

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.546 OF 2018
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.796 OF 2018**

Shri Sunil Vishwanath Mohite.)...Applicant

Versus

**1. The Deputy Director of Land Records,)
Kolkán Division, Mumbai & Anr.)...Respondents**

Smt. Sangita Dongre holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, Advocate for Applicant.

Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 20.06.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Sangita Dongre holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A.No.796/2018 for direction in the matter of appointment on compassionate ground.

W. H. K.

3. The father of the Applicant viz. Vishwanath Mohite, who was in the employment with Respondents died in harness on 04.04.2007 leaving behind him three sons viz. Kishor, Rakesh, Sunil i.e. Applicant and widow. After the death of Vishwanath, his elder son Kishor applied for appointment on compassionate ground on 19.10.2007. Unfortunately, he died on 18.06.2012 and till his death, he did not receive the appointment. Thereafter, the Applicant's elder brother Rakesh died on 13th July, 2014. In the meantime, the Respondents being not aware about the death of Kishor, issued appointment order of Kishor on compassionate ground on 02.04.2013, which was not communicated to the family. It is on this background, the Applicant had made an application for grant of appointment on compassionate ground on 08.01.2014. However, it came to be rejected by order dated 19.03.2014 which is challenged in the O.A.

4. In O.A, the Office raised objection on the ground of limitation since the impugned order under challenge is being questioned after the period of limitation of one year is over. The Applicant has, therefore, filed the present M.A. contending that in fact, the order dated 19.03.2014 was not at all served upon any of the member of the family and for the first time, they came to know about the order in view of filing of the copy of order during the pendency of this O.A. The Applicant, therefore, contends that in fact, there is no question of limitation as the impugned order dated 19.03.2014 was not at all served on any of the member of the family.

5. Whereas, the learned P.O. sought to contend that the order dated 19.03.2014 was sent to the mother of the Applicant through Post and she must have received the same. The learned P.O. has shown Outward Register wherein there is entry of dispatch of the letter.

6. However, there is nothing in Dispatch Register to show about the mode of communication of the impugned order as to whether it is by Registered Post or

by other mode. The Applicant has categorically stated that no such order was served upon his mother. Admittedly, it was not sent by Registered Post. As such, it cannot be said with certainty that the said communication was really received by the mother of the Applicant or by any other member of the family. As such, in absence of service of impugned order, the question of starting of limitation does not survive.

7. Apart, this is a matter relating to appointment on compassionate ground. After the death of Vishwanath, the family had undergone trauma and tragedy of death of two younger sons of Vishwanath. His elder son Kishor, who had applied for appointment on compassionate ground on 18.06.2012, but the Department was not aware about his death and in ignorance of it, issued appointment order on 02.04.2013 which in fact was not communicated to the family. Thereafter, the Applicant's elder brother Rakesh also expired on 30.07.2014 following heart attack. As such, the Applicant and his widowed mother are the surviving members and except Applicant, there seems to be no other earning member in the family. It is in this context, one needs to adopt justice oriented approach while deciding the application for condonation of delay and O.A. should not be rejected on hyper-technical ground. Needless to mention, the sufficiency of explanation or cause for delay is material and not the length of delay. Here, having considered the tragedy in the family of Applicant even assuming for a moment that the impugned order was served upon family, in my considered opinion, the delay deserves to be condoned.

8. There is another angle of the matter. The application made by the Applicant was rejected by impugned order dated 19.03.2014 on the ground that there is no provision in G.R. dated 03.01.2011 for substitution of heir in waiting list for the appointment on compassionate ground. Whereas, the facts of present case are very peculiar in the sense that the elder son Kishor who applied for compassionate appointment died on 18.06.2012 and till death, he did not receive

appointment order. After his death, the Department being not aware about his death, issued order of appointment on 02.04.2013. Here, it may be noted that by G.R. dated 20.05.2015, the provision is made for substitution of heir in waiting list in case of his death. True, the impugned order was passed before issuance of G.R. dated 20.05.2015. However, fact remains that by G.R. dated 20.05.2015, the provision is made by the Government for substitution of heir in case of his death. This being so, if the matter is examined in the light of G.R. dated 20.05.2015, the rejection on the ground that there is no provision of substitution of heir may not be sustainable in law. I, therefore, think it just and appropriate to allow the application for condonation of delay and to decide the matter on merit. The Misc. Application No.546/2018 is accordingly allowed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)

