## 20.12.2016

# O.A No 1183/2016

6.87

Shri Mohan N. Atole Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Original Application has been filed by the Applicant seeking release of his pensionary dues. The Applicant has stated in the Original Application that he retired on superannuation on 30.11.2015, more than a year back and no D.E or judicial proceedings are pending against him at the time of his retirement. However not only his regular pension as yet been sanctioned, not has the been paid other retiral dues like G.I.S, Gratuity and Home Town Allowance. Learned Advocate Mrs Mahajan sought interim relief by way of directions to the Respondents to release these dues within a period of two weeks.

3. Learned Presenting Officer stated that he does not have any instructions in the matter and sought time to file reply.

4. Going by the averments made by the Applicant in his Original Application that no D.E or judicial proceedings were pending against him on the date he retired, he is clearly eligible to be given all his pensionary dues. The Respondents are directed to pay his GIS, Gratuity, Home Town Allowance within a period of two weeks from today.

5. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 17.1.2017.

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE: 20/12/16

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

-Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

C.P.D.TP.O. for the Respondents

(Vice - Chairman)

Advocate for the Applicant hoceque

Punan Mahajay

5.0. +0 17/1/17.

CORAM :

Shri/Sint

Adi. Tomme

Handas

APPEARANCE :

Tribunal's orders

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O 17.1.2017. Hamdast.

(Rajiv Aganwal) Vice-Chairman

### ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others,

..... Respondent/s

[P.T.O.

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.955/2016 ... Applicants Ms. R.R. Shaikh & Ors. Vs. ... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. By a praccipe submitted yesterday, the matter was circulated for today, but the Applicant and Advocate are absent. The matter stands adjourned to 13th January, 2017. S.O. to 13th January, 2017. 20-12-16 Sd/-(R.B. Malik) DATE Member (J) CORAM: R.B. Malik 20.12.2016 Hon'ble Justice Shu Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A L.O. APPEARANCE : Mentioned by Ms. Sonali Pawar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants. She submits an application on behalf of 10<sup>th</sup> Applicant. The same is taken on record Shri/Smt ... A.p.n. Advocate for the Applicant and relying on the learned Advocate's statement supported Shri/Smt : A.B. Kololgi by the said application, the Applicant No.10 is permitted C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s to withdraw this OA where my it continues as far as the other Applicants are concerned. The OA is disposed of in so far as the Applicant No.10 is concerned with no order Adj. To. 13 1 2017 . as to costs. It remained adjourned as before in so far as He he other Applicants are concerned. L. O. Sd/-Adv. ms. Sonali pawar. for app. (R.B. Malik) 20.12.16 The Hame of Appl. Ho. 10 is disposed of. Member (J) 20.12.2016 skw) BE

### [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000–2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

[P.T.O.

(Presenting Officer.....)

lei

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.295/2016 ... Applicant Shri A.K. Randive Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Much as the learned PO would try to convince me in so far as the performance of necessary clearance functioning by Respondent No.5, I remained completely unimpressed. If, the No Objection Certificate which has been held up is not issued by the next date, then without any further direction, the present incumbent holding the post of 5<sup>th</sup> Respondent shall positively without fail remain personally present before me so that an issue with regard to somebody's pension matter does not get prolonged. This direction be personally communicated to the incumbent presently holding the post of 5<sup>th</sup> Respondent. DATE : CORAM : S.O. to 3rd January, 2017. Hamdast. Hon'ble Justice SI 20.12.16 Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A Sd/-APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt : V.V. Joshi (R.B. Malik) Member (J) Advocate for the Applicant 20.12.2016 Shri/Smt : A.J. Chougu (skw) C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Heard party. 

