

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.381, 382 & 386 of 2020</u></p> <p>U.V. Ingawale (OA.381/2020) A.D. Khadake (OA.382/2020) A.S. Dhule (OA.386/2020) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs No.381 and 382/2020 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.386/2020 and Miss. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In OA No.381/2020, the case of the applicant was not placed before Civil Services Board (CSB). Ld. CPO confirms this position however submits that as per the directions given by GAD and as per the circular dated 7.7.2020, 15% of post can be transferred due to COVID-19.</p> <p>3. The issue involved in this matter is very short whether the respondent was legally bound to place the name of applicant for transfer before CSB in the light of judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of <u>T.S.R. Subramanian Vs. Union of India, (2013) 15 SCC 732</u>.</p> <p>4. In OA No.382/2020, the applicant who is a Food Safety Officer is transferred from Bhiwandi to Raigad, pursuant to order dated 18.8.2020. The list of posting at difficult places and non difficult places is placed before me. Ld. Advocates for Applicants and Ld. CPO produce circular issued by Commissioner of Food and Drugs Administration dated 9.4.2018 wherein Bhiwandi is listed in partially difficult area. Ld. CPO explains that on the basis of typography, hilly area is listed as difficult. Accordingly particular code numbers are given to such posting. This particular applicant in OA.382/2020 Shri A.D. Khadake was admittedly working at the posting at code no.87 which is partially difficult.</p> <p>5. The Govt. is expected to follow its own GR. 9.4.2018 by which a policy of transfer is laid down in respect of separate list of govt. servants who are working in difficult area and published. Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that such list was prepared in the year 2018 under the signature of Jt. Commissioner Shri G.S. Paralikar however no such separate list</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

was prepared for this present transfers. He further points out and rely stage 5 of GR dated 9.4.2018 wherein the Govt. has laid the policy of giving priority to the Govt. servants whose names are listed in the list of difficult areas.

6. Ld. CPO informs that she has not received proper instruction and the Desk Officer from Medical Education Department, who is present in the Court, is not in a position to give the necessary information to satisfy the query of the Tribunal.

7. This Tribunal has put some questions regarding GR dated 9.4.2018. The respondent-Govt. shall answer this query in short and file affidavit.

8. Under the circumstances as the case of Shri U.V. Ingawale (OA.381/2020) and Shri A.S. Dhule (OA.386/2020) were not placed before CSB and the case of Shri A.D. Khadake (OA.382/2020) needs proper explanation, all the three orders of the transfer are stayed till 17.9.2020.


9. Issue notice returnable on 17.9.2020.

10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.



11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.


Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

(sg.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.342 of 2020</u></p>
	<p>R.M. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p>
	<p>2. The applicant challenges dismissal from service on the ground of unauthorized absenteeism from service. The Ld. Counsel submits it does not comply the requirement under Article 311 (2) of the Constitution of India.</p>
	<p>3. Issue notice returnable on 13.10.2020. Ld. CPO waives service of notice.</p>
	<p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p>
	<p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>
	<p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>
	<p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p>
	<p>8. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 20.8.2020 20.8.2020</p>
	<p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.358 of 2020

M.D. Devkate ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant
and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. OA is filed for seeking the promotion as per seniority.
As the issue is short, parties to take note that the matter can be
admitted and heard finally on the same date.

3. Issue notice returnable on 13.10.2020. Ld. CPO waives
service of notice.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage
and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on
Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly
authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of
O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19
Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case
would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission
hearing.

6. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988,
and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept
open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed
post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced
along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one
week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is
directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one
week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the
applicants.


Sd/-

(PN Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
20.8.2020

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.370 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.U. Jawale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant claims promotion to the post of Office Superintendent Class II as he came across the list of candidates and office communication wherein his junior one Mr. V.B. Sawant who stands at Sr. No.68 and whereas the applicant stands at Sr No.52 in a common seniority list is included while name of the applicant is excluded. The order of promotion issued, if any, is subject to the outcome of this OA.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 24.9.2020. Ld. PO waives service of notice.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 20.8.2020  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.8.2020 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.341 of 2020

K.K. Kamble

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This pertains to suspension order dated 12.7.2019. The Ld. CPO to file reply taking steps as the ratio laid down in the case of Ajay Kumar Choudhari Vs. Union of India (2015) 7 SCC 291. There is no bar for State Government to take review in between.

