

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.748 of 2021
with M.A.No.157 of 2022
In
M.A.No.258 of 2022**

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

R.N. Dakhole & Ors. (O.A.748/21 W M.A.157/22)
Y.J. Pacharne (M.A.258/2022)

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

Mr. R.V. Bansode, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.748/21 with M.A.157/22.

Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Interveners in M.A.258/2022.

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Ms. Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)

DATE : **20.07.2022**

PER : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

ORDER

1 O.A.No.748/2021 is filed seeking directions for the Respondents to issue promotion orders for the post of Range Forest Officer of each of the Applicants along with consequential service benefits if found fit for promotion.

2. M.A.No.258/2022 is application for intervention to implead the Applicants as the Party Respondents No.5 to 10 in O.A.No.748/2021 on the ground that if O.A.No.748/2021 is allowed these Applicants in M.A.No.258/2022 are likely to be adversely affected as they will go below the Original Applicants.

3. In M.A.No.157/2022 the Respondents pray for stay of execution, implementation and operation of the order dated 16.03.2022 issued by the Addl. Principal Chief Conservator of Forest and the Respondents be restrained from issuing the promotion orders of 127 candidates named in the select list of the year 2020-2021 for promotion of Forester to the post of Range Forest Officers as the said seniority list is prepared ignoring the seniority of the applicants.

4. M.A.No.258/2022 is hereby allowed. Learned Advocate Mr. Bansode is directed to carry out amendment to make Applicants in M.A.No.258 /2022 as Party Respondents No.5 to 10 in O.A.No. 748/2021 and in M.A.No.157/2022. Amendment to be carried out within four weeks. Amended copy to be served upon all the Respondents.

5. Issue notice before admission to amended Party Respondents No.5 to 10 in O.A.No.748/2021 and in M.A.No.157/2022. Copy of the O.A. and M.A. to be furnished to the added Respondents.

6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.08.2022. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar to waive notice.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

12. M.A.No.157/2022 filed first appeared on board on 25.03.2022 as it was filed on 21.03.2022. No order was passed except the order of giving notices to the Respondents and it was made returnable on 31.03.2022 along with O.A.No.748 /2021. Thereafter, on request,

time was granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply. However on that date nothing was mentioned about the order dated 16.03.2022 of giving promotion to the Interveners and 127 junior candidates. Thereafter this matter was fixed on 31.03.2022 and again adjourned to 21.04.2022, 22.06.2022, 29.06.2022 and 13.07.2022.

13. However, on none of the days learned Advocate Mr. Bansode had pressed for the prayer clause (b) of M.A.No.157 /2022. The order of promotion of 127 candidates is already given on 31.03.2022 and the Applicants have challenged the process of promotion and the seniority list prior to the said order. Now Applicants in M.A.No.258/2022, proposed Respondents in this O.A.No.748/2021, challenges and opposes the application.

14. In all fairness, we direct the Respondent Department to inform all 127 candidates this order within 10 days from the date of the order that the order of promotions is hereby challenged in this O.A. and if they wish to move before this Tribunal they can be made Party Respondents.

15. O.A.No.748/2021 adjourned to 04.08.2022. Both M.A.No.157/2022 and M.A.No.258/22 stands disposed of.

..

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.41 of 2022 in O.A. No.1190 of 2019</p> <p>C.V. NairApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on instruction from Shri Suresh Naik, Under Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department states that the proposal regarding refund of recovery has been forwarded to Accountant General along with the order of this Tribunal on 30.06.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgi) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.46 of 2022 in O.A. No.72 of 2022 with C.A. No.47 of 2022 in O.A. No.73 of 2022</p> <p>V.H. Patil R.H. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer along with Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. informs that proposal has been forwarded by Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur to Mantralaya, Additional Chief Secretary on 02.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Hence, they require two months period to take the decision till 15.09.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 129/2015 in O.A 142/2015</u></p> <p>Dr S.S Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kurmi i/b Shri S.P Nalavade, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 414/2022 in O.A 679/2022</u></p> <p>S.D Satpute & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application seeking to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 679/2022</u></p> <p>S.D Satpute & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadckar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants have challenged the impugned order dated 24.6.2022 passed by the Respondent no. 1, under which they were reverted from the post of Naib Tahsildar to the post of Awal Karkoon/Circle Officer.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to produce the copy of the judgment of this Tribunal dated 2.2.2017 in O.A 354/2015, on which he is relying.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.699 OF 2022

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Dr. Kishor N. Mande.

