

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>M.A.296/2020 in R.A.14/2020 in O.A.28/2018 with O.A.928/2018</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Applicants (Ori. Resps.)</b></p> <p><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>Shri D.L. Awate ... Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</b></p> <p><b><u>Through Video Conference</u></b></p> <p>1. This M.A. and R.A. are filed for review of the Judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.A.28/2018 with O.A.928/2018, dated 16.11.2019 by Division Bench consisting of Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman and Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J).</p> <p>2. As Shri Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman's sitting is at Nagpur, the hearing of this M.A. and R.A. is being taken through Video Conferencing.</p> <p>3. However, midst hearing, due to technical glitches in network, the hearing could not be completed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> February, 2021 for hearing through Video Conferencing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 21.01.2021</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2021 IN MISC. APPLICATION NO.24 OF  
2021 IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1221 OF 2019**

**State of Maharashtra & Ors. )...Applicants (Ori. Respondents)**

**Versus**

**Laxman Basappa Dum & Ors. )...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)**

Smt. Archana B. K., Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents)

Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants)

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 21.01.2021

**ORDER**

1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).
2. These two Original Applications are filed by Original Respondent/Government for condonation of delay and extension of time of two months to complete the Departmental Enquiry (D.E.).
3. O.A.No.1221/2019 was disposed of by this Tribunal on 18.12.2019 with directions to Respondents to ensure completion of D.E. within four months from the date of order with further direction to pass final order within a month thereafter. As such, total five months period was granted for passing final order in D.E. The Applicants retired on 31.05.2017 but their retiral benefits were withheld, and therefore, they had filed O.A.No.1221/2019 which was disposed of by above directions.

*Wukur*

4. Charge sheet was served on 17.02.2017 and the Enquiry Officer was appointed belatedly after two months on 08.03.2019. As such, initially itself there was delay at every stage. Even after retirement, D.E. was kept pending without any substantial progress. It is in this context, O.A.No.1221/2019 was disposed of by giving directions to complete the D.E. within five months.
5. As such, final order in D.E. ought to have been passed within five months from the date of order i.e. 18.12.2019. However, till date D.E. is incomplete. As per averment in M.A., Enquiry Officer has not yet submitted inquiry report.
6. Learned P.O. sought to contend that because of Covid-19 Pandemic Situation inquiry could not be completed and therefore, sought two months time for passing final order.
7. Per contra, learned Counsel for the Ori. Applicants opposed the applications and sought time to file reply. Since grant of time to file reply would again unnecessarily delay the matter, I am not inclined to grant time for filing reply.
8. As stated above, the Ori. Applicants stand retired on 31.05.2017 and though till date period of more than 3 ½ years is over still D.E. is incomplete which was instituted in 2017 before retirement of the Applicants. Even after specific directions given by this Tribunal, there is no substantial progress in D.E. The ground raised by learned P.O. about Covid-19 and lockdown situation is totally irrelevant since D.E. was to be completed by the Enquiry Officer by examining witnesses expeditiously.
9. Apart, lockdown was effected in the end of March, 2020 and this being the position even before lockdown, there was enough period with the Respondents to complete the D.E. In any case, in view of specific directions given by the Tribunal and considered hardship suffered by the Applicants, the

Enquiry Officer ought to have been vigilant to complete the D.E. and to submit its report in compliance of the directions given by this Tribunal.


10. There is nothing on record what steps were taken by the Disciplinary Authority for compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal. As such, directions given by this Tribunal were taken for granted and the Respondents have no regard to the orders passed by the Tribunal.

11. Apart, if there is any genuine difficulties for not complying the directions given by the Tribunal within time, in that event, M.A. ought to have been filed before expiry of time limit given by the Tribunal or at least after expiration of the period within reasonable time. Whereas these Misc. Applications are filed on 14.01.2021 that is after period of more than one year of the order passed in O.A.No.1221/2019. This again shows casual approach of the Respondents.

12. As such, for inordinate delay and casual approach of the Respondents, these two Misc. Applications need to be rejected. However, since rejection of M.As would be of no avail, it would be appropriate to grant short time for compliance of the directions given by the Tribunal.

13. In view of above, one month's time from today is granted to the Ori. Respondents for completion of D.E. including passing of final order. No further time will be granted.

14. Misc. Applications are accordingly disposed of.



Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.545 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>B. A. Waghmare</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 21.01.2021**

**O.A.Nos.512 to 517 of 2020  
with  
O.A. No.533 of 2020  
with  
O.A.No.535 of 2020**

**D. M. Ghodake & Ors. ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikewad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 in all these Original Applications. It is taken on record.
3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicants, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
- 4 S.O. to 15.02.2021.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 21.01.2021**

**O.A.No.382 of 2020**

**A. D. Khadake** ....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that if possible Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day or latest by tomorrow. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record and copy be served upon the learned Counsel for the Applicant.

3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. Interim relief to continue till next date.

5. S.O. to 04.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 21.01.2021**

**O.A.No.332 of 2020**

**A. B. Patil ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Counsel both are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.1.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 05.02.2021.

*A. P. Kurhekar*  
Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.952 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>N. P. Swami</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi holding for Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, one week time is lastly granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 21.01.2021
---	--

R.A. No.10 of 2017 in O.A.No.941 of 2016

S. S. Mali .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing of R.A.

3. S.O. to 02.02.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 21.01.2021**


**O.A.No.138 of 2017**

**H. B. Suryawanshi & Ors. ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Learned P.O. seeks short time to go through the additional Rejoinder and to argue the matter.
4. S.O. to 02.02.2021.