3. Issue notice returnable on 1.10.2020. Ld. CPO waives service of notice.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

20.8.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>Q.A. No.345 of 2020</u></p> <p>Y.B. Dange ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of service on respondent no.2 is filed on 11.8.2020.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend the OA granted as the applicant is now given a posting at Pune as Assistant Commissioner in Pune Municipal Corporation by order dated 11.8.2020. He was working as a Chief Officer at Karad Municipal Council. The application is filed in July 2020.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that private Respondent no.2 Shri Ramakant Dake has joined at Karad.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.8.2020. Ld. PO is directed to bring necessary file of transfer of applicant and private respondent no.2 on the next date.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.8.2020</p> <p>(sg)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Q.A. No.395 of 2020</u></p> <p>Smt. S.B. Ogale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is to be taken along with OA No.345 of 2020 as the issue involved is the same of transfer by violating the rules of transfer. The applicant who was working as Chief Officer, Vadgaon Maval Municipal Council is transferred from Vadgaon and in her place respondent no.2 Chief Executive Officer of Kuhl Municipal Council is appointed by order dated 11.8.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.8.2020.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.8.2020 </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Q.A. No.385 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.R. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent no.1 & 2 and Shri Gunratan Sadavarate, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for applicant submits that she wants to withdraw this OA because after filing of this OA on 14.8.2020 the transfer order of the applicant is issued on 18.8.2020 and therefore there is change in the relief and so also in the contention.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw with liberty to file fresh application.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Jh</i> Sd/- 20/8/2020 (Mridula R. Bhalkar, J.) Chairperson 20.8.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.08.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.272 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. K.S. DeshpandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Time granted for two weeks. At the request of learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. 10.09.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- 20 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.08.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.365 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. S.L. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension in this matter he is handicap person working as Medical Officer at Khed, Nashik his representation dated 28.07.2020 is pending before the Respondent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Government wants time to decide the representation. The Respondent to decide the representation on or before 31.12.2020 and communicate the decision within a week thereafter.</p> <p>4. Disposed of.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- 20 (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.08.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.297 of 2020 with M.A. No.202 of 2020</p> <p>M.N. ChaudharyApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1to3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant files application seeking permission to amend the O.A.. As the fresh order dated 06.08.2020 is passed by the Respondent.</p> <p>3. Application is allowed. Amended copies to be served to all the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.09.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 20.08.2020
---	---------------------------------------

O. A. No.404 of 2020

S.R. KadamApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1&2 and Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte for the Respondent No.3.

2. In this matter Applicant challenged the transfer order dated 18.08.2020. Applicant was working as Deputy Commissioner, Commissionerate for Persons with Disabilities, Pune and now transferred as Deputy Director, VJNT, OBC, SBC Welfare Commissionerate, Pune.

3. Respondent No.3 is transferred in his place. Learned Advocate Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte for Respondent No.3 pointed out he is transferred in the same building though in other department. Respondent No.3 had joined on 14.08.2020. Dr. Gunaratan Sadavarte stated that Respondent No.3 earlier was not given posting and he was waiting for his posting. On 13.08.2020 he was given the posting in the place of Applicant and he joined the post on 13.08.2020 and he also effectively passed the orders, in that capacity.

4. Learned P.O. submits that file of the Applicant was placed before the Civil Service Board and thereafter approval of the Hon'ble Chief Minister, was obtained and after following due process he is transferred.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

2
Tribunal's orders

5. Mid-term transfer orders are issued by State of Maharashtra in view of COVID-19 pandemic, and therefore on this ground transfer orders cannot be challenged. A person who is not due for Transfer also can be transferred by following the law laid down under section 4(5) of the Transfer Act.
6. Whether the person not due for transfer can be transferred without following the procedure laid down under section 4(5) of the Transfer Act.
7. Respondents to file short Affidavit only answering to this query of this Tribunal.
8. Parties to take note that matter will be heard and decided at the stage of admission
9. S.O. 31.08.2020.