)...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 2 Ors.)...Respondents

Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents 1 and 2.

Mr. M.D. Lonkar, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 20.07.2022

ORDER

1. The Applicant has filed the present O.A. being aggrieved by the order dated 11.07.2022 issued by Government thereby transferring him from one Section to another Section in Slum Rehabilitation Authority (SRA).

2. The Applicant is serving in the cadre of Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies. The Government by order dated 13.05.2022 deputed the Applicant as Deputy Registrar, SRA, Cooperative Societies (Eastern and Western Suburbs) for two years. Accordingly, Applicant joined the said post. However, by impugned order dated 11.07.2022 within two months, the Applicant is shifted from the post of Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies (Eastern and Western Suburbs) and he was directed to take charge of Respondent No.3 - Ms. Sandhya

M.D. Lonkar

Bawankule, who was working as Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Mumbai City.

3. As pointed out by learned Advocate for the Applicant that by Notification dated 24.01.2011 in exercise of powers conferred in Section 3 of Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960, Deputy Registrar of Cooperative Societies (Eastern and Western Suburbs) is assigned area of operation as Eastern and Western Suburbs, Mumbai. Whereas, Assistant Registrar of Cooperative Societies, S.R.A, Mumbai is assigned area of operation as Mumbai City, Mumbai. True, later again by Notification dated 28.08.2012, Joint Registrar of Cooperative Societies is shown the authority having area of operation as Eastern and Western Suburbs, Mumbai. Notably, it is after this Notification, the Applicant has been deputed as Deputy Registrar in SRA for two years assigning him Eastern and Western Suburbs specifically.

4. As such, the Respondent No.3 – Sandhya Bawankule who is in the cadre of Assistant Registrar is assigned Mumbai City area only by law. This being the position, the shifting of Respondent No.3 in place of Applicant is *prima-facie* contrary to law.

5. Learned P.O. has tendered file which shows that Respondent No.3 made request for getting charge of Eastern and Western Suburbs and she was obliged by Principal Secretary, ^{Housing} ~~SRA~~ without placing the matter before any other authority. Thus, admittedly, it is done only at the level of Principal Secretary only to oblige the Respondent No.2 since no other reason for change is forthcoming much less administrative requirement.

6. The submission advanced by the learned P.O. and Shri Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 that it is an internal arrangement in the Department does not appeal to me in the light of area of operations given to Deputy Registrar (Applicant) and area of operation specifically given to Respondent No.3 who is in the cadre of Assistant Registrar by

law. Thus, *prima-facie* there is change of area of operation, which is *prima-facie* contrary to Notifications issued by Government exercising powers under Section 3 of Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act.

7. In view of above, I am ~~not~~ inclined to grant interim stay to the order dated 11.07.2022 and Applicant shall be allowed to resume the post as Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Societies (Eastern and Western Suburbs) till filing of reply.

8. Issue notice to Respondents made returnable on 17.08.2022.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

13. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

mb

compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. S.O. to 17.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

