

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

C.A.No.34 of 2017 in O.A.NO.1053 of 2016

K.A. Shinde

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Shri K.A. Shinde, Applicant in person.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman

DATE : 20.06.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.A. Shinde, Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. During the course of hearing, learned P.O. has tendered copy of order dated 19.06.2017.
3. On perusal of the said order it is seen that :-
 - (a) The order is passed by the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and authenticated by the Additional Commissioner of Police, Mumbai in which, the manner in which the period of T.B. leave of the applicant is decided is stated.
 - (b) In the said order at paragraph 4 it refers to intervening period.
4. Applicant states that he is satisfied with the manner in which his T.B. leave is sanctioned / dealt with. However, he is not satisfied with the manner in which the intervening period is to be treated is dealt with. According to Applicant, all points raised by him in his application (copy where of is on record of Contempt Petition at page 17 ought to have been dealt), which has not been done.

5. It has transpired during the hearing that, in fact the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai was required to decide the Applicant's application which is filed by the Applicant on 13.02.2017 (copy whereof is at page 17 of C.A. paper book). The observations contained in the decision order dated 19.06.2017 does not contain explicate reference to the pleas and contentions referred to by the applicant in his application dated 13.02.2017.

6. The hearing was adjourned at the request of learned P.O. for enabling learned P.O. to discuss the matter with the Officers and come with the proper response. Learned P.O. has tendered copy of order received from the Additional Commissioner of Police, Armed Force, Naigaon, Mumbai.

7. The order contains following text :-

"02. It is submitted that the order dated 19/06/2017 approved by C.P., Mumbai on file so far as treating the intervening period w.e.f. 06/05/2014 to 22/08/2016 and 23/08/2016 to 02/02/2017, it is requested that said portion may kindly be allowed to be considered, keeping in view the representations made by the applicant on 03/02/2017 and 13/02/2017 and liberty to issue fresh order as per law with this regard. The statement is being made in anticipation of approval of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai."

(Quoted from letter dated 20.06.2017)


8. In addition learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows :-


(a) Applicant's application dated 13.02.2017 would be considered and the decision would be communicated to the Applicant forthwith.

(b) Two week's time may be granted for taking decision.

9. For reporting as pleaded adjourned 05.07.2017.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. has directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.


(Rajendra Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman


(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.536 OF 2017

R.M. Manale & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman

DATE : 20.06.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. has tendered communication dated 20.06.2017 received from the Government, it accompanies the statement to be made before this Tribunal. It is taken on record.
3. Paragraphs 10 and 11 of the said statement reads as follows :-
“१०. मा. सर्वोच्च न्यायालयाने आर.के. संभरवाल आणि इतर विरुद्ध पंजाब राज्य यांच्या प्रकरणी दिलेल्या निर्णयाच्या अनुषंगाने शासनाने असा निर्णय घेतला होता की, केंद्र शासनाने किंवा इतर राज्य शासनांनी आदेश निर्गमित केल्यानंतर, शासन त्याप्रमाणे आदेश निर्गमित करील. केंद्र शासनाने त्यांच्या ज्ञापन दि. २ जुलै, १९९७ अन्वये सदर याचिका संदर्भातील मार्गदर्शक तत्वासंबंधीचे आदेश निर्गमित केले आहे. ते विचारात घेवून सेवा भरती व बिंदुनामावली संदर्भात राज्य शासन निर्णय दि. १८ ऑक्टोबर, १९९७ अन्वये आदेश निर्गमित केलेला आहे. सदर शासन निर्णयातील २.(१) मध्ये आरक्षण हे संवर्गाच्या पदसंख्येस (संवर्गातील एकूण पदांची संख्या विचारात घेवून टक्केवारी नुसार) लावावे. रिक्त होणा-या जागांच्या संदर्भात लावू नये, अशी तरतूद आहे. तसचे २ (३) मध्ये बिंदुनामावली रिक्त होणा-या पदांवर आधारित नसावी ती संवर्गाच्या संख्येवर आधारित असावी, अशी तरतूद आहे.

११. उप सचिव पदांवर सन २०१६-१७ मध्ये पदोन्नती देण्यांकरिता, उप सचिव संवर्गातील एकूण मंजूर पदसंख्या (१०५) व सदर संवर्गात प्रतिनियुक्ती रिक्त झालेली पदे (२३) विचारात घेवून आरक्षण निश्चिती करून देण्याबाबतचा प्रस्ताव साप्रवि/१४ कार्यासनाकडून प्राप्त झाला होता. त्यानुसार उपसचिव संवर्गात एकूण १२८ (१०५+२३) पदे विचारात घेऊन आरक्षण निश्चिती करून दिलेली आहे.”

