

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.11.2019

M.A.NO.359 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.430 OF 2017

Shri Bhagwat Dagdu Kshirsagar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to press this M.A. Therefore, M.A. is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">²⁰ Date : 18.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.632 of 2019 in O.A.No.1109 of 2019</p> <p>Poorva U. Kapse & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale with Shri M. b. Kadam, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A.No.632/2019 is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W~ (A.P. Kurhekar)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1109 of 2019</p> <p>Ms Poorva Kapse & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale with Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants are seeking interim protection to continue the possession of police quarters. The father of Applicant No.1 namely Umesh Kapse was in police service and died in harness on 21.12.2016. Even after his death, the Applicant No.1 i.e. daughter and Applicant No.2 wife continued the possession of police quarter.</p> <p>3. Notices were issued by the Respondents to vacate the quarter. It is on this background, the Applicants are claiming interim relief to protect the possession of quarters.</p> <p>4. The father of Applicant No.1 died in 2016 and the period of more than 3 years is over but the Applicants failed to vacate the quarter. As such, prima-facie they have no right to continue the possession and prima-facie possession is unauthorized. Hence, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2019.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 25.11.2019.</p> <p>12. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																																												
	<p>Date : 20.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1009 of 2019</p> <p>J. P. Biradar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. there are ten applicants who are claiming posting at the place where they were suspended. The Applicants were amongst 37 Inspectors of Motor Vehicles who were suspended by order dated 21.09.2018 at the time of suspension they were at different places which is as follows :-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>अ.क.</th> <th>अधिका-याचे नाव</th> <th>घटनेवेळी कार्यरत असलेल्या कार्यालयाचे नाव</th> <th>सध्या कार्यरत असलेल्या कार्यालयाचे नाव</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>१</td> <td>श्री.जकीउद्दीन पाशमिया बिरादार</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पुणे</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का. सातारा</td> </tr> <tr> <td>२</td> <td>रविंद्र सोलंके</td> <td>प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापुर</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का. सांगली</td> </tr> <tr> <td>३</td> <td>सुनिल राजमाने</td> <td>प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापूर</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का. कराड</td> </tr> <tr> <td>४</td> <td>संभाजीराव होलमुखे</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पनवेल</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पुणे</td> </tr> <tr> <td>५</td> <td>विजयसावंत</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पनवेल</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पुणे</td> </tr> <tr> <td>६</td> <td>राहुल नलावडे</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पनवेल</td> <td>प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापुर</td> </tr> <tr> <td>७</td> <td>अरविंद फुलारी</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पुणे</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का. रत्नागिरी</td> </tr> <tr> <td>८</td> <td>मयुर भोसेकर</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पुणे</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का. पिंपरी-चिंचवड</td> </tr> <tr> <td>९</td> <td>सुनिल क्षीरसागर</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पुणे</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का. पिंपरी-चिंचवड</td> </tr> <tr> <td>१०</td> <td>अनिस बागवान</td> <td>प्रा.प.का.पनवेल</td> <td>उप.प्रा.प.का.पेण</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	अ.क.	अधिका-याचे नाव	घटनेवेळी कार्यरत असलेल्या कार्यालयाचे नाव	सध्या कार्यरत असलेल्या कार्यालयाचे नाव	१	श्री.जकीउद्दीन पाशमिया बिरादार	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. सातारा	२	रविंद्र सोलंके	प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापुर	उप.प्रा.प.का. सांगली	३	सुनिल राजमाने	प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापूर	उप.प्रा.प.का. कराड	४	संभाजीराव होलमुखे	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	५	विजयसावंत	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	६	राहुल नलावडे	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापुर	७	अरविंद फुलारी	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. रत्नागिरी	८	मयुर भोसेकर	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. पिंपरी-चिंचवड	९	सुनिल क्षीरसागर	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. पिंपरी-चिंचवड	१०	अनिस बागवान	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	उप.प्रा.प.का.पेण
अ.क.	अधिका-याचे नाव	घटनेवेळी कार्यरत असलेल्या कार्यालयाचे नाव	सध्या कार्यरत असलेल्या कार्यालयाचे नाव																																										
१	श्री.जकीउद्दीन पाशमिया बिरादार	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. सातारा																																										
२	रविंद्र सोलंके	प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापुर	उप.प्रा.प.का. सांगली																																										
३	सुनिल राजमाने	प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापूर	उप.प्रा.प.का. कराड																																										
४	संभाजीराव होलमुखे	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	प्रा.प.का.पुणे																																										
५	विजयसावंत	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	प्रा.प.का.पुणे																																										
६	राहुल नलावडे	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	प्रा.प.का. कोल्हापुर																																										
७	अरविंद फुलारी	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. रत्नागिरी																																										
८	मयुर भोसेकर	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. पिंपरी-चिंचवड																																										
९	सुनिल क्षीरसागर	प्रा.प.का.पुणे	उप.प्रा.प.का. पिंपरी-चिंचवड																																										
१०	अनिस बागवान	प्रा.प.का.पनवेल	उप.प्रा.प.का.पेण																																										

