

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.80 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2015</u></p> <p>G.P. Wadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Advocate for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. We have passed the following order in OA on 4.1.2022:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"27. In view of the above, following order is passed:-</i></p> <p><i>(a) The Original Applications are partly allowed.</i></p> <p><i>(b) All the Applicants who are District Technical Service Medical Officers, placed in Group-B by virtue of G.R dated 17.10.2014 with retrospective effect from 28.5.2001 are eligible and entitled to recruitment to Group-A from the date of the G.R dated 18.7.2013 when the Rules were amended. Thus, they are recruited in Group-A from 18.7.2013 with all consequential benefits.</i></p> <p><i>(c) The applicants are entitled to pay scale in the pay band of Rs.15600-39100 with Grade pay of Rs.5400, with effect from 18.7.2013.</i></p> <p><i>(d) The payments made, if any, earlier from 2006 in the pay band of Rs.15600-39100 with grade pay of Rs.5400, should not be recovered from the applicants."</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. Ld. PO informs that a positive proposal on 2.8.2023 was submitted by the Public Health Department to the Chief Secretary pursuant to our order of this Tribunal. However, the Chief Secretary submitted a negative proposal to the Hon'ble Chief Minister and forwarded it to the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 9.11.2023 and the same was approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister.</p> <p>4. This is unprecedented and prima facie contemptuous! If judicial order is not acceptable to the Government then the Government can approach higher authority i.e. the Hon'ble High Court in case of this Tribunal. The order passed by this Tribunal cannot be set aside by rejecting the implementation of the same by the Executive or the Government. Thus, the option open for the Government is either to implement the order or to challenge it before the Hon'ble High Court. We are of the view that Chief Secretary has no power in law in his Executive capacity to submit the proposal of not implementing the judicial order of the Tribunal. It is as good as sitting in appeal over the orders of the Tribunal by usurping the judicial power.</p> <p>5. We call upon the Chief Secretary to show the relevant provisions otherwise we will be constrained to issue notice under Contempt of Courts Act in this matter.</p> <p>6. We direct Shri N.Y. Chavan, Ld. Advocate for the applicant to remain present.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 29.11.2023. Hamdast allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.11.2023 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 802/2023</u></p> <p>A.S Jachak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.1.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																				
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1037 of 2019 & 9 Ors.</u></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>1. Dr. G.B. Taware</td> <td>(OA.1037/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath</td> <td>(OA.1039/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar</td> <td>(OA.1040/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Dr. N.B. Masaram</td> <td>(OA.1041/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Dr. V.P. Doijad</td> <td>(OA.1042/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. C.G. Patil</td> <td>(OA.1237/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. Dr. M.M. Pawar</td> <td>(OA.1238/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal</td> <td>(OA.1239/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9. Dr. P.N. Rathi</td> <td>(OA.1240/2019)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>10. Dr. A.B. Pandav</td> <td>(OA.1241/2019)</td> </tr> </table> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>	1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(OA.1037/2019)	2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(OA.1039/2019)	3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(OA.1040/2019)	4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(OA.1041/2019)	5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(OA.1042/2019)	6. C.G. Patil	(OA.1237/2019)	7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(OA.1238/2019)	8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(OA.1239/2019)	9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(OA.1240/2019)	10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(OA.1241/2019)
1. Dr. G.B. Taware	(OA.1037/2019)																				
2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath	(OA.1039/2019)																				
3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar	(OA.1040/2019)																				
4. Dr. N.B. Masaram	(OA.1041/2019)																				
5. Dr. V.P. Doijad	(OA.1042/2019)																				
6. C.G. Patil	(OA.1237/2019)																				
7. Dr. M.M. Pawar	(OA.1238/2019)																				
8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal	(OA.1239/2019)																				
9. Dr. P.N. Rathi	(OA.1240/2019)																				
10. Dr. A.B. Pandav	(OA.1241/2019)																				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.498 of 2023</u></p> <p>T.B. Pachpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. More, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 24.8.2023 on behalf of respondents no.3, 4 & 5.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgi) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.783 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Sasne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.3. Admit.4. S.O. to 20.12.2023 for final hearing. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.685 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A Pereira & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.474 & 475 of 2023</u></p> <p>U.A. Karande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.12.2023 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.277 of 2023 in O.A. No.458 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.S. Ghadigavkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri I. Patel, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 13.9.2023 of respondent no.5.</p> <p>3. MA adjourned to 6.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.660 of 2023 in O.A. No.1356 of 2023.</u></p> <p>S.R. Musale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays for condonation of delay of 4 months. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that impugned order is dated 29.6.2022 and OA is filed on 18.10.2023. Hence there is a delay of 4 months and 10 days. Ld. Advocate submits that the delay is on account of inability to get the documents as he was waiting for the documents under RTI.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that there is a minor delay.</p> <p>4. In view of the above facts the delay is condoned in the interest of justice. