

**M.A. ST. 664/2022 IN O.A. ST. 665/2022  
(Reshma K. Kamble & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. S.O. to 25.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 474/2018  
(Shri Prashant P. Vaidya Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501/2020**  
**(Dr. Prashant B. Shamkumar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508/2020**  
**(BhojaneS. Prabhakar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 09/2021  
(Ulhas Y. Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.U. Dhage, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141/2021  
(Shubham A. Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 255/2021  
(Ashvini M. Dudhbhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 5, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 518/2021  
(Asmita M. Kekan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 775/2021  
(Ganesh D. Ingale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swaraj S. Tandale, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76/2016  
(Pramod S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134/2016  
(Madansing S Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 472/2018**  
**(Kishor G. Lalsare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 27.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435/2019  
(Sadhu K. Lohar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 112/2021 IN O.A.NO. 386/2020**  
**(Ganga S. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Santosh C. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.5.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 295/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 993/2019  
(Vaishali S. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 342/2022  
(Devanand L. Bamanpalle Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 345/2022  
(Akshay B. Kharat Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 346/2022  
(Arjun V. Devkate Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 347/2022  
(Jalindar R. Ingle Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348/2022  
(Sagar M. Hindole Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.F. Shingare, learned counsel for the applicants in respective matters and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, V.R. Bhumkar, N.U. Yadav, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate & Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Chief Presenting Officer & learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective matters, are present.

2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents in all these matters, returnable on 4.5.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.



4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 4.5.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 361/2022  
(Bhausahab D. Aghav Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Waagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Issue notice before admission to the respondents, returnable on 29.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 29.6.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 415/2021 IN O.A. 717/2021**

**M.A. 416/2021 IN O.A. 716/2021**

**M.A. 417/2021 IN O.A. 718/2021**

**O.A. NO. 719/2021**

**(Smt. Meena V. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**

**AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 2.5.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 745/2021  
(Shri Arvind D. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 24.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425/2020  
(Shri Sachin U. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra  
& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5, 6, 7 & 11, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771/2018**  
**(Shrihari D. Ghongare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri M.V. Bharuka, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 (**absent**).

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for respondent no. 4, S.O. to 24.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895/2018  
(Shri Murlidhar E. Sakhare & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Counsel for the applicants, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 28.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 372/2021  
(Shri Sanjay N. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vikas G. Kodale, learned Counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present. Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 5 (**absent**).

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 5, S.O. to 28.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25/2021**

**(Shri Shankar P. Dange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

The present matter is not on board. At the request of Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 it is taken on record.

2. Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 has filed written notes of arguments. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. The present matter is already reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 210/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 439/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 212/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 441/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 213/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 432/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 214/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 451/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 215/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 443/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 216/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 435/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 217/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 437/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 227/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 455/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 228/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 453/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 240/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 957/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 241/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 955/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 242/2015 IN O.A.ST. NO. 960/2015 WITH  
M.A.NO. 202/2014 IN M.A.ST. NO. 447/2014 IN  
O.A.ST.NO. 449/2014**

**(Syed Sarfaraz Ahmed & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 in all these cases and Shri Sham Patil, learned Counsel for himself and holding for Shri G.N. Patil, learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 in M.A. Nos. 240/15, 241/15, 242/15 and respondent Nos. 2 to 5 in M.A. Nos. 210, 213, 215, 217, 227, 228 all of 2015 & 202/2014 respectively, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 27.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 909/2019  
(Shri Vijaykumar V. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The candidature of the present applicant was rejected on the sole ground that he was not holding the qualification prescribed for making him eligible for the said post. It is the contention of the applicant that he is possessing degree of Chemical Engineering and one of subjects was Mathematics and he is thus qualified for appointment on the post.

