

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 84 of 2016

S.D. Muluk

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.


1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The record shows that as per the directions of Hon'ble Chairman of 12.9.2016, three questions were posed for being answered in response where to Dr. R.S. Mane, Deputy Commissioner of Police, H. Q. in the office of Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai has filed his affidavit, dated 18.10.2016. He has given answers question wise. In paragraph 3.1, he has admitted as correct the fact that the request of the applicant for grant of T.B. leave for the period from 16.3.2009 to 2.11.2015 was pending. However, that itself is not apparently a correct statement, if one were to peruse the order of Police Commissioner, Navi Mumbai, dated 4.12.2010 part of Exb.8 collectively at page 28 of the Paper Book. It is clear there from that she was granted leave for the period from 16.3.2009 to 14.7.2009 and from 15.7.2009 to 4.1.2010 totaling 174 days and then again 5.1.2010 to 3.2.2010 totaling 30 days. This would mean that the recitals in his affidavit run counter to the documents of the respondents themselves.
3. I am very clearly of the view that a case is made out for issuing show cause notice to Dr. R.S. Mane, Deputy Commissioner of Police, H. Q., in the office of Navi Mumbai to personally appear before this Tribunal and show cause in writing as to why appropriate action in contempt and appropriate penal action be not initiated against him.
4. It is also made clear, however that even in the meanwhile if the case of the applicant can still be worked out, this order or the pendency of the OA should be no hurdle in that behalf. Hamdast.
5. Issue notice returnable on 26.10.2016.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete

paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 26.10.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.


alt
20.10.16
(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 900 of 2016 (D.B.)

A.K. Randive

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the applicant points out the earlier judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in its Nagpur Bench and submits that this OA is ~~on all four's foree~~ with this matter and therefore, the OA should ~~be~~ ^{not} linger longer.

3. The learned CPO ^{is} directed to make sure that affidavit-in-reply must ~~be~~ filed and if this OA is governed by the decided matter, it does not unnecessarily get pro-longed. Hamdast.

4. S.O. to 16.11.2016.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ↓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G. Sadavarte

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 16/11/16

Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

VSM

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No.1018 of 2016

A.S. Jaiswal

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others ..Respts.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This OA can be disposed of at this stage by consent of both the parties. I have perused the record and proceedings.

3. The relief sought is with regard to considering the period of suspension pending prosecution from 2.4.2002 to 17.2.2010 as the period spent on duty. In alternative and without prejudice, a relief is sought about the representation dated 28.1.2016. (Exb. A, page 13 of the PB) after following necessary procedure, and that be decided within four weeks from today.

4. In my opinion, the regard being had to all the aspects of the matters it will be in the fitness of things to make such an order and finally dispose of this particular OA.

5. I direct that that this OA is disposed of with direction to decide pending representation herein above referred to within 8 weeks from today after giving appropriate opportunity to the applicant. It is made clear that this order is made without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the applicant with respect to the facts falling within prayer clause 9(a).

6. No order as to costs.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Adv. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

ALL TO: O.A. is disposed

of. [Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 37 of 2016

M.P. Prabhukhanolkar Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.R. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply Filed by P.No.1

Adj. To O.A. is admitted.

S.O. to 17/11/16. FH

[Handwritten Signature]

VSM

1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The learned PO undertakes to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amended OA during the course of the day.
3. On this statement, the OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 17.11.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.O. P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>S.O. to 17/11/16.</u> <u>Hamdast.</u></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No.1015 of 2016</p> <p>S.M. Jadhav Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 17.11.2016.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 17.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p>8. In so far as the issue of interim relief is concerned as of today, on the request of Shri K.R. Jagdale, I can only consider the prayer clause (b) of para 11 of the O.A.</p> <p>9. The applicant is placed under suspension in view of the offence registered at Pune under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. However, the suspension allowances payable to him may not be denied to him even during the period of suspension. I direct that the respondents shall pay to the applicant the suspension allowance from the date from which he was under suspension till 31.10.2016 within three weeks from today and then shall pay in accordance with the rules applicable from time to time till he is under suspension. Hamdast.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 508 of 2016 with
O.A.527 of 2016 with O.A.No.528 of 2016

M.S. Aitawade
S.D. Shelke
S.A.U. Shaikh

.... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.Respondents.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

O.A. is Admit.

Adj. To 10/11/16.

Rejoinder filed

by Applicant.

en.

OA 508, 527 & 528/16.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The rejoinder is taken on record.
3. Admit, appointed for final hearing on 10.11.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

VSM

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 295 of 2016

A.K. Randive

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The rejoinder is taken on record.
3. Admit, appointed for final hearing on 15.11.2016.

