

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.372 of 2023 in O.A. No.524 of 2023</p> <p>P.N. AtreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.373 of 2023 in O.A. No.524 of 2023</p> <p>P.N. AtreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to amend O.A.</p> <p>3. M.A. for amendment is allowed. He states that he will carry out the amendment within three weeks.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.404 of 2023</p> <p>L.T. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.403 of 2023 in O.A. No.55 of 2018 with O.A. No.56 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus A.T. Mane N.B. Kamble (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) states that this matter has been wrongly shown before this Bench. He further states that this matter was placed before Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J) last week and Hon'ble Member (J) has issued Contempt notice to that effect. Hence, this M.A. be placed before same Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. No.403/23 in O.A. No.55 & 56/2018 is placed before Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J).</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.677 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. ChoughuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.148 of 2023 in O.A. No.260 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. WankhedeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. Bhamre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.862 of 2023</p> <p>P.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this matter is already been heard by Hon'ble Chairperson and it has been wrongly placed before this Bench.</p> <p>3. O.A. be placed before Hon'ble Chairperson.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 257/2023 in O.A 212/2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri S.V Kadari ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. The Mis Application is filed by the Respondents to vacate the interim order dated 22.2.2023 passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to produce the original Application Form of the applicant on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 26.7.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.07.2023

O.A 878/2023

Shri B.K Mandlik ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant, working as a Police Constable, challenges the order dated 23.5.2023 passed by the Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad to the extent of clause 3 of the order.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that by the said order dated 23.5.2023, the applicant seeks Inter-District transfer from Mumbai to Aurangabad, i.e., his Home Town. By the said order dated 23.5.2023, the applicant was granted NOC by the Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad, laying down some conditions therein. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the conditions are accepted, however, conditions no. 3 defeats the purpose of issuing NOC. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per Condition no. 3, the applicant is required to join the transferee department or the office of Respondent no. 2, i.e., Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad within 60 days from the date of the order, if the applicant does not join within 60 days, then the NOC will lapse. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that as the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai is not relieving the applicant, he has apprehension that his 60 days period will come to an end on 21.7.2023 and the NOC will lapse.

4. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.

5. I am informed that the hearing of the matters where this issue is involved is now fixed before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court on 25.7.2023. Hence, it will be appropriate to keep this matter on 27.7.2023.

6. In view of the above, Commissioner of Police, Aurangabad is directed to keep one post of Police Constable in open category vacant.

7. S.O to 27.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.452/2022</p> <p>D.A. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed on 26.07.2023 as the address of the proposed Respondent, Mr. Barge Arjun Dattatraya is not furnished.</p> <p><u>LATER ON :</u></p> <p>3. Now letter dated 21.06.2023 is given by Deputy Director (Training), Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik address to the Director General of Police which is marked s Court Exhibit (1), it discloses that Mr. Arjun Dattatraya Barge did not join in training Batch No.122.</p> <p>4. Therefore, we call upon DGP to inform whether Mr. Arjun Dattatraya Barge is working in Police Force today.</p> <p>Matter kept back.</p> <p>NMN</p> <p><u>LATER ON :</u></p> <p>5. It is informed by learned C.P.O. on instructions that Mr. Arjun Dattatraya Barge is not in the service.</p> <p>6. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that she will furnish the name and address of the person who was going to be affected.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 26.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p><u>M.A.No.389/2023 in O.A.No.998/2021 with O.A.No.1006/2021</u></p> <p>D.C. GiraseApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Neha Kachi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking permission to amend the O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has submitted that she will carry out the amendment within one week. Copy be served upon the concerned forthwith and thereafter three weeks granted to learned C.P.O. to file reply.</p> <p>4. M.A. is allowed. O.As. Adjourned to 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.408/2023 with O.A.No.409/2023</p> <p>M.Y. Patil & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. For want of prosecution to be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal' on 24.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.463/2023 with O.A.No.464/2023 with O.A.No.465/2023</p> <p>G.D. Awad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. O.As are adjourned to 01.09.2023, in view that similar matters are also adjourned of the said date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.484/2023</p> <p>U.G. Komwad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. A.M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4, 5 and 6</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Ms. Lonkar seeks time to file reply on behalf of Respondents No.4, 5 and 6.</p> <p>4. Time granted. Adjourned to 10.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.723/2016 with O.A.No.724/2016 with O.A.No.726/2016 with O.A.No.782/2016</p> <p>S.V. Thakurdesai & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A.No.723/2023 learned C.P.O. has filed the short affidavit dated 20.07.2023 on behalf of Respondent no.1, Charity Commissioner through Mr. Ravi Mukawar, Assistant Charity Commissioner. Along with the said the affidavit copy of minutes of the D.P.C. dated 17.05.2016 and notes of the competent authority are also enclosed, wherein the name of the Applicant Mr. Thakurdesai appears at page 79.</p> <p>3. In O.A.No.782/2016, learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar on instructions has submitted that the Applicant Mr. S.P. Kamble wants to withdraw his O.A. and does not want to continue with the matter.</p> <p>4. O.A.No.782/2016 is hereby allowed to withdraw. O.A.No.782/2016 stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>5. O.A.No.723/2016, O.A.No.724/2016 and O.A.No.726/2016 are adjourned to 21.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ ' '</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1190/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.A. Bankar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.23 and 27.</p> <p>2. At this stage, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3.</p> <p>4. Respondent Nos.23 and 27 have already filed their Affidavit-in-reply. Insofar as remaining Respondents are concerned, learned Advocate for the Applicants submit that they are served with the notice of O.A. However, there is no endorsement in the Office about their service.</p> <p>5. The Office is directed to verify the service report and to submit report on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 3rd August, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 20.07.2023 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.07.2023