3. The argument advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant is liable to be rejected at the threshold. We have perused the advertisement and the qualification prescribed for the post for which the applicant had made an application. The applicant is not holding the qualification prescribed for the said post. In the circumstances, it does not appear to us that any error has been committed by the respondents in rejecting the candidature of the applicant on the said ground. The

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 909/2019**

application being devoid of any merit deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2022

**C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021)  
(Smt. Samiksha Chandrakar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**T.A. 1/2021 (W.P. 4908/2021)  
(Shri Shivaji T. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021  
IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021)

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri P.R. Katneshwarkar / Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned special counsel / learned C.P.O. for respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Shri V.D. Sapkal, learned senior counsel instructed by Shri Ujwal S. Patil with Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 to 10, are present.

T.A. 1/2021 (W.P. 4908/2021)

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for applicant, Shri P.R. Katneshwarkar / Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned special counsel / learned C.P.O. for respondent nos. 1 & 2, Shri V.D. Sapkal, learned senior counsel instructed by Shri Ujwal S. Patil with Shri Bhalchandra Shinde, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 to 5 and

::-2-::

**C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021) & Ors.**

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned counsel for respondent nos. 6 to 8, are present.

2. Today when T.A. Nos. 01 and 02 both of 2021 were taken up for consideration the learned special counsel appearing in the matters for the State Authorities and learned Sr. counsel appearing for some of the respondents in these matters submitted that before hearing the final arguments in both the T.As., Misc. Applications, which are filed in the T.A. No. 2/2021 need to be decided. There are 03 Misc. Applications pending in T.A. no. 2/2021. All are filed by the applicants in T.A. no. 2/2021. One M.A. is for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents, second application is for striking the defense of the respondents for violating the orders of the Tribunal and the third application is for seeking injunction / stay restraining the respondents from granting further promotions to the post of Additional Collector (Selection Grade) from the post of Additional Collector.

3. Shri Sapkal, learned senior counsel appearing for some of the respondents submitted that though this Tribunal has modified the order of Status quo and diluted it to the extent of permitting the respondents to fill up 87 vacant posts of Additional Collectors, subject to outcome of the present matters, none of the said post has been filled

::-3-::

C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021) & Ors.

in, however, 21 persons are given further promotion to the post of Additional Collector (Selection Grade). The learned counsel submitted that the respondents to whom he is representing are entitled for such promotion but are not yet promoted.

4. Shri Katneshwarkar, learned special counsel for the State submits that since the Contempt Petition has been filed and some observations are made by the Tribunal while issuing notices to the respondents, the respondents have now decided not to move further unless the Contempt Petition is finally decided.

5. After having considered the submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, it appear to us that the respondent authorities have failed in properly interpreting the order rendered by this Tribunal. It was the contention of the respondent State that 87 posts of Additional Collectors are 'vacant' because of which the administration is facing serious problems and the public at large is the ultimate sufferer. This was the reason that the interim order was modified to that extent by consensus of the parties.

6. When it was brought to the notice of this Tribunal that instead of making promotions to the post of Additional Collectors, the promotions are being effected to the post of

::-4-::

C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021) & Ors.

Additional Collector (Selection Grade) and none of 87 posts of the Additional Collectors has been filled in, notice has been issued by the Tribunal requiring the respondents to explain the circumstances. Shri Katneshwarkar, learned special counsel submitted that unless some of the Additional Collectors are promoted to the post of Additional Collectors (Selection Grade), the posts may not become vacant for making appointment on the post of Additional Collectors. However, no such argument was ever made when the order was passed by the Tribunal on 17.12.2021. We reiterate that the submission on behalf of the State was that 87 posts are 'vacant'. Whether the act of the respondent State to promote 21 Officers from the post of Additional Collectors to the post of Additional Collectors (Selection Grade) amounts to contempt or not will be decided in the Contempt Petition. We, however, fail to understand how a simple notice issued by this Tribunal can be a ground for not effecting the promotions to 87 vacant posts of Additional Collector. We are surprised by the interpretation being made of the orders passed by this Tribunal by the respondents.

