when the case was kept for hearing. He assured that he will remain present, if the case is restored. In view of the apology tendered by the learned Advocate, OA 800/2014 is restored. The MA is allowed in the interest of justice. It may be kept for final hearing on 9th June, 2016 on

the cost of Rs.500/-.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 21.04.2016

(skw)

DATE: 214/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman)

Hou'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shirt M. Sagari holding too H. D. Dalui Advocate for the Applicant

Shortsint: H.G. Cohadhan CHOTRO. for the Respondents, Durden passed in the

Teilocenal's colemn.

MA. es Allowed. O.A. is restored S.D. +0 9/6/16

Day!