### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.576 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT: MUMBAI** 

P.B. Dandekar

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Shri R.S. Kavle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM:

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

Shri P.N. Dixit, Member(A)

DATE

20.07.2018.

PER

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

### ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri R.S. Kavle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. This Original Application was taken up for final hearing on previous date for was part heard and was adjourned.
- 3. Today the case was heard for sometime. It has transpired during the hearing that crucial averment contained in the O.A. in paragraph No.6(h), 6(i), 6(j) and 6(k) have been replied in an evasive manner.
- 4. Today during oral submissions learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. argued that the averments contained in paragraph 6(h) are factually incorrect since chargesheet was issued to one more person. The manner in which affidavit is filed is in an utmost irresponsible way.

5. It is also seen that the affidavit appears at its foot, the signature of the affidavit

being in order, which is signed by learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K..

6. The officers ignored to the fact that due to their conceit attitude and negligence

together, they harm and prejudice the interest of Government due to failure to reply

crucial averments, apart from taking Tribunal's time.

7. In fact reading and attending to each averment and part of paragraph of the

O.A. is necessary, because this gives to the Government the opportunity to meet

adverse allegations.

8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state as to who has drafted the

affidavit.

9. Learned P.O. states that it was already drafted and was got affirmed by the

affiant who has affirmed the affidavit.

10. Therefore, person affirming affidavit namelγ Shri Namdeo K. Bhosle, Deputy

Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department is called to show cause as to why

he should not be saddled with costs of Rs.25,000/- towards negligence in the drafting

of affidavit.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

12. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

13. S.O. to 02.08.2018.

(P.N. Dixit)

Member(A)

(A.H. Jósfii) 大)

Chairman

prk

### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.576 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT: MUMBAI** 

P.B. Dandekar

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri R.S. Kavle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM:

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

Shri P.N. Dixit, Member(A)

DATE

20.07.2018.

PER

Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

#### ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri R.S. Kavle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. This Original Application was taken up for final hearing on previous date for was part heard and was adjourned.
- 3. Today the case was heard for sometime. It has transpired during the hearing that crucial averment contained in the O.A. in paragraph No.6(h), 6(i), 6(j) and 6(k) have been replied in an evasive manner.
- 4. Today during oral submissions learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Archana B.K. argued that the averments contained in paragraph 6(h) are factually incorrect since chargesheet was issued to one more person. The manner in which affidavit is filed is in an utmost irresponsible way.

- It is also seen that the affidavit appears at its foot, the signature of the affidavit 5. being in order, which is signed by learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K..
- The officers ignored to the fact that due to their conceit attitude and negligence 6. together, they harm and prejudice the interest of Government due to failure to reply crucial averments, apart from taking Tribunal's time.
- In fact reading and attending to each averrgent and part of paragraph of the O.A. is necessary, because this gives to the Government the opportunity to meet adverse allegations.
- Learned P.O. for the Respondents was called to state as to who has drafted the 8. affidavit.
- Learned P.O. states that it was already drafted and was got affirmed by the 9. affiant who has affirmed the affidavit.
- Therefore, person affirming affidavit namel/ Shri Namdeo K. Bhosle, Deputy 10. Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department is called to show cause as to why he should not be saddled with costs of Rs.25,000/- towards negligence in the drafting of affidavit.
- 11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..
- Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 12.

13. S.O. to 02.08,2018.

Member(A)

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coran, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Hon'ble Justice Shri-

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: V.V. Ran

Shri/Sant K.B. 16 W)

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Harible shri A.R.-chadna CMAN

### Tribunal's orders

Date: 20.07.2018.

### C.A.No.75 of 2017 in C.A.No.101 of 2014 in O.A.No.476 of 2012

Dr. V.V. Kane

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Dr. V.V. Rane, Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- It is reported by applicant that the Writ Petition 2. No.4647/2014 filed by State, challenging order passed in O.A.No.476/2012, has been dismissed by Hon'ble High Court on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2018.
- 3. Learned P.O. has confirmed that dismissal of Writ Petition is a fact.
- in view of foregoing fact the amount deposited in this Tribunal can be disbursed to applicant along with accruais, on applicant's furnishing undertaking that applicant shall refund the amount to the Government, in the event of any challenge the order of this Tribunal passed in O.A.No.476 of 2012 gets reversed.
- 5. The disbursement be done by Registry within ten days from today.
- Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed. 6.
- 7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

	MUMBAL		•	
Original Application No.	of 20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DISTRICT	
				Applicant/s
(Advocate	)			
•	versus			
The State	of Maharasl <sub>i</sub> tra	and others		
	•		Re	espondent
(Presenting Officer		)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal'	s orders	
	Date : 20.07.2	018.		
	M.A.M	No.219 of 2018	in O.A.No.107 of	2018
	D.R. Shinge		***	.Applicant.
	Versus			
	The State of M	aharashtra & C	OrsRes	pondents.
	1 Heard S		the learned Prese	enting Officer
	2. Shri M. Applicant is abso		ne learned Advoc	ate for tne
	3. Order d	ated 16.04.20:	18 be corrected a	as. "M A No
DATE: 20/7/ 20/8			cordingly O.A.No.	
Hontole Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Charless)	also restored".		2	
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Disk Member (A)  APPEARANCE: Shrivsont: Shrivsont Line Shrivso		N-3.		`
'	Sd		Sc	1/-
Advocate for the Applicant absent.	(P.Ň.lDixi Member(	•	(A.H. Josh Chairma	77 (
Shri/Smt / Bhor Bhordentile	prk		(	•
Vestored and accordingly 0.A. Hu. 107/ 2018 is also vistored				

