

Dr. S.S. DeshpandeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.P. Nalawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By this C.A. applicant prays for implementation of judgment / order dated 17.08.2015 passed in O.A.Nos.142, 143 & 144/2015 filed by Dr. Shreekant Shankarrao Deshpande Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the applicant who is Medical Officer, by profession, in O.A. was allowed to work till the age of 64 years and not 62 years. He has relied on the judgment/ order dated 25.09.2003 passed by the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad in T.A.No.2262/1991 (Writ Petition No.879/1990).

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that there is no such order allowing the applicant to work till 64 years. She relied on the order dated 16.10.2018 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.165/2016 filed by the State of Maharashtra against the applicant challenging the said order dated 17.08.2015. She also relied on G.R. dated 03.09.2021, wherein it is mentioned that the applicant was given benefit of continuity in service from the age of 58 to 62 years.

4. We have gone through these judgment and especially order/ judgment dated 17.08.2015 passed in O.A.Nos.142, 143 & 144/2015 wherein the applicant was allowed to work till 64 years of age. But however he was allowed to continue to work till he attained the age of 62 years.

5. In view of above, C.A. stands dismissed.

Sd/-
(Signature)
(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Signature)
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.30/2022 in O.A. No.1355 of 2009 with</u> <u>C.A. No.31/2022 in O.A. No.1355 of 2009</u></p> <p>K.R. Patil M.G. Dhapate & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard K.R. Patil-Applicant in person in CA No.30/2022, Shri A.U. Pawar, learned Advocate for Applicants in CA No.31/2022 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to order dated 8.12.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1355 of 2009 and orders giving further extension from time to time, report of the scrutiny of tainted and untainted candidates is prepared and it is produced in the office of Ld. CPO by respondent no.1 on 16.9.2022.</p> <p>3. Smt. Archana Walzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department is present in the Court. Ld. PO submits that copy of said report will be produced to the Court and copy of the report is already procured under RTI by present applicants, who are original respondents in OA. Now it is found that report which is with the applicants-original respondents is not the complete report like 16.9.2022.</p> <p>4. As per para 60(a) of the said order dated 8.12.2020, the order is to be complied with, in following steps:</p> <p>(i) Segregation of tainted and untainted candidates.</p> <p>(ii) After giving opportunity of hearing to all those candidates.</p> <p>(iii) Orders to be passed in accordance to law in view of reports dated 22.4.2010 and 30.4.2014.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions of the officer, who is present in the Court, tainted and untainted segregation is made only after giving notice and opportunity of hearing to the applicants. Written statements are also taken. Thus, clause (i), (ii) and (iii) are complied with.</p> <p>6. Thus, we are of the view that orders of the Tribunal are complied with. Hence, both the CAs are disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.899 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Vibhute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.12.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.607 of 2022 in O.A. No.465 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.Y. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant was due for promotion to the post of Joint Commissioner, Animal Husbandry as per judgment and order dated 21.2.1995 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.345 of 1992 (Meshram Charan Parashramji Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) and judgment and order dated 13.10.2020 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.968 of 2019 (Shri R.C. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.). However, his case was not considered on the ground that OA is pending.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"><div style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022</div><div style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</div></div> <p>(sgj)</p>	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.693 of 2018 with M.A. No.544 of 2022

P.M. Kudal ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has moved this MA to expedite the hearing of OA as the relief prayed in OA are covered by the judgment and order dated 24.6.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.480 of 2020 (Smt. Leena R. Kharat Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.).

3. In view of the above hearing of OA is expedited.

4. Ld. CPO submits that she has not received instructions and she submits to the order of the Tribunal.

5. Perused the said order dated 24.6.2022. The present applicant is a Naib Tahsildar and challenges his placement in the seniority list which was also subject matter of OA No.480 of 2020. In OA the applicant in para 10(a) has also referred to judgment and order dated 29.10.2010 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.5059 of 2010 (Mr. K.K. Bhadane) as well as judgments in different OAs viz. No.182/2009, 18/2009, 187/2009, 34/2020, 310/2011 & 629/2015.

6. The issues in the present OA are similar and identical as in OA No.480/2020. Hence, we allow this OA with following directions:

(a) The applicant's case is to be decided from the date of joining i.e. 25.8.2010 with all consequential benefits.