Member-J

skw

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.796/18</u></p> <p>Shri S.V. Mohite ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Sangita Dongre holding for Shri D.S. Pagare and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents. 2. In view of order passed in M.A.546/2018, the delay is condoned. 3. Issue notice returnable on 8th July, 2019. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 8th July, 2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 2006.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.415 of 2019</p> <p>A. D. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougue, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has sought limited relief of direction to Respondent No.1 to decide his appeal filed on 04.07.2016 within stipulated period. Having notice that the appeal is not decided though the period of three years is over, the Tribunal on 07.06.2019 passed the order of issuance of show cause notice to Respondent No.1 to explain the delay in disposal of appeal.</p> <p>3. Today, in response to order passed by this Tribunal on 07.06.2019, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit of Shri Vijay Damodar Patil, Deputy Secretary, in the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. In Paragraph Nos.4 and 5 of the appeal, it is stated as follows:-</p> <p>"4. I humbly say and submit that I ought to have observed the due diligence, in which I had failed. I say and submit that, regarding appeal of the applicant this department has made various attempt for deciding the same. However, due to some unavoidable circumstances the appeal could not be decided in time. Subsequently, the said appeal of the applicant was heard on 17.06.2019 by the competent authority i.e. Hon'ble Minister of State (Home) (Urban). The copy of the order will be provided to the applicant as soon as order is issued. The copy of the attendance regarding hearing of appeal is annexed hereto and marked as Exb.R-1s.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. I tender my unconditional apology for the lapse which has occurred on my part as stated in above paras. I tender my humbly apology before this Hon'ble Tribunal and request to accept the same. I further assure that hereinafter I will personally monitor and keep a vigil on every case and I will comply the directions within stipulated period as directed by the Hon'ble Tribunal."

4. Thus what transpired that it is only after filing of this O.A., the Respondents No.1 has woke up and hearing was taken place before the Hon'ble Minister on 17.06.2019. Learned P.O. submitted that as appeal is already heard, the order will be passed soon.

5. Shri Vijay D. Patil, Deputy Secretary who has filed Affidavit and expected remain present in the Tribunal to explain the steps taken by him in the appeal but absent. In Affidavit, he admits that he could not observe due diligence in the matter. Though he tried to explain that the department has made various attempts for deciding the same and due to some unavoidable situation the appeal could not be decided in time, the Affidavit is silent about the attempts allegedly made by him as well as about what unavoidable situation existed for not deciding the appeal in time. As such, the reply to the show cause notice is unsatisfactory. Lethargy and negligence on the part of concerned officials for not placing the appeal before the Hon'ble Minister for hearing of the appeal is obvious and it needs to be enquired into so as to fix the responsibility of the concerned person for negligence in discharging the duties.

6. In view of above, the Respondent No.1 is directed to cause enquiry about delay in placing the appeal before the Hon'ble Minister for hearing within reasonable time and shall fix the accountability and shall take appropriate action.

7. Action taken report be submitted to this Tribunal within a month from today without fail.

8. In so far as the appeal is concerned, as the hearing is already concluded, the Respondent No.1 shall ensure that the order is passed thereon within one month from today and it shall be communicated to Applicant within a week thereafter.