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate		)
•		versus
The S	tate of N	Anharashtra and others
•		Respondent/s
	•	
(Presenting Officer		
	. 1	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corr Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	u.sii,	· Tribonal's orders
directions and Registrar's orders		·
	U	Pate: 20.07.2018
		O A AL- 515 -62019
		O. A. No.616 of 2018
	i,	A. M. PotdarApplicant
	'	A, IVI. Potudi
e e	į v	rersus
	т	he State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
,		gi l la and Advente for the
10/10		Heard Ms Kalpana Shah, learned Advocate for the
DATE 2017/18		Applicant and Shri N. K. Rajpurouhit, the learned
CORAM	P	resenting Officer for the Respondents.
Hon'bla Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A		2. Adjourned to 27.07.2018.
	.   2	2. Adjourned to 27.07.2018.
APPEARANCE:		~
Shrisme ke Kolpana SV	hadh,	Sd/-
•		
Advocate for the Applicant	1	(P.N. <sup>'</sup> DIXIT) MEMBER (A)
Shrisme N. K. Ray punc	V.H.	/SM
G.P.OTP.O. for the Respondent/s	,,,,	
·		·
Adj./S.O. to 27/7/18.		
a.	ref	·
	<del>/</del>	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.07.2018 ... Applicants Shri D.K Gunjal Vs. ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors Heard Shri M.B Gawade, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned C.P.O prays for two weeks' time by way of last chance. Time granted. S.O to 10.8.2018 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) APPEARANCE: Akn Shirem M. A. G Advocate for the Applicant Sharan Ma. S. P. Man C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to \_\_\_\_\_10\8

Original Application No.	of 20	District	
g			Applicant/s
(Advocate			•
· ·	versus		
The Ste	ate of Maharashtra a	nd others	
Sec. alt.1	ate or stanatasina a	no vinis	
		•	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
	<u> </u>		1,
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corac Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribonal's orders	
	· · · · ·		
	Date : 20.07.2	.018	
			_
	M.A. No.	432 of 2017 in O. A.	No.918 of 2017
	M.D. Jamunka	ar .	Applicant
	Versus		
	The State of M	aharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard S	Shri R. M. Kolge, the I	earned Advocate for
1 10		and Ms N.G. Gohad, th	
DATE 90 7 18	Officer for the	·	
CORAM	_		
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A		tter pertains to Pay &	
	consent transfe	erred to Division Bench	
APPEARANCE:			
Shrijsmt - R.M. Kolga			_
A due note for the Applicant	1.	S	d/
Advocate for the Applicant	1	(P.N. D	IVIT)
starsme fle N.C. Cohac	9	MEMBE	
_C,RO/P.O. for the Respondent/s	VSM		(. 4
Adj. 15.0 to The Moller Per	Long	•	
La barra O Paris a salarit	LIACE.		
to pay 2 pay-scale hy consent to Division Bench.	nied.	· ·	
by consent tracion			
to Division Beach.	Post		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>'7</u>		

 $\epsilon_{\rm ph} = \eta (\epsilon_{\rm ph}) (\mu_{\rm ph}) (\kappa^2 + 1) (5.5) + (-8.5) (1.5)$ 

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI Consultation and the Secretary of the

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.07.2018 O.A 404/2018 ... Applicant Shri V.P Pawar Vs. ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors None for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O states that reply will be filed within one seek. S.O to 3.8.2018. 3. CORAM: Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Sd/-(A.H Joshi, J Chairman Ako applicaint - Advocate for the Applican sment le Archana B.K. C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s 

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI Participation of the Control of the

M,A./R.A./C.A. No.

Adj./S.O. to .....

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders 20.07.2018 O.A 413/2018 ... Applicants Shri R.M Shinde Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. Learned C.P.O prays for time for filing reply. S.O to 5.9.2018. 3. Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant \_simon \_ Me.s. P. Mai C.F.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL The control of the second of the second of MUMBAI. The second section of the second section of the second second second section is a second seco

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.07.2018

### C.A 22/2018 in O.A 965/2016

... Applicants Shri B.A Puri Vs. ... Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors

- 1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for applicant and Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned P.O prays for a week's time. Time granted.

Chairman

S.O to 2.8.2018.

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:** 

Advocate for the Applicant

show No. 5 Scere acous C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.Q. to .

Original Application No.	of 20	•	DISTRICT	
				Applicant/
(Advocate		)		
		,		•
	v	ersus		
The Stat	e of Ma	harashtra and oth	ers	
		•	•	Respondent/
(Presenting Officer	,	)		
			<u> </u>	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders		Trit	oqual's orders	
	Date	e:- 20.07.2018		
		M.A.No.328 of 2	018 in O.A.No.18	38 of 201 <u>3</u>
	R.V.	Gandhe		Applicant
	The	Vs. State of Maharashtra	& Ors	Respondents
	1.	Heard Shri U. B. N	ighot, the learne	d Advocate for the
	Арр	licants and Ms. N.G		
	' '	cer for the Responden		-
				id in 84 A
DATE: 20/7/18	2.	Considering the rea		
CORAM:	tob	e good and sufficient,	IVI.A. IS 328 OF 20	18 is allowed.
Hon'bie Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	3.	O.A.No.188 of 2013	is restored to its	original number.
APPEARANCE:				<b>X</b>
Shrism - U.B. Nighot				Sd/-
Advocate for the Applicants				
No. N. G. Gohad		•		I. JOSHI, 1)
0.700. for the Respondent/s			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MA 328 18	Sba			
es Allocared.	الم		,	
O.A. 188/13 as sestock	- LD.			
to its original number	21			
earth.	7-		-	