O.A.No.281 of 2017

S. P. Kharat & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The O.A. is for final hearing but Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Thus, they seem not interested in the matter.

3. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to cost.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.07.2023

O.A.No.291 of 2017

Dr. P. D. Dalvi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant in person is also present.

2. The Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. stating that she has already joined another post and do not want to go back which she has claimed in the present O.A.

3. Allowed to withdraw O.A.

4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.07.2023

O.A.No.342 of 2017

A. J. InamdarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The order challenged in O.A. is punishment of deduction of Rs.500/- per month from his pension for one year which pertains to Single Bench.

3. The Registrar is directed to place the matter before regular Single Bench.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 20.07.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.07.2023

O.A.No.368 of 2017

R. H. AangreApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for final hearing.

3. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce copies of deposition of witnesses recorded in D.E.

4. S.O. to 26.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

n

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.07.2023

O.A.No.713 of 2017

D. R. Chavan & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents

2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 17.08.2023.

3. S.O. to 17.08.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

n .l
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.07.2023

O.A.No.415 of 2017

R. B. Palve & Anr.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., shortly adjourned.

3. S.O. to 24.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

ⁿ
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.07.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 863/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Mukesh H. Sankhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, working as Junior Skill Development Employment & Entrepreneurship challenges the order of reversion dated 27.6.2023 thereby reverting him to the post of Senior Clerk.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has not been served with the copy of the report submitted by the Local Committee under the District Collector, Palghar before the order of reversion was passed.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O to verify whether report of the Local Committee is served on the applicant or not.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will amend the Original Application by adding the original complainant as Party-Respondent no. 6 and serve copy of the Original Application to Respondent no 6 by tomorrow.</p> <p>6. S.O to 24.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.459/2023 in O.A.No.865/2023</p> <p>S.D. Sutar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p><u>O.A.No.865/2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Sutar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P, Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 21.07.2023 for taking instructions.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p><u>O.A.No.850/2023 with O.A.No.851/2023 with O.A.No.876/2023</u></p> <p>J.J. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that he has served all the Respondents and filed affidavit of service on record.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.07.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 27.07.2023. In this selection the appointments will be subject to the outcome of this O.A.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p><u>O.A.No.847/2023</u></p> <p>M.A. JareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 03.08.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.425 of 2016 with O.A. No.436 of 2016</p> <p>A.S. Bhosale K.V. KalekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.425/16, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.436/16 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, none present for the MPSC and State of Maharashtra. In this matter we direct MPSC to remain present without fail.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.460/2023 in O.A.No.866/2023</p> <p>S.B. Padwal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A. is allowed. <p>Sd/- 11 </p> <p>(Medhā Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.846/2023</p> <p>S.D. BargudeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Panchal challenges the show cause notice dated 03.07.2023 calling upon the Applicant why penalty of dismissal from service should not be imposed upon him.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has relied on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ECIL Versus B. Karunakar reported in 1993 4 SCC 727 wherein it is held that when the Enquiry Officer is not the Disciplinary Authority, the delinquent employee has a right to receive the copy of the Enquiry Officer's report before the Disciplinary Authority arrives at conclusion. This is a reasonable opportunity to be given to the employee to prove his innocence.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has submitted that in the present case the Enquiry Officer's report was furnished along with the impugned show cause notice, thus, the Applicant is deprived of the right to make representation.</p> <p>5. We made enquiry with the learned Presenting Officer whether the report was given to the delinquent officer. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that the report was not served on the delinquent officer before giving him show cause notice by the Disciplinary Authority.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. seeks time to go through the ratio laid down in the judgment of B. Karunakar (supra), wherein under no circumstances the report of the Enquiry Officer is mandatorily given before giving the show cause notice by the Disciplinary Authority for quantum of punishment.</p> <p>7. Time granted. Till then Respondent, Commissioner of Police not to pass any order.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 27.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/s/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 80/2022 in O.A 879/2015</u></p> <p>Shri G.P Wadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the file which was before the Chief Secretary is now submitted to the Hon'ble The Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 24.