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders O.A.154/2016 ... Applicant Shri F.M.Y. Patel Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The request of the learned PO for filing the Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.3 is turned down because sufficient opportunity has already been given and the Affidavit-in-rejoinder of the 1st and the 2nd Respondent has already been filed. The OA which has already been admitted is now fixed for hearing to 4<sup>th</sup> January, 2017. S.O. to 4th January, 2017. Sd/-20.12.10 20/12/2016 DATE : (R.B. Malik) **CORAM**: Malik (MJ) Member (J) Hon'hle Justice Sh 20.12.2016 Hen'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) (skw) APPEARANCE G-A-Bar Shri/Smt .... Advocate for the Applicant

ShritSmt: A.B. Vold 21 C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adı. To 412017.

MI

[*P.T.O.* 

Original Application No. of

of 20

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate ......)

. versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

and the second	the second se
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora <sup>m,</sup> Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>0.A.419/2016</u>
	Shri V.G. Lohkare Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. A.B. Kololg the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	See the orders dated 20.9.2016, 18.10.2016 9.11.2016, 15.11.2016 and 6.12.2016, the Applican remained absent and unrepresented all though out. I seems, therefore, that he has lost interest in this matter The OA stands hereby dismissed for default with no orde as to costs
	as to costs.
DATE: <u>2012/2016</u> <u>CORAM</u> : <u>Shri R.B. McLik (M12)</u> Hon'ble <del>Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</del> Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A <u>APPEARANCE</u> : Shri/Smt: <u>11/00c fry the opp</u> ]. Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt</u> : <u>A.B. Kolo J1</u> C.P.D/P.O. for the Respondent/s	(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.12.2016
Adj. To. OR is dismissed.	

[*P.T.O.* 

6

### |Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

.....) (Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

## O.A.877/2016

Shri S.M. More Vs.

... Applicant

... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned PO furnishes for perusal an order dated 16th December, 2016 which quite clearly appears that the order of promotion has been issued in favour of the Applicant. In so far as the deemed date aspect of the matter is concerned, the Applicant may move the authority in that behalf and in case, she does so within a period of four weeks from today and expeditious decision in that behalf may be taken and communicated to her. The OA is accordingly disposed of in these terms with no order as to costs. 0.4

-

Sd/-(R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.12.2016

CORAM: IK(MD) SV R.D.I Hon'ble Justice Shi

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

Jac

APPEARANCE : K.R. Shri/Smt.

DATE :

Adr. Tor ...

(skw)

Advocate for the Applicant , Shritsmt : K.S. Gaikwa

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

the off is disposed of

[P.T.O.

8

0.

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

# (Presenting Officer.....

DATE: 201216

APPEARANCE :

Shri Statt in B

Advocate for the Applicant

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

Bon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

-Shri/Smith His. M. G. Golac

-Adi TUDALES desposed

C.P.O + P.O. for the Respondents

(Vice - Chairman)

Kalaem

CORAM :

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

# C.A. No. 100 of 2016 In O.A. No. 275 of 2016

V/s.

Shri R.S. Katkar

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Both the sides are ad-idem that the order dated 04.10.2016 I the O.A. No.275/2016 has been complied with and yesterday the applicant has resumed duty.

Contempt Application, therefore, does not survives and is disposed of with no order as to cost.

1.4 Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK)

MEMBER (J)

20.12.2016

Sd/-

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 20.12.2016

(vsm

12.7.0.

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

# <u>C.A. No. 80 of 2016</u> <u>In</u> O.A. No. 517 of 2016

... Applicant

... Respondents

Shri R.B. More

V/s.

# The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The Affidavit-in-Reply of Shri Ranjit S. Otari has been filed. It does not make a satisfying reading.

We are not satisfied with any of the reasons cited at bar and even in that affidavit. In fact, it seems there will be a lot of explaining to do in so far as that affidavit is concerned. We specifically direct that all the steps necessary to provide relief to the applicant should continue but we direct the respondent no.2 to remain personally present before us on the next date.

S.O. to 10.01.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 20.12.2016

Sd/-

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 20.12.2016

vsm

[P.T.O.