Original Application No.	of 20	District	r
		•	Applicant/
(Advocate	)		·
	versus		
	007000		
The State	of Maharashtra	and others	
	•		Respondent/
(Presenting Officer		. ,	Ŷ
(Fresenting Contest	************************************	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,	•		
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or		Tribunal's orders	•
directions and Registrar's orders	Date:- 20.07.201	8	
		O.A.No.189 of 2013	
	S.M. Tawade	•	Applicant
			replication
	Vs.		
	The State of Mal	narashtra & Ors	Respondents
	1 Noned Chair	Edit <b>O. M</b> eller ale de la	
	l	U.B. Nighot, the learns	•
•	Applicant and M	s. N.G. Gohad, the learne	d Presenting Officer
	for the Responde	ints.	
	2. Learned A	dvocate for the Applicar	nt near for lange to
•			
•	amend and subst	itute entire paper-book o	π U.A.
1.1.	3. Leave as pr	ayed for is granted.	•
DATE: 2017/18		•	
CORAM:	ļ	titution let existing pape	r-book be removed
Hon'ble Jusiice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	and kept in secon	d part in the office.	
APPEARANCE:	5. Learned A	dvocate for the Applica	ant prays for four
Shrient U. B. Highet		arrying out substitution.	am prays ton tour
	Ì		
Advocate for the Applicant	6. Time as pra	yed for is granted.	•
smisim W.N.G. Golad	7. S.O. to 05.0	19 2018	
CACAO. for the Respondent/s	3.0. 00 03.0	5.2016.	0
Adj. 150 10 5 9 118.		1	)
wag			/
<del>-7</del>			Sd/-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I. 10SHI, 1}( \
		CH	AIRMAN 🔍

Original Application No.	f 20 District
	Applicant/s
Advocate	)
	A2ASALU
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's, orders	Tribunal's orders
· .	Date: 20.07.2018
	O.A.No.188 of 2013
	R.V. Gandhe Applicant
<b>P</b>	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Shri U. B. Nighot, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pray for leave to
	amend and substitute entire paper-book of O.A.
DATE : 2017/18	3. Leave as prayed for is granted.
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	4. After substitution let existing paper-book be removed
ADDEADANCE.	and kept in second part in the office.
SINGEN U.B. Nighot	5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for four
envocate for the Applicant	weeks' time for carrying out substitution.
w. W.a. cohad	6. Time as prayed for is granted.
Adj./S.O. to 519118	7. S.O. to 05.09.2018.
<u> </u>	<i>)</i>
·	Sd/-
	(A.H. JOSHI, J) CHAIRMAN

Original Application No.	of 20	Dist	RICT
		•	Applicant/s
	,		
(Advocate	)		
	versu	18	
(II), - C4	- 4 6 B.C la manu		
	ate ot manari	ashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	•
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coras Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	11.	Tribinal's ore	lers
	Date	: 20.07.2 <u>01</u> 8	
	l rv	1.A. No.463 of 2017 in C	). A. No.1018 of 2017
	R. S. 1	<i>N</i> ani	Annlianna
	Versus		Applicant
	<u> </u>		
	, life 3ta	ate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1.	Heard Shri C. T. Chandra	tre, the learned Advocate
	for the	e Applicant, Shri A. J.	Chougule, the learned
1 1 2	Present	ing Officer for the Resp	ondent 1 and Shri S n
DATE 2019 18	Shinde,	learned Advocate for the	Respondent Nos, 2, 3 & 4.
CORAM	2.	laarmad D.O	
Hon'bla Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	· ·	earned P.O. and lear	ned Advocate for the
	to file th	reir reply.	journment for two weeks
APPEARANCE:	. 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Saramit C.T. Chauch	عالم 3. s	.O. to 10.08.2018.	
Advecate for the Applicant			
	4	•	
smish A.J. Chouge	مام		Sd/-
— C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s ∪		(P.N	J'DIXIT)
Adj./8.0. to 10   8   18.	VSM	MEN	IBER (A)
Contraction of the contraction o	al		•
	4		

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	CT .
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus	S	
The St	ate of Mahara	shtra and others	•
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	)	Hespoideras
	<del></del>		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m,     	Tribunal's order	
		O.A. No.250 o	of 2018
	N.A. G	Bharat Vs.	Applicant
	The St	ate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, ant and Shri A.J. Chour for the Respondents.	
		Ld. PO states that necess be paid to the applicant nment.	
	3.	By consent S.O. to 10.8.20	18.
DATE 2017/18			Sd/-
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A			(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.7.2018
APPEARANCE: SINSMIN IN R. Tagdale	(sgj)		
Advocate for the Applicant			
Shri/Smt A. J. Locagu	le		
Adj/S.O. to 10/8/18 by consent:	e/		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

Date: 20.07.2018

O. A. No.936 of 2016

S. A. Dhavale

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant refers to Exb. 'R-D', page 104 were the SDO, Phaltan has made necessary enquiry and submitted actual report to his D.O., Sub-Division, Phaltan. The report is mentioned as follows in the following paragraph:

"तरी काही कालावधीनंतर ग्रामपंचायत मिळकत नंबर ७७ त्यांनी त्यांचे बंधु श्री. हणमंत दादा सुर्यवंशी यांचे नावे करून दिलेली होती ती परत त्यांनी आपले स्वतःचे नावे करून धेतली. सन २०१५/२०१६ चालू वर्षात सदर मिळकत हस्तांतरण करताना ग्रामपंचायत मासिक सभेत ठराव झालेले आढळून चेत नाही. तसेच श्री. सितश सुर्यवंशी यांनी ग्रामपंचायत कोळकी हद्दीत व्यंकटेश रेसिडन्सी फेज २ मधील सदिनका नं.ए-१ दिनांक १८/३/२०१३ रोजी खरेदी केलेली दिसते. सदर प्लॅट नंबर २३ कोळकी ग्रा.प.ि.नंबर २००६ श्री सितश दादा सुर्यवंशी यांचे नावे नोंद झालेवे दिसते. सदर वरतुनिष्ठ चौकशी अहवाल आपले माहितीसाठी सविनय सादर''