9. With this direction, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> S.O.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Head <u>Order passed in Tribunal Column S.O to 3/7/19</u></p> <p></p>	<p><u>20.06.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 236/2019</u></p> <p>Shri G.G Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that answer to the query posed in para no. 2 of order dated 10.6.2019 is adverse to the Government and hence corrective measures will have to be taken within one week.</p> <p>3. In view of request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O to 3.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate _____)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/19</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>For Applicant : <u>Shri V.N. Shekdar</u></p> <p>For Respondents : <u>Smt K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>Appr. : <u>Heard</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column.</p> <p>Hence C.A and M.A are disposed of with liberty.</p> <p><u>e</u></p>	<p><u>20.06.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 276/2019 in C.A 16/2019 in O.A 197/2013.</u></p> <p>Shri B.V. Lamkhade Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.N Shekdar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant states that applicants are present and they want to withdraw the present C.A and M.A with liberty to file fresh for challenging order dated 9.10.2017 issued by Dy. E.E, the Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>3. Hence C.A & M.A are disposed of with liberty.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- <u>ahw</u> (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.43 of 2019

G.P. Deshmukh ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar. learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.4 and the same is taken on record. Ld. CPO states that reply on behalf of respondent no.3 is already covered in affidavit of reply of respondent no.4. Hence, it is not necessary.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

DATE: 20/6/19

CORAM:

Member Judge: Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)
Member: P.N. DIXIT (Vice-Chairman)
Member: _____ (Member)

APPEARANCE:


Shri/Smt: K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar


C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Admit.

Sd/- 

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2019</u></p> <p>COBAM: _____</p> <p>Member Justice: <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Member: <u>P. N. DIXIT (Vice-Chairman)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: _____</p> <p>Sri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant _____</p> <p>Sri/Smt. <u>S. P. Mahajan</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s _____</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>27/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1134 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.K. BhosaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Id. CPO is directed to communicate to the respondents to file reply within one week positively.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 20.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>COBAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri S. P. Manjekar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Y.B. Lengare Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manjekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: 25/6/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ML</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.989 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.Y. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manjekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO undertakes to file reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests that the present OA may be tagged with OA No.1130/2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 20.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jais (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Justice S.K. Kulkarni (Member-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Y.B. Lengare Advocate for applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: 25/6/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.990 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.S. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO undertakes to file reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests that the present OA may be tagged with OA No.1130/2018.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 20.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.119 of 2019

A.T. Sakore ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and 5 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests that the reply may be filed at the earliest. He states that documents mentioned by him are with respondent no.5 and handovers copy of list of documents for which inspection is called for.

3. Ld. CPO is directed to inform respondent no.5 to provide him inspection in his office of the concerned documents and comply with the order issued on 11.2.2019. Inspection should be completed within a week from today.

4. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 seeks a week's time to file reply and states that on 8.4.2019 he made a representation to respondent no.3-MPSC to furnish supporting documentary evidence.

5. Ld. CPO states that reply from respondent no.3 will be filed within a week and mentions that she would positively take instructions from other respondents whether they propose to file reply or otherwise.

6. S.O. to 28.6.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 20/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jadhav (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: B.R. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3 & 5.

Adv. A.V. Bandiwadekar for R. 4.

Adv. To: 20/6/2019

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.138 of 2019

P.V. Kharade ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar. learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to find out the status regarding instructions issued by this Tribunal on 26.4.2019.

3. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 4.7.2019.

DATE: 20/6/2019

~~GOVERNOR~~

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/7/2019 at the request of Ld. CPO.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/- *[Signature]*

(P.N. DIXIT)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.137 of 2019

B.N. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to find out the status regarding instructions issued by this Tribunal on 26.4.2019.
- At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 4.7.2019.

Sd/- 
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 20/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jasti (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Manchekar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. on 4/7/2019 at the
request of Ld. CPO.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.158 of 2019

S.R. Putta ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 4.7.2019.

