Office Notes, C	office Memoranda	of Coram,
Appearan	ce, Tribunal's oro	lers or
directions	and Registrar's	orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.581 of 2021

Ananya S. Rege Vs. ..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant a Food Safety Officer challenges her transfer order dated 9.8.2021 by which she is transferred from Greater Mumbai-30 to Raigad-118.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant challenges the transfer order on the ground that the transfer is in violation of the provisions of GR dated 9.4.2018 issued by the GAD. Ld. Advocate further points out that the applicant's daughter is in 10^{th} standard hence it would be difficult to relocate at this juncture. She also pointed out that her mother-in-law is suffering from Breast Cancer and hence she should be given a preferential claim. She therefore prays for interim relief.

4. Ld. PO states that applicant had admittedly completed her tenure and she is relieved. He also points out that applicant had never worked outside Mumbai and hence interim relief may not be granted.

5. On perusal of the record of the Civil Services Board it is clear that applicant had already completed her tenure and has been relieved. It is seen that applicant has been transferred on administrative grounds. Hence, I find no reason to grant interim relief at this stage.

6. Respondents to file reply before the next date.

7. S.O. to 2.9.2021.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.8.2021

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.575 to 579 of 2021</u>
	S.A. Panchal (OA.575/2021) R.R. Kolse (OA.576/2021) A.R. Shinde (OA.577/2021) R.B. Lanjekar (OA.578/2021) P.B. Patil (OA.579/2021)
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	The state of Manarashtra & Ors
	Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. PO has produced copy of record of the Civi Services Board on record.
	3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time til 24.8.2021.
	4. S.O. to 24.8.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.8.2021
	(sgj)
	,

•

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.562 of 2</u>	.021
	Jasmine N. Shaikh	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaiky Officer for the Respondents.	, learned Advocate for vad, learned Presenting
	2. The applicant a Block Dever A post, is challenging the order date her from Panchayat Samiti, North S to Panchayat Samiti, Risod, District for the applicant argues that BDO tenure is 3 years. The applicant is t months in violation of Section Government Servants Regulation Prevention of Delay in Discharge 2005 (hereafter referred to as "the Tr	ed 9.8.2021 transferring olapur, District Solapur Washim. Ld. Advocate is a Group A post and ransferred within eleven 4(5) the Maharashtra n of Transfers and of Official Duties Act,
	3. Ld. PO produces record of C PO states that there are complaints a report of the same has been subm Chief Secretary, Rural Developme reason for transfer has been reco approval of the Hon'ble Minister. S stay may not be granted in this case.	against the applicant and nitted to the Additional nt Department and the orded in the CSB for
	4. On perusal of the record it officer is transferred mid-term, ap Chief Minister is mandatory. In thi done. Therefore, the impugned trans is stayed till filing of the reply.	pproval of the Hon'ble s case it is not evidently
	5. The office objections, if any court-fees to be paid, if not already p	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.9.2021.
	7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	Sd/-
	(Mecha Gadzil) Member (A) 20.8.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.612 of 2021</u>
	Dr. S.B. BansodeApplicant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned 'Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presentine Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed an court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
	3. Issue notice before admission returnable of 27.8.2021.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing du authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper boo of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this prese COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alterna remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spe post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained a produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Regist within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit compliance and notice.
	Sd/-
	(Medlfa Gadgil) Member (A) 20.8.2021
	(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
<u> </u>		
	<u>O.A. No.585 of 2021</u>	
	Shivshankar S. Dapke Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, le Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. The applicant a Forest Guard i order dated 6.8.2021 transferring him fro Khanapur, Vita Sangli to Wildlife, Chando He challenges the impugned transfer ord inter alia on the grounds that proper pro- under GR dated 9.4.2018 is not follow violation of Section 4(4) and 4(5) of the Tr	om Vita Lengare, oli, District Sangli. ler dated 6.8.2021 cedure as required wed and there is
	3. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.	
	4. If the applicant is not relieved then at the original posting till next date and i applicant should go and join.	
	5. S.O. to 2.9.2021.	
	Sc	1/-
·	(Medha Membo 20.8.2 (sgj)	er (A)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.406 of 2021
	A. M. LabdheApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. again requested for time to file
	reply .
	3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the
	Applicant is suspended by order dated 11.01.2021 is
	subjected to prolong suspension without taking any steps of
	review or initiation of D.E.
	4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted
	for filing reply. 5. In the meantime, the Respondents are at liberty to
	take review of suspension in accordance to law.
	6. S.O. to 03.09.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm
	[P.T.O.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.272 of 2021
	B. S. SuryawanshiApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted
	for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 03.09.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Tribunal's orders
Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.463 of 2021 D. D. SonuneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
 Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of hearing. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 08.09.2021. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.478 of 2021
	R. M. FulzalkeApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted
	for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 07.09.2021.
	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
. · · · · ·	

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

[*P.T.O.*

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙΝ

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.437 of 2021
	S. A. ChavanApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted
	for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 07.09.2021.
	۸.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 20.08.2021

O.A.No. 961 of 2019

R. P. Adisare

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 31.07.2019 *inter-alia* contending that he is subjected to prolong suspension without taking expeditious steps for completing D.E. or completion of criminal trial.