- 3. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant this proved that he has taken benefit of Indira Gandhi Gharkul scheme for persons who do not have any house. However, according to the learned Advocate, the Respondent No.5 has purchased the flat at Phaltan on 18.03.2013 for amount of Rs.10 Lacks. Therefore, he mentions that the Respondent NO.5 was not provided factual information as far as he being below poverty line is concerned. In this connection learned Advocate for the Applicant refers to Exb. 17, page 79 in which the SDO has applied his mind to his representation and issued reasoned order.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant, therefore, contends that information furnished by Respondent No.5 as far as he is being below poverty line is not factually correct.
- 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in 2012, the Respondent No.5 had claimed to be person below poverty line; however, in 2013 he has paid Rs.10 Lacks in cash. According to the learned Advocate sources of the money is not disclosed.
- 6. Learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 refers to the order issued by the SDO (Exb. I, page 79), para 2. He seeks two weeks time to provide necessary documental proof in this regard.
- 7. S.O. to 03.08.2018.

DATE 2017 18
CORAM
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shrivsmi. Academa B.K.

C.P.OTP.O. for the Respondent's teo. I tol.

12. A. Tagdala too. A. No. F.

Adj./S.O. to

(P.N. DIXIT) 1 MEMBER (A)

Sd/-

Original Application No.	of 20	District .
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	. * . 1	.)
	υ	persus
The S	State of Ma	harashtra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
	· ·	O.A. No.1143 of 2016
·	v	T. JadhavApplicant Vs.
	Т	he State of Maharashtra & Ors
1	2 re b 2 p	espondents the judgment and order dated 25.4.2018 passed y this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench in O.A. No.509 of 013. The judgment condones the break in service and ermits it to be considered only for the purpose of
DATE 2-0 7-18  CORAM  Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A  APPEARANCE:	3 a: c:	ensionary benefits.  The respondents are directed to look into the matter nd come to the conclusion as far as present case is oncerned. The respondent is also free to apply its mind to the rest of the prayer on merits and take a suitable decision in the same.
Acrocate for the Applicant	4 d	. By consent OA is disposed off and respondents are irected to take a decision within a period of two months.
Signe N. d. Raper	glit	Sd/-
CAGO to the Respondent's	.	(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.7.2018
0 H)	cal (	sgj)

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	versus	•
•	The State of Maharashtr	a and others
(Presenting Officer		Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's order directions and Registrar's of the Company of the	rsor	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.0	7.2018
		O. A. No.897 of 2015
CORAM ton'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A  APPEARANCE: Shri/Smr. N. B. Kadam Advocate for the Applicant  Shri IX. S. Gaille T. Chandradradra	1. Heard the learned Gaikwad, the Respondents: Advocate for to the second secon	Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.  Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Krantie learned Presenting Officer for the 1 & 2 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, the learned he Respondent No.3.  ned to 27.07.2018.
s.o. to 27/7/		Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)

Original Application No.	of 20	District
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	versus	
The State	of Maharashtra and other	
		•
(Presenting Officer	)	Respondent/s
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Triba	nai's orders
	,	
	Date : 20.07.2018	
	O. A. No	o.1015 of 2017
•	D.B. Kamble	Applicant
,	Versus	
DATE 2-0/7/18	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	Bandiwadekar , the learne	Kolge holding for Shri A. V d Advocate for the Applicant and earned Presenting Officer for the
APPEARANCE: Sprishing (S. A.V. Bahawash	2. 5.0. to 27.07.2018.	
Advocate for the Applicant Shrifant A. J. Chocaque		Sd/-
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		(P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)
Adjus. O. to 2 1 1 1 0	VSM	

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	· )	
		•
	versus	•
The State	e of Maharashtra and others	S
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	)	e de la composition della comp
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribanat's orders or directions and Registrat's orders	Tribus	isl's orders
	Date : 20.07.2018	
	O. A. 1	No.498 of 2018
	P. G. Sananse	
	Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
DATE 2017/18	1. Heard Shri M. B. K the Applicant and Shri K. Officer for the Respondent	adam, the learned Advocate for B. Bhise, the learned Presenting s.
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Original Application hearing on 24.07.2018.	is admitted and kept for final
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. U. B. Kaday		•
Advocate for the Applicant		Sd/-
shrilsmt K.B. Bluse		(P.N. DIXIT)
C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents (	vsm	MEMBER (A)
Adj./S.O. to	/	

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
Advocate	.,)	
	versus	
THE CO		
rue St	ate of Maharas	htra and others
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer.,		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coras	<del></del>	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	n,	Tribonal's orders
	Date : 2	0.07.2018
	o.	A. Nos.536. 537, 538, 539 & 540 of 2018
·	U. G. Sal	gaonkar & OrsApplicants
.*	Versus	······>ppincality
·	The State	of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
DATE 2017 18	the Respo	eard Shri A. D. Sugdare, the learned Advocate for cants, Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for endents in O.A.536, 537, 538/18 and Ms N. G. e learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 539 & 540/18
CORAM Han'big Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Lea	rned P.O. forth.
rion by an in the board (manager).	O.A. Nos.5	rned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Reply in 36, 537 & 538/18. As far as O.A.Nos.539 &
APPEARANCE: Sugdan	240/18 IS C	oncerned, it will be filed within two weeks.
7		ned Advocate for the Applicant seeks
Advocate for the Applicant	adjournme	nt to 24.08.2018.
Scrifsmt No. 5 P. Maney C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s	4. S.O.	to 24.08.2018.
Adj./\$.0. to 24/8/18		•
Reply Biled by	4	Sd/-
0A 536, 537,538 8.	/	(P.N. DIXIT)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IVSM ·	MEMBER (A)
	1	

and of histories, publicles

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Hone W.S.P. Make

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 20.07,2018 Shri L,N Soni ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course. Hor/bie Justice Shrt A. H. Joshi (Chaliman) APPEARANCE: (A.H Joshi, J.) Advocate for the Applicant