7. According to the submission made by the learned special counsel, unless the promotions are made to the posts of Additional Collectors (Selection Grade), the vacancies were not liable to occur in the cadre of Additional



::-5-::

C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021) & Ors.

Collectors and that was the reason that 21 Additional Collectors are promoted to the post of Additional Collectors (Selection Grade). The learned senior counsel appearing for some of the respondents expressed his reservation about the submissions so made. At this stage we do not wish to indulge in making any discussion on this issue. The submissions made on behalf of the State referred to hereinabove if assumed to be correct, even then the question remains why the respondent authorities did not fill the said 21 posts of Additional Collectors, which had become vacant because of promotions of the Officers working on the said post to the post of Additional Collectors (Selection Grade). An inference further can be drawn that in respect of remaining posts out of 87, there may not be any such difficulty. In the circumstances, we deem it necessary to clarify that adhering to the order of the Tribunal dated 17.12.2021, subject to the decision of T.A. Nos. 01 and 02 of 2021 the respondent authorities may not have any difficulty or impediment in promoting the eligible persons to the post of Additional Collector.

8. With the observations as above we now direct the parties to advance arguments in T.As.

9. In T.A. 2/2021 affidavits in reply are filed on behalf of respondent nos. 7 & 10. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side. In T.A. 1/2021

::-6-::

C.P. 1/2022, M.A. 1/2022, M.A. 337/2021, M.A. 309/2021 IN T.A. 2/2021 (W.P. 2612/2021) & Ors.

affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent no. 3. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

10. S.O. to 25.4.2022 for further hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.4.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269/2019  
(Shri Sunil Supadu Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri Mahesh Tripathi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. S/shri Rajendra N. Chavan / S.V. Kurundkar, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 (**absent**).

2. Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri Mahesh Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant tenders across the bar the communication received to Shri Tripathi, learned counsel from the applicant. The said communication is dated 21.2.2021. It is taken on record. By the said communication the applicant has communicated to Shri Tripathi, learned counsel that the present Original Application may be withdrawn.

3. In view of the communication so received, the learned counsel has prayed for permitting withdrawal of O.A. Hence, the following order :-

::-2-::

**O.A. NO. 269/2019**

**ORDER**

Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.26/2020 IN O.A.NO.772/2018  
(Anil Barkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kishor Khade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.203/2020  
(Babu H. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has filed sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.366/2020  
(Bharat L. Dhonde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.R.Muley, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Mahesh B. Ubale, learned Advocate for respondent no.3, are present.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.383/2020  
(Sachin Maindale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri O.Y.Kashid, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.438/2020  
(Anil Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.M.Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-06-2022 for filing affidavit in rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.266/2021  
(Laxmi Dhotre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.418/2021**  
**(Dr. Shubhangi Gite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R.Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. prays for time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 24-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.561/2021  
(Toliram Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 24-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.562/2021  
(Alka Naigaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 27-06-2022 for filing rejoinder.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.653/2021  
(Soni A. Mulange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri B.B.Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. **S.O. to 27-06-2022** for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.110/2022  
(Sagar Karhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.F.Shingare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shires, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. tomorrow i.e. on 22-04-2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.215/2022**  
**(Salim Mohd. Hanif Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-05-2022. Await Service.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.221/2022  
(Vaibhav Autade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.F.Shingare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. tomorrow i.e. on 22-04-2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.392/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1257/2019  
(Ramdas Gangadhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**M.A.NO.239/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.366/2021  
(Nandu Bhalerao Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sajitkhan M. Pathan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 20-06-2022. Await Service.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**M.A.NO.124/2022 IN O.A.NO.260/2022  
(Dr. Radhakisan Pokharkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shriniwas S. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-06-2022. Await Service.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2018**  
**(Sunil K. Pawara Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents as the amendment is carried out in the Original Application after filing of reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.4 & 5.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.55 OF 2019**  
**(Bhimrao G. Bramahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.85 OF 2019**  
**(Mahadeo P. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**O.A.NOS.740 TO 743, 745 AND 746 ALL OF 2019  
(Natharao R. Dodabe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these O.As.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5 in all these matters.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicants do not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 15.06.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.45 OF 2021  
(Akhilujjamakha Shafiujjamakha Pathan Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1 OF 2021  
(Prasad S. Bhise Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1096 OF 2019  
(Vikas D. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.2 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.349 OF 2020  
(Prakash S. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.549 OF 2020  
(Nitin T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.S. Dighe, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.569 OF 2020**  
**(Tejas L. Malwadkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Ware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2 is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions from the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present Original Application.