3. Learned P.O. submits that D.E. has been initiated by the charge sheet dated 03.12.2019, it is incomplete.

4. The Applicant is suspended allegedly accepting huge bribe of Rs.10 lacks through someone else and was arrested by the ACB. He was under custody for more than 48 hrs.

5. Thus, having regard to the alleged serious charge against the Applicant necessary steps ought to have been taken by the Respondent No.1 for completion of D.E. Though, the charge sheet has been issued on 03.12.2019 in D.E. it is still pending.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that no charge sheet is filed in criminal case.

7. In view of above, it is necessary to know the steps taken in D.E. as well as to know why D.E. has taken so much time for its conclusion.

8. Respondent No.1 is, therefore, directed to file his affidavit to explain the delay caused in completing D.E. as well as its present status.

9. Affidavit be filed within two weeks.

10. S.O. to 02.09.2021.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Sd/-

 $\mathbf{2}$

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
·	Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.600 of 2017 S. G. DhanawadeApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., short time is granted to file Affidavit of Respondent No.1 in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 13.08.2021.
	3. S.O. to 02.09.2021.
	Sd/- \N'` (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.487 of 2021
	P. N. PatilApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted
	for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 07.09.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 20.08.2021	
	O.A.No.479 of 2	2021
	C. S. Mane	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwade the Applicant and Shri A. J. Choug Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Today, learned Counsel for	the Applicant has filed
	Rejoinder. It is taken on record.	
	3. On request of learned P.O., s	short time is granted for
	filing affidavit of Chairperson of PEB.	
	4. Learned Counsel for the App	plicant submits that the
	issue of interim relief is kept open an	d it be considered at the
	earliest.	
	5. S.O. to 23.08.2021.	
		Sd/-
		Kurhekar) mber(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Of	Tice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	unal's orders or Tribunal's orders	
		<u>0.A.179/2021</u>	
		Shri R.A. Marathe Applicant Vs.	
		The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents	
		1. Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.	
·		2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.	
		3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.	
		4. S.O. to 30.09.2021.	
		∧ .↓ Sd/-	
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.08.2021	
		(skw)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

(G.C.P.)	J	2260	(A)	(50,000	-2-2015)
----------	---	------	-----	---------	----------

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		· · · · ·	Applicant/s
(Advocate	······)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.194/2021 with O.A.287/2020</u>
	Dr. A.S. Bendre & Dr. P.N. Kakade Applicants Vs.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The State of Mah. & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Mr. N.Y. Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.
	2. The matter is for hearing at the stage of admission.
	3. On the request of learned CPO, adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
	4. S.O. to 3 rd September, 2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.08.2021
	(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		J	`ribunal's order	'S
	Date	: 20.08.2021		
			O.A.No.397 of	2021
	N. G.	Shaikh		Applicant
	Versu	IS		
	The S	tate of Mahar	ashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Chou prese	gule, learned		nsel are absent. Shri A. J. er for the Respondents is
	2.	On request	of learned P.O.,	two weeks time is granted
	for fil	ing reply.		
	3.	S.O. to 03.0	9.2021.	
		·		Sd/-
				P. Kurhekar) 1ember(J)
	vsm			
		· · · ·		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

oplicant
oondents.
med Counsel for arned Presenting
ks time is granted
car))

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	т	ribunal's orders
		O.A.No.368 of 2021
	J. R. Chavan	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Mahara	shtra & OrsRespondents.
		. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel fo Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting ndents.
	2. Enough time	is granted for fling reply but the same i
	not filed.	
	3. Hence, the m	atter is kept for hearing without reply.
	4. S.O. to 01.10.	2021.
		Sd/-
	vsm	• (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021 M.A.No.184 of 2021 in O.A.No.574 of 2020 L. D. VeerApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. None for Respondent Nos.5 and 7.
	2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is under typing and
	the same will be filed during the course of hearing.
	Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.
	3. S.O. to 14.09.2021.
	N Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.404 of 2021 A. C. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm	Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
A. C. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		Date : 20.08.2021	
Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		O.A.No.404 of 2021	
The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		A. C. MoreApplica	ant
 The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. S.O. to 02.09.2021. 		Versus	
Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponde	ents.
for filing reply. 3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Res	
3. S.O. to 02.09.2021. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks tim	e is granted
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		for filing reply.	
Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm		3. S.O. to 02.09.2021.	
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021 M.A.No.325 of 2020 in O.A.No. 61 of 2020 N. D. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 2. S.O. to 17.09.2021. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021 M.A.No.153 of 2021 in O.A.No. 271 of 2021 N. M. PoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 The Applicant and his Counsel are absent Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. S.O. to 17.09.2021.
·	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

