

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

- (1) **T.A.NO.03/2023 (W.P.NO.13847/2021)**
(Kapil Gujar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)
- (2) **T.A.NO.04/2023 (W.P.NO.3935/2021)**
(Gramvikas Shaskiya Kantrati Karmachari Sanghatna Through its President & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

COMMON OPERATIVE ORDER

(i) The communication-cum-orders dated 27.07.2020 and 03.08.2020 issued by the State Government to the Chief Executive Officers of all the Zilla Parishads in the State are quashed and set aside.

(ii) The Government Resolution dated 04.09.2020 to the extent it provides for outsourcing the works under the Jal Jivan Mission, which are being presently performed by the applicants in T.A. No. 03/2023 and similarly situated other employees, is quashed set aside.

(iii) The directions issued by the State Government dated 29.06.2021 to the Director, Groundwater Survey and Development Agency, are quashed and set aside.

::-2-::

(iv) The directions issued by the Director, Groundwater Survey and Development Agency, Maharashtra State dated 26.07.2021 to the Deputy Directors, Groundwater Survey and Development Agency of Pune, Konkan, Nashik, Aurangabad, Amravati and Nagpur Divisions, are quashed and set aside.

(v) The respondents are directed to continue the services of the employees presently working on their respective posts under the projects Swachh Bharat Mission and National Jal Jivan Mission, subject to giving satisfactory performance, till completion of both the aforesaid projects or till the employee concerned attains the age of superannuation, whichever occurs earlier; of course, subject to earlier termination either if any on medial or disciplinary grounds or for unsatisfactory performance.

(vi) Insofar as the prayer made in T.A. No. 04/2023 seeking to regularize the services of the employees, who have completed 10 years' service or more while working as contract employees under the projects of Swachh Bharat Mission and Jal Jivan Mission is

::-3-::

concerned, it would be open for the applicant Association to pursue the said demand made by it to the State Government on 16.08.2020.

(vii) The Transfer Applications stand allowed in the aforesaid terms.

No order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

Place : Aurangabad

Date : 20-03-2024.

ARJ O.A. NO. 70 OF 2023 (DRAFT T.A. 01-2023 (W.P. 13847-2021))

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2014
(Prakash N. Shrivastav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned P.O. and learned counsel for applicant seek time to go through the judgment and order passed in O.A.No. 406/2015 filed by the present applicant and make appropriate submissions on the next date. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 71 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 103 OF 2018
(Khanderao D. Musande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to place on record the synopsis of events to explain the delay occurred. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2024.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 100 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 398 OF 2018
(Vithal D. Savalsure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to place on record the synopsis of events to explain the delay occurred. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.04.2024.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

**M.A.NO. 338 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1455 OF 2021
(Amol P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. By this application the applicant is seeking condonation of delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the mother of the applicant was a Government servant retired on medical invalidation on 10.09.2013. By impugned order dated 26.12.2017, the application submitted by the applicant for compassionate appointment came to be rejected on the ground that the disease considered for medical invalidation is not covered under the relevant G.R.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant ought to have been filed the Original Application within one year i.e. till 26.12.2018, however the applicant has filed the

//2// M.A. 338/2021 in
O.A.St. 1455/2021

Original Application on 14.10.2021. Learned counsel for the applicant submit that the applicant failed to approach this Tribunal within stipulated time as he could not arrange sufficient money for the legal charges. He has incurred heavy expenses for mother's treatment, household expenses, house rent, loan installment and other consequential expenses and he had no independent source of income. Thus due to financial difficulties so also during the said period there was outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic and declaration of lockdown by the Government from time to time, the applicant could not take effective steps.

5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the delay is not intentional one and the applicant has been prevented from sufficient cause to approach this Tribunal within time. Thus the delay may be condoned.

6. Learned Presenting Officer has strongly resisted the application on the ground that there is an intentional delay for which no satisfactory explanation has been tendered by the applicant.

//3// M.A. 338/2021 in
O.A.St. 1455/2021

Learned P.O. submits that though the impugned order came to be passed on 26.12.2017, the date of filing of the Original Application is 14.10.2021 for which no satisfactory explanation has been given.

7. It appears that the mother of the applicant was retired on medical invalidation on 10.09.2013. By order dated 26.12.2017, the application submitted by the applicant for compassionate appointment came to be rejected mainly on the ground that the relevant G.R. does not cover his case.