DATE: 20/10/16

~~Chairman~~

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. Applicant in person~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Rejoinder filed

by Applicant.

S.O. to 15/11/16.

O.A. is Admit.

FH
@ud

VSM

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders .

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No.1021 of 2016

J.L. Kshirsagar

.... Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & othr Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 25.10.2016

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.10.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

8. In the meanwhile, it is directed that the applicant shall not be relieved till the next date. Hamdast.

DATE : 20/10/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri RAHVAGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. to S.O. to 25/10/16.

Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No.1008 of 2016

A.V. Mulik

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 17.11.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 17.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To. S.O. to 17/11/16.

800

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/10/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon.ble shri. R.B. MALIK (Member J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri. V.P. Potkhare Advocate for the Applicant. Shri. N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To 10/11/16 Poa Rejoinder.</p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 691 of 2016</p> <p>S. M. MoreyApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply of the respondent nos.2 and 3 are already filed on record. The affidavit-in-reply of the first respondent is not filed. The OA is proceeds without affidavit-in-reply of first respondent.</p> <p>3. OA is, now, adjourned for affidavit-in-reply of respondent no. 1.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.11.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 20-10-16 Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 988 of 2016 (D.B.)

S.R. Ghadge

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sonkavade, the learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 17.11.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 17.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Sonkavade
holding for Shri D.B. Khaire
Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 17/11/16.

(Signature)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 1019 of 2016 (D.B.)

A.S. Jaiswal

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 10.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 10.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar ✓

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: S.O. to 10/11/16.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 935 of 2016 (D.B.)

P.J. Ukade

.... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri T.V. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 17.11.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 17.11.2016. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt T.V. Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 17/11/16.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 626 of 2016
With
O.A. No.627 of 2016 (D.B)

D. K. Dhiware
M.S. KultheApplicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S. K.R. Jagdale

Ad. for the Applicant

Shri Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 22/11/16.

@caj

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned P.O. for the respondents.

2. Learned PO seeks further adjournment to file affidavit-in-reply. Last chance was already granted. No more indulgence can be shown. The OA proceeds without affidavit-in-reply making it clear, however, that on the next date reply is tendered when it is called out for hearing. It will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given for that purpose.

3. OA shall adjourned and appointed for final hearing before the Second Division Bench.

4. S.O. to 22.11.2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No.1058 of 2016

N.B. NarangApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the applicant informs that the applicant does not want to file rejoinder.

3. Admit, liberty to mention.

DATE : 20/10/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To O.A. is Admit.

Liberty to mention ✓

VSM.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016

O.A. No. 434 of 2016
With
O.A.No. 23 of 2016 (D.B.)

R. V. Surana & Ors
V.P. Suryawanshi & Ors Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Sonkavade, the learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents 1 & 2, Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent nos. 3, 4 & 5, is not present.

- 2. Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record.
- 3. Admit with liberty to mention.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member(J)

DATE : 20/10/16

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Shri RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. Sonkavade holding for D.B. Khaire.

Adv. for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2.

Punam Mahajan for P.No. 3, 4 & 5 (Leave node)

Adj. To.....

Rejoinder filed by Applicant.

O.A. is Admit.

Liberty to mention

FH
@caj

VSM


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 20/10/16</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> <u>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Vice-Chairman) <u>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</u></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> <u>Shri/Smt. G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 16/11/16.</u> Hamdast </p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016</p> <p>O.A. No. 961 of 2016 (D.B.)</p> <p>V.A. Jiwane & Ors Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Anr. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the applicant points out the earlier judgment of the Hon'ble High Court in its Nagpur Bench and submits that this OA is on all fours with that matter and therefore, the OA should not linger longer.</p> <p>3. The learned CPO is directed to make sure that affidavit-in-reply must be filed and if this OA is governed by the decided matter, it does not unnecessarily get pro-longed. Hamdast.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.11.2016.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member(J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.10.2016.

O.A.No.218 of 2015

C.G. GaikwadApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar prays for time to study and address.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. Adjourned to 24.10.2016.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 24/10/16

prk

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders C.A. No.155 of 2014 in O.A. No.107 of 2014
---	---

Shri P.R. Phulpagar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. Ld. PO states that calculation of the amount which applicant would have received had he been permitted to join on 1.10.2014, is done.

3. Statement of calculation is tendered. It is taken on record.

4. Subject to final order as may be passed in this CA, the respondents are directed to deposit an amount of Rs.2,83,068/-, as calculated by the respondents, in this Tribunal within four weeks from today.