(b) Applicant is to be placed at Sr. No.764 in the seniority list.

(c) The respondents are directed to complete the exercise within three months i.e. on or before 13.1.2023.

7. MA and OA are disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)
20.10.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.10.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.504/2022 in RA.16/2022 in OA.859/2021</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Nayan G. Kabadi-Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person has filed this MA for condoning of delay of 5 months in filing RA. Order was passed in OA on 17.2.2022 and RA is filed on 22.8.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant submits that he retired on 28.2.2022 and he was busy in house shifting and therefore he could not file the RA within time.</p> <p>4. The reasons given to condone the delay is good ground and sufficient. Hence, the delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. MA is allowed.</p> <p>6. RA is adjourned to 24.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>nk</i> Sd/- <i>12/11</i></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20



IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.963, 980, 1005 & 1010 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Mahajan & Ors. P.M. Desai & Ors. P.P. Bhople & Ors. K.C. Mundhe & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Additional Director General of Police is directed to process the file at the earliest by 26.10.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- ~</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- / </p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p> </div> </div>
(sgj)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.24 of 2022 in O.A. No.676 of 2021</u></p> <p>B.D. Kamble & 450 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Review Applicants, Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.27 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for urgent hearing of this RA. However, considering the fact of the case and law points involved therein this matter needs time for consideration and according to us there is no urgency. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.27 submits that RA is not maintainable.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the RA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the RA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.622 of 2021 with M.A. No.421 of 2022</u></p> <p>Pradip R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant belongs to reserved category however his caste certificate was invalidated. He has put in service till superannuation. He has relied on the judgment and order dated 23.3.2022 passed by the Nagpur Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.547 of 2021 (Namdeo D. Nikhare Vs. Secretary, PWD & Ors.). Ld. Advocate submits that petitioner in W.P. failed to submit the caste certificate. Though his caste claim was invalidated he was not put in supernumerary post and therefore after his superannuation, as per order of the Hon'ble High Court, Nagpur Bench such civil servant is entitled to pensionary benefits in accordance with law. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant's case is identical and therefore he is to be given pensionary benefits. Applicant sought appointment on 22.9.1981 from ST category but claim was invalidated. He was not put on supernumerary post and retired on 30.6.2022 after attaining superannuation. Hence, she prays that applicant is to be given pensionary benefits. Ld. Advocate submits that similar view is taken by this Tribunal in OA No.476/2022 decided on 11.10.2022 (Dr. Anant M. Kadhikhye Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that reply is filed on 30.9.2022 by Shri Ram Shankar Dhotre, Divisional Forest Officer, Pune. Ld. PO submits that applicant has filed W.P. No.1256 of 2003 regarding his caste validity and the W.P. was dismissed. The applicant continued to work by way of interim relief as Administrative Officer, Class-I. As per GR dated 21.12.2019, the Government employees whose caste certificates are invalidated, they are transferred to supernumerary post. Applicant's proposal was sent by</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

respondent no.2 to Govt. on 14.1.2020. It is still pending and in the meanwhile the applicant retired.

4. We have considered submissions of both the sides. It was necessary on the part of respondent-State to put the applicant on supernumerary post. However, it was not done for more than one year; till the date of superannuation of the applicant. Pendency of the proposal is not a ground to deny the pensionary benefits to the applicant.

5. MA for expediting the hearing of OA is allowed.

6. In view of this, we allow the claim of the applicant for pensionary benefits especially in view of the judgment and order dated 23.3.2022 passed by the Nagpur Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.547 of 2021 (Namdeo D. Nikhare Vs. Secretary, PWD & Ors.).

7. OA is allowed. The respondents are directed to release the retiral benefits of the applicant within three months i.e. on or before 14.1.2023. OA and MA are disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)
20.10.2022

(sgl)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.10.2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.612 of 2022 in O.A.No.928 of 2022</p> <p>Shri S. B. KoraviApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for direction to Respondents to consider his representation dated 29.08.2022.</p> <p>3. Indeed, the Applicant has filed this O.A. to set aside the order dated 20.05.2022 to the extent of his posting at Sangali which was on promotion. In addition to it, he had also direction to consider his representation dated 29.08.2022. It is his 2nd representation since the earlier representation for the same reason has been rejected by order dated 03.08.2022. However, later again the Applicant has made representation on 29.08.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. and M.A. be disposed of with direction to Respondents to consider his representation dated 29.08.2022.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant was at Mumbai for long time and therefore his request for Mumbai is rejected.</p> <p>6. Be that as it may, since the Applicant has again made representation on 29.08.2022 it is for the Respondents to take appropriate decision in accordance to law.</p> <p>7. In view of above, O.A. and M.A. are disposed of with direction to the Respondent Resp. No.2 to pass appropriate order on representation dated 29.08.2022 within six weeks from today and it be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2022