8. It further appears that the applicant has incurred heavy expenses on account of treatment of his ill mother and he had not independent source of income. Further the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in **Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No. 3 of 2020** has considered the outbreak of the pandemic Covid-19 and further lockdown announced in the Country and extension of the lockdown period continued upto the year 2021 and thus directed that the delay if any caused in approaching the Courts/Tribunals or any other forum required to be considered from the date as specified in the said order.

//4// M.A. 338/2021 in
O.A.St. 1455/2021

9. Thus considering the entire facts of the case, I don't think that there was an intentional delay on the part of the applicant to approach this Tribunal. The applicant was prevented from sufficient cause to approach this Tribunal within stipulated period. I am thus inclined to condone the delay subject to some costs. I compute the costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred only) on the applicant and proceed to pass the following order: -

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 338/2021 is allowed in following terms:-

- (A) The delay of 2 years and 9 months caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to costs of Rs. 500 /- (Five Hundred only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

//5// M.A. 338/2021 in
O.A.St. 1455/2021

- (B) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above,
the accompanying O.A. be registered
and numbered by taking in to account
other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1455 OF 2021
(Amol P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 12.06.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.St. 1455/2021

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 78 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 293 OF 2022
(Vaishali B. Tote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It appears that there is a delay of 6 years and 8 months caused in filing the Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant thus seeks time to place on record the synopsis of events to explain the delay caused in filing the Original Application. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 15.04.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 180 OF 2023 IN O.A.ST.NO. 644 OF 2023
(Kamlesh G. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.B. Pawar Pathrekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It appears that there is a delay of 5 years and 4 months caused in filing the Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant thus seeks time to place on record the synopsis of events to explain the delay caused in filing the Original Application. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 15.04.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 533 OF 2020
(Sadashiv D. Sakhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Pravin B. Rakhunde, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri S.B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2,4 & 6 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned counsel for respondent No.3, are present.

2. None present for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 02.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2021
(Ra, D. Anerao & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.R. Bhumkar, learned counsel holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.04.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 539 OF 2022
(Bhimraj S. Sonkamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.M. Parghane, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 02.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2022
(Khurshid Begum Mohd. Moosa Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Dalal/A.F. Pathan, learned counsel for the applicant, **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for applicant, S.O. to 03.07.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 129 OF 2023
(Abhay P. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned counsel Shri K.B. Jadhav submits his **VAKALATNAMA** today on behalf of applicant. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 6. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to go through the said reply and file rejoinder, if it is necessary. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 29.04.2024 for filing rejoinder/for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 372 OF 2023
(Raosaheb S. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02.05.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 373 OF 2023
(Dhanraj P. Kankriya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 02.05.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 453 OF 2023
(Shamkumar V. Wallekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.D. Gunale/Shri K.B. Bhise, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits affidavit in rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned P.O.

3. S.O. to 03.05.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 492 OF 2023
(Dr. Jagannath G. Kundkar Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. P.R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 10.06.2024 for hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2023
(Yamunabai M. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel holding for Shri Datta Amadake, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1106 OF 2023
(Motiram T. Nikam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sagar Kasar, learned counsel holding for Shri Sachin P. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 21.03.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**O.A.NO. 122/2020 WITH CAVEAT NO. 06/2020
(Sandip P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri Y.B. Bolkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

None present for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

2. S.O. to 12.06.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 17 OF 2021
(Parashram S. Khetre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on oral instructions submits that during pendency of this Original Application, the applicant died. Learned counsel for the applicant thus submits that this Original Application may be disposed of.

3. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of. No costs.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362 OF 2021
(Usha A. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 26.04.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 649 OF 2021
(Janak B. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 29.04.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 2447 OF 2023
(Dr. Ambadas Vitthalrao Sontakke Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri N.B. Narwade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.04.2024.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 46 OF 2024
(Bhimcharan W. Magare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri Hamza K. Pathan, learned counsel for respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.2 along with spare copy for the applicant. The same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 18.06.2024 for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if any.

4. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2024
(Vishnu S. Darade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 16.04.2024 for final hearing.
4. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**O.A.NOS. 189, 214, 336, 365, 222 & 223 all of 2021
(Sakharam C. Kashid & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As., Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As. and Shri S.B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 in all these O.As., are present.

