

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.525 of 2021</b></p> <p>S. S. Rajadhyaksha <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant retired on 03.01.2017 voluntarily but his retiral dues held up, and therefore, this O.A. is filed.</p> <p>3. Enough time is granted for filing reply but the same is not filed.</p> <p>4. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant further time since the O.A. is required to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>5. O.A. be, therefore, kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p><b>L.O.</b></p> <p>1. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. has tendered the reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>2. The matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 20.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.405 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.P. Andhere</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter on previous date i.e.13.10.2021 learned P.O. made statement that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 during the course of the day. However, no such Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 was filed.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. No Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 is filed though enough time is availed.</p> <p>5. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.135 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>J.M. Kawade</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant in the present O.A. has challenged the transfer order dated 09.02.2021 whereby she was temporarily shifted from the post of Circle Officer, Bhosari, Tahsil Office, Haweli, Dist. Pune to the post of Awal Karkoon, Tahsil Office, Upper Pimpri –Chinchwad, Tal. Haweli, Dist. Pune. It was temporary shifting which was challenged in the present O.A.</p> <p>3. However, later the Respondent has transferred the Applicant by order dated 26.08.2021 invoking Section 4(4)(2) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005) to the post of Awal Karkoon, in the office of Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition Office No.1, Pune which is also challenged by the Applicant by filing separate O.A. i.e. O.A. No.652/2021.</p> <p>4. As such, in view of subsequent transfer order dated 26.08.2021, this O.A. No.135/2021 has become infructuous.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A.135/2021 is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. O.A. 652/2021 will be taken up for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p>M. A. No.432 of 2021 in O.A.No.607 of 2021</p> <p>A. P. Kamalkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment as well as addition of prayer thereby seeking relief of declaration that Clause No.4.13 of G.R. dated 07.08.2012 is ultra vires and be quashed and set aside.</li> <li>3. It is on the basis of Clause No.4.13, the Applicant has been denied non practicing allowance. The Applicant now sought to challenge the vires of the said clause. It appears that after filing of O.A., the Applicant has realised that unless he challenge the vires of G.R. , he may not succeed in the O.A. in which prayer was restricted to grant of non practicing allowance.</li> <li>4. In view of above, I am inclined to allow amendment to O.A. as sought.</li> <li>5. The amendment be carried out within a week.</li> <li>6. The Respondents shall file reply to the amendment in O.A. after two weeks.</li> <li>7. In view of amendment, now since challenge is to the vires of G.R., the matter is required to be heard by Division Bench as the subject of validity of G.R.. pertains to the Division Bench.</li> <li>8. The Registrar, is therefore, directed to take appropriate steps.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2019</p> <p>N.W. Dandekar &amp; 9 Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2 to the amendment carried out by the Applicant in O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corām, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1037 of 2019 with O.A. No.1039 of 2019 to O.A. No.1042 of 2019 with O.A. No.1237 of 2019 to O.A. No.1241 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. G.B. Taware Dr. V.A. Nadimath Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar Dr. N.B. Masaram Dr. V.P. Doijad C.G. Patil Dr. M.M. Pawar Dr. P.R. Dhumal Dr. P.N. Rathi Dr. A.B. Pandav .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A. No.1037/19 with O.A. No.1039/19 to O.A. No.1042/19, Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.1237/19 to O.A. No.1241/19 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that these matters are handled by Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. but she is unable to remain present because of illness and unfortunate incident in the family.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.43 of 2020 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>H.R. Das .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Today, the matter is placed before special Division Bench comprising of Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman(A) and Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J).</li> <li>Heard Shri H.R. Das, learned Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>Today, again learned P.O. requested for short time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1.</li> <li>Since, enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply, we are not inclined to grant more time.</li> <li>O.A. be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to Respondent No.1 to file Affidavit-in-Reply in advance with copy of the same to the Applicant.</li> <li>Adjourned for Final Hearing on 18.11.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.585 of 2016 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</p> <p>R.S. Bodake .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Today, the matter is placed before special Division Bench comprising of Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman(A) and Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J).</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought to tender some documents along with Affidavit of the Applicant. One week time is granted to do so.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce copies of relevant D.P.C. minutes.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 20.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.369 of 2021 in R.A. No.14 of 2020 in O.A. No.28 of 2018 with O.A. No.938 of 2018 (THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE)</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus D.L. Awate (Org. Appl.) .....Resps.</b></p> <p>1. Today, the matter is placed before special Division Bench comprising of Shri Shree Bhagwan, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman(A) and Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J).</p> <p>2. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri D.L. Awate, Respondent (Org. Applicant) is present in person.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition is already filed before Hon'ble High Court and stay to the impugned order is sought in Writ Petition.</p> <p>4. As such, this M.A. which is filed for stay to the orders passed by the Tribunal is required to be disposed of. Original Respondents may seek appropriate relief from Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. M.A. No.369/2021 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.335 of 2021 in O.A. No.679 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.P. Kamalkar <span style="float: right;">..Applicant</span> Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Patil holding for Shri Anant Vadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for condoning the delay in filing the above OA.