

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.183 of 2016 with M.A.No.152 of 2016 with
M.A.No.171 of 2016

B.B. Patil Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 02.05.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 21/5/16

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.37 of 2016

Shri M.P. Prabhukhanolkar Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is pointed out that the authority competent to grant commutation of the quantum nature sought by the Applicant, is the matter within the power of Respondent No.1 and not of any subordinates.

3. In the result, the response of this O.A. has to be by Respondent No.1. Therefore, Respondent No.1 ought to file affidavit. However, time need not be wasted in asking Respondent No.1 to file affidavit, and it shall be appropriate if Respondent No.1 considers applicant's claim contained in the prayers in this O.A. as described in application dated 12.05.2015 and reminders (copies whereof are enclosed at Annexure I collectively at page, 41, 42 and 43).

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad is directed to communicate this order to Respondent No.1. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

5. For reporting compliance of action taken by Respondent No.1, S.O. to 04.07.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi,)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.R. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/7/16

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.256 of 2016

Dr. L.G. Pandule Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge prays for copies of certain inspection of file and copies of documents.

3. Inspection and copies as asked for by the Applicant's Advocate be given.

4. S.O. to 08.08.2016, with liberty to circulate before due date.

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 8/8/16

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, Chairman)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant, Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.D / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>26/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.161 of 2016</p> <p>K.H. Pimple Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, adjourned to 26.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, <i>AHJ</i>) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. K. Maheshkar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> CPC/EG. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>3/5/2015</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.No.11 of 2016 in O.A.No.1053 of 2013</u></p> <p>Shri Angadh Rambhau Jadhavar ...Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri K.P. Bakshi & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) That the draft affidavit of the Additional Chief Secretary, Home is prepared however it cannot be filed because it is not affirmed.</p> <p>(b) Shri K.P. Bakshi, Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department has gone to Gadchiroli for official visit.</p> <p>(c) Time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. It is sad to note that no explanation is coming forward as regards the reason due to which it was not affirmed well in time.</p> <p>4. However time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. Longer time can be granted to ensure entire compliance.</p> <p>6. In view of the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 3.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.655/2015

Shri D.L. Anuse ... Applicant
Versus
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This matter though it pertains to Division Bench was mentioned by the learned Advocate Smt. Mahajan stating that there is an urgency. She stated that the Applicant is seeking appointment to the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector. The issue whether an ex-serviceman can get the benefit of the Certificate of ex-serviceman more than once is pending before the State Government as seen from the letter dated 22nd February, 2016 attached to the Affidavit-in-reply filed on 4.3.2016 on behalf of the Transport Commissioner. Learned Advocate Smt. Mahajan requests that the Respondent No.2 may be directed to take a decision on the proposal received from the Respondent No.4 mentioned ^{above} expeditiously. The Respondent No.2 is directed to take a decision on the reference made by the Respondent No.4 by letter dated 22nd February, 2016 within a period of one month from the date of this order. Hamdast.

The OA may be kept for further hearing on 7th June, 2016.

Sd/-
 (Rajiv Agarwal)
 Vice-Chairman
 21.04.2016

(skw)

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
 (Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K. B. Bhise

C.A. P.O. for the Respondents

order passed in the
 Tribunal's order.

Adj. P.O.

S.O. to 7/6/16.

Hamdast.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>Lata Patne</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>14/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.774 of 2013 with O.A.No.621 of 2015</p> <p>Shri Subhash Baburao Koravi ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Lata Patne, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:- Applicant wants to amend the O.A. and as the amendment would be major, prays for leave to substitute memo of O.A., and furnish legible set of annexures. 3. Leave for substitution of entire paper book for is granted. 4. In view of that amendment O.A is to be filed. Affidavits of the Respondents which already filed are struck off. 5. Let the O.A. come up for hearing necessity of affidavit of the Respondents on 14.06.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sbh</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.04.2016.

R.A.No.33 of 2015 in O.A.No.706 of 2014

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Appli (Org.Respd.)

Versus

P.G. KaleRespd. (Org. Appli.)

1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) and Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate as Amicus Curiae.

2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule, adjourned to 08.06.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Respd.)

Shri/Smt. A.R. Joshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appli)

Adj. To 8/6/16.

[Handwritten initials]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 21.04.2016.
---	---

O.A.No.261 of 2016

R.R. Patil Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 4, Shri P.V. Patil, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 and Shri Amit Sale, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.6.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Amit Sale states that he has received instructions to appear for Respondent No.6 and prays for time for filing affidavit.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 22.06.2016.

[Signature]
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : P.V. Sutar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.E.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 4.

Adv. P.V. Patil for R.No.5

Adv. To. Adv. Amit Sale for R.6

S.O. to 22/6/16.

[Handwritten mark]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.186 of 2016

N.L. Sukalikar

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale , the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad states that draft affidavit is received and short time is required for ^{settling} drafting and filing the same.

3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad was called as to whether record has been brought by the Respondents.

4. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad answers in affirmative.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale prays for liberty to inspect record.

6. Respondents are directed to give the Applicant's Advocate to take inspection of the said record and supply him copies as may be asked for.

7. Request for time for filing affidavit is granted.

8. Affidavit be filed on or before 28.04.2016.

9. S.O. to 28.04.2016.

DATE : 21/4/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 23/4/16

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.138 of 2016

A.L. Pansare Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge, adjourned to 04.07.2016.