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.866/2023</p> <p>S.B. Padwal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that the Applicants are working as Sports and Arts teacher in the Ashram school i.e. Tribal School challenges the G.R. dated 06.03.2018 and 15.12.2018 issued by the Tribal Development Department. The Applicant also challenges Clause 7 of the G.R. dated 13.06.2019 and particularly Clause 5 of the G.R. dated 16.11.2022. It is further prayed that the Respondent be directed to pay permanent salary as admissible to other full time teachers working in Ashram Schools under Eklavya Scheme. Learned Advocate by way of interim relief has submitted that Respondents be restrained from discontinuing the services of the Applicant on the post of Sports and Arts teacher and especially by way of implementation of G.R. dated 16.11.2012.</p> <p>3. We have come across the similar matters earlier especially O.A.No.663/2023, O.A.No.464/2023, O.A.No.465/2023 and also O.A.No.219/2022. We rely on the Hon'ble High Court, Nagpur Bench order dated 02.05.2023 passed in Writ Petition No.2511/2023, Dnyaneshwar S. Atram & Ors. Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors., wherein paragraph 3 reads as below :</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"3. Stand over to 14/6/2023. In the meanwhile, the petitioners, who are contractual appointees, shall not be replaced by another batch of ad hoc/contractual appointees till the returnable date."</p> <p>4. Applicants are also working on contract basis and in their place the Government wants to appoint some other persons on contract basis, therefore the Respondents are directed not to discontinue the services of the applicants and the process of outsourcing till next date is stayed for appointment of Ashram Schools.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate has submitted that on the same issue Writ Petition is filed before the Hon'ble High Court and accordingly it was mentioned in Clause XXIV about pendency of the Petition and the Applicants wanted to withdraw the said Petition as the Tribunal is a proper forum.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 01.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 35/2023 in O.A 1017/2021</u></p> <p>Shri C.N Gawande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that in this matter enquiry is concluded and the punishment of deduction of pension by 10% for 2 years is saddled on him. Learned P.O further submits that now the proposal is submitted to the Hon'ble Minister.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter adjourned to 10.8.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- IA</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 617/2023</u></p> <p>Shri M.S Kembalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwd, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter not on today's Board. On request matter is taken on Board.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel on instructions from the applicant, submits that the applicant has filed application to withdraw the Original Application. The said application is taken on record and marked as Exh. 1.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 877/2023</u></p> <p>Pravin S. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The protection granted to the applicant is continued till tomorrow, i.e., 21.7.2023 and accordingly he should not be relived and allowed to work at the same place.</p> <p>3. S.O to 21.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.245/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.D. Mohite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Shri Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to show how Applicant being grand-daughter of deceased Government servant can claim compassionate appointment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21st July, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.400/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.D. Kurangal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent Leave Note. Smt. A.B. Kololgi holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of Leave Note, matter is adjourned to 11th August, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.170/2023</u></p> <p>Smt. S.P. Pansare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. tendered the order dated 19.07.2023 to show that Applicant is reinstated in service by revoking the suspension.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, however, raised the issue of entitlement of the Applicant to pay and allowances immediately after expiration of 90 days' period under suspension till reinstatement. He, therefore, requested to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for hearing to 4th August, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.169/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.P. Malve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought short time to argue the matter, since issue of competency is involved.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11th August, 2023 as last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.414 of 2023</p> <p>M.R. ThakareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.A. Daga, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.393 of 2023</p> <p>P.C. BagulApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 03.08.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.656 of 2023</p> <p>D.S. ShelarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2023</p> <p>A.K. BhosleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.69/2022 in O.A.339/2020</u></p> <p>Smt. M.V. Mohite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply to the show cause notice issued by the Tribunal by order dated 05.07.2023 on behalf of Contemnor No.1 is under preparation and the same will be filed during the course of the day. This statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Insofar as Contemnor No.2 is concerned, she sought one week time to file reply to the Contempt Notice.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24th July, 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A.65/2023 in O.A.301/2023

Shri P.L. Tambe ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.
2. Issue notices to the Respondents/Contemnors.
3. S.O. to 3rd August, 2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
20.07.2023

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
20.07.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

C.A.53/2023 in O.A.472/2021

Shri P.B. Chaudhari	... Applicant
Vs.	
The State of Mah. & Ors.	... Respondents

1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. Both the Contemnors viz. Shri Sandeep Sangave, Deputy Director of Education, Mumbai Division and Shri Bhausahab Karekar, Education Officer (Primary), Z.P, Thane are present. They undertake to comply the order passed by the Tribunal on 08.02.2023 within two weeks.