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
·	•		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
			<b>-</b> -
	versus .		
The State	of Maharashtra and	others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corum, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 20.07.2018.	O 4 No 472 of 2010	
	r.	O.A.No.472 of 2018 Subject : Seniority lis	
	M.Y. Patharvat	·.	Applicant.
·	Versus	•	
	The State of Mahara	shtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri G.	A. Bandiwadekar, th	ne learned Advocate
	for the Applicant a		•
	Presenting Officer for	•	
MATE: 2-0 7 18	2. Learned P.O.	for the Respondents	Ms S Surveyanshi
CORAM:	states that Applicant'		,
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	states that Applicant	s name is included if	the semonty list.
APPEARANCE:	3. Thus the pur	rpose of O.A. is a	complished and is
G.A Bandicesadoka	disposed of.		
revecute for the Applicant		}	
M. S. Suryano andri	:	Sd	/- jelm
FIRST to D.A. W. CLS pased		(A.H. Josh	
o so to 11. en disposed	prk	Chairma	n 🔏
in the second	N.W.		

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	ocrsus	
The S	State of Maharashtra a	nd others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)
<u> </u>		•
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cor Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	!	Tribunal's orders
·	Date : 20.07.2018	8. O.A.No.659 of 2017
,	V. Kallur	Applicant,
	Versus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The State of Maha	arashtra & OrsRespondents.
	!	ri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Res	spondents.
DATE: 9-0/7/18	2. Shri V.P.	Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the
CORAM:	Applicant is absen	t.
Honible Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		
APPEARANCE:	3. Adjourned	to 07.09.2018.
Sin V. P. Potohara		Sd/-
Advocate for the Applicant	·	(A.H. Joshi J.)
A. J. choce orola.		Chairman (
POPO, for the Respondent/s	prk	
J. 18.0 to 7 9 18.		
······	d	•

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
•		•••	Applicant/s
(Advocațe	.,)		
	versus	•	
The State	of Maharashtra and others	3	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer,	)		respondents
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribanal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribun	nal's orders	-
•	O.A.	No.784 of 2017	<del></del>
	S.D. Surve Vs.		Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra	& Ors.	Respondents
	Applicant and Smt. K Officer for the Responder  2. Ld. PO states that provision. There was no	nts. t there is no viola o improvement or	arned Presenting tion of any legal
·	applicant. Show cause no of the PEB were held and transfer order was issued.	otices were issued	to him. Meeting
DATE 207/8  CORAM  Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	Ld. Advocate for violation as the legal prov were not followed.	the applicant state isions for mid-tern	es that there was and mid-tenure
APPEARANCE:	4. Ld. PO relies on Hon'ble Supreme Court in	head note in the j Somesh Tiwari 20	judgment of the 009(2) SCC 591.
Strism C. T. chaudrate	Ld. Advocate for written submissions along	the applicant pr	oposes to give
Advocate for the Applicant	6. S.O. to 25.7.2018.	,	
Shrif8mt: 12.5.5 aileead	3.0. to 23.7.2018.	,	2.1/
			Sd/-
Adj./S.O. to 25 7 18	(sgj)	(P.N. Memb 20.7.	er (A)
	· <del></del>	-	

Original Application No.	of 20 District
•	Applicant/s
(Advocate	
•	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
•	Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	•
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.07.2018
•	O. A. No.191 of 2017
	M. A. SawantApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
DATE 2017/18 CORAM	1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requests for time to amend the Reply and circulate the same. He proposes to do it toady.
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt - 14.P. Jagdelle	3. Adjourned to 25.07.2018.
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shrijsmi A. J. Chougula CP.0/PO. for the Respondent/s	Sd/-
Adj./8.0. to 25/7/18	(P.N. DIXIT)  MEMBER (A)
	<del> -</del>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 20.07.2018 O.A 219/2018 ... Applicants Shri S.P Ingole Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri Anand Gugle, holding for Shri S.S. 1. Dere, learned advocate for applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents. Learned P.O prays for a week's time for filing 2. reply. **CORAM:** S.O 3.8.2018 3. Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) shism Anguel Gare, Advocate for the Applicant (A.H Joshi, J.J Shryson K. B. Ba GAOPO, for the Respondent/s Akn

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRIC	T.
•			Applicant/s
(Advocate		•	
	versus		
The Stat:	e of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		<i>)</i>	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 20.07.20	18.	
	C.A.No.	19 of 2018 in O.A.No.5 R.A.No.6 of 201	
	K.B. Shinde		Applicant.
•	Versus		
	The State of Ma	aharashtra & Ors.	Respondents. ,
	1. Heard S	hri P.K. Shahane, the le	arned Advocate for the
	Applicant and	Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, t	he learned Presenting
•	Officer for the F	Respondents.	
	2. Learned	P.O. for the Responde	nts Shri N.K. Rajpurohit
DATE: 2017/18	states on instru	uctions that compliand	e of order of Tribuna
CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	would be done	before end of July, 201	8.
APPEARANCE:	3. \$.O. to (	03.08.2018, for reportin	ng the compliance. $0$
Shism-P.K. Shehane			X
Advocate for the Applicant	1	<b>.</b>	Sd/-
Spri Ser beld Respondents	,	(A.H., Chai	rman
and the following the second	prk		

Sometiment of the state

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Adj./S.O. to ...