4. I have no reason to refuse the permission to withdraw the Original Application.

5. Accordingly, the Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.593 OF 2020  
(Yuvraj R. Giri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Umakant P. Giri, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Yashwant P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, are **absent**. None present on behalf of the respondent No.4, though duly served.

Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. Learned P.O. deposited extra copy of reply for the applicant.
4. In spite of granting opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondent No.3.
5. S.O. to 17.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.51 OF 2021  
(Devidas F. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.121 OF 2021**  
**(Sukhadev S. Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.2.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.133 OF 2021**  
**(Balasaheb A. Chivate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.175 OF 2021  
(Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.384 OF 2021**  
**(Shripatrao B. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in the affidavit-in-reply it is wrongly mentioned that criminal case bearing R.C.C. No.1478/2002 is still pending before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad though infact, the applicant has been acquitted from the said case by judgment and order dated 29.12.2021. In this regard applicant has filed short affidavit. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. The matter is pertaining to pension and pensionery benefits. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2019**  
**(Mangala Dattatray Lasurkar (Died) Lrs. Purushottam**  
**Ekhanath Joshi (Husband) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.389 OF 2021**  
**(Baburao C. Mahire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sushil P. Pandit, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 5.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.425 OF 2021  
(Kirtimala M. Sonwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Nobody is present on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 to 5, though they are duly served.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent No.2 who is contesting respondent.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.467 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Mohan P. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri Arjun R. Lukhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vinayak P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Record shows that the affidavits-in-reply of respondent Nos.4 and 5 are already filed.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

5. S.O. to 09.06.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.477 OF 2021**  
**(Tukaram R. Bhojane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smit. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 13.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.576 OF 2021**  
**(Atmaram M. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

3. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.610 OF 2021  
(Madhukar K. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.613 OF 2021**  
**(Digambar R. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.246 OF 2022**  
**(Dilip Y. Rupekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.76 OF 2022**  
**(Ashok R. Jawale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.35 OF 2022**  
**(Vikas R. Pradhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.813 OF 2021**  
**(Gayatri H. Katore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.789 OF 2021**  
**(Ganesh Y. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.K. Sable, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ramesh Wakde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 and 4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. for the respondents submits that affidavit-in-reply of respondent Nos.1 & 2 may not be necessary.

4. S.O. to 17.06.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.762 OF 2021**  
**(Babu M. Duhare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.728 OF 2021**  
**(Shaikh Abdul Gafur Md. Sarwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.632 OF 2021**  
**(Harishchandra G. Lohkare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prashant D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**M.A.NO.518 OF 2019 IN M.A.NO.242 OF 2019 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.897 OF 2019  
(Shripad K. Marakwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 in M.A.No.242 of 2019 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.411 OF 2021 WITH M.A.NO.126 OF 2019 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.534 OF 2019  
(Sachin B. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 has filed **leave note**.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.361 OF 2019**  
**(Jijabai J. Sonwane & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 24.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.347 OF 2020**  
**(Jitendra B. Raipure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Asha N. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022



**M.A.NO.368 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.665 OF 2021  
(Dnyaneshwar K. Khandagale Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A., Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.2 and Smt. Amruta Pansare, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1 in the present M.A.(applicant in O.A.).