Sd/-
2020
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.359 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.V. BhiseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant claims that he should not have been rejected for not having MSCIT certificate when he applied for the post of Accounts Clerk pursuant to the advt. dated 10.1.2019. He points out that there is a mention in GR dated 19.3.2003 in the advertisement (Page 42) and as per the said GR (page 72) for the candidates who hail from remote place, a concession of obtaining MSCIT certificate within two years from the date of their appointment is made available by the Govt.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that as per the Recruitment Rules for the post of Accounts Clerk the knowledge to operate the computer is essential from day one and hence this relaxation of two years is not available for the candidates applying for the post of Accounts Clerk.</p> <p>4. The Ld. CPO now produces recruitment rules dated 2.12.2008 which is subsequent to GR dt. 19.3.2003.</p> <p>5. She submits that these recruitment rules are for the post of Sr. Accountant, Junior Accountant and Accounts Clerk. She points out as per rule 9 MSCIT certificate is must for the person who is appointed on the post of Accounts Clerk which is mentioned in rule 9.</p>

6. Parties to take note that matter will be heard at the stage of admission. Ld. CPO to produce list of remote places in the State of Maharashtra.
7. Issue notice returnable on 31.8.2020. Ld. CPO waives service of notice.
8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal, at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
20.8.2020

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.136 of 2012

P.D. Dorkar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 16.7.2020, I.d. PO submits that all the respondents no.1 to 5 are served through respondent no.4. She submits that respondent no.4. She submits that respondent no.4 has received acknowledgment of service from 22 respondents and remaining are yet to be received. Respondent no.4 will file affidavit of service.

3. S.O. to 24.9.2020. The respondents may file their appearance.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
20.8.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.364 of 2020

R.D. Kandekar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This OA is against the order of dismissal dated 26.5.2014 and also order dated 16.9.2019 by which the appellate authority has confirmed the decision of dismissal.

3. Issue notice returnable on 1.10.2020. Ld. PO waives service of notice.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
20.8.2020

(sg)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1071 of 2019

A.B. Suryawanshi ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 1.10.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
20.8.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1027 of 2019</u></p> <p>L.D. Surnar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri R.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 24.9.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 20.8.2020 (sg)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><i>RA</i> Sd/- <i>11.2.20</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.8.2020</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.366 of 2020

Smt. R.V. Solanke ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Purnam Mahajhan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 29.10.2020. Ld. CPO waives service of notice.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.08.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.300 of 2020</p> <p>R.G. LandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri R.S. Apte, Learned Sr. Counsel with Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. Affidavit-in-Reply of Pramod Tukaram Gaonkar for Respondent No.2 i.e. Maharashtra Primary Education Counsel is filed herewith it is taken on record.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.389 of 2020</u></p> <p>Smt. N.A. Lokhande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2) The applicant Talathi has sought transfer from Solapur to Pune on the ground that her husband is working at Pune and his post is not transferable. She is relieved on 15.7.2020. Respondents to explain why at the time of relieving the applicant, posting was not given and specially when post at Pune is vacant. Respondent to give her posting at the earliest.</p> <p>3) Issue notice returnable on 3.9.2020. Ld. CPO waives service of notice.</p> <p>4) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7) The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8) Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.8.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.384 of 2020</u></p> <p>Shaikh A. Khalil A. Gani ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Ajinkya Udane, learned Advocate for Respondent no.4.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Talathi at Village Ambethan, Tal. Khed, Dist Pune is transferred by order dated 6.8.2020 to village Kalus Tal. Khed, District Pune.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO states on oral instructions that the case of applicant was placed Civil Services Board.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 makes a statement that respondent no.4 has joined the transferred post at Ambhethan. It is pointed by Ld. Advocate for the applicant that respondent no.4 has already worked at village Ambethan on earlier posting and on 4.8.2018 respondent no.4 was relieved from Ambethan to Kalus.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 has no instructions on this point. <u>The transfer order is stayed till next date.</u></p> <p>6. Issue notice returnable on 17.9.2020. Ld. PO waives service of notice.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case</p> <p align="right"></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. Respondents to file reply well in advance, at least one week before the returnable date and it is to be served on the applicants.

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
20.8.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.227/2020

D.G. Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Enough time is granted to file reply but till date, no reply is filed.

3. Adjourned for hearing at the state of admission to 25th August, 2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

20.08.2020

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.266/2020

Dr. N.K. Chavan ... Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 11.05.2020 on the ground that Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad is not competent to transfer him. Interim relief was declined.

3. Enough chances are granted to the Respondents but no reply is filed. On previous two dates, last chance was granted to file reply but I vain.

4. In view of above, the matter will be taken up for admission at the stage of hearing without reply on 25th August, 2020.

5. S.O. to 25th August, 2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
20.08.2020

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.181/2020 in RA.8/2020 in OA.399/2018</u></p> <p>P.V. Korpale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A. Awasarmol, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 17.9.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 20.8.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>