Sd/- 

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgj)

~~DATE:~~ 20/6/2019

~~GORAM:~~

Hon'ble J. Shri A. M. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Sr. P.N. DIXIT (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To. 4/7/2019



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>GRAM: Shri. P.N. Dixit (V/C-A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.L. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri D. V. Manjekar (Member A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. Punam Mahajan, Ld. Adv. with Ms. S.A. Naik Ld. Advocate for the Applicant Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: O.A. is withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.N.</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.478 of 2019 (Subject : Extension of age of superannuation)</p> <p>Dr. A.B. NaikApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate with Ms. S.A. Naik, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan for the Applicant appears and seek permission to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan further requested, for the period which was protected by this Tribunal during which the Applicant has performed his duties, the necessary salary for the same may be paid.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar confirms that he has worked during the period and has no objection for the same.</p> <p>5. Respondents are accordingly directed to do needful. Interim relief stay granted earlier is cancelled and permission granted to withdraw the O.A. Necessary action may be taken within the period of eight weeks from today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/06/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P.H. Dixit C/JC-A7 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri A.D. Karanjkar (Member-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: S.S. Jadhav Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: 27/7/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.H. A.D.</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1209 of 2016</p> <p>R.D. BarveApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We have heard the matter. It came to our notice that the Applicant has challenged the action of the Respondent No.2 recommending the names of the Respondents No.3 to 9. The Applicant has challenged the recommendation of Respondents No.4 to 9 particularly on the ground that they were not possessing essential educational qualification and the experience as per the Advertisement.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.2, M.P.S.C. has taken the stand that formal scrutiny of the documents submitted by the Respondent Nos.3 to 9 was made by the M.P.S.C. and for detail scrutiny request was made to the Respondent No.1. It was contended by Respondent No.2 that as there was no machinery available for the proper scrutiny of the documents regarding authenticity of documents, therefore, it was for the Respondent No.1 to examine the same. On perusal of the record, it came to our notice that though serious allegations are made in this regard, Respondent No.1 has avoided to submit reply to the O.A.</p> <p>4. In this background, in order to have proper adjudication of the grievance of the Applicant we find it suitable to direct the Secretary of the Respondent No.1 to file detail affidavit in relation to the allegations made against the recommendations of Respondents No.3 to 9. Respondent No.1 shall comply this order within two weeks.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P. A. Dixit (V.C.-A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. D. Karanjkar (Member) A. J.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadker Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: Issue notice before admission returnable on 18/7/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">JL</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.559 of 2019</p> <p>P.S. PerelraApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.07.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 18.07.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P. N. Dixit (C.V.C.-N) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: A.V. Bandiwadker Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: Issue notice before admission returnable on 11/7/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.533 of 2019</p> <p>P.M. LondheApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 11.07.2019. 9. Issue regarding interim relief would be considered next time. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (C.V.C-A) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. V. Chandrashekhar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: Issue notice before admission returnable on 19/7/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Ble</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.511 of 2019</p> <p>C.R. PillayApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard V. Chandrashekhar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.07.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 19.07.2019. 9. The Applicant is directed to satisfy the Tribunal regarding limitation. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/19</p> <p>FORAM: Shri P.A. Dixit (Uk-A) Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) A.D. Karanjkar Rameshkumar (Member) A-J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: M.O. Lonkar</p> <p>ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT: S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>ADVOCATE FOR THE RESPONDENT/S: C.P.O./P.G. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Issue notice before admission returnable on 18/7/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.573 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. JaiswalApplicant. Versus The State of MaharashtraRespondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.07.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 18.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.326 of 2019

D.G. Choudhari ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 4.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 20/6/2019

COBA:

Hon. J. J. (Chairman)

Hon. P.N. Dixit (M.C. A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/7/2019

Handwritten signature

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.289 of 2019 with M.A. No.158 of 2019

S.T. Joseph & Ors. .Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply in OA as well as MA.

3. S.O. to 4.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 20/6/2019

CORAM

Chairman

Vice-Chairman P.N. Dixit (V/C-N)

APPEARANCE

Applicant Punam Mahajan

Advocate

Respondent S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. / P.O.