(Quoted from enclosure of letter dated 20.06.2017.)

4. We find that the point of view expressed by the Government is on the lines of observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court judgment in case of R.K. Sabharwal Versus State of Punjab 1995 AIR 1371, 1995/ SCC(2) 745.

5. It is thus evident that even according to the Government the promotions have to be effected in strict adherence to the cadre strength. In view of the foregoing clarifications, the Government would be free to effect promotions taking into consideration the cadre strength and by reckoning the roster points to be observed for effecting promotions.

6. We expect that in the foregoing record and observations the State ought to make a positive statement instead of attaching contingencies.

7. For enabling the Government to make a statement, the case is adjourned 18.07.2017.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. JOSHI J.)
Chairman

prk

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No. 246 of 2017
In
O.A. No.531 of 2017

Shri Rahul D. Chaudhari & Ors. ... Applicants

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

Advocate for the Respondents

M.A. is allowed.

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant (Orig. Resp. No.4) Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam holding for C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adv. S.S. Dere adv. for R. 1 to 3. (Orig. Appls) Adv. To. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Id. Po. for Resps. 4 to 6. (Orig. Resps.) MA is allowed.</p>	<p><u>M.A. No.247 of 2017 in O.A. No.938 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.E. Hirde ..Applicant Vs. Vinod V. Chavan & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant-original Respondent No.4, Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondents No.1 to 3-original Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.4 to 6-original Respondents.</p> <p>2. The relief granted by this Tribunal on 5.12.2016 in OA No.614 of 2014 with OA No.938 of 2016 was granted after hearing. We see no reason to modify or alter it.</p> <p>3. M.A. stands rejected.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman 20.6.2017 (sgi)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 20.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> CEO/P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>1 & 2</u> Adv. <u>M.R. Patil for R.No. 3 to 7.</u> Adv. To <u>(in O.A. 614/14)</u> <u>Shri M.B. Kadam Ld. Adv. holding for</u> <u>Shri S.S. Dere Adv. for appl.</u> <u>(in O.A. 938/16)</u> <u>Shri K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for R. 1 to 3</u> <u>ds.</u> <u>Adv. C.T. Chandratre for R.4.</u> <u>(O.A. 938/16)</u></p> <p>OA shall come up for hearing in due course. Liberty to move.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p><u>O.As. No.614 of 2014 and 938 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.E. Hirde Shri V.V. Chavan & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 7 in OA.614/14.</p> <p>2. Also heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 & 5 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 in OA No.938/16.</p> <p>3. OAs shall come up for hearing in due course. Liberty to move.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 20.6.2017 (sgi)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 20.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.354 of 2017

Shri V.B. Patil ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Hèard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Last chance was already granted to the learned P.O. and, therefore, request for further time is rejected, making it clear, however, on the next date if the matter is called for hearing, if the reply is tendered it will be taken on record but no adjournment will be given.

S.O. to 11.07.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. KAHV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 11/7/17

(vsm)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.970 of 2016

Shri B.L. Kandekar ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate for the Applicant informs that the applicant does not want to file Rejoinder.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 11.07.2017/

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. KATVAGARWAL~~
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/ Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/ Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is admitted. (vsm)

S.O to 11/7/17.

FH
[Signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.388 of 2017

Shri S.M. Ghodake ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 11.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 11.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 11/7/17

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. KAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Archana B.K. S.O./P.O. for the Respondents Affidavit + Reply filed by Applicant & Reply filed by R.223. O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 4/7/17.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.470 of 2017</u></p> <p>Smt. C.P. Salokhe ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Reply is taken on record. The learned Advocate for the Applicant also tendered an Affidavit-in-Reply of the Applicant.</p> <p>The pleadings having been completed. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 04.07.2017.</p> <p>The Respondents will be allowed to file Affidavit-in-Sur-Rejoinder, if any, on the next date and not thereafter.</p> <p>S.O. to 04.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">7</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.987 of 2016

Shri B. S. BargeApplicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Circulated for withdrawal today. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the OA has worked itself out. In that view of the matter, the same is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs along with the interim order, if any.

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. KAJIVAGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. D. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

~~Smt. Punam Mahajan~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

holding for Shri K.S. Gaikwad

Adj. To:

O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn.