M.A.No.595 of 2021 in O.A.No.1054 of 2021

S.N. RotelluApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.A. Inamdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Suo moto speaking to minutes of the order dated 18.10.2022. In paragraph 2, the date '07.03.2015' is to be read as '07.03.2014'.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.56 of 2022 in O.A. No.806 of 2020</u> <u>With</u> <u>M.A. No.106 of 2022 in O.A. No.806 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.B. Pilgar. ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that interim order dated 7.1.2021 passed by this Tribunal in above OA is complied with.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the CA and MA for staying the process of promotion are disposed off.</p> <p>4. OA is adjourned to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.57 of 2022 in O.A. No.927 of 2017</u></p> <p>G.D. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that Accountant General has given sanction on 30.9.2022 and hence Ld. PO prays for some time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- <u>7</u> (Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1025 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. GangawaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.68 of 2022 in O.A. No.818 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.L. Mondkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO produces copy of order dated 6.10.2022 and submits that the order of this Tribunal has been complied with. Copy is served on the Ld. Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>MA.141/2022 in CA.5/2022 in OA.769/2017</u> With <u>CA.5/2022 in OA.769/2017</u></p> <p>S.R.S. Munir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that the order has been complied with and it is mentioned in the affidavit filed.</p> <p>3. In view of this, CA and MA for condonation of delay are disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p>C.A.No.6 of 2020 in O.A.No.1044 of 2017</p> <p>H.G. ChopadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that the order in the present O.A. is challenged in the Hon'ble High Court and stay has been granted.</p> <p>3. In view of above adjourned to 15.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.937 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.G. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.11.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.485 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.R. Abhang & 2 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed before the Division Bench pursuant to order dated 8.8.2022 passed by the Single Bench in view of the assignment of subjects.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.319 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dinesh L. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>2. Applicant Shri Dinesh L. Chavan is personally present in the Court and he has filed an application dated 6.10.2022 addressed to the Registrar of this Tribunal. He prays for withdrawal of the above OA No.319 of 2021 with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p>3. Application dated 6.10.2022 is taken on record and marked Court Exhibit 1 for identification.</p> <p>4. Leave as prayed for is granted. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh OA and disposed off accordingly. No orders as to cost.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.312 of 2022 with O.A. No.577 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.N. More & 19 Ors. S.S. Bhagat & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants who aspire to be Agricultural Assistant (Krushi Sevak) pray that the respondents be directed to issue appointment order of the applicants. Ld. Advocate submits that their names are included in the waiting list. Ld. Advocate for the applicants relies on the judgment of this Tribunal at Aurangabad and Nagpur Bench.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time to go through the papers.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2022 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.376 of 2022 in O.A. No.737 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhamare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.P. Mengane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has complied with the order of service of notice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2022

C.A.No.6 of 2022 in O.A.No.574 of 2020

L.D. VeerApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Mr. O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Ms. A.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.1 and 2 in C.A., Ms. Rukiya, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.R. Bhojar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 and 6.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that the concerned officers are present. On instructions he submits list of the few documents are required from the applicant to process the file further and comply the order of the Tribunal. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar has handed over the list of documents to learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar submits that he will require 15 days time to produce these documents. Learned Advocate Mr. Lonkar submits that after procuring the documents from the Applicant in next 20 days the matter will be verified, processed and will be forwarded to the office of A.G.

3. Learned P.O. appearing for Respondents No.3 and 4 and learned Advocate Ms. Rukiya submits that part of their compliance is done.

4. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar submits that the statement made by learned P.O. and learned Advocate Ms. Rukiya are incorrect.