DATE : 21/4/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 4/7/16

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi) *[Signature]*
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.98 of 2016

Dr. P.S. Pawar Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.O. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states that he has received oral instructions apart from written instructions.

3. As per oral instructions received by learned P.O. the Government is in the process of cancellation of impugned order, restoring the Applicant in the position as before and giving suitable posting to the Respondent No.3 in due course.

4. For reporting compliance, action as may be taken S.O. to 28.04.2016.

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:
Hon. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon. Justice Shri. Ramesh Kumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:
Advocate: M.O. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant:
Smt. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 28/4/16

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>23/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.93 of 2016</p> <p>D.D. Sherkhane Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri R.M. Kolge has tendered rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 23.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, A.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>leave note of Shri D.B. Khaire</u> <u>taken on record.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>20/6/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.23 of 2016</p> <p>V.P. Suryawanshi & Ors. Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent and has filed a leave note. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record. Copy be served on the learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2016.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memorando of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.04.2016.

O.A.No.215 of 2016

Dr. Y.P. Shirshetty Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.P. Dighe, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R.P. Bhumkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. In the midst of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri S.P. Dighe prays for leave to amend by substituting memo of O.A., index and synopsis, and undertakes to carry out the substitution within one week.

3. Leave and time as prayed is granted.

4. S.O. to 29.04.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri R. P. Bhumkar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: S.P. Dighe

For Respondent/s: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s: R.P. Bhumkar for R.No. 4.

Adj. To: 29/4/16.

BK

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.04.2016.

D.A.No.980 of 2015 with D.A.No.981 of 2015

Shri G.P. Patil (O.A.No.980/2015)
Shri M.B. Patil (O.A.No.981/2015) Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Shri K.B. Bhise has tendered affidavit-in-reply for and on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.

3. Respondent No.1 is directed to file affidavit as regards actions which the Government decides to take in relation to proposal submitted by Respondent No.2, Director General of Police, Maharashtra State on 12.03.2015 referred to in paragraph 12 of the affidavit filed on behalf of Respondent No.2 in this case.

4. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise is expected to write a detail letter and secure instructions.

5. For communication to Respondent No.1, Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

6. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise is directed to act accordingly.

7. S.O. to 17.06.2016.

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....17/6/16.....

YLT

prk

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Mr. M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>A.K. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>H.K.</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.209 of 2015</p> <p>Shri Raosaheb Sadashiv Vichare ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is seen that Respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit. No reply is come from Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department is directed as follows:- He should personally read the O.A. and reply filed by the Respondent No.2 and file his response ^{to it} on the next date.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 2.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri J. Kamleshkumar (Member)A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Smt. K.S. Gaiwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 21/5/16</p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.136 of 2014 in O.A.No.275 of 2010</p> <p>Dr. Balaji Baburao Birajdar & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Smt. Sujata Sounik ...Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaiwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents as follows:- Proposal is submitted to G.A.D. on 11.4.2016 and the matter would be followed out.</p> <p>3. For reporting compliance, S.O. to 2.05.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O/ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>15/6/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.579 of 2015</u></p> <p>Smt. Mahabubi Paigambar Shaikh ...Applicant Vs. The Commissioner of Police & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:- State Government has taken policy decision and has decided that the Police Constables who were recruited, however their services were terminated on the ground that they had simultaneously applied for multiple units, and they will be absorbed in the employment by revoking the order of termination.</p> <p>3. Necessary instructions for compliance are issued to the Director General of Police and implementation will be done within four weeks from today.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.06.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.51 of 2014 in O.A.No.465 of 2008</p> <p>The Tracers Association ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Dr. S.K. Goel & Anr. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) The order passed in O.A.No.465 of 2008 before Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>(b) Writ Petition filed by the State is expected to come up for hearing on 15.07.2016.</p> <p>3. In view that the statement made by the learned P.O. adjourned to 10.11.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, (A)) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Hon'ble <u>Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ad- To. MA disposed of accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.123 of 2016</p> <p>Shri Deepak Popatrao Khade & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents..</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. M.A. disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 21/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Admit Agr. To: To come up for hearing in due course</p>	<p>Date : 21.04.2016.</p> <p><u>O.A.No.271 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Deepak Popatrao Khade & Ors. ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Admit to comp up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.164/2016 in O.A.800/2014

**Shri C.J. Kininge ... Applicant
Versus
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri T.M. Sagari holding for Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to restore the OA 800/2014 which was dismissed in default by order dated 21st March, 2016. Shri Kininge, learned Advocate stated that it was because of his fault that the matter was dismissed in default as he could not remain present in this Tribunal when the case was kept for hearing. He assured that he will remain present, if the case is restored. In view of the apology tendered by the learned Advocate, OA 800/2014 is restored. The MA is allowed in the interest of justice. It may be kept for final hearing on 9th June, 2016 on the cost of Rs.500/-.

DATE: 21/4/16

CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:
Shri T.M. Sagari holding
for N.P. Dalvi
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.G. Gohad
P.O. for the Respondents
Order passed in the
Tribunal's column.

Adj. To
M.A. is Allowed.
O.A. is restored.
S.O. to 9/6/16.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
21.04.2016

(skw)

[Handwritten signature]