(vsm)

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

20.6.17

[Handwritten signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. R. B. AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>J. S. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 1/8/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.323 of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. V. U. Isane ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 01.08.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 01.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. <u>J. S. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 to 3</p> <p><u>Geeta Gavli</u> Room R. No. 425 Adj. to <u>425</u></p> <p>S.O. to 01/8/17.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.322 of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. P.W. Khandezod ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Smt. Geeta Gavli, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 01.08.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 01.08.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.543 of 2017

Smt. P. A. Patil ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

See the order dated 31.05.2017 in OA No. 445/2017 (Ms S.P. Rana V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) to the date next to the filing of the reply, the same observations apply hereto.

Issue notice returnable on 12.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 12.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member J)

APPEARANCES

Shri. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

Ch.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 12/7/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Smt. <u>B.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O./P.C. for the Respondents <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Caveator. Adj. To <u>S.O. to 4/7/17</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.546 of 2017</u> <u>(Caveat No.34 of 2017)</u></p> <p>Shri B.S. Kathepuri ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents and Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Caveator.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. KAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 18/7/17</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.547 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri C.K. Yerunkar ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Issue notice returnable on 18.07.2017.</p> <p>Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>S.O. to 18.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 20.06.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.450 of 2017

Shri P.Y. Shelar ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.3 is taken on record.

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 requests adjournment for Affidavit-in-Reply to 27.06.2017.

Interim relief is already granted and it continues till the date next to the filing of the reply.

S.O. to 27.06.2017.

DATE: 10/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. KAJIVAGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Archana B.K.

Advocate for the Respondents No 1 to 3.

A.V. Bandiwadekar

Adv. for R. No. 4.

Reply filed by R. No 3.

S.O. to 27/6/17.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
10.06.2017

(vsm)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./E.G. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>27/6/2017</u></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.452 of 2017</p> <p>C.J. Gudewar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents Smt. K.S. Gaikwad states as follows:- The matter has been re-examined and re-produced for appropriate action and now the case will be sent G.A.D. today.</p> <p>3. For reporting further progress, adjourned to 27.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>22/6/2017.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A no 228/2017</u></p> <p>Shri B.S Bhoje ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer S.O to 22.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>l</i> Sd/- <i>l</i> (Rajiv Aggarwal) (A.H Joshi, JJ) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal SMC</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>B.S. Shinde</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>28/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>12/17</u></p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 76/2016 in O.A 242/2015</u></p> <p>Shri V.D Kulkarni & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.S Shinde, learned advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer states that clarification is issued stating that Applicants would be entitled to salary and allowances from the date of judgment of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Applicants have made a grievance that so far actual implementation is not done.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O prays for time to make a statement and hence adjourned to 28.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>l</u> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <u>l</u> (A.H JOSHI, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>J.H. Ramugade</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>25/7/2017.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 504/2016 in O.A 48/1993</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Salgaonkar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.H. Ramugade, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>At the request of learned advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 25.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Aggarwal) (A.H Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Msmoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajeshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. H.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 28/6/2017. steno copy & Hamdast is allowed.</p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>C.A 20/2017 in O.A 599/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.G Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K Rajpurohit learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this case compliance of the order is reported. However, the compliance is belated. Learned C.P.O states that the then Divisional Commissioner, Shri Choklingam, will file affidavit of apology for his failure to attend to the matter punctually and assuring that in future he shall take care of all Court matters by personally making arrangements for looking into the case and by taking all precautionary measures.</p> <p>3. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.</p> <p>4. S.O to 28.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi (J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>R.G. Panchal</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>22/6/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>RTE</i></p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 537/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.R Mithapalli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>At the request of learned advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 22.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.S. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>22/6/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 200/2017 in O.A 82/2017</u></p> <p>Ms Dipika K. Khairnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Gaikwad, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that two days' time may be granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is permitted to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Fauzan holding for M.V. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/8/2017</u></p> <p><i>H.T.</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017</p> <p>O.A.No.207 of 2017</p> <p>A.J. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Fauzan, the learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondents did not get adequate notice.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the instructions are still awaited.</p> <p>4. In view that Applicants are not diligent in serving notice, we cannot blame the Respondents for not contacting the learned P.O.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.08.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>(Rajiv Agarwal)</u> Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.1121 of 2016

P.N. Shelke

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.**

DATE : 20.06.2017

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale prays for leave to amend and substitute the O.A.
3. According to the learned Advocate for the Applicant leave to substitute is necessary since subsequent developments require major amendments.
4. Leave to amend and substitute the O.A., add annexures, file synopsis and index as prayed for is granted.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant undertakes that if leave is granted, he shall carry out amendment within two weeks.
6. If the substitution is done, the affidavit-in reply already filed by the State be struck off by the registry and it be kept in second part.
7. After substitution issue notice returnable on 07.08.2017.
8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.
11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. S.O. to 07.08.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**Original Application No.765 of 2017
With
Original Application No.766 of 2017**