P

DATE: 20/12/16 CORAM : Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Bon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J APPEARANCE : Stirl/Sint. Peurcem Manajcen Advocate for the Applicant -Shri /STAT. The N.G. Golice C.P.O. for the Respondents Pepty Riled Gy R. N.G. 5.0.+0 10 - Adi, Tomo

## 20.12.2016

# O.A No 1190/2016

Shri V.M Mule Vs. ... Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 22.12.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 22.12.2016.

8. Looking into the fact that the Applicant is retiring by the end of this month, learned Presenting Officer is directed to produce the relevant Mantralaya file in which decision was taken to place the Applicant under suspension.

### Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Akn

IRAM: In'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) n'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Membor) PEARANCE: ISRUE. M.D. Loukcer vocate for the Applicant H.S. S. Surgeroanshi H.S. S. Surgeroanshi

NTE: 2012/16

5.0. +0 22/12/16.

## U.A. No. 1139 of 2016

### Shri D.P. Antapurkar

... Applicant

### V/s.

#### ... Respondents The State of Mah. & ors.

Heard Shri M.M. Sudame, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

In fact the arguments of Shri Sudame, the learned Advocate were heard on the last occasion. However, now the learned P.O. seeks permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply which was not filed earlier despite sufficient opportunity. Shri Sudame, the learned Advocate however requests that even in this set of facts he be allowed to implead the G.A.D. as a party respondent no.4 hereto so as to facilitate a proper focus on the real controversy.

After hearing the submissions at the bar, we are allowed his application. The applicant do implead the State of Maharashtra through Principal Secretary, G.A.D. as a party respondent.

The amendment be carried forthwith. the Post amendment, Copy of the amended O.A. be served to newly added respondent and copy be also furnished to the learned P.O. as well as Shri Jagdale, the learned Advocate for yhe respondent.

The O.A. may not now be shown as Part-Heard for time being. It is adjourned for Affidavit-in-Reply. Affidavit-in-Reply tendered by respondent no.1 is taken on record.

S.O. to 17.1.2017.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 20.12.2016

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 20.12.2016

IB: 20/12/16 RAM : a'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) i'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) + PEARANCE : But M.M. Scudame weate for the Applicant 15mt Ms. N. G. Gohad A. Jagdale. Hocz R. 192. py Biled by R. MO. 1. 1117 0.10 17 ( 1/2e)

PH

### [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20

2

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE: 2012/16

Hon bie Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

-Bon ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

C.P.DTP.O. for the Respondents

(Vice - Chairman)

V.V. Joshi

M.A. is disposed

Stiri Smt. T. B. Bhise

CORAM:

APPEARANCE

Shri/Stat. T.

Tribunal's orders

### 20.12.2016

# M.A 289/2016 in O.A No 740/2016

... Applicants Shri P.V Jadhav & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri K.B Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This Misc Application is to sue jointly. As the Applicants are seeking identical relies against the same Respondents, Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.

Application stands disposed of Misc accordingly.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL

-Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

U.V

Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri Smt. K.B. B

C.P.D+P.O. for the Respondents

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Sunt. to

(Vice - Chairman)

Josh

M.A. is dispos

hise

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.12.2016 M.A 291/2016 in O.A No 741/2016 ... Applicants Shri Y.D Londhe & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents Heard Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri K.B Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. This Misc Application is to sue jointly. As DATE: 20/12 the Applicants are seeking identical relies against . CORAM :

the same Respondents, Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.

of stands disposed Application Misc accordingly.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

Same al

..... Applicant/s

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

2

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

DATE: 201216

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Hen'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

C.P.D.P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is admitted

5.0. +0 11/1/17

Adj. Tom Applicant

(Vice - Chairman)

aval

Bhist

CORAM :

Shri/Sant

APPEARANCE

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.12.2016

O.A No 567/2016

Shri P.B Dandekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

Heard Shri R.S Kavle, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri K.B Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Learned Advocate Shri Kavle files affidavit in rejoinder. Original Application is admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if need be.