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 20.07.2018 O.A 394 /2018 Shri S.B Rathod & Others ... Applicants The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents Heard Shri Anand Gugle, holding for Shri S.S Dere learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 2.8.2018. **CORAM:** Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (A.H Joshi, J.)¶ oldlind floor Chairman C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20,07.2018

... Applicants Dr P.W Mahindre Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- Heard Shri S.P Saxena, learned advocate for applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned P.O on instructions from Shri P.D. Agriculture Sadanshiv, Under Secretary, (Legal), Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, states that entire work of clearing applicant's sanction of regular pension at the level of the department at Mantralaya will be done within 10 days.
- Let dompliance be reported on 3.8.2018.
- S.O to 3.8.20218.
- Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O. 5. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

### APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Stirism N. K. Ra CPOPO for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3 8.118

Steno copy and Houndas

Akn

### ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL IN THE MAHARASHTRA MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No. 72-4

of 20 17 & Ord. O. A.

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, C fice Memoranda of Coram Appearant e, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunai's orders

DATE: 2017 18 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) APPEARANCE: Shipsin A.G. AM etkan sky. CIT clandrate and Shai. R.B.T. Moura, Advardt foor the Hpplicant. Advocates Roem A. Pata spl comensel with s.P. Manchelan, C. 10 Coca the Despondents.

shis. s. s. Deckier, Adu. foor R.NO. 5 Ris cen

D.A. 726/17.

Ski, D.B. Khare, Spl. cocensel with N. K. Raymont POER P. NO 1 in CA . 634/17 ski. R. Kamlapeskan foor A.110. 425 in OR 634117.

Ms. S. Sce regar pande Po for the 1280 ondents.

5.0. +0 2/8/18.

Part heard



Original Application No.	of 20	District
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	).	·
	versus .	
The St	tate of Maharashtra and other	ers
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora- Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders		unal's orders
	Date : 20.07.2018	
	O. A	. No.675 of 2017
	A. D. Khade	Applicant
	Versus	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	The State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents.
DATE 20718 CORAM	Heard Shri V. P. the Applicant and Shri Officer for the Respond	Potbhare, the learned Advocate for K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting ents.
Hon'bie Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Learned P.O. for	the Respondents seeks two weeks
Shrismt V. P. Pothane	representation made by	n-Reply particularly regarding the the Applicant on 09.03.2017.
Advocate for the Applicant	3. Adjourned to 30.	08.2018.
Shri/Smt K. B. Bluise  6.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		_
Adj./S.O. to 30/8/18.		Sd/-
Aug. 15.0. to	z/ vsm	(P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)
/	4 2141	·

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 20.07.2018.

O.A.No.506 of 2018

U.J. Marathe & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

- Heard Ms. Surbhi Kulkarni, the learned Advocate holding for Shrl A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered additional affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 03.08.2018.

prk

CORAM:

Hon'bie Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Advocate or the Applicant

Smith Us. N.G. ada CHOPO for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to ...

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

### 20.07.2018

### O.A 269/2018

Shri H.R Jadhav

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- Heard Shri H.R Jadhav, applicant in person and, Shri N.K Rajpjurohit, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned Presenting Officer states that the matter of decision about revocation of applicant's suspension is pending before Hon'ble Chief Minister and decision by Hon'b le Chief Minister awaited.
- It is hoped that the grounds about revocation of suspension the facts pleaded by applicant in case of Officers who were suspended on the ground of involvement in serious offences as described in O.A in the ground nos 19(A)(1), 19(A)(2) and 19(A) (3) (of the amendment), be brought to the notice of Hon'ble Minister.
- Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to personally ensure that the file which is already submitted to the office of Hon'ble Chief Minister is brought to Hon'ble Chief Minister's notice and orders are sought, and outcome is reported on next date.
- 5. S.O to 30.7.2018.
- 6. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shasm H. D. Jackay

Advocate for the Applicant

Street N. 12. Day GP:07P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./5.0. 10 30 4

Steno copy and Handast is gra

Original Application No.	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
·.	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corror, Appearance, Tribanal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
·	Date : 20.07.2018
	O. A. No.31 of 2018
	V. M. KuteApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	· ·
•	Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned  Proceeding Office (co.)  Proceeding Of
. 1	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE 2017/18	
CORAM	2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	adjournment to bring on record the legal heirs of the applicant who has deceased.
APPEARANCE: Pottokare	3. S.O. to 31.08.2018.
Advocate for the Applicant	
11 0 110	Sd/-
Shrifsmt: Kealth Gouldon's	(P.N. DIXIT)
, 1	VSM MEMBER (A)
Adj./S.O. to 31/8/18:	

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	·	
	versus	·
The State	of Maharashtra and others	
,		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	·······)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Тебля	al's orders
	Date : 20.07.2018	
	O. A. N	lo.09 of 2018
-	V. G. Lakade & Ors.	Applicants
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
DATE 2017/18	!	lge, the learned Advocate for the hougule, the learned Presenting its.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A		e Respondents mentions that he
APPEARANCE:	3. \$.O. to 03.08.2018.	
Advocate for the Applicant		Sd/-
Shrigant A.J. Chacegel		(P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)
Adj./S.O. to 3 8 18	VSM	

Original Application No.	of 20 District
	Applicant/s
(Advocate	<u></u> )
•	versus
m, a	
The State	e of Maharashtra and others
•	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	······································
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.07.2018
	O. A. No.1109 of 2017
	K.V. GiriApplicant
·	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
. 1 _	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad holding for Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the
DATE 2014/18	Respondents.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2.
APPEARANCE: Tagdala	3. Learned Advocate requests for adjournment by two weeks.
Advocate for the Applicant Shows - U.S. N.G. Gohad	3. S.O. to 03.08.2018.
Short III N. G. G. Short III N. G.	
3/2/18.	Sd/-
Adj./5.0. to 3/8/18.	(P.N. DIXIT)
	MEMBER (A)