2. By consent of parties, S.O. to 28.03.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

sas ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 395 OF 2024
(Baliram J. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Sawant, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The office has raised objections that the present Original Application is barred by limitation, as the applicant retired on 31.05.2009 and further the claim of the applicant does not fall within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was serving as Deputy Chief Officer (Junior) and while holding that post at Jalgaon, one Criminal Case came to be registered against him. By judgment and order dated 03.08.2018, the Special Court has acquitted the applicant in connection with the Special (A.C.B.) case No. 05/1999. Learned counsel submits that the applicant thereafter came to be transferred to Nashik and retired on 31.05.2009. However even after retirement the applicant has settled at Jalgaon, which is his home

//2//

O.A. St. 395/2024

district and he is getting pension at Jalgaon. Learned counsel submits that because of said Criminal Case even though the applicant is not knowing as to whether the State has preferred any appeal against the acquittal, however pensionary benefits in its entirety have not been paid to the applicant. Learned counsel submits that the cause of action arose partly within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. So also till this date, even though the applicant retired in the year 2009 has not received the pensionary benefits except provisional pension, there is a recurring cause of action and as such, the present Original Application is not barred by limitation.

4. I find much substance in the submissions made on behalf of the applicant by learned counsel. It appears that part of cause action has arisen in Jalgaon when the applicant was subjected to Criminal case though he was acquitted latter on. It is further apparent that because of the pendency of said Criminal Case and further consequences thereof, the pensionary benefits except provisional pension have not been released in favour of the applicant.

//3//

O.A. St. 395/2024

5. In view of above, this Tribunal gets territorial jurisdiction, so also the applicant till this date has not received pensionary benefits, it is recurring cause of action and as such, there is no question that the Original Application is barred by limitation. In view of the same, the objections raised in this regard are overruled.

6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 30.04.2024.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//4//

O.A. St. 395/2024

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. S.O. to 30.04.2024.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 288 OF 2024
(Chandrakant V. Nandanwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Kalpana Kulkarni (Sonpawale), learned counsel holding for Shri S.P. Sonpawale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was never subjected to any Departmental Enquiry and only on the verification of the accounts, certain recovery from the erring employees sought to be initiated. Learned counsel submits that one Shri S.R. Chavan has accepted his guilt and further given in writing to the department that he is ready to deposit the entire amount, still then, the impugned order has been issued by the enquiry committee directing recovery from the applicant and said Shri S.R. Chavan in equal shares. Consequently, the department is now recovering the amount of Rs. 6,94,333/- from the applicant. Learned counsel submits that the applicant came to

//2//

O.A. No. 288/2024

be retired in the year 2022 and he was holding the post of In-charge Principal prior to his retirement for 11 months. Learned counsel submits that the said recovery from the applicant is improper, incorrect and illegal.

3. In view of above, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11.06.2024. **Till then, the interim relief in terms of prayer clause IX (D) is hereby granted, which is as follows :-**

“D) Pending hearing and final disposal of this Original Application, this Hon’ble Court be pleased to direct the respondents not to recover the amount of Rs. 6,94,333/- out of Rs. 20,82,999/- and the recovery order may kindly be stayed and to pay some amount to the applicant.”

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//3//

O.A. No. 288/2024

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 11.06.2024.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 972 OF 2023
(Pnadiit R. Matade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 10.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2022
(Yayati T. Ghorband Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4, no affidavit in reply has been filed.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 02.05.2024 with liberty to the other side to file the affidavit in reply, if any till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 576 OF 2022
(Subhash H. Puri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Even though the last chance is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4, no affidavit in reply has been filed.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 02.05.2024 with liberty to the other side to file the affidavit in reply, if any till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 376 OF 2022
(Priyanka S. Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.S. Thorat, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 02.05.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 619 OF 2023
(Bhagwan S. Kendre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.S. Thorat, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.06.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 157 OF 2022
(Ravindra P. Bahadare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri I.S. Thorat, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.06.2024 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 963 OF 2023
(Shivkumar M. Mungilwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. List the matter for admission hearing on 03.05.2024.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. NO. 382/2022 in O.A. St. No. 1465/2022
(Madhukar S. Muneshwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is not willing to file rejoinder affidavit.