Place for final hearing on 11.1.2017.

Sd/-

'(Rajiv Agadwal) Vice-Chairman

 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

2

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(.....)

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.12.2016 O.A No 912/2016 ... Applicant Shri K.R Dabhade Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents learned Chadratre, C.T Heard Shri . Savita the applicant, Ms advocate for Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 and Shri Deshmukh, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2. DATE: 20/12/16 filed dby the reply is CORAM : Affidavit in Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Chandratre Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) states that he does not wish to file rejoinder. -Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE T. chandeatre O.A is admitted. Place for final hearing on Shri/Sunt. T. Shri/Smit: S. Scery acesausi Advocate for the Applicent 6.1.2017. C.P.D. P.O. for the Respondents 10.6 shi Deshmuch A.MO . 2 Sd/-O.A. is admitter Adj. Tomme 5.0. +0 6/1/17 (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman Akn

### [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20

2

Leff richt in weil is DISTRICT -

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	20.12.2016 O.A No 1193/2016
	Smt Geetanjali V. Rawal Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 10.1.2017.
DATE: 20/12/16	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE: C.T. chcendecetre	Iniai dioposte
Advocate for the Applicant SheitSmt.: Archeener B.K. C.P.D / P.O. for the Respondents 5.0. to 10/1/17. Adj. Tour	5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
Cerch -	6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. S.O 10.1.2017. Hamdast.
	Sd/-
	(Rafiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman
	Akn [P.T.O.

Original Application No." of 20 DISTRICT

(Advocate .....)

9/

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.12.2016 O.A No 920/2016 ... Applicant Shri D.B Wadile Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents Applicant present in person. Heard Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. DATE: 20/12/16 Applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. O.A is CORAM : admitted. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder, if Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) need be. Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE : shriven Applicant Research Place for final hearing on 11.1.2017. aDh person Shri/Smr. Mrs. S. Scerey acoals Sd/-C.P.O+P.O. for the Respondents () c Riled Rejoinder (Rajiv Agatwal) Vice-Chairman Applican Adi. To. D.A. cis admitte Akn 11/1/17 5.0.+0

Original Application No. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

2

versus

The State of Maharashtra and other

..... Respondent/s

[*P.T.O.* 

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.12.2016 O.A No 602/2016 ... Applicant Shri D.S Kandekar Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents Heard Shri N.Y Chavan, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Chavan seeks further time to amend the Original Application. Learned P.O stated that this is the fourth time that applicant is seeking extension of time to DATE: 20 12 16 amend the Original Application. CORAM : Hon'ble Shri. RAIIV AGARWAL Cost of Rs. 500/- is imposed on the (Vice - Chairman) Applicant, which should be deposited in the Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) M.A.T Bar Association, Mumbai. APPEARANCE: haven Shri/Sint to H.V Last chance is granted to the learned advocate to amend the O.A. Learned Advocate Advocate for the Applicant Shritsm. : Archaena Shri Chavan states that he will amend the O.A within two weeks and serve the amended copy on C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents the Respondents. 5.0. +0 11 Adi. Bo. S.O to 11.1.2017. Sd/-(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman Akn

Original Application No. Statistic of 20

DISTRICT

and it is

Section - 1

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	20.12.2016 O.A No 891/2016
	Shri M.P. Babar Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale states that inadvertently, he has not collected the copy of notice to be served on the Respondents. He requested that fresh notice may be issued.
	3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 17.1.2017.
DATE: 20/12/16	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) - Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE: Shri (Surt := 12. R. J cegelele	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt.: IX.B. Bhise C.P.D. + P.O. for the Respondents S. Co. + 0 17 1117 Adj. Tourise	6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
Cord	<ul> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. S.O 17.1.2017.</li> </ul>
	Sd/-

(Rajiv Agadwal) Vice-Chairman

[*P.T.O.* 

## [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### (G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Vice-Chairman

[P.T.O.