**Y.N. Patkar (O.A.No.765 of 2017)
S.N. Tapre (O.A.No.766 of 2017)**

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents

Shri S.D. Khati, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman.**

DATE : 20.06.2017

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri S.D. Khati, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Present O.A. is opposed by the Respondents by filing affidavit. It is affirmed by Shri Arvind John Motghare, District Treasury Officer, Sindhudurg. Affidavit is vague.
3. The Respondent No.3 is directed to file affidavit after objectively analyzing the case and stating in the affidavit as to whether the case of Applicant fits in the test laid down by full bench judgment of Hon'ble High Court in case of *Arun Vishwanath Sonone Vs. State of Maharashtra 2015(1)*

Mh.L.J.457 for protection/continuation in employment, and if the answer is in negative he shall state in the affidavit, the reasons thereof.

4. It shall be appropriate for Respondent No.3 to secure guidance from the G.A.D. as well as Law Department.
5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for three weeks time for filing affidavit.
6. Though three weeks time is prayed, longer time is granted in order that no further adjournments would be necessary nor it may be granted.
7. In the event any adverse action is taken against the Applicant, it shall be taken only after giving him 15 days notice. Applicant shall be free to move before the Tribunal.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.
9. S.O. to 21.08.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 20.06.2017

O.A.No.517 of 2017(O.A.15 of 2016 A'bad)
With
O.A.No.518 of 2017 (O.A.No.41 of 2016 A'bad)
With
O.A.No.519 of 2017 (O.A.No.55 of 2016 A'bad)
With
O.A.No.520 of 2017 (O.A.No.282 of 2016 A'bad)
With
O.A.No.521 of 2017 (O.A.No.311 of 2016 A'bad)

J.P. More (O.A.No.517 of 2017)
D.N. Kalal & Ors. (O.A.No.518 of 2017)
K.K. Pehere (O.A.No.519 of 2017)
P.B. Dhawale (O.A.No.520 of 2017)
A.B. BhogeApplicants
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 22.06.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 20/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. H.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 22/6/2017.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>21/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MT</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.524 of 2017</p> <p>R.D. Pawar & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 06.07.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 06.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.06.2017.

M.A.No.62 of 2017 in O.A.No.680 of 2014
(Aurangabad)

Dr. A.N. SarukApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Shri J.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 01.08.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 20/6/2017

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. J.S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 1/8/2017

MJK
Q

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>C.T. Chandratre</u></p> <p>Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>25/7/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.120 of 2017</p> <p>U.S. ChavanApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is ready and being filed.</p> <p>3. In so far as affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 3 are concerned, two week's time may be granted.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.07.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. G. Sadavarte Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 29/7/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>M.Fe</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.236 of 2017</p> <p>A.T. KusaleApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for three week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi (J.)) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt : <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt : <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>4/7/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>YK</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.914 of 2016</p> <p>A.A. DesaleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Heard for sometime. Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to isolate exact objectives for which computation of service with earlier employer is to be computed and decide as to whether it is barely for pensionary benefits.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.07.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (<u>A.H. Joshi J.</u>) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Admit</u> Adt. To <u>To come up for hearing</u> <u>on 25/7/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.499 of 2017</p> <p>P.Y. BhurkeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered affidavit-in-reply opposing the O.A.. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to consider the affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Admit. To come up for hearing on 25.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>H.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>21/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.377 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. K.V. JagtapApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for two week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Though two week's time is prayed, by way of last chance we grant four week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. We expect that since the ground of discrimination is pleaded the Respondents should examine the aspect of discrimination. In case respondents find that the ground of discrimination is factually correct, it would be highly appreciated if the corrective action is taken and time and money is not wasted in filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.07.2017.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. has directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi (J.)) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>COE (M): Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/12/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B. Ze</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.109 of 2015 in O.A.No.353 of 2014</p> <p>Dr. K.S. RamamurthyApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Ms. S.P. Manchekar has informed that the order passed in O.A. is challenged by the Kalyan Dombivli Municipal Corporation before the Hon'ble High Court and Writ Petition is likely to come up for hearing on 27.06.2017.</p> <p>3. This matter is pending at the same stage for considerable long period.</p> <p>4. Hearing of this Contempt Application is adjourned to 11.12.2017 with liberty to both the sides to request for advancing the date of hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.12.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>24/7/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>H.T.</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.139 of 2017</p> <p>B.R. Ballana & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants adjourned to 24.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>l</i> Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. JOSHI J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>20/6/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>7/7/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.409 of 2017</p> <p>D.H. Kale & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Respondent and Ms. S. Suryawanshi. the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Saravana, Desk Officer, states as follows :-</p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Principal Secretary was out of India and has reported on duty today itself and therefore at least two week's time is required for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal S/V/C</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S.T. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Adm'n</u></p> <p>Adj. To <u>2/11/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><u>SPK</u></p>	<p>Date : 20.06.2017</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.879 of 2016</p> <p>M.B. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Liberty to move for early hearing is granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

20.06.2017

O.A nos 1154 & 1155/2016

Shri S.A Bhise & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.G Bade, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 21.6.2017.