skw

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>C.A 21/2022 in O.A 900/2021</u></p> <p>B.R Girme ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Kapadia, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, holding for MsArchana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry is directed to arrange the hearing of the present Contempt Application before the Division Bench consisting of Shri A.P Kurhekar, Hon'ble Member (J) and Shri Bijay Kumar, Hon'ble Member(A).</p> <p>3. The learned counsel for the applicant to be intimated about the date of hearing.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.253 of 2022 with O.A.No.254 of 2022 with O.A.No.255 of 2022 with O.A.No.256 of 2022 with O.A.No.257 of 2022 with O.A.No.258 of 2022</p> <p>S.L. Salukhe (O.A.253/2022) S.A. Wahatule (O.A.254/2022) U.D. Ghorpade (O.A.255/2022) D.A. Bharmade (O.A.256/2022) S.G. Mutkule (O.A.257/2022) S.R. Umate (O.A.258/2022)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that as per Rule 12 of the Administrative Tribunals Act affidavit-in-reply should have been filed within one month.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 10.08.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.966 of 2021</p> <p>K.D. WadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply already filed. Matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- ^{ka 10} _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 6/2022 in C.A 7/2022 in O.A 574/2020</u></p> <p>Smt Lalita D. Veer ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents and Ms Advaita Lonkar, learned counsel for Respondents no 1 & 2 in C.A 6/2022 and for Respondents no 1, 2 & 3 in C.A 7/2022.</p> <p>2. Ms Advaita Lonkar, states that she want time to file affidavit in reply in C.A 6/2022 and that she will be filing affidavit in reply in C.A 7/2022 today.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.543 of 2019</p> <p>D.D. BaradApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri R.M. Kolge submits that Affidavit-in-Reply filed by Smt. Aparna M. Bagul, Desk Officer and holding Additional Charge of Deputy Assistant to Director General of Police (Establishment-2) in the office of Director General of Police, Maharashtra doubts the truthfulness of the Affidavit filed by her about the clarification of the vacancies. Therefore Inspector General of Police is directed to file Affidavit and to give chart of the post of vacancies filled up as on 31.05.2020 and whether Police Head Constable from the open category of the batch of 1987 was promoted till date of his retirement on superannuation i.e. 31.05.2020.</p> <p>3. On these two points Inspector General of Police is hereby directed to file Affidavit on Monday.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2022. Hamdast granted.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.433 of 2022</p> <p>C. S. LokhandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance. 3. Since the retirement benefits of the Applicant are withheld for long time, this O.A. be kept with connected O.A. No.844/2021 which is filed for direction to release retiral benefits. 4. Interim relief to continue till further order (O.A.433/22). 5. S.O. to 26.07.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.373 of 2022</p> <p>C. M. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has raised grievance that he is not paid difference of his retiral benefits in terms of 7th Pay Commission though sanctioned by Accountant General by letter dated 24.01.2022.</p> <p>3. All that learned P.O. submits as per instructions from the Respondent No.1 that the matter is in process.</p> <p>4. The perusal of record reveals that the Accountant General has approved the difference in retirement benefits in terms of 7th Pay Commission and by letter dated 24.01.2022, it was communicated to Respondent No.1- Director, Forensic Science Laboratory, Santacruz (E), Mumbai for further compliance. Regret to note that though the period of six months is over, the Respondent No.1 did not submit the bill to the Treasury so that amount is released from Treasury. It shows inaction and negligence on the part of Respondent No.1 for which he can be saddled with interest if the payment is delayed further.</p> <p>5. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.1 to take necessary action immediately in terms of letter of A.G. dated 24.01.2022 and to ensure that the monetary benefits are paid to the Applicant's account within two weeks from today failing to which the Respondent No.1 will have to pay amount with interest at the rate 9% which should be payable from 24.02.2022 (after one month grace period from 24.01.2022) till the date of actual payment.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.107/2022</u></p> <p>Shri R.Y. Patel ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Head Mrs. Snehal Vaidya, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance with special direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.611 of 2022</p> <p>J. V. LilkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 11.02.2022 whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E. invoking Rule 4(1) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. . 3. One criminal offence was also registered against the Applicant. Significantly, on the same day i.e. on 11.02.2022 the charge-sheet was also issued against the Applicant. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant contends that the suspension beyond 90 days is impermissible and there is no progress in D.E. 5. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply. It is taken on record. 6. In affidavit in reply, it is stated that the proposal is already under consideration before the Review Committee for taking review of the suspension of the Applicant. 7. As regard D.E., there is absolutely no progress and it is pending even without appointment of Enquiry Officer. Indeed, in the matter of suspension, the D.E. is required to be expedited and completed maximum within six months or latest in one year. 8. In view of above, the Respondent is directed to take necessary steps for review of the suspension of the Applicant and the decision shall be taken within 15 days from today. 9. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Tribunal about compliance of the order by next date. 10. S.O. to 03.