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.12.2016 O.A No 922/2016 ... Applicant Shri R.B Mali Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents Heard Shri K.R Jagdale learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale states that inadvertently, he has not collected the copy of notice to be served on the Respondents. He requested that fresh notice may be issued. Issue notice before admission made returnable 3. on 17.1.2017. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need DATE: 201216 not be issued: Applicant is authorized and directed to serve CORAM : on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete (Vice - Chairman) paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the ton'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) APPEARANCE : stage of admission hearing. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule Advocate for the Applicant 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Shri Smt ...... J. B. F (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as C.P.D + P.O. for the Respondents limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 5.0. to 17/1/ The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. S.O 17.1.2017. 8. Sd/-(Rajiv Agarwal)

	[Spl MAT-F-2	E.
G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000–2-2015)	ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL	
	MUMBAI	

Original Application No. 20 of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

in any particulation

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	20.12.2016
	O.A No 1109/2016
	Shri D.B Sangle Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate Shri Jagdale states that inadvertently, he has not collected the copy of notice to be served on the Respondents. He requested that fresh notice may be issued.
DATE: 20/12/16	3. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 10.1.2017.
CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)	4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
APPEARANCE: Shrism: E. R. Jagdale. Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. T. K. B. Bluse Shri JSmt. T. K. B. Bluse	5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
Adj. Tomas S. co. to 10/1/17	6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	7. The service may be done by Hand delivery speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	8. S.O 10.1.2017.
	Sd/-

(Rafiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman [*P.T.O.* 

\$

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

### Tribunal's orders

### O.A.1160/2016

Shri I.M.S. Shaikh Vs.

... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors.

2

... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant insists on urgent relief. Hearing the rival submissions, even as the learned PO stoutly opposes the grant of any relief at all, I am so disposed as to think that the Applicant cannot be left entirely unprotected though the expanse of the relief that he is seeking is not something that can be advanced to him today. But as an interim measure, I direct that till further orders, even if recommendations are made, the Respondents shall make it clear to the persons concerned, that their appointment shall be subject to the ultimate outcome of this OA.

Issue notice returnable on 22.12.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 22nd December, 2016.

R.B. Malik Member (J) 20.12.2016

(skw)

20/12/2016 DATE : CORAM :

ALIKKMIT Hon'ble Justice Hen'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shrivsmen S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt : A. B. Kololg, C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

ssue notice volumable Adj. To .... 22/12/16.

Ungman Application 140.

(Advocate .....

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

versus

01 20

The State of Maharashtra and others

DATE :

CORAM :

APPEARANCE :

Adi. To

20

Shri/Sunt to Pus . H. C.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Pcenceu

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

Non'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

C.P.O. P.O. for the Respondents Peply hile of 6 9 P. 100 4 con

22 12 16.

(Vice - Chairman)

Mona

N

..... Respondent/s

### (Presenting Officer..... .....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

# M.A. No. 541 / 2016 with M.A. 542/2016 in C.A. No.95/2016 in O.A. No.379/2015

Maharashtra Public Service Commission ...Applicant (Ori.Resp.)

V/s.

Smt Punam B. Madage ... Respondent

# (Ori. Applicant) Heard Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned

Advocate for the Applicant (Ori. Respondent) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned P.O. for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).

The personal appearance of the Chairman, M.P.S.C. Shri V.N. More is dispensed with only for today and M.A. No.542/2016 is disposed of in these terms.

The copies of the proceedings were not furnished to the learned Advocate for the = A 95/6. applicant. The same has been furnished just now.

> M.A. No.541/2016 is, therefore, adjourned for reply and hearing to 22.12.2016.

Sd/-(R.B. MALIK) MEMBER (J) 20.12.2016

Sd/-

(RAJIV AGARWAL) VICE-CHAIRMAN 20.12.2016

vsm

[P.T.O.