  
Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)**

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.01.2021</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.681 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. S. Nivargi (Kotnis) .....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Kalekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 and Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.02.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1087/2019 with O.A.No.1088/2019 with O.A.No.1095/2019 with O.A.No.1112/2019 with O.A.No.1212/2019 with O.A.No.1203/2019 with O.A.No.36 of 2020 with O.A. No.149 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>N. A. Khanolkar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shri U. V. Bhosale and Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the issue of allotment of quarter to the Applicants is under consideration of the Government, and therefore, seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>3. In fact, the matter was adjourned on the same ground for long time but till date no such orders are issued, therefore, the matter requires to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. In the meantime, learned P.O. should take instructions from the Respondents and apprise the Tribunal about the same on next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">   <b>Sd/-</b>  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1083/2019 with O.A.No.1086/2019 with O.A.No.1092/2019 with O.A.No.1093/2019 with M.A. 621/19 with O.A.No.1094 of 2019 with M.A. 622/2019</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant appearing in O. A. No.1086/2019 and Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel appearing in remaining Original Applications. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsels for the Applicants submits that they want to withdraw the Original Applications since during pendency of Original Applications, service quarters have been allotted to the Applicants.</p> <p>3. Thus, grievance about service quarter which was subject matter of O.A. is stands redressed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, allowed to withdraw Original Applications.</p> <p>5. Original Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. Interim relief stands vacated.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 21.01.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.203 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>S. K. Devkar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 01.02.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

~~M.A. No.177 of 2018 in O.A. No.276 of 2018~~

S.S. Deshmukh

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and  
Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for  
the Respondents.

2. Not on board. Taken on board.

3. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to  
6 and the same is taken on record.4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file  
rejoinder.5. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on  
24.3.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
21.1.2021

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
21.1.2021

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<u>M.A. No.336 of 2020 in O.A. No.803 of 2018</u>				
	<p>Ravindra K. Javkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>				
	<p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>				
	<p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p>				
	<p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that Shri P.N. Dixit, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman was in the process of decision making in the orders under challenge in the OA. He prays that the above matter may be placed before another Bench.</p>				
	<p>4. Prayed accepted. Matter may be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson for orders.</p>				
	<p>5. S.O. to 2.2.2021.</p>				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021				
	<p>(sgj)</p>				

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<u>O.A. No.380 of 2020</u>				
	Amruta Karvande & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents				
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate i/b Parinam Law Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.				
	2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.				
	3. Admit. The above matter is fixed for final hearing on 12.3.2021 with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021				
	(sgi)				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.254 of 2020</u>
	R.S. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
	3. S.O. to 4.2.2021. Ld. PO submits that copy of reply will be given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant before due date.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021
	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021
	(sgj)

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.178 of 2020</u></p> <p>C.T. Chaudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.1.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J)  21.1.2021 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman  21.1.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.82 of 2020</u>
	<p>Angha N. Agnihotri ..Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Akshay Kapadia, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that private respondents are served but the affidavit of service is not filed. She seeks time to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.2.2021. No further extension will be granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.88 of 2020

Dr. V.R. Bhailume .....Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO produces a communication dated 5.11.2020 mentioning that the applicant has been sanctioned provisional pension upto February 2020. She further states that bill for the next six months have been submitted. She seeks two weeks for filing progress report regarding provisional pension as well as reply. Copy of the communication dated 5.11.2020 is taken on record and marked Exh.1 for identification.

3. S.O. to 16.2.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)  
21.1.2021

(sg)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman  
21.1.2021

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.652 of 2020</u>
	<p>M.B. Borkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Amar Bodke, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to inform whether applicant has filed appeal as per the provisions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.2.2021 for informing the progress.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.519 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.M. Balshetwar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.370 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.V. Jawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that she has discussed the matter with the Under Secretary and submits that progress would be intimated on 28.1.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.1.2021. There should be no further delay in the matter. Respondent No.2 to take note.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A: No.277 of 2020</u>
	<p>Dr. S.B. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO produces a communication dated 19.1.2021 from Desk Officer, Medical Education and Drugs Department wherein it is observed that non-recommendation of the applicant and one another candidate Dr. Yogesh Ganorkar is contrary to the existing provisions pertaining to horizontal reservation. It further mentions that services of two persons at present appointed viz. Smita G. Nomulwar and Kirti B. Chaudhari (Respondents No.4 &amp; 5) needs to be terminated. Copy of the same is taken on record and marked Exh.1 for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time for intimating the progress regarding the action taken by selection board – Respondent no.3. Through Ld. CPO, the respondents are directed to intimate the progress including appointment, if made in between to the Ld. Advocate.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.364 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.D. Kandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.770 of 2020</u>
	R.R. Kalaskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents
	Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant was appointed on 19.4.1997 as Class IV employee in the establishment of Vocation Education and Training Department. It is not clear from the appointment letter, under which category he was appointed (Exh.C page 36). Subsequently, he submitted an application on 30.3.2003 that he belongs to SBC category and therefore he should be given promotion in SBC category. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant he was promoted on 28.4.2004 as Junior Clerk. However, copy of the promotion order is not annexed to the OA. On 17.9.2004 he furnished Caste Validity Certificate issued from Amravati mentioning that he belongs to SBC category. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not able to explain how he procured certificate from Amravati when he was working at Palghar. He relied on the order dated 29.1.2020 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in W.P. No.1963 of 2020.
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief. Ld. CPO opposes the same and seeks two weeks time to file reply. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant should also bring on record all the relevant documents which he has not enclosed in OA. Issue to interim relief is kept open till next date.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.2.2021.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 21.1.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 21.1.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>