</p> <p>3. In the above OA the applicant has challenged the select list for the post of Supply Inspector (Group-C) published in May, 2019.</p> <p>4. The cause of action arose in May, 2019 and the limitation starts from May, 2020. The OA is filed on 3.9.2021. Hence, there is delay.</p> <p>5. However, in view of order dated 23.3.2020 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 the delay was condoned after 15.3.2020. Thereafter the Hon'ble Supreme Court in MA No.665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (Civil) No.3 of 2020 by order dated 27.4.2021 extended the period of limitation up to 19.7.2021.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, delay is condoned. MA is allowed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.679 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.P. Kamalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.S. Patil holding for Shri Anant Vadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the above OA the applicant has challenged the select list for the post of Supply Inspector (Group-C) published in May, 2019.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 8.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2021</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.320 of 2020</u></p> <p>H.M. Vanave ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.183 of 2020</u></p> <p>J.B. Bangar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- .. Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2021 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.95 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.D. Sakunde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2021 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders																														
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1235 of 2019 &amp; Ors.</u></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>M.K. Shivsaran &amp; Ors.</td> <td>(OA.1235/19) Mumbai</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M.A. Deshpande</td> <td>(OA.111/2020) Mumbai</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M.P. Samag</td> <td>(OA.215/2020) A'bad</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B.K. Pundlik</td> <td>(OA.443/2020) A'bad</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tanvir Shah</td> <td>(OA.1011/2019) Nagpur</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S.A. Bagmare</td> <td>(OA.1012/2019) Nagpur</td> </tr> <tr> <td>A.R. Kalore</td> <td>(OA.5/2020) Nagpur</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S.R. Dhole</td> <td>(OA.98/2020) Nagpur</td> </tr> <tr> <td>V.S. Aghadte</td> <td>(OA.19/2020) Nagpur</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y.M. Bodade</td> <td>(OA.127/2020) Nagpur</td> </tr> <tr> <td>S.P. Raut</td> <td>(OA.161/2020)</td> </tr> </table> <p align="right">..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">..Respondents</span></p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.224/2020, Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs No.215/2020 &amp; 443/2020 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Other Advocates are not present.</p> <p>2. Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the applicant states that affidavit in is filed OA No.224/2020 and the same can be adopted in other OAs.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO to take instructions and file short affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <table border="0" style="width:100%"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Medha Gadgil)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member (A)</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">20.10.2021</td> <td align="center">20.10.2021</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	M.K. Shivsaran & Ors.	(OA.1235/19) Mumbai	M.A. Deshpande	(OA.111/2020) Mumbai	M.P. Samag	(OA.215/2020) A'bad	B.K. Pundlik	(OA.443/2020) A'bad	Tanvir Shah	(OA.1011/2019) Nagpur	S.A. Bagmare	(OA.1012/2019) Nagpur	A.R. Kalore	(OA.5/2020) Nagpur	S.R. Dhole	(OA.98/2020) Nagpur	V.S. Aghadte	(OA.19/2020) Nagpur	Y.M. Bodade	(OA.127/2020) Nagpur	S.P. Raut	(OA.161/2020)	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Member (A)	Chairperson	20.10.2021	20.10.2021
M.K. Shivsaran & Ors.	(OA.1235/19) Mumbai																														
M.A. Deshpande	(OA.111/2020) Mumbai																														
M.P. Samag	(OA.215/2020) A'bad																														
B.K. Pundlik	(OA.443/2020) A'bad																														
Tanvir Shah	(OA.1011/2019) Nagpur																														
S.A. Bagmare	(OA.1012/2019) Nagpur																														
A.R. Kalore	(OA.5/2020) Nagpur																														
S.R. Dhole	(OA.98/2020) Nagpur																														
V.S. Aghadte	(OA.19/2020) Nagpur																														
Y.M. Bodade	(OA.127/2020) Nagpur																														
S.P. Raut	(OA.161/2020)																														
Sd/-	Sd/-																														
(Medha Gadgil)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)																														
Member (A)	Chairperson																														
20.10.2021	20.10.2021																														



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.667 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Karande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Aditi Saxena holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021 by way of last chance. If the reply is not filed, we will proceed without reply of the respondent no.3.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 20.10.2021 <span style="float: right;">20.10.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.773 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Badad &amp; 19 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for directions to modify/cancel the final seniority list dated 10.8.2018 w.e.f. 1.1.1997 to 1.1.2018 of Clerk and Clerk-cum-Typist. The Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that earlier they had approached the Hon'ble High Court in writ petition which gave them liberty to approach this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks to file reply.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants prays for interim relief.</p> <p>5. We direct that in case the seniority list is implemented, it will be subject to outcome of the present OA.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 1.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2021 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>20.10.2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 710/2020</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.N Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that nobody from the office of learned C.P.O is looking after this matter. There is specific instruction from MPSC that only Learned C.P.O should appear in this matter. Learned C.P.O is not available</p> <p>3. Matter is fixed on 28.10.2021. Office of learned C.P.O and MPSC is directed to make separate arrangements.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.232 of 2021</u></p> <p>C.S. Khose ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1081 of 2019</u></p> <p>Pradeep Y. Sathe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has requested for speaking to minutes of the judgment and order dated 18.10.2021 passed in the above OA.