5. S.O. to 17.11.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
20.10.2016

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 17/11/16

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 20.10.2016.
---	---

O.A.No.860 of 2015

M.M. Vidhate ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar prays for time to consider the reply and file rejoinder, only if it is imperative.

4. S.O. to 01.12.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 11/11/16

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt : <u>C. T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>M.A. is accordingly allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.417 of 2016 in O.A.No.317 of 2014 (Nagpur)</p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Sthapatya Abhiyandatriki Shavvak Sangh ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra. ...Respondent</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent. 2. This is an application for transfer of O.A. from Nagpur Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai. O.A. is of the year 2014. 3. In view that the Division Bench is not available at M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench, O.A. can be transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai. 4. Hence, in view of the foregoing following order is passed :- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) O.A.No.317/2014 is transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai for hearing and disposal according to law (b) Applicant should apply and collect the original papers by hand delivery and to deposit the same within 15 days. (c) Applicant shall serve intimation of transfer in the office of C.P.O. and the Respondent. (d) Furnish additional sets and paper book to the Respondent. (e) No notice of transfer would be issued to the Applicant. (f) If Applicant seeks adjournment after transfer, than the transfer shall stand cancelled and matter will go back to Nagpur Bench automatically. 5. M.A. is accordingly allowed. <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. Kamesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>C. T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>NA is accordingly allowed.</u></p> <p><i>JFE</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.416 of 2016 in O.A.No.426 of 2014 (Nagpur)</p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Sthapatya Abhiyandriki Shavvak Sangh ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra. ...Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. This is an application for transfer of O.A. from Nagpur Bench to Principal Seat at Mumbai. O.A. is of the year 2014.</p> <p>3. In view that the Division Bench is not available at M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench, O.A. can be transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Hence, in view of the foregoing following order is passed :-</p> <p>(a) O.A.No.426/2014 is transferred to Principal Seat at Mumbai for hearing and disposal according to law.</p> <p>(b) Applicant should apply and collect the original papers by hand delivery and to deposit the same within 15 days.</p> <p>(c) Applicant shall serve intimation of transfer in the office of C.P.O. and the Respondent.</p> <p>(d) Furnish additional sets and paper book to the Respondent.</p> <p>(e) No notice of transfer would be issued to the Applicant.</p> <p>(f) If Applicant seeks adjournment after transfer, than the transfer shall stand cancelled and matter will go back to Nagpur Bench automatically.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly allowed.</p> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

O.A. No.756 of 2015

Shri R.S. Pawar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.S. Pawar, Applicant in person and
Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer
for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO states as follows:

(a) That he has received communication dated
19.10.2016 from Principal Secretary, Skill
Development and Entrepreneurship
Department informing that applicant's case
was considered by the DPC and he is not
found eligible.

(b) Since applicant belongs to OBC category
adverse decision cannot be communicated
without confirmation from Social Justice
Department.

(c) For this compliance minimum 15 days time
is required.

3. Ld. CPO is directed to furnish a copy of
communication dated 19.10.2016 to the applicant.

4. The applicant states that he would avail an
opportunity of making representation to the Social
Justice Department in order to bring to their notice the
wrongs committed by the DPC.

5. S.O. to 16.11.2016.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: App. in person present

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 16/11/16

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
(A.H. Joshi, J.S.)

Chairman

20.10.2016

(sgj)

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders MA.104/16 in CA.16/16 in OA.78/14 (A'bad)
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Sri M. Lameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>none for the app.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>17/11/2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>He</i></p>	<p>Shri Bhujal A. Sanghtana ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for time for filing affidavit showing compliance and apology, if they wish to file.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.11.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i></p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</p> <p>Chairman</p> <p>20.10.2016</p> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

Shri S.T. Shinde

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The order passed in OA No.571 of 2015 by this Tribunal is carried before the Hon'ble High Court.

3. Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for the applicant argues for taking cognizance of the contempt.

4. He argues that because the State has gone to High Court and order is not stayed and adjournments are being sought the applicant's right to request to take cognizance of contempt has come to risk.

5. It is seen that in some matters the Hon'ble High Court has observed that in view of filing of writ petition there is no question of Tribunal's proceeding with the contempt application.

6. In this background Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time for seeking leave from Hon'ble High Court to proceed with present contempt application.