5. Adjourned to 15.12.2022.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.25 of 2022 in O.A. No.503 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.S. Pandhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that pursuant to order dated 6.10.2022 the respondents have submitted bill dated 17.10.2022 amounting to Rs.13,29,290/- to the Treasury. The report of the DE is served on the applicant on 17.10.2022 and within 10 days the applicant is supposed to give reply so the entire reply can be sent to MPSC.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.11.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A) 20.10.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1052 of 2022</p> <p>S.H. BobdeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant, Police Constable, challenges his dismissal order dated 07.06.2022 passed by the Respondent No.1 and prays for the implementation of order dated 12.04.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate raises point as follows :- Whether the Respondent No.1, Special Inspector General of Police, Kolhapur has power to review the order dated 07.06.2022 which is his own order of allowing the appeal of the applicant. Secondly, the decision is reviewed without giving notice to the applicant.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2022.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Bijay Kumar) Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.636 of 2022</p> <p>K. S. KadlagApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 10.02.2022 whereby he was suspended invoking Rule 4(2)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 by way of deemed suspension.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 17.10.2022 issued by the Review Committee showing that the Review Committee has already taken decision to reinstate the Applicant in service in terms of Circular dated 20.04.2013 and further stated that necessary orders for posting would be issued soon. The letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of. Necessary posting orders shall be issued latest within 10 days.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.754 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.L. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri O.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Rajendra Khaire, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2. None for Respondent No.3</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 and Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.2 along with Annexure. Both are taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.608 of 2022 in O.A.No.1046 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>Smita P. Bhave ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Aditi Mairal holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed by the Government for extension of time to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.1046/2019 on 16.09.2022. 3. In O.A.No.1046/2019, impugned orders were quashed and set aside and directions were given to place the ^{order} client in higher pay scale in terms of order passed by the Tribunal in earlier O.A.No.08/2009 and shall pass fresh order within a month. Beside sum of Rs.7,96,997/- adjusted from pensionable pay was also to be paid within a month. 4. M.A. is filed for extension of time <i>inter-alia</i> contending that Government proposed to file W.P. against the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.1046/2019 and file is in process which is opposed by learned Counsel for the Applicant. 5. In the interest of justice, one month time is extended from today for the compliance of order dated 16.09.2022 passed in O.A. No.1046/2019. 6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1033 of 2022</p> <p>J. K. SatputeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. U. Rajput, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 23.09.2019 passed by the S.D.O. Solapur thereby withholding the pension of the Applicant in its entirety w.e.f. 25.09.2018 in view of his conviction and also challenged the order passed by the Collector in appeal dated 23.12.2021 confirming the order passed by the S.D.O.</p> <p>3. Thus, the punishment is permanent withholding of pension which pertains to Division Bench as per the office order dated 28.05.2021 .</p> <p>4. The registry is ought to have check it and O.A. should have been listed before the Division Bench.</p> <p>5. The Registrar is directed to take necessary steps.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1066 of 2022</p> <p>K. D. Chavan ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 13.10.2022 issued by his appointing authority though he was on deputation on the establishment of Housing Department.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought stay to the suspension order inter alia contending that in view of the Rule 14 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979, the powers of suspension are with the borrowing Authority only and appointing authority cannot suspend the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. sought one day time to make submission on the point of interim relief.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.103 of 2022</p> <p>A. N. SayyadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that his client has made fresh representation to accommodate him on vacancy recently occurred and requested for adjournment so that in the meantime his representation can be considered.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.939 with 952 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Dhage S. M. SangleApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule, & Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.614 of 2022 in O.A.No.1049 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Aher & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.614 /2022 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.122 of 2022 in O.A.No.1118 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.164 of 2022</p> <p>D. R. KheratkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.876 of 2022</p> <p>R. V. Mukane Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1049 of 2022</p> <p>A. S. Aher & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. S. Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants are claiming ^{intimation} interest due on next date of retirement which is already decided by this Tribunal in various Original Applications. 3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions why O.A. should not be allowed on similar line. The Applicant being similarly situated person. 4. In the mean time, the office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.11.2022. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 10. S.O. to 07.11.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 1065 of 2022</p> <p>N. R DodakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 08.01.2021 passed by the Disciplinary Authority thereby imposing punishment of stoppage of increment for one year without cumulative effect and the order of Appellate Authority dated 25.11.2021 whereby the order passed by the Disciplinary Authority is modified, allowed the appeal partly and punishment of censure has been imposed. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2022. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 24.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>