3. List the matter for hearing on 10.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

M.A. No. 530/2023 in O.A. St. No. 2216/2023
(Shaikh Mumtaj Shaikh Ibrahim Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 10.06.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 597 OF 2023
(Ashish N. Hiware Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2304 OF 2023
(Sudhakar B. Ramdin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 10.04.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 987 OF 2023
(Dr. Shaikh Salim Gulab Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 16.04.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1108 OF 2022
(Rajendra T. Dawange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 11.06.2024 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1117 OF 2023

(Sitaram N. Borhade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 11.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 289 OF 2024
(Sulatan U. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 30.04.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 30.04.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 315 OF 2024
(Aruna R. Bhumkar (Jhadbuke) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 12.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 333 OF 2024
(Tanmay C. Kante Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 26.03.2024.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 335 OF 2024
(Geeta M. Bagawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Narke, learned counsel holding for Shri P.M. Nagargoje, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondent No. 3, returnable on 21.03.2024.

3. Learned C.P.O. waives notice for respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4.

4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 21.03.2024.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2022
(Dr. Saurabh P. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 10.04.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404 OF 2022
(Manesh D. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer on the basis of certified copy of the service book submits that the father of the applicant was appointed on temporary basis and before he was made permanent, he was medically invalidated and not granted the permanency. Learned P.O. seeks time to file short affidavit of the respondents in this regard and submit all the relevant documents for consideration of this Tribunal.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks liberty to file reply to the said short affidavit, if filed on behalf of respondents.

4. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 10.04.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2022
(Dilip R. Bari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 04.04.2024 for further hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2022
(Suhas T. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.G. Rodge, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Rahul Pandit, learned counsel holding for Shri S.M. Kamble, learned counsel for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for respondent No. 4, as a last chance, S.O. to 12.04.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1142 OF 2022
(Maya B. Chabukswar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vijaya Adkine, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that applicant has been filed for obtaining the certified copies of the judgment in O.A. No. 287/2011 and 64/2013, however, copies are yet to be received. Learned P.O. thus seeks time. Time granted.

3. It is a part heard matter. S.O. to 22.04.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2022
(Dilip R. Bari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Sayed Farhat, learned counsel holding for Shri Nayyim Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.04.2024 for further hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

C.P.NO. 27/2024 IN O.A.NO. 254/2022
(Sandip W Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.W. Khadse, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. Issue simple notice to the respondents, returnable on 12.04.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 12.04.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 501 OF 2024
(Bhimsantosh P. Chenalwad Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)
DATE : 20.03.2024
ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Vishakha Patel, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. In the present matter office has raised objection in regard to the limitation so far as prayer clause 'A' & 'B' are concerned.

3. After having heard the learned counsel for the applicant and after having gone through the pleadings in the O.A. the objection as has been raised does not appear to be sustainable. It is the specific contention raised by the applicant that he became aware about inclusion of his name in the list of ineligible candidates on 02.01.2024 and, as such, the O.A. can be said to be filed within the period of limitation. Therefore, office objection stands removed.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 19.06.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 638 OF 2024
(Shubham Ganesh Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. It is the grievance of the applicant that though in the category of Orphan candidates, he did secure highest marks instead of selecting him respondents have selected respondent No. 6. The applicant had applied for the post of Forest Guard, Group-C in pursuance of the advertisement published on 08.06.2023. The applicant secured 138 marks, whereas respondent No. 6 scored 98 marks. In the circumstances, the applicant has approached this Tribunal praying for setting aside the order issued in favour of respondent No. 6 and consequently to issue order of appointment in his favour.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that the appointments are likely to be issued within short span of time. Learned Presenting Officer submits that possibly appointments may not be issued during the period of Code of Conduct.

4. We have considered the submissions made on behalf of the applicant and the respondent authorities. *Prima facie* it is noticed that the applicant is highest scorer in the Orphan category. It *prima facie* appears to us that the requirement of submitting Non-Creamy-Layer certificate may not be applicable insofar as Orphan category is concerned. In the circumstances, we deem it appropriate to pass the following order: -

ORDER

- (i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 22.04.2024. **Till then the respondents shall not fill up the post reserved for the Orphan category candidate.**
- (ii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- (iii) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- (iv) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- (v) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

:: - 3 - :: O.A. ST. NO. 638/2024

- (vi) S.O. to 22.04.2024.
- (vii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1017 OF 2023
(Sunil Raju Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket G. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered the communication received from the respondents along with written pursis under the signature of the applicant that he is intending to withdraw the present Original Application. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that he has no instructions and he will make the statement after taking instructions from the applicant and has, therefore, sought time. Time granted till 27.03.2024.