3. Learned P.O. further submits that Affidavit-in-reply of Contemnors is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. This statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

4. Contemnors are directed to ensure full compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal by next date, failing to which they will be liable for contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 2nd August, 2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
20.07.2023

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
20.07.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A.03/2023 in O.A.950/2019 and
C.A.04/2023 in O.A.1018/2019

Shri S.K. Inamke
Shri G.F. Patil ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Shri P.H. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicants has sent Leave Note. Smt. A.B. Koloigi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.

2. The perusal of record reveals that on previous date, the matter was adjourned with direction to the Contemnor to appraise further progress about revision of pension and proposal to the Accountant General. However today, nobody is present from the Respondents' Office.

3. In view of above, issue notices to the Contemnor in both the Contempt Applications to show ~~Case~~ ^{Case} as to why he should not be dealt with the contempt of order passed by the Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 3rd August, 2023.

Sd/-
(Debashhish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
20.07.2023

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
20.07.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.79/2022 in O.A.605/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karvekar ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by the Tribunal on 06.07.2023, notices were issued to the Contemnor as to why action for contempt of order passed by the Tribunal should not be initiated against him. The learned P.O. submits that the dues are mostly complied with and only some part remains to be complied. She sought time to file reply to the show cause notices.</p> <p>3. One day time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24th July, 2023. Steno-copy granted.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> </div> </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.02/2023 in O.A.476/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. A.M. Kadhikhaye ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. S.P. Manchekar holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.K. Lokhande, leaned Advocate for Respondent No.3 are present.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned Advocate for the Applicant, one week time is granted for file Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27th July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023 (skw)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A.01/2023 in O.A.337/2022</u></p> <p>Shri D.W. Pande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO submits that except Leave Encashment with interest remains unpaid and all other remaining dues are paid. She sought one week time for remaining compliance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27th July, 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1226/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.P. Khanvilkar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicants and their Advocate are absent. Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.6 and 89.</p> <p>2. Smt. Mankoji, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.6 to 89 submits that she does not want to file Affidavit-in-reply and will make only submissions at the time of hearing.</p> <p>3. On request of learned C.P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 5.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3rd August, 2023.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(Debashhish Chakrabarty)</td> <td align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Member-A</td> <td align="center">Member-J</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">20.07.2023</td> <td align="center">20.07.2023</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(skw)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Debashhish Chakrabarty)	(A.P. Kurhekar)	Member-A	Member-J	20.07.2023	20.07.2023
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(Debashhish Chakrabarty)	(A.P. Kurhekar)								
Member-A	Member-J								
20.07.2023	20.07.2023								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.692/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.R. Mohite & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant No.6 in person present. Applicants' Advocate is absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of Applicant, two weeks' time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2. S.O. to 2nd August, 2023.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023 (skw)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023 (skw)	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023
Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023 (skw)	Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.139/2022</u></p> <p>Dr. S.D. Kale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 to 4, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for Respondent No.5 and Shri A.J. Kandarkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.6 are present. Advocate for Respondent No.7 is absent.</p> <p>2. The perusal of record reveals that Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 6 have filed Affidavit-in-reply. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.4. Shri Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 submits that he has no instructions from the client.</p> <p>3. Matter to proceed without reply against Respondent Nos.4, 5 and 7. It be kept for final hearing to 02.08.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2nd August, 2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 20.07.2023 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.55/2022</u></p> <p>Shri V.S. Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. Enough chances are granted to file Affidavit-in-reply and to take decision forwarded by the Department to the Government for deemed date of promotion. On previous date, last change was granted. Today again, learned P.O. sought time stating that matter is under consideration. One week time is granted by way of most last chance to file Affidavit-in-reply or to take decision on the proposal of deemed date of promotion. S.O. to 26th July, 2023. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashhish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-A Member-J 20.07.2023 20.07.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.453/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. C.R. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A, since grievance is redressed. She has tendered letter of the Applicant to that effect along with appointment order. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>4. O.A is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashhish Chakrabarty) Member-A 20.07.2023 (skw)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2023</p>