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1356 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.R. Musale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. Ld. PO waives service of notice.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1445 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar h/f Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1433 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Rajwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.12.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.707/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. A.O. Dahad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Principal Secretary of Medical Education & Drugs is hereby directed to remain present on 22nd November, 2023 at 2.20 p.m. to answer the query relating to rule making for the Director of Ayush.</p> <p>3. As the drafting of rules under 309 is still going on from 2022, we would like to know when it is going to be completed.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22nd November, 2023 at 2.30 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member-A Chairperson 20.11.2023 20.11.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.63/2023 in O.A.No.794/2021</p> <p>D.F. Fernandas & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that order passed in O.A.No.794/2021 is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petition is pending, C.A. is adjourned to 15.01.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.54/2023 in O.A.No.257/2019 with C.A.No.55/2023 in O.A.No.256/2019</p> <p>Dr. P.D. Shendge & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that pursuant to order dated 01.03.2023 decision in the said matter has been taken by the Respondent and on 19.06.2023 the same has been communicated to the Applicant. Learned C.P.O. has informed that M.A.T. Bench Nagpur has granted status quo to the G.R. dated 03.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that under such circumstances the order of this Tribunal cannot be implemented and accordingly the Government has taken decision.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has submitted that this order of status quo was not pointed out earlier and it has serious repercussions.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that Hon'ble Member(A) at Nagpur Bench was not available and therefore Government had moved the under application under Section 25 of the Administrative Tribunals Act for transfer of the said O.A. from Nagpur to Mumbai, however the same was rejected by this Tribunal by order dated 03.07.2023 on the ground that inconvenience will be caused to the Original Applicant and it was also vehemently opposed by the Original Applicant. She has submitted that transfer was rejected on 03.07.2023.</p> <p>6. In view of above, as no Division Bench is available at M.A.T. Nagpur Bench it is necessary to transfer the matter now at Mumbai as the Government wants to vacant the order of status quo either in respect of the said G.R. or to partially qua for some classes. Learned C.P.O. to give copy of O.A. to learned Counsel by 22.11.2023.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 01.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.100/2023 in O.A.No.1129/2022</p> <p>Dr. P.S. PolApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that she has received para-wise remarks and seeks one week time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 01.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- <i>H</i></p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.51/2023 in O.A.No.1219/2022</p> <p>S.B. VadarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has relied on the additional affidavit-in-reply of Respondent dated 29.08.2023 through Dr. Raja Dayanidhi, Collector Sangli, in the office of Collector Office, Sangli wherein it is submitted that no vacant posts for the category of Awal Karkoon on establishment of the Revenue Department, Sangli for the promotion of category of Awal Karkoon is available.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has pointed out affidavit-in-rejoinder to the reply of Respondent dated 26.07.2023 and stated that there are vacancies on account of promotion orders issued by order dated 21.03.2023 of Awal Karkoons to the post of Naib Tahasildar. He has submitted that in this orders of promotion some Awal Karkoons and Circle Officers were promoted to the post of Naib Tahasildar. He has pointed out that by order dated 21.03.2023 from Sr. No.15 to 28, persons who are working on the post of Awal Karkoon were promoted to the</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>post of Naib Tahasildar. Further he relies on the list issued in respect of Government servants who are going to retire during the period from 01.01.2023 to 31.12.2025, wherein persons at,</p> <p>Sr. No.2, Smt. Pushpalata B. Shitole, date of retirement 31.03.2023, Sr. No.3, Shri Ramchandra D. Mane, date of retirement 31.05.2023, Sr. No.10, Shri Lahu L. Bhadke, date for retirement 31.05.2023 and Sr. No.16, Shri Guruvasu L. Shetipagole 31.07.2023 Learned Counsel has pointed that further person at Sr. No.18, Smt. Mangal R. Gatkol, date of retirement 30.09.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel has further pointed out pages 67 to 73 copy of orders of promotion are placed on record. He further pointed out that one Shri Suresh Y. Mahadik was promoted from the post of Clerk to the post of Awal Karkoon by order dated 23.09.2022.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit</p> <p>6. Time granted. Collector, Sangli is directed to inform when the post is going to fall vacant.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 04.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.626 of 2023 in O.A. No.1190 of 2023</p> <p>The Maharashtra Agro. Ind. Dev. Corp., Ltd. H.O. Through its Mangaing Dir. Krushi Udyog Bhavan.Applicant Versus J. R. DeoreRespondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.626/2023, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.1190/2023.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that letter has been received from 'Under Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Fisheries & Dairy Development Department' dated 17.11.2023 stating that they have already sought opinion from 'Law & Judiciary Department' as per directions given on 17.10.2023. Learned P.O. therefore seeks further time of 'Two Weeks' to file their 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.939 of 2023</p> <p>G.D. Karad,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that as per directions given during the course of 'Final Hearing (P.H.)' on 26.10.2023, sometime more is required to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' along with 'File Noting' about the 'Status of Implementation' viz 'Acceptance' or 'Non Acceptance' of the 'Enquiry Reports' submitted by earlier by Inspector Generals of Registration and Controller of Stamps, Pune on 04.11.2021 and 09.06.2023 about complaints against the Applicant. Learned P.O. seeks time of 'One Week'.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted. Learned P.O. to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' within 'One Week'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.613 of 2023</p> <p>M.M. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Deepak D. Pote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to argue the matter for 'Final Hearing (P.H.)'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.414 of 2023</p> <p>M.R. Thakare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.A. Daga, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The O.A. No.414 of 2023 was heard at stage of Final Hearing (PH) on 13.10.2023 and Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune was directed to remain present on 02.11.2023 but he did not remain present, hence another chance was given and the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune was present on 03.11.2023.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. has stated on 03.11.2023 that she would file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' about the deposition of Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune on 03.11.2023. The learned P.O. was to file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on or before 07.11.2023 and accordingly the Final Hearing (PH) was adjourned to 08.11.2023 so as to enable the learned Advocate for the Applicant to proceed with his arguments and filing of the 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'; if necessary, with respect to the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' regarding deposition of Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune on 03.11.2023.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant states that no 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' has been filed by learned P.O. till today. He further contends that Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune has clearly disregarded the directions given on 03.11.2023 and caused prejudice to the Applicant. Therefore, vide the precipe filed today on behalf of the Applicant has prayed to forfeit the right of Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune to belatedly file 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' as the O.A. No.414 of 2023 is fixed for Final Hearing (PH) on 21.11.2023 B.T.O.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The filing of 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' has been unduly delayed by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., Pune and therefore Final Hearing (PH) of the O.A. No.414 of 2023 will proceed without the 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' being filed or taken on record on 21.11.2023 for conclusion of arguments by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1116/2023</u></p> <p>S.M, Waghmode ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Siddiquie, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No. 3 has already filed reply. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondents No 1 & 2.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1139/2023 with M.A 533/2023 in O.A 670/2023</u></p> <p>Dr S.K Phule R.H Metkar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Karan Gajra, holding for Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 1139/2023, Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A 670/2023, Msl Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 4 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for Respondent No. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant points out that order dated 8.11.2023 has been issued by the Public Health Department. Learned counsel further submitted that this Tribunal by order dated 4.9.2023 has directed the Respondents that if any candidate is going to be appointed their appointment will be subject to the outcome of this Original Application. However, in the entire order there is no reference of this order, which should have been there. Learned counsel submits that to that effect the applicant has submitted letter to the Addl. Chief Secretary, Public Health Department on 8.11.2023. However, till date no reply is given. \</p> <p>3. In view of this, we call upon the Respondents to take note of it and accordingly Corrigendum to the order dated 8.11.2023 be issued only with respect to Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>4. Learned CPO submits that the Respondents will be filing reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>5. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1226/2023</u></p> <p>Dr A.S Chandanwale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No. 1 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O has filed affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar for Respondent no. 2 seeks time.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Matter to be placed before 2nd Division Bench on 28.11.2023 to be heard on the point of interim relief.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1379/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Dalvi & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply as she has received instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. Matter to be placed before 2nd Division Bench on 24.11.2023 under the caption 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1038/2023</u></p> <p>V.B Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 29.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1028/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.J Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1266/2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>Shri R.A Desale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that in para 2 & 3 of the order dated 7.11.2023, the date is required to be corrected as 21.8.2023 instead of 20.9.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, the date 20.9.2023 mentioned in para 2 & 3 of the order dated 7.11.2023. should be read as 21.8.2023.</p> <p>5. Ordered accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 54/2022 in O.A 248/2014</u></p> <p>I.S Mulgaonkar (Legal Heir S.Y Mulgaonkar) ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 81/2023 in O.A 238/2023</u></p> <p>S.V Rajwade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Msl Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she wants to correct her earlier statement in para 3 of the order dated 19.10.2023 and states that the office of Accountant General has sanctioned the proposal on 28.9.2023 and the bill is sent to the Treasury Office on 6.11.2023.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to take necessary steps at the earliest.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 998/2023</u></p> <p>Shri H.N Thakar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 930/2023</u></p> <p>Smt Sonali Hirave & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 5.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 819/2023</u></p> <p>Smt M. S Mete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.1.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 802/2023</u></p> <p>A.S Jachak ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 799/2023</u></p> <p>R.S Londhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 395 & 1369/2023</u></p> <p>Dr Y. Shah & Ors ... Applicants Dr A.M Naik & Ors Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kaustub Gidh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 20/2020</u></p> <p>Shri N.S Daud ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Taken on Board.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has filed application dated 20.11.2023 seeking permission to withdraw the Original Application. Permission granted. The Application is taken on record and marked as Exh. A.</p> <p>4. In view of the above Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A Nos 322, 360, 361 & 370/2023</u></p> <p>Dr M.M Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.J Shaikh i/b S.S Gite, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 997/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 285/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 228/2023</u></p> <p>D.B Bhosale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 15.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