Adj. To 4/7/2019

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>FORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-N)</p> <p>Hon'ble: A.D. Karanjkar (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble: _____ (Member-A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: A.V. Bandiwadker</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad</p> <p>C.P.O./P.G. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: O.A. is disposed off as requested by Ld. Adv. for the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">14/7 D.K.</p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.797 of 2014 (Subject : Pay Fixation)</p> <p>G.N. DongarkarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Disposed off as requested by learned Advocate for the Applicant with liberty to file fresh O.A. on the ground other than discrimination, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman(A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (C.V.C.-A) Hon'ble Justice Shri H. J. Joshi (Chairman) A.D. Karanjkar Hon'ble Shri A. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>Shri/s: M.R. Patil</p> <p>Advocate: Applicant</p> <p>Shri/s: S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: O.A. stands disposed off with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.404 of 2018 (Subject : Promotion)</p> <p>V.P. PawarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is the grievance of the Applicant that he is physically handicapped person and the Government is giving go by to the statutory policy which is confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court and not giving promotion to the Applicant though the post is available.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submitted that when the Department contacted G.A.D., instructions were received that the Department is at liberty to follow the policy after decision by the G.A.D. This statement is made in the reply dated 04.08.2018. In spite of much time no decision is taken by the G.A.D to determine the policy to give promotion to Physically Handicapped Person.</p> <p>4. During the course of arguments it is observed that though this matter is going on since 2016 till today no firm decision is taken by the G.A.D. from the date of this order.</p> <p>5. In our opinion no just reason is shown why there is delay in taking decision. Statutory provision is made for the benefit of Physically Handicapped Person, consequently inaction of the Government remaining silent is causing prejudice to the interest of the handicapped persons.</p> <p>6. In this situation, we are of the firm view to direct the G.A.D. to decide its policy within the period of 30 days from the date of this order.</p> <p>7. O.A. stands disposed off with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(I) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.429 of 2019

A.M. Mandape ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
- 3. S.O. to 18.7.2019.

Sd/- 
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgj)

~~DATE:~~ 20/6/2019
~~CORAM:~~ Smt. P.N. Dixit C/Ch. A)
~~Hos.:~~ (P.N. Dixit - Chairman)
~~Hos.:~~ S.K. Kumar (Member) A
APPEARANCE:
~~Smt/Smt.:~~ Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Smt/Smt.:~~ S.P. Mahajan
~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents:~~

18/7/2019 to file reply.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Apparance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.462 of 2019

M.P. Gurav & Ors. ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri A. Gogate, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Ld. CPO are directed to satisfy the Tribunal regarding jurisdiction of this Tribunal to entertain this OA.

3. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.3 files reply and the same is taken on record.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time for attaching copy of the OA and Writ Petition filed before the Hon'ble High Court at Nagpur Bench.

5. S.O. to 4.7.2019 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 20/6/2019

CORAM

Mem'h (Chairman)

Mem'h (Member) P.N. DIXIT (CVS-A)

APPEARANCE

Shri/S. R.M. Kolge

ADVOCATE

Shri/Smt. S.P. MANCHEKAR

CPO/AO for the Respondent/s

shri A. Gogate Ld. Adv. for R no.3

Adj. To: 4/7/2019 at the

request of Ld. Adv. for the appl.

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.472 of 2019

S.B. Kashid ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Aditya Bhagat, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment to enclose relevant documents regarding developments in the matter.

3. S.O. to 27.6.2019 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.

DATE: 20/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jashi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Aditya Bhagat Ld. Adv.
holding for Shri M.V. Thorat

Shri S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

(sgj)

Sd/ -

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

Adj To: 27/6/2019 at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant.

MTD

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (V/C)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Sanjay Kulkarni Ld. Advocate for the Respondents Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To..... 11/7/2019. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Y.K.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.476 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sanjay Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.7.2019. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 20.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.190 of 2019

S.S. Mohare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.
- 3. S.O. to 11.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sg)

DATE: 20/6/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri. P.N. Dixit (C.A.)
Hon'ble Shri. S. P. Manchekar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. / B.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 11/7/2019

S.P.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.230 of 2019

B.M. Thakur ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.
- 3. S.O. to 27.6.2019.