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S.A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S. G.G. Bade

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 21/6/2017

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, V.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri V. Ramakrishnan <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Smt/Smt. <u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>21/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>20.06.2017</u></p> <p><u>C.A 30/2017 in O.A 864/2015</u></p> <p>Smt A.V Lanke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 21.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (<u>Rajiv Agarwal</u>) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>A.H JOSHI</u>) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 20.06.2017.

O.A.No.345 of 2017

S.H. ManeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents S.O. to 21.06.2017.

DATE: 20/6/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice S.H. Mane (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

For Respondent: N.G. Gohad

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

File No. 21/6/2017

MF

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.360 of 2017

Shri S.S. Bhosale

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard the Applicant with Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri Vasant G. Tambe, Asstt. Commissioner of Police, Khadaki Division, Pune City.

This O.A., after hearing can be disposed of in the manner it is being done. The impugned order is of suspension and in various ways the relief is sought there against. However, the learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar submits that the Applicant has made representations there against on 21.04.2017 and 24.04.2017 at pages 108 and 124 respectively of the Paper-Book. The Directions be given to the Respondents to decide them within a stipulated time whereupon the Applicant shall withdraw the OA here and now.

In my opinion, even as there is no specific prayer in that behalf but ^{the} request ^{falls} followed within the scope of the OA and such an order can safely be made.

The Respondent No.3 ^{is} hereby directed to decide the two representations herein above within three weeks from today and convey its outcome to the Applicant within one week thereafter.

Upon this order, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the OA and the same is disposed of with no order as to costs. Hamdast. ^{MA stands m}
disposed of.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar
with Applicant
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

As to O.A. is disposed
OK.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.540 of 2017

Shri R. G. Gadge ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV ARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Adv.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Stat.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

4/7/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.539 of 2017

Shri D. N. Kale ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17
CORAM:
~~Hon'ble Shri. KAHVAGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE:
Shri C.T. Chandratre
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Adj. To 4/7/17

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.538 of 2017

Shri Santu K. Tamgire ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.

DATE: 20/6/17
CORAM:
Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J
APPEARANCE:
Shri C.T. Chandratre
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri A.J. Chougule
P.O. / P.O. for the Respondents
S.O. to 4/7/17

Sd/-
(R.B. MALIK) 20
Member (J)
20.06.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.541 of 2017

Shri Sunil V. Wavhal ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri RAHVACARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 4/7/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.542 of 2017

Shri Vilas L. Yadav ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 4/7/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.544 of 2017

Shri S.S. Satpute ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 04.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 04.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Liberty is reserved for the Applicant to seek interim relief.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougale

P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 4/7/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri RAJIV ACARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Respondents Shri A.B. Kololgi and S. S. Suryawanshi No. 1 & 2 M.D. Lonkar No. 3. Adj. To..... O.A. ces admitted. Reply filed by R. No. 3 and two Reply under filed by Applicant. S.O to 28/6/17. <u>Subject to P.H.</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.444 & 446/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. H.L. Jadhav & Anr. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale & Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocates for the Applicants, Mrs. A.B. Kololgi and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Mr. M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in both the matters.</p> <p>Mr. Jagdale informs that in OA 444/2017, he does not want to file Rejoinder. Mr. Bandiwadekar tenders Rejoinder in the sister matter which is taken on record. Both the OAs are admitted and expedited for hearing to 28th June, 2017 subject to Part Heard.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 2 Member (J) 20.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.533 of 2017

Smt. Jayashree A. Chendke & Ors.... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 11.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 11.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service:

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 20.06.17
Member (J)
20.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. A.J. Chougule

P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 11/7/17.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No. 248 of 2017

In

O.A. No.533 of 2017

Smt. Jayashree A. Chendke & Ors.... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

DATE: 20/6/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. PAHVAGARWAL~~
~~(Vice-Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: M.A. is allowed.

[Signature]

(vsm)

Sd/-

20.06.17
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
20.06.2017