20.11.2023

O.A 103/2023

S.G Chavan & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Marchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file reply.
3. S.O to 8.1.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 93/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Barge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 278/2023 in O.A 744/2016</u></p> <p>Shri U.M Kashyap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.12.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 591/2023 in O.A 731/2023</u></p> <p>K.B Chandel & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 644/2023 in O.A 460/2023</u></p> <p>Kapil B. Ghodake & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Gaikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. To be placed on First on Board before the IInd Division Bench on 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 701/2023 in O.A 870/2021</u></p> <p>Anil B. Ishware & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V Waghmare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 4.12.2023.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2023</p> <p>C.A.No.32/2023 in O.A.No.496/2020</p> <p>A.B. Baviskar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has submitted that the matter is under positive consideration by the Department.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted the orders dated 17.06.2021 and 07.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.496/2020 and R.A.No.22/2022 respectively and further order dated 17.06.2021 was challenged and confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court vide Writ Petition No.7234/2023 dated 20.06.2023.</p> <p>4. This is fairly long time the Government has taken to implement the order. Respondent No.3 is directed to comply with the order positively within a period of three weeks.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 11.12.2023.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 711/2023 in O.A 795/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Balasaheb B. Thite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is filed seeking to amend the Original Application in view of G.R dated 3.11.2023 and the communication dated 9.11.2023. Permission granted.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that matter is to be heard urgently and he will carry out the amendment today and serve the copy of the amended O.A on all the Respondents today itself.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions and file reply.</p> <p>5. Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>6. O.A 795/2022 to be placed on Board on 21.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.94 & 249 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Zade S.S. Kamble ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 3 and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the respondent no.2 seeks time to file reply to the amended OA.</p> <p>3. Time granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.12.2023 under the same caption of Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.11.2023 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1205 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.M. Sapkal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Kachi i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan, Ld. Advocate appearing for the applicant has filed leave note and she seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.11.2023. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.11.2023 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.891 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.S.A.H. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Neha Kachi i/b. Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is circulated for speaking to minutes of the order dated 30.8.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above matter.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that in the title of the order dated 30.8.2023 the number of OA is mentioned as 'OA No.891/2021' whereas it should be 'OA No.891/2022'.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO has no objection.</p> <p>5. The order be corrected accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.11.2023 20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.58 of 2022 in O.A. No.863 of 2017</u></p> <p>C.V. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that writ petition is pending in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.1.2024.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>20.11.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>20.11.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.604 of 2023</p> <p>R.M. PardeshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to argue the matter for 'Final Hearing'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.909 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. SagwekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed 'Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, 'One Week' time is granted for 'Final Hearing'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1173 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. Renushe,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. submits that instruction has been received from 'Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur' and seeks some more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. 3. 'One Week' time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of the Respondent. 4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date. 5. S.O. to 30.11.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.940 of 2023</p> <p>Y.M. Shaikh,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sahil Pangire, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.12.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.11.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.555 of 2023</p> <p>S.G. RanpiseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks 'Two Days' time to argue the matter for 'Final Hearing'. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>