</p> <p>3. At his request the sentence, <i>'The amount deposited by the applicant is to be returned to the applicant on production of receipt.'</i> in the operative part of the order stands deleted.</p> <p>4. After deletion the operative part of the order will read as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>"The Original Application is allowed and the impugned order dated 3.1.2019 is hereby quashed and set aside. The respondents are directed to appoint the applicant on the post of Police Constable under SC category forthwith not later than 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2021, with all consequential service benefits. Moreover, the undertaking dated 12.1.2021 given by the Ld. Advocate for the applicant pursuant to order dated 8.1.2021 is discharged. No orders as to cost."</i></p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/- </span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 20.10.2021 <span style="float: right;">20.10.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.51 of 2019 in O.A. No.301 of 2017</u></p> <p>J.K. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the writ petition filed in the Hon'ble High Court against the order of the Tribunal in the above OA is pending.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"> <u>CA.49/2020 in OA.822/2019 with</u>  <u>CA.50/2020 in OA.823/2019 with</u>  <u>CA.51/2020 in OA.824/2019 with</u>  <u>CA.52/2020 in OA.825/2019 with</u>  <u>CA.53/2020 in OA.826/2019</u> </p> <p>           Dr. G.P. Jangid (CA.49/2020)            Dr. S.K. Balwant (CA.50/2020)            Dr. D.A. Wani (CA.51/2020)            Dr. N.B. Swami (CA.52/2020)            Dr. M.M. Bagwan (CA.53/2020) ..Applicants            Vs.            The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents         </p> <p>           Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.         </p> <p>           2. Ld. PO submits that in one of the related matter, State has filed W.P. (ST) No.15563/2021 in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order of the Tribunal. She therefore prays for time as the process is going on.         </p> <p>           3. Pursuant to order dated 7.10.2021, Ld. PO informs that Government does not want to take a policy decision.         </p> <p>           4. S.O. to 22.12.2021.         </p> <p style="text-align: center;">           Sd/- Sd/-            (Medha G. Jangil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)            Member (A) Chairperson            20.10.2021 20.10.2021            (sgj)         </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.62 of 2019 in O.A. No.464 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.W. Wankhede ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that State has complied with the order of the Tribunal in the above OA and the CA may be disposed off.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, the CA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>20.10.2021</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.41 of 2021 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016</u></p> <p>V.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal with Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2021 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.364 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Kadam &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Amol B. Jagtap holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions to file reply on behalf of remaining respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.12.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. Registry is directed to place this matter under Due Admission for Reply/Rejoinder caption.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2021 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.434 of 2021 in O.A. No.813 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.H. Patil &amp; 10 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Shantanu Chandratre holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The cause of action has arisen on 22.4.2019 and the application is filed on 13.10.2021. Hence, there is delay of 11 months.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable in MA on 13.12.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of OA and MA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 20.10.2021 20.10.2021</p> <p>(sg)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K. S. Birajdar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 28.11.2014 whereby his period from 15.06.1995 to 27.10.2014 has been treated as extra ordinary leave as well as break in service.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.11.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 23.11.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.819 of 2021</b></p> <p>V. R. Katkar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 21.10.2020 as well as the posting order dated 31.03.2021 to the extent of posting at Nagpur instead of Pune.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.11.2021.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 15.11.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.80, 81 of 2021 with O.A.No.632 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. J. K. Pagare &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">....Applicants</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.478 of 2021</b></p> <p>R. M. Fulzalke <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder and has further pointed out that since no charge sheet is served, the suspension is liable to be revoked.</p> <p>3. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that matter be shortly adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission since today it is only for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">           Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b> </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.492 of 2021</b></p> <p>D. B. Patil ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 separately. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-     <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.522 of 2021</p> <p>V. V. Tamhankar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and will be filed within a week.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.601 of 2021</b></p> <p>M. S. Pawar <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Enough chances are granted for filing reply but the same is not filed.</li> <li>3. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time to file reply.</li> <li>4. Therefore, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 17.11.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.610 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. Ingale <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 20.10.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.757 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A. N. Bharmal</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dudhane holding for Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that after filing of O.A., the Respondent has passed the transfer order dated 18.10.2021 whereby the Applicant is posted at Devargaon, Tal. District Nashik and he is satisfied with present posting.</p> <p>3. The Applicant, therefore, does not want to proceed with O.A. since the challenge to impugned transfer order dated 06.09.2021 has become infructuous.</p> <p>4. Transfer order dated 18.10.2021 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>