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.403 of 2022</p> <p>Y. B. GangurdeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. B. Rajkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to treat the suspension period as duty period and to pay his pay and allowances.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. submits that Government has already taken the decision to not to initiate D.E. and by order dated 18.7.2022 which is recently passed, the period of suspension from 06.09.2011 to 17.08.2012 is treated as duty period for all purposes. As such, nothing remaining in the matter except grievance of pay and allowances of the suspension period.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondents to release pay and allowances of the Applicant for the period from 06.09.2011 to 17.08.2012 within a month from today.</p> <p>5. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.737 of 2019</p> <p>S. R. GaudApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. but today she is unable to remain present and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.846/2021</u></p> <p>Late Mrs. Kalavati D. Likhar Since deceased by her L.R. Mr. Dhanaraj D. Likhar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Kamble holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Kamble, learned Advocate sought adjournment on the ground that Advocate Ms. Suryawanshi is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08. 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.07.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.689 of 2022</p> <p>Sumit S. ChandaliyaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 21.03.2022 whereby his claim for appointment in terms of recommendation of Lad and Page Committee is rejected. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.08.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 19.08.2022. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. In this O.A., the Applicant has also challenged eviction notice dated 22.06.2022 issued by the competent authority under the provisions of 'The Bombay Government Premises (Eviction) Act, 1955' which relief cannot be entertained in this O.A. since the Applicant has remedy at somewhere else.</p> <p>11. Hence, the prayer to that effect made in this O.A. in Clause (e) and (f) needs to be deleted.</p> <p>12. Learned Counsel for the Applicant fairly concedes this position and sought permission to delete the prayer clause (e) and (f).</p> <p>13. Permission as prayed for is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.648 of 2021</p> <p>M. M. ThakurApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby she was transferred from Sindhudurg to Rural Hospital, Malvan. She is working as a Staff Nurse and due for transfer in general transfer of 2021. In the year 2021, due to Covid-19 pandemic situation, the period of issuance of general transfers were extended and within that extended period, the Applicant is transferred by the order of Deputy Director, Health Services, Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. True, the Tribunal by order dated 30.08.2021 granted interim relief having found that <i>prima-facie</i> the Deputy Director is not the competent authority.</p> <p>4. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, it is suggested by the learned Counsels that since the Applicant has already completed her normal tenure and the general transfers of this year are still not effected, the Applicant's case can be considered by the competent authority for transfer considering her options afresh.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. As such, even if the impugned transfer order is found bad in law and quashed, in that event also the competent authority can consider the Applicant's case for transfer in general transfers of this year i.e. 2022 which were to be effected in the month of April or May but till date not effected due to administrative reasons.</p> <p>6. In view of above, Original Application can be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>7. The Applicant shall make representation giving options within 15 days from today and on receipt of the same, the competent authority shall consider it in general transfers in accordance to rules till then the Applicant shall be continued at same place.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 5/2022 in O.A 769/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.R.S Munir ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that after verification it is found that Rs. 2,72,000/- department owes to the applicant and there is recovery of Rs. 2,80,000/- against the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 10/2021 in O.A 426/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Mule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that on 13.7.2022 he had submitted on oral instructions that the Hon'ble High Court has dismissed the Writ Petition No. 8855/2021 and one month's time was given for compliance.</p> <p>3. However, he submits in the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 15.6.2022, time was granted till 15th August, 2022 to comply with the order of the Tribunal. However, the matter appeared on Board on 15.7.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, matter stands adjourned to 18.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.642 of 2022 with O.A. No.643 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. DurgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the enquiry officer has submitted the report and that file is sent to G.A.D. as per the procedure for approval. G.A.D. will take maximum two months time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.975 of 2017</p> <p>S.B. DeosarkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the grievance of the Applicant has been redressed.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. has become infructuous and it is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/- _____ / Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson </p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.336 of 2022 in O.A.No.185 of 2018</p> <p>A.D. NikhadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate does not wish to press the M.