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. Thus at the time of alleged mis-conduct they were at totally different places.</p> <p>4. Later, while reinstating the Applicants by order dated 07.02.2019 they were again transferred and posted at different places instead of reinstating them at the place where they were suspended. In similar situation, this Tribunal in O.A. No.130/2019 decided on 28.03.2019 made observation that as the Government servants have already transferred from the place where incident took place, there is no need to again change their posting at the time of reinstatement in service. Accordingly, those directions were followed and the Applicants therein were reinstated at the place where they were suspended.</p> <p>5. As such, the Applicants are not working at the place where alleged mis-conduct occurred and they are already transferred to different places. It is on this background, the Applicants have filed present the O.A. for posting at the place where they were at the time of suspension instead of again posting them at different places by reinstatement.</p> <p>6. The issue involved in the matter is very short and O.A. deserves to be decided expeditiously, enough chances are granted but no reply is filed today.</p> <p>7. Today, again learned C.P.O. has sought two weeks time.</p> <p>8. It is desirable that the Respondents should consider the observations made above and to pass appropriate order. If it does not want to take appropriate step, they are at liberty to file reply within a week.</p> <p>9. Matter is adjourned for filing reply and hearing.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 27.11.2019.</p> <p>11. Hamdast granted. Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.11.2019.**O.A.No.1112 of 2019****Kiran Solanki & Ors.****....Applicants****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant Nos.1 and 3 are appointed as Sweeper in the office of Additional Commissioner of Police in view of the recommendation of Lad Page Committee and are in possession of the quarters allotted to the original claimant.

3. The Respondents have issued notices to vacate the quarters on or before 19.11.2019. However, according to learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that quarters were sealed in the afternoon of 19.11.2019 i.e. before the period given in notice. He, therefore, prayed for mandatory injunction for restoration of possession.