DATE: 20/6/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 27/6/2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
20.6.2019

(sg)

[Handwritten signature]


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble J.A. - Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. - P. N. DIXIT (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. - S. P. Manchekar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Smt/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 17/7/2019 by way of last chance</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>SGI</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.274 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Kurhade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that she proposes to make a representation to the concerned authority for providing provisional pension at the earliest. The respondents to consider the same.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO prays for four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.7.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 20.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 20/6/2019</p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramreshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri /Smt. : S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O / B.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... MA is disposed off accordingly.</p>	<p><u>M.A. No.177 of 2019 in O.A. No.274 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Kurhade ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant who is present in person along with her Advocate stats that as prayed in the MA she has received GPF in April 2019, GIS in May, 2019 and has received intimation regarding leave encashment on 19.6.2019 from the respondents.</p> <p>3. In view of the above the prayers made in the MA are accomplished and, therefore, MA does not survive and is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 20.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2019.

O. A. No.575 of 2019

D. A. Bharmade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 08.07.2019.
9. The issue of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.646 of 2016</p> <p>S. P. SableApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed for final hearing but at the very outset, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the relief claimed pertains not only deemed date but it pertains to the promotion to the post of Tahsildar. Therefore, the matter should be go to Division Bench.</p> <p>3. Perusal of relief clause also makes it clear that the Applicant is claiming promotion to the post of Tahsildar. Presently, he is working as Naib-Tahsildar.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the offices is directed to examine the matter and place it before the appropriate bench.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 08.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2019.

O. A. No.570 of 2019

P. B. Sure

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.07.2019

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 02.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes/ Office Memoranda of Coram,
Annex rance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2019.

O. A. No.569 of 2019

M. V. Mengarti

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 02.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2019.

O. A. No.568 of 2019

A. D. Sawant

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2019.

O. A. No.563 of 2019

Mohd.H. H. Kacchi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
(A.P. Kurhekar) Applicant/s
Member(J)

Advocate vsm

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.06.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.393, 394 & 396 of 2019</p> <p>P. M. Mire & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants. Shri A. J. Chougule, Smt Archana B. K. and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that due to some difficulties the concerned officer has not come for affirmation but he is ready to furnish un-affirmed copy of the reply to the learned Counsel for the Applicant today and will file an affirmed copy of Affidavit-in-Reply in Tribunal on 24.06.2019.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 24.06.2019 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>2016/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Disposed of in view of compliance.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>R</u></p>	<p><u>20.06.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 27/2018 in O.A 1033/2016.</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Tokare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Disposed of in view of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>R</u> Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.A) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2019.

O. A. No.562 of 2019

D. B. More

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 20.06.2019. Tribunal's orders

O. A. No.556 of 2019

S. R. KhandagaleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 26.06.2019.
9. Issue of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

DATE : 20/6/19.
CORAM :
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. Dr. G. Sadavarte

For the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
 For the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 5/8/19.

*Head
 order passed in
 Tribunal @ Mumbai
 5070 5/8/19.*

20.06.2019

O.A 316/2019

Shri K.D Binner & Ors ... Applicants
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 5.8.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O to 5.8.2019.

Sd/-
 (A.H Joshi, *[Signature]*
 Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

20.06.2019

M.A 315/2019 in O.A 316/2019.

Shri K.D Binner & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.


3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A is allowed.

DATE : 20/6/19,
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. Dr. G. Sadavarte,
Advocate for the Applicants
Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad,
C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj./S.O. to Heard
M.A is allowed

[Handwritten mark]

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

4

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/6/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar Applicant Smt. K.S. Gaikwad to the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to 5/8/19. </p>	<p>20.06.2019</p> <p>C.A 6/2019 in O.A No 1184 /2017 with C.A 7/2019 in O.A 1185/2017.</p> <hr/> <p>Shri S.B Gaikwad Shri B.S Jadhav ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicants adjourned to 5.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.H Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>