3. S.O. to 27.03.2024.

MEMBER (A)
ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 529 OF 2024
(Mamata Kailas Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.P. Randhir, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 02.05.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 02.05.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.ST.NO. 612/2024 IN O.A.ST.NO. 495/2024
(Vinod Narayanrao Nirwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice on application for condonation of delay to the respondents, returnable on 24.04.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24.04.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2024
(Shubhangi Maroti Burfule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 08.04.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 08.04.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 10/2022 IN O.A.NO. 191/2021
(Sandip W Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.W. Khadse, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted till 12.04.2024 by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 12.04.2024.

MEMBER (A)
ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 82 OF 2023
(Anis Ayyub Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the matter for hearing on 13.06.2024. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1027 OF 2023
(Dr. Vishesh R. Lohakpure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. On instructions, learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present Original Application. Learned counsel has tendered written pursis under the signature of the applicant and the same is taken on record.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the applicant, the following order is passed: -

ORDER

The Original Application stands disposed of since withdrawn however, without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

C.P.NO. 03/2023 IN O.A.NO. 658/2018
(Raosaheb Shripati Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities and Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. On instructions, Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that the order of which the contempt was alleged has been complied with. Learned counsel for the applicant though agrees that the order has been complied with it is his further contention that in the meanwhile period two years has lapsed and the applicant is entitled to seek the benefit of the said period also.

3. In view of the submissions as aforesaid the following order is passed: -

ORDER

(i) The contempt petition stands disposed of.

**:: - 2 - :: C.P.NO. 03/2023 IN
O.A.NO. 658/2018**

(ii) Insofar as benefits of the meanwhile period as submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant are concerned, the applicant is not precluded from availing the appropriate remedy available under the provisions of law.

(iii) There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

T.A.NO. 25/2023 (W.P.NO. 14978/2023)
(Pramod Vasant Sonar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Atul Pawar, learned counsel holding for Shri B.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. List the matter for hearing on 24.04.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1118 OF 2023
(Kisan Namdev Khandare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Satish P. Dhoble, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 25.04.2024. The respondents shall place on record the list of selected candidates on the next date.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 468 OF 2022
(Smita Sanjay Ingle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Vishakha Patel, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 08.04.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 926 OF 2023
(Shrikant G. Talegave Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. Again request is made by the learned Presenting Officer for filing affidavit in reply. In view of the earlier order, the request is rejected.
3. List the matter for hearing on 23.04.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

C.P.NO. 62/2023 IN O.A.NO. 485/2020
(Vijay Shridhar Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer time is granted till 08.04.2024 by way of last chance for taking instructions in the matter regarding progress in implementing the order passed by this Tribunal.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 366 OF 2023
(Shri Nitin B. Kolekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent authorities and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard. The matter is reserved for orders.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

M.A.NO. 362/2024 IN O.A.NO. 745/2021
(Arvind D. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Archana Therokar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. The present case is not no board. It is taken on board at the request of learned counsel appearing for the applicants.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants seeks extension of time for carrying out the amendment in the O.A. as was allowed by the Tribunal vide order dated 14.03.2024. Time is extended by one week from today.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 151 OF 2019
(Sachin A Gade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for respondent authorities.

2. Applicants are working on the post of Superintendent (Male), Group-C in the Tribal Welfare Department of the State of Maharashtra. Next promotion is to the post of Warden (Male) Group-B. Appointments on the post of Warden (Male) Group-B are made by way of promotion as well as by direct recruitment in the ratio of 25:75. Though all these applicants are in the zone of consideration for such promotion, they have not been promoted by exhausting the quota meant for them. It is the contention of these applicants that since 2019 no appointments are made by way of promotion and in the circumstances directions are sought to the effect that the promotions be granted by making appointments on the post of Warden (Male) Group-B and the quota meant for the promotees be fulfilled.

3. Learned Presenting Officer submits that there are at present 13 vacancies, however, bifurcation is not available as to how many posts out of them are to be filled in by way of promotion. We may, however, not wait for the said information.