4. As the quarters are already sealed, at this juncture, I am not inclined to grant any interim protection. Parties are directed to maintain status quo as of today.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.11.2019.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 25.11.2019.</p> <p>12. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.633 of 2019 in O.A.No.1112 of 2019</p> <p>Kiran Solanki & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.633/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1025/2019</u></p> <p>Shri C.H Patole & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondents no 2, 3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing first on Board on 27.11.2019. Interim relief to continue till then</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 603/2019 in O.A 1077/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Nikam & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.11.2019O.A 1077/2019Shri S.S Nikam & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for the applicant is directed to ensure that the objections raised by the office are removed.
3. Issue notice returnable on 7.1.2020.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O to 7.1.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N'Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.543 of 2017</p> <p>P. A. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the matter is fixed for final hearing, it be placed before appropriate bench with connected O.A.No.445 of 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.932 of 2019</p> <p>N. R. GhatneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar with Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsels for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 & 2, Ms Khushbu Marwadi, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 and Shri S. S. Katkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. Shri S. S. Katkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 has also filed reply. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. One week as a last chance is granted for filing reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 and 3, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1019 of 2019 with M.A.617 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. Sapkal & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Present O.A. is filed for direction to regularize the suspension period undergone by the Applicants.</p> <p>3. The Applicants were appointed by order dated 09.11.2015 and later by order dated 23.04.2019, they were reinstated in service. However, the Respondents have not passed the order of regularization of the suspension period or otherwise. Therefore, the Applicants have approached the Tribunal. The Applicants have not made any representation to the Respondents for regularization of the suspension period. Indeed, it was also obligatory on the part of the concerned authority to pass appropriate order about the treatment to be given to suspension period.</p> <p>4. Now, the Applicants intend to make an application to the Respondent No.2 for regularizing the suspension period.</p> <p>5. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicants seeks to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to make representation to Respondent No.2.</p> <p>6. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to Applicants to make representation to Respondent No.2 for regularization of suspension period undergone by them.</p> <p>7. The Applicants shall make representation within a month from today and, if any, such representation is made, Respondent No.2 shall decide the same within a month from the date of receipt of the representation in accordance to law and shall inform the decision to the Applicants within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. If the Applicants felt aggrieved by the decision, they may avail legal remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p>9. M.A.No.617/2019 is filed for sue-jointly as well as O.A.No.1091/2019 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 234/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.R Wagh & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 579/2018</u></p> <p>Dr M.A Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant files rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty o file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1079/2018</u></p> <p>Shri D.A Atre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Applicant as well as advocate for the applicant are absent. Issue notice to the applicant as to why it should not be dismissed for want of prosecution. Adj to 24.11.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 411/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.G Desale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O submits that reply is filed. Applicant as well as advocate for the applicant are absent. Issue notice to the applicant as why it should not be dismissed for want of prosecution. Adjourned to 27.11.2019 <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 225/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.M Thakur ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 & 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Alkn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1016/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.C Deshmukh & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 27.11.2019 for seeking instructions from the Respondents and intimating the same.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 568 in O.A 168/2018 with O.A 1115/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.V Patil (O.A 168/2018) Shri M.S Jadhav (O.A 1115/2018)... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O prays for time to file reply.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 28.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 672/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.G Desale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files reply on Respondents no 1 & 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 740/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Jogi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 773/2019</u></p> <p>Shri C.M Rupanwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 11.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 833/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.K Pajai ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O, adjourned to 25.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 846/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.P Salunke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms K.C Pathak, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Shri S.D Gawade, learned advocate submits Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent no. 5. He seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks three weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.12.2019.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 933/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Gosavi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.12.2012.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 975 with 976 & 977/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.S Bhajbhujje [O.A 975/2019] Shri B.A Karale [O.A 976/2019] Shri K.G Dhanvijary & Ors [O.A 977/2019] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 6.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N^lDixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 58/2019</u></p> <p>R.C Indulkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A nos 625 & 626/2019</u></p> <p>Y.V Kesarkar (O.A 625/2019) B.J Ghayal (O.A 626/2019) ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O request for two weeks' time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 4.12.2019 for filing reply by way of last chance. •</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 57/2019 in O.A1091/2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Shaikh & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to submit the present status after taking instructions from the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 46/2019 in O.A 1017/2017</u></p> <p>Shri K.N Gawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits a copy of the decision taken by the Respondents on 14.11.2019 and 19.11.2019 regarding the directions issued by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view thereof, there is no contempt, and Contempt Application is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 604/2019 in O.A 1078/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.K Jadhav & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.11.2019O.A 1078/2019

Shri P.K Jadhav & Others ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant is directed to ensure that the objections raised by the office are removed.

3. Issue notice returnable on 7.1.2020.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O to 7.1.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

[P.T.O.]

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>20.11.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 1076/2019</u></p> <p>Shri T.R Wagh & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant is directed to ensure that the objections raised by the office are removed.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 7.1.2020.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O to 7.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO]</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 602/2019 in O.A 1076/2019</u></p> <p>Shri T.R Wagh & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>