Original Application No.	of 20	Distri	CT
			, Applicant/s
(Advocate			
	verst	14	
·	ne State of Mahara		•
11	ne State of Manara	ishtra and others	
(Presenting Officer			Respondent/s
(1 resenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	s or	Tribunal's order	r's
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>O.A. No.230 c</u>	of 2016
	G.R. k	Chopkar Vs.	Applicant
•	The St	tate of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
		Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, eant and Shri K.B. Bhise, I Respondents.	
	Accou	Ld. Advocate for the tions were given to the ntant to consider his repressing of HRA at the revise 90.	e Respondents and his esentation regarding non-
0017/18	3.	Ld. PO seeks two weeks tim	ne.
DATE 20 7 8 CORAM	4.	S.O. to 3.8.2018.	
Hea'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	J 3.	Steno copy and hamdast d to communicate this order	is granted. Ld. PO is to the respondents.
Shri/Smt J. N. Kand	<u>e</u>	• .	Sd/-
Advocate for the Applicant			(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.7.2018
ShritSmt - K 13 : BWS C -C.PO/P.O. for the Respondent/s	(sgj)		20.7.2010
Adj. 5.0. to 3/8/18.	geuf_		

Original Application No.	of 20	District	
•	•		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versu:	ş	
Tł	e State of Mahara		
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	.*		Kespondenos
-	***************************************		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribanal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's orders	
		O.A. No.911 of 201	6
	Y.A. K	ale Vs.	Applicant
	The Sta	ite of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
DATE 2014/18	Applica Officer  2. order of First C The ord was no contend crimina	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learn and Miss Savita Suryawansh for the Respondents.  Ld. Advocate for the Applican lated 11.8.2017 passed by Ld. lass, Yeola, Nasik in Criminal Cler states that the accused is disc criminal case against him. There is that non considering him il case would be inappropriate. Here to Ld. PO.	i, learned Presenting of furnishes copy of Judicial Magistrate, Case No.93 of 2012, charged. Thus, there refore, Ld. Advocate for this particular
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member)	"	Ld. PO seeks two weeks time t structions from the respondents.	o communicate and
APPEARANCE: sherids	represer by 23.7	Ld. Advocate for the applicant so nation along with order to the 7.2018. He requests that the re-	competent authority
Advocate for the Applicant	1	to consider the same on merits.	
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	represe	Respondent no.1 is directed ntation as and when received on n	
AGE 0.10 30/8/18	6.	S.O. to 30.8.2018.	
, Land	Decol .		Sd/-
	7		P.N. Dixit)

20.7.2018

Original Application No.	of 20	District
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	vers	us
The Sta		ashtra and others
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tvibanai's orders
	Date	: 20.07.2018
•	<b>4.</b>	O. A. No. 106 of 2017
	R. V.	Pawar & OrsApplicant
•	Vers	is ·
	The S	tate of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
DATE 20718		Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., the learned atting Officer for the Respondents.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2.	Adjourned to 27.07.2018.
APPEARANCE: T		
Shrism K. R. Togdolo		Sd/-
Advocate for the Applicant		(P.N <sup>!</sup> DIXIT)
M. J Q.W	VSM	MEMBER (A)
Shrifsmi. HO CLOUD ON THE C.F.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	•	
74/4/18.		
Adi /S O 10	- 1	

Original Application No.	of 20	Distric	τ
			Applicant/s
(Advocate			
	versus		
Th	ne State of Maharasht	ra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Respondences
(Tresenting Witch			
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's order	: Or	Tribanal's orders	<b>.</b>
	Date : 20.0	07.2018	
		O. A. No.339 of	2018
	U.B. Jaran	de	Applicant
	Versus		
	The State o	of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
DATE 2017/18	Advocate f	ord Shri G. A. Bandiv For the Applicant and Sm Desenting Officer for the Ro	nt. Kranti Gaikwad, the
CORAM	2. The	matter pertains to	absorption, hence by
Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	consent tra	ansferred to Division Bend	ch,
APPEARANCE: Shrifsmer G. A. Boenc	licanodoton	•	6d/- •
Advocate for the Applicant		(P.N.	DIXIT)
Shri/Smt. 14 S. Gaileo C.F.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	vsm	MEM	BER (A)
to absorption, he by consent teams to Division Benc	nae I		
- · · · · ·	e les		

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT.	
		Applica	.nt/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		
Th.	ie State of Maharashtra a	and others	
		Responde	nt/e
(Presenting Officer			310.5
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribuant's orders directions and Registrac's ord	υr	Tribunal's orders	<del></del>
	Date : 20.07.2	018	
·		O. A. No.427 of 2018	
	R. J. Sawant	Applicant	
	Versus		
	The State of M	aharashtra & OrsResponde	nts.
DATE 2017/18	the learned A	thri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms learned Presenting Officer for	N.G.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A		Advocate for the Applicant mention amend the Original Application.	s that
APPEARANCE: Social Strivent S.S.D. Care Advocate for the Applicant	the Finance D Rejection was o	I P.O. for the Respondents states that made by the Applicant was consider pepartment and same has been rejudent manufacted to the Applicant 23.11.2	ed by ected.
Strivent Us. N.G. Coh	4. S.O. to	27.07.2018.	
Adj./S.O. to 27 7/18.	<b>P</b> ad	Sd/-	•
	<del>-/</del>	(P.N. DIXIT) MEMBER (A)	
	VSM	MITIMEL (V)	,

Original Application No.	of 20	District	
		•	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		·
The	e State of Maharashtra	and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	<i>/</i>	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orde	or '	Tribanal's orders	
	Date : 20.0	7,2018	
		O. A. No.1047 of	2017
	J. D. Ade		Applicant
•	Versus	•	
	The State o	f Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
DATE 2017/18	the Applic	rd Shri K. R. Jagdale, the ant and Smt. Archana Officer for the Responde	a B. K., the learned
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Adjo	ourned to 07.09.2018.	
APPEARANCE: Shriksme-K.R. Jagde	ela	•	<b>~ </b>
0			DIXIT)
Advocate for the Applicant		•	BER (A)
Shri/Smt.: ASA CARANA R	VSM		
Adj./S.O. to 7/9/18	<del></del>		
	weet !		