4. It is the grievance of the applicants that since the year 2019 no appointments are made by way of promotion and the quota of 25% of the promotees has not been exhausted. Applicants have, therefore, prayed for direction in that regard. The grievance appears genuine if the promotions had not been made and all the posts would have been filled in by way of direct recruitment, we are therefore, inclined to pass the following order: -

ORDER

(i) Respondents are directed to ensure that while making appointments on the post of Warden (Male), Group-B, the quota meant for promotees is appropriately exhausted.

(ii) Original Application stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A.NO. 05/2023 (W.P.NO. 4762/2020)
T.A.NO. 06/2023 (W.P.NO. 4765/2020)
T.A.NO. 07/2023 (W.P.NO. 5233/2020)
T.A.NO. 08/2023 (W.P.NO. 5591/2020)
T.A.NO. 09/2023 (W.P.NO. 5588/2020)
T.A.NO. 10/2023 (W.P.NO. 5592/2020)
T.A.NO. 11/2023 (W.P.NO. 7243/2020)
T.A.NO. 16/2023 (W.P.NO. 5776/2021)
(Vinayak S. Ware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND**

Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

S/Shri Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, P.V. Suryawanshi, learned counsel holding for S.A. Nagarsoge, M.S. Karad, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, K.P. Rodge, learned counsel for the respective applicants in respective matters, Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent authorities, Shri R.R. Bangar, learned counsel for respondent No. 1 in T.A. No. 16/2023 and Umesh Mote, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 in T.A. No. 10/2023 and respondent Nos. 2 & 3 in T.A. No. 8/2023.

Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant in T.A. No. 9/2023 & Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant in T.A. No. 5/2023, are absent.

2. S.O. to 10.06.2024.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024-HDD

M.A.NO.519/2023 IN O.A.NO.151/2019
(Sachin A. Gade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Applicants in M.A.No.519/2023 desire to be impleaded as co-applicants in the present O.A. since they are having same grievance and praying for the same relief.

3. Learned P.O. submitted for passing appropriate order.

4. Considering the submissions as have been made by the applicants, we are inclined to allow the M.A. with following order:

ORDER

[i] M.A. is allowed.

[ii] Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith by impleading all these applicants as co-applicants in O.A.No.151/2019.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.336/2023 IN O.A.NO.185/2021
(Subhash J. Khote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authority.

2. In the present matter, it has transpired that the applicant was allowed to retire on attaining the age of superannuation subject to the decision of the departmental enquiry initiated against him vide order dated 26-11-2020. The applicant retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 30-11-2020.

3. In the affidavit in reply, respondent has not clarified as to what happened to the departmental enquiry initiated against the applicant. Office of Chief Presenting Officer also did not bother to take instructions in that regard. When the matter is being heard, learned P.O. is unable to make any submission as about the said pending enquiry. It is the contention of the learned Counsel for the applicant that no progress has taken place in the enquiry proceedings.

=2=

M.A.NO.336/2023 IN O.A.NO.185/2021

4. Respondent is, therefore, directed to file a short affidavit bringing to the notice of the Tribunal as about the progress in the departmental enquiry initiated against the present applicant and if it is not initiated and no progress has occurred in the departmental enquiry, explain the reasons therefor till next date.

3. S.O. to 02-04-2024.

MEMBER (A)

YUK ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.235/2024
(Nilesh Devkumar Mahajan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Tukaram M. Venjane, learned counsel for the applicant is **absent**. Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities is present.

2. Learned P.O. has tendered affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. undertakes to serve copy of the reply on the other side.

3. S.O. to 19-06-2024 for filing rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.300/2023 WITH 215/2023
(Ratnaraj R. Jawalgekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant in both O.As. and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Special Counsel for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri A.B.Kharosekar, learned Counsel for respondent no.4 in O.A.215/23 is **absent**.

2. On request of learned Special Counsel appearing for the respondents, S.O. to 10-04-2024.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.828/2022
(Shailendra G. Sasane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B.Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B.Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 02-04-2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.602/2023
(Datta Sambhaji Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman
AND
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)

DATE : 20.03.2024

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.R.Thorat, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G.Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri N.S.Kadam, learned Counsel for respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. Pleadings are complete. List the matter for hearing on 18-04-2024.

MEMBER (A)
YUK ORAL ORDER 20.03.2024

VICE CHAIRMAN