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant in the present M.A. is taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other sides.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022 for hearing. Status quo granted by this Tribunal vide order dated 27.10.2021 in O.A. to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.117 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.320 OF 2020  
(Pamabai D.Wanve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**M.A.NO.24 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1607 OF 2019  
(Ashok K.Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjpe-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**M.A.NO.373 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.980 OF 2018  
(Narayan K. Vyas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1105 OF 2019**  
**(Shrikant D. Mitkari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022 for passing necessary order.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.465 OF 2021**  
**(Azad Khadarsaheb Patel Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 to 8.

2. Pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete. The matter is pertaining to transfer. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 02.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.2 OF 2021**  
**(Kiran S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.06.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.86 OF 2020**  
**(Dr. Vaibhav B. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Bondar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022 for passing necessary order.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.542 OF 2020  
(Rajaram S. Shendge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to suspension. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing on 22.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.649 OF 2021  
(Janak B. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**M.A.NO.58 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.221 OF 2022**  
**(Sindu B. Wanve & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicants, is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that nobody is remaining present on behalf of the applicants since 08.02.2022.

3. In view of same, it appears that the applicants are not interested in pursuing the matter. Hence, the application is dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Later On:-**

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Immediately after passing of the order of dismissal, Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicants appeared and submitted that he could not attend the matter as he was busy before the Hon'ble

//2//

High Court in another matter and requested to restore the matter.

3. In view of above as the request is made on behalf of the applicants for restoration immediately on the same day, the impugned order of dismissal is set aside and the matter is restored to file.

4. S.O. to 07.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 194/2020 in O.A. No. 174/2020  
(Ravindra P. Bibekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.A. Khande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 21.06.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2017**  
**(Walmik L. Kande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 252 OF 2020**  
**(Harikishan D. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 4 and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 placed on record a copy of the communication dated 20.04.2022 received by him from the office of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. He submits that the respondent No. 3 is intending to rectify the impugned order and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 335 OF 2020  
(Arjun N. Pache Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for respondents, S.O. to 04.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016**  
**(Sanjay T. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the process of finalizing the provisional seniority list of Awwal Karkoon published on 17.01.2017 is under progress and he will seek the updated position in that regard from the concerned respondent/s and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 40 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Manish R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shritej Surve, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 45 OF 2019**  
**(Sitaram M. Bhokare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 365 OF 2019  
(Nilesh W. Mahajan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Record shows that the appointment letter of the post of Kotwal to the respondent No. 2 dated 15.11.2018 (page No. 39 of the paper book) is issued by the Tahasildar, Raver, Dist. Jalgaon, who worked under the respondent No. 1 i.e. the Sub Divisional Officer, Faizpur Division, District Jalgaon. However, the Tahasildar, Raver, Dist. Jalgaon is not joined as party respondent of the O.A.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to amend the O.A. by joining the Tahsildar, Raver, Dist. Jalgaon as respondent No. 3.

4. Considering the facts of the case, amendment as prayed for by the applicant is allowed. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. forthwith and amended copy of the O.A. be supplied to the respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

//2//

O.A. No. 365/2019

5. After amendment, issue notice to the newly added respondent No. 3 i.e. The Tahasildar, Raver, Dist. Jalgaon, returnable on 16.06.2022.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. S.O. to 16.06.2022.
11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 371 OF 2021**  
**(Amresh S. Bombalge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. Shri G.M. Shingare, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4, **absent.**

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 471 OF 2021  
(Shankar Y. Galdhar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523 OF 2021**  
**(Munjba N. Soundarmal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 06.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112 OF 2022**  
**(Bharat D. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings up to rejoinder affidavit are complete. The present matter is pertaining to Transfer. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 28.04.2022. Hearing of the present matter is already expedited.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 120/2022 in O.A. No. 99/2018  
(Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 21.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.04.2022