7. S.O. to 28.11.2016.

0
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
20.10.2016

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.434 of 2015</p> <p>A.P. Khare ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan, adjourned to 25.11.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px auto; width: fit-content;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> </div>
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/10/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <i>Leave note of Adv. P. Mahajan taken on record.</i> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <i>N.G. Gohad</i> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 25/11/16</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>None for the app.</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A. J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>7/12/16.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p>M.A.No.251 of 2016 in O.A.No.660 of 2015 (Nagpur) with M.A.No.252 of 2016 in O.A.No.661 of 2015 (Nagpur) with M.A.No.253 of 2016 in O.A.No.827 of 2015 (Aurangabad)</p> <p>-----</p> <p>M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.251/2016 IN O.A.660/2015 S.B. Korke & Ors. (M.A.252/2016 IN O.A.661/2015) M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.253/2016 IN O.A.827/2015)Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None appears for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.12.2016.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.919 of 2016

Shri H.R. Jadhav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., Ld. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Today I have passed order in MA No.399/16 in the above OA and declined to accept the applicant's request for transfer. However, in order to protect the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman Shri Rajiv Agarwal from possibility of scurrilous allegations on the part of the applicant, I order that OA No.919 of 2016 be transferred for hearing and disposal to Hon'ble Member (J).

3. The applicant shall be free to ask for date of hearing after giving due notice to the respondents.

4. Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the applicant has tendered praecipe praying for discharge of her appearance.

5. Appearance of Miss S.P. Manchekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is discharged.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri H.R. Jadhav appl. in person

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To Place before MJ

(sgj)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
20.10.2016

BTE

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.399 of 2016 in O.A. No.919 of 2016
Shri H.R. Jadhav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri H.R. Jadhav, Applicant in person and Smt. Archana B.K., Ld. P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The grounds put forward by the applicant for seeking transfer of his case from Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Hon'ble Vice-Chairman to other bench is pressed on following grounds:

(4) The applicant states that the Hon'ble Judge Rajiv Agarwal J granted the said four weeks sought for by the learned Public Prosecutor, but made a sweeping observation by asking whether the applicant was the same Jail Officer of the Training College at Pune. The applicant states that when his learned Advocate answered in the affirmative, the learned Judge was pleased to grant the said time of four weeks.

(6) The applicant states that both the said cases are very vital and having high stakes inasmuch as he is at present under suspension. The applicant apprehends that he would not get justice since the learned Judge has already made certain observations against him in the initial stage itself. Accordingly, the aforesaid case he transferred to the file of any other learned Judge of this Hon'ble Court.

(quoted from page 3 of MA No.399/16)

3. During oral submissions the applicant in person has reiterated the same grounds.

4. In view of the foregoing MA No.399 of 2016 for transfer is dismissed.

DATE: 20/10/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Heard Shri H.R. Jadhav
appl. in person.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: MA is dismissed.

HTC

(sgj)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
20.10.2016

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>leave note of adv. P. Mahajan taken on record.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>25/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J.V. Patil ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Punam Mahajan, adjourned to 25.11.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 20px;"> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> </div> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Advocates for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>H.S. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/10/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.47 of 2015</p> <p>R.M. Ghoge ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dr. Ganesh Mulay, then Deputy Director (Information), Konkan Division is present. Present Director Shri Ajay D. Ambekar, Director (Information) (Administrative) is also present.</p> <p>3. Dr. Ganesh Mulay, then Deputy Director (Information), Konkan Division expresses apology for the mistake comitted for the applicant. However, it is not explained as to how affidavit was filed on the points apart from those are involved. It is necessary to give him the opportunity and also notify to him the consequences of filing irrelevant affidavit.</p> <p>4. Dr. Ganesh Mulay, then Deputy Director (Information) is put to notice that if proper apology does not come forward, he would be saddled with costs of Rs.25,000/-.</p> <p>5. Dr. Ganesh Mulay, then Deputy Director (Information) prays for four weeks time for filing proper explanation and apology.</p> <p>6. Request of four weeks time being unreasonable is rejected. Time is granted till 25.10.2016.</p> <p>7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 25.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">nrk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Mr. (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Ghod</u> P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Hle</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.10.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.41 of 2016</p> <p>S.B. Sawant ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Ms. N.G. Gohad prays for time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.11.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px auto; width: fit-content;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> </div> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus.

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 20.10.2016.
<p>DATE: <u>20/10/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the app.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>23/11/16</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.31 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. S.N. Waydande ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. None appears for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time to verify as to whether affdiavit filed by the State is adequate to contest the O.A..</p> <p>4. In view of request of learned P.O for the Respondents, adjourned to 23.11.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right; border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: 10px auto;"> <p><i>Sd/-</i> (A.H. JOSHI, Chairman</p> </div> <p>prk</p>