Original Application No.	of 20	I	DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		. %
	versus		
The Sta	ate of Maharas	htra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	tvespondenivs
<u> </u>	···	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caran Appearance, Tribunar's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	u, :	Tribonal's	briters
			7
	Date : 2	20.07.2018	
		0.4.810	1069 of <b>2</b> 017
		O. A. NO.	1009 01 2017
•	A. M. S	onar	Applicant
	Versus		· ·
	. The Sta	te of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.
	<b>1</b> .	Heard Shri Ć. T. Cha	ndratre, the learned Advocate
1.10	:		I. K. Rajpurohit, the learned
DATE \$20/7/18		_	Respondents 1 & 2 and 4 and
CORAM.	Į.	M. Savagave, the dent No.3.	e learned Advocate for the
Hon'bla Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	Kespon	dent No.3.	•
APPEARANCE:		Adjourned to 02.08.	2018.
Strifem - S.T. chands	ætie	•	-
Advocate for the Applicant			
· ·	.4		_ Sd/-
Shrivent N. H. Respondents No.	(Q.2)		(P.N.'DIXIT)
24. A.M. Savagave to	cr		MEMBER (A)
- Acij. 18.0. to 10. Mar. 3	VSM		•
5.0. to 2/8/18.	est		

Öriginal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	•
		٠.	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
•			
	versus		
The Stat	e of Maharashtra ar	ad others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		.)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 20.07.20	18	-
	·	O. A. No.1094 of 2	017
	S. T. Morale		Applicant
	Versus		
	The State of Mal	narashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
DATE 20/7/18	the learned Adv Gohad holding	vocate for the Appl	ng for Shri S.S. Dere, licant and Ms N. G. vanshi, the learned ts.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	2. Original / hearing on 27.07		ed and kept for final
APPEARANCE: Similarity M. D. Ladem olding for S.S. Dez	•	Sc	d/-
Advocate for the Applicant  Shall rot (12. S. Scane gove)  GHOPO. for the Respondente	VSM	(P.N. D MEMBI	
Adj./S.O. to			

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### 20.07.2018

#### M.A 284/2018 in O.A 711/2014

and the second and published the second second by

Shri Suryakant B. Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend the O.A.
- Leave granted. Applicant is permitted to amend the O.A as per the draft amendment incorporated in para 6 of this M.A.
- Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended copy be served on the Respondents.
- Misc Application allowed.

Sd/-(м.п. човал, оуд (

CORAM:

Hon'bie Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shrasan IX. B. C

C.P.OrP.O. for the Respondent/s

-Adj. 60 to M.A. is allow

Akn

nga katalog kanada Maringgoro ng Maringgoro Katalog kanada ng Katalog Maringgoro

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

20.07.2018

M.A 19/2018 in O.A 203/2017

Shrí S.B Kokare ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned advocate for applicant states that note for speaking to the minutes may be allowed to be withdrawn.
- Note for speaking to the minutes stand disposed of as withdrawn.

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shrifting Ms. S. Scenyae oranging Composition of the Respondent's

Akn

Mote foor speaking to the nimetes stand disposed of as withdrawn Sd/-

) W

(A.H Josh), J.) Chairman

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

ing the material and a second of A. A. A. Carlotte and A. A. Carlotte and A. C

#### 20.07.2018

#### O.A. 661/2018

Shri S.S Panajkar

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

- 1. Heard Shri S.P Saxena, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice returnable on 28.8.2018
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O 28.8.2018.

X

3d/-

(A.H Joski, J.)

DATE : 20 4 18

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Smiler S.P. Scarena

Advanta for the Applicant

C.P.C.P.D. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 28 8/18.

**May** 

Original Application No. 0	f 20 District
•	Applicant/s
(Advocate	)
	versus
The State	of Maharashtra and others
	Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 20.07.2018.
	O.A.No.535 of 2018
	Dr. A.A. Mahulkar & OrsApplicants.
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the
	Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents
DATE : 2017/18	Ms. S.P. Manchekar, adjourned to 02.08.2018.
CORAM:	<b>\</b>
Hon ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	Sd/-
APPEARANCE:	(A.H. Joshi J.) (Chairman
	prk
Advocate for the Applicant Charling Als. S. P. Maucha Kar.	
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj./5.0. to 2/8/18 at the	
sequest of C.P.O.	
4	

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### 20.07.2018

#### O.A 1017/2017

Shri K.N Gawade

... Applicants

Vs

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

- None for the applicant. Heard Ms Manchekar, learned C.P.O, for the Respondents.
- Learned C.P.O states that the decision in respect of the departmental enquiry which is already concluded is required to be taken. Though communication is received praying for 4 weeks' time, sometime may be granted.
- Learned C.P.O was called to take instruction as to who is competent authority for taking decision. Learned CPO states that Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, is the competent authority.
- This Tribunal sees no reason for granting longer adjournment. Let decision be taken within one week and outcome be reported on the next date,
- 5. S.O 30.7.2018.
- Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

CORAM:

Horrbie Justice Shif A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Advectate for the Applicant

C.P.O<del>.H.O.</del> for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 30/7/18.