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. stands disposed of.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.911 of 2019</p> <p>S.T. Gadade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate Mr. C.V. Dharurkar has filed a leave note. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 03.08.2022. HOB</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadga) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.359 of 2021</p> <p>B.R. ThoratApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Mohan C. Kumbhor, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 17.08.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.917 of 2018</p> <p>A.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. Admit and adjourned to 17.08.2022</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.457 of 2022</p> <p>D.A. DeokarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted file Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.08.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.332 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. S.B. GhogareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Interim Relief to continue till next date. 3. S.O. to 27.07.2022. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.657 of 2022</p> <p>A.L. GujeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 17.08.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.653 of 2022</p> <p>G.P. BagadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.08.2022. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 17.08.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 684/2022</u></p> <p>S.D Singh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 24.2.2022 passed by Respondent no. 1, under which he was denied the CAS benefits from AGP 8000 to AGP 9000, from the post of Assistant Professor to the post of Associate Professor.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.8.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>9. S.O to 24.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 369/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.377 of 2022 in O.A.No.82 of 2020</p> <p>A.N. AgnihotriApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed for making amendment in O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in M.A.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Mr. Iqbal Qureshi is appearing for Respondent No.4 and seeks time to file vakalatnama and reply in both M.A. and O.A.</p> <p>5. M.A. and O.A. adjourned to 10.08.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.07.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 876/2021</u></p> <p>Shri H.A Redekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the last two dates, the matter is shown under the 'Caption of Dismissal'.</p> <p>3. The applicant prays that the impugned order dated 15.12.2018 passed by Respondent no. 4 be quashed and set aside and the Respondents be directed to give appointment to the applicant to the post of 'Krushi Sevak'.</p> <p>4. The applicant seeks appointment to the post of 'Krushi Sevak' on the ground that his Diploma in Agriculture is valid and recognized by the Ministry of Human Resources Department, U.G.C, Government of India and Agriculture Department of State of Maharashtra. However, the applicant has not submitted the copy of the Certificate in Diploma in Agriculture from the recognized University granted by the U.G.C.</p> <p>5. As the Certificate of Diploma in Agriculture submitted by the applicant is not recognized by the U.G.C or Government of India, there is no merit in the Original Application and the same is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.454 of 2019</p> <p>P.H. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Last chance granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.214 of 2022 with O.A.No.215 of 2022 with O.A.216 of 2022</p> <p>S. T. Motkari & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In these matters, notice was issued to the Respondents on 09.03.2022 and thereafter till date four months' time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. On previous two dates, last chance was granted but in vain.</p> <p>4. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for final hearing without reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.351 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. PandaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.380 of 2022</p> <p>O. G. TawareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.73 of 2022 in M.A. No.225 of 2022 in O.A.No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C. V. Patil ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of leave note of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to 05.08.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.261 of 2022 in O.A.No.404 of 2022</p> <p>R. B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.354 of 2022</p> <p>S. G. Gole ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. G. Kudle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since pleading is already complete, the matter should have been placed for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Be that as it may, the matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.499 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Badiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till filing of reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.513 of 2022</p> <p>S. P. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3 The matter pertains to appointment of Police Patil.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1071 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. ParsekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. V. Magam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.261 of 2022</p> <p>S. J. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.270 of 2022</p> <p>S. B. DubeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri. A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.07.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.324 of 2022</p> <p>D. C. ShelkandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. In O.A., challenge is to the minor punishment.</p> <p>4. Since the pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>