	Tribunal's orde	fice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021	
	O.A.No.398 of 2	
Applicant	Dr. B. M. Dahiphale	
	Versus	
Respondents.	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	
	 The Applicant and his Cou Kranti Gaikwad, learned Preser Respondents is present. 	
eeks time is granted	2. On request of learned P.O., t	
	for filing reply.	
	3. S.O. to 03.09.2021.	
/-		
nekar) r(J)		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.405 of 2021
	A. P. AndhereApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted
	for filing reply.
	3. S.O. to 02.09.2021.
• • •	N - Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN -

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.129 of 2021
	A. M. GaradApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.
	2. On request of learned P.O. and learned Counsel for Respondent No.2, one week time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder.
	3. S.O. to 27.08.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.95 of 2021
	G. N. DongarkarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the
	matter is adjourned for final hearing.
	3. S.O. to 30.09.2021.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	Member(J)
	v211

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.1179 of 2019
	C. P. PatilApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is Present.
	2. S.O. to 30.09.2021 .
	∩ Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.669 of 2020
	A. B HotkarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. has pointed out that the Applicant has
	already filed two W.P.s and which are subjudice before the
	Hon'ble High Court. Learned P.O. wants to file Sur-Rejoinder
	along with the copies of W.P.s.
	3. Three weeks time is granted.
	4. S.O. to 17.09.2021.
	N Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)
	vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 20.08.2021 O.A.No.262 of 2021 with O.A.No.455 of 2021	
	M. R. KasaneApplicant	
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
·	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, leanred Counsel for Respondent No.2 (O.A.455/2021).	
	2. On request of learned P.O. and learned Counsel for	
	the Respondent No.2, one week time is granted for filing	
	reply by way of last chance.	
	3. S.O. to 27.09.2021.	
	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar)	
	vsm Member(J)	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021
	O.A.No.266 of 2021
	S. S. PaithankarApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
	3. Since pleading is complete, the matter is admitted for final hearing.
	4. S.O. to 01.10.2021.
	Sd/-
	می (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

MUMBAI

of 20

- .-----

;

RΔ	/C.A.	No
n.m.	$n_{\rm C.A}$. 110.

ΙN

hal Application No. of 20

fice Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tr	ibunal's orders
	Date : 20.08.2021	
	0.	A.No.304 of 2016
	P. N. Dalal	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharasht	ra & OrsRespondents.
		and his Counsel are absent. learned Presenting Officer for the
	2. As per order da	ted 22.07.2021, time was granted to
	learned Counsel for the	Applicant to file additional affidavit
	1	t which he claims to have received
	3. S.O. to 17.09.20	21 for final hearing.
	, Sd/-	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgiľ) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	
	1	
		[P.T.O.

IN

Application No.

01 20

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

earance, Tribunal's orders or ctions and Registrar's orders		nal's orders	
	Date : 20.08.2021		
		o.1121 of 2016	
	P. N. Shelke	Applicant	
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.	
	Applicant and Shri A. J. C for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. sub	Jagdale, learned Counsel for th hougule, learned Presenting Office pmits that in view of recent G.	er R.
		sue is under consideration of the	
	1	re, requested for two weeks time. for the Applicant has no objection	
		osal is under consideration.	
	4. S.O. to 17.09.202		
	- N	Sd/-	
	Sd/-	v	
	(Medha Ġadgil) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	
	vsm		
	VSIII		
		1 .	
		,	
		•	
		[27]	ľ.O.

1

O.A. No.589 of 2021

Ganesh R. Waghmode Vs. .. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.9.2021.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

،~

(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.8.2021

Sd/-

(sgj)

4./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

l Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

e Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, ppearance, Tribunal's orders or irections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.290 of 2021 in O.A. No.182 of 2021</u>
	Rani U. SawantApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri Abhijeet U. Pawar holding for Shri A.E. Mokal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. By this MA the applicant seeks leave to add prayer clause 9(d) in the OA as per schedule annexed to MA.
	3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out within one week and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. MA disposed off accordingly.
	4. OA adjourned to 23.9.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 20.8.2021
	(sgj)
	,
	[<i>P.T.O.</i>

1

'C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

otes, Office Memoranda of Coram, earance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders ctions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.426 of 2021 S.A. Bhowar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. PO files short affidavit in reply dated 12.8.2021 on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record. 3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 16.9.2021. Interim relief to continue till next date. (Medha Gadgil) (sgj)

.. Applicant

Sd/-

Member (A) 20.8.2021

..Respondents