

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.342 of 2022</u></p> <p>Somnath S. Chinchkar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.355 of 2022</u> Raosaheb S. Kore, since deceased by legal heirs, Smt. Sunita R. Kore & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. <div style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- /' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022 </div>
	(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.237 of 2022 in O.A. No.355 of 2022</u></p> <p>Raosaheb S. Kore, since deceased by legal heirs. Smt. Sunita R. Kore & Anr. ..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>S.K. Goraksha & 23 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO, pursuant to order dated 30.3.2022, produces communication dated 19.4.2022 between Ld. CPO and General Manager, Greater Mumbai Milk Scheme, Worli, Mumbai informing that amount of interest is credited in the accounts of 122 applicants and out of which one applicant has already received the said amount, along with chart supplied to State Bank of India. The said order dated 19.4.2022 is taken on record and marked 'A' collectively for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a chart prepared by 24 applicants. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit 'B' for identification. Applicant No.1-Smt. Supriya Kiran Goraksha has received the interest. However other 23 applicants are yet to receive the interest.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO disputes that there are 22 persons and not 23 persons. Ld. PO and Ld. Advocate for the applicants are directed to sit together and verify the correct figure of the applicants who are entitled to receive interest.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate submits that Applicants at Sr. No.9, 13, 14 and 22 have not received their pensionary benefits.</p> <p>6. Applicant at Sr. No.16-Smt. Sulaxana B. Mokashi has retired on 30.11.2006 and not received service benefits, pensionary benefits and interest. She is entitled for Grade Pay of 4200 and the department has given her the GP of Rs.3300/-. Ld. PO submits that proposal in respect of applicant at Sr. No.16 was sent to Accountant General office</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>and AG has communicated by letter dated 29.3.2022 that she is not entitled to that GP. Ld. PO submits that this was not the issue in OA and this is a separate cause of action. The representation dated 4.4.2022 made by applicant Smt. Mokashi is pending before the General Manager, Worli Dairy. General Manager, Greater Mumbai Milk Scheme, Worli, Mumbai is directed to decide the said representation before the next date.</p> <p>7. Ld. PO and the Ld. Advocate for the applicants or the applicants are directed to sit together and verify the position.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 27.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.352 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.P. Shedge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.S. Pradhan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is a Mechanical Engineer. He is visually impaired and having Low Vision with 75% disability. The applicant has uploaded and updated his profile on the website of MPSC on 29.10.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that an advertisement No.17/2022 dated 18.2.2022 was published by the MPSC for filling the post of Mechanical Assistant Engineer, Group B and the last date for submission was 14.3.2022. The examinations are to be held on 30.4.2022. By corrigendum dated 10.3.2022 certain special categories of persons were allowed to apply for the posts identified in the advertisement and the last date for submission was 18.3.2022.</p> <p>4. The applicant challenges the corrigendum dated 10.3.2022. The Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that MPSC has taken out corrigendum dated 10.3.2022 wherein for the post of Mechanical Assistant Engineer, Group B in types of disabilities, the Government has included 'Low Vision' under which the applicant falls. However, as per corrigendum the last date for filling up the form was 18.3.2022. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he being differently abled was not able to fill up the form in time. He therefore submits that as the examination is scheduled on 30.4.2022, the applicant may be allowed to fill up the form under differently abled category with Low Vision and the site may be opened for applying for the examination.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Ld. CPO while opposing the OA submits that it was not the duty of the MPSC to inform the applicant and applicant should have applied as per the corrigendum. The applicant has approached this Tribunal late and he should have come much earlier.</p> <p>6. Time is given to MPSC to file short affidavit as to why site cannot be opened for the applicant to apply as per corrigendum.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 21.4.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1237 of 2019 with O.A. No.1238 of 2019 with O.A. No.1239 of 2019 with O.A.No.1240 of 2019 with O.A. No.1241 of 2019</p> <p>C. G. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These matters are heard partly and adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.06.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">ysm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.654 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SheteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.564 of 2021</p> <p>K. T. Bargaje & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. S. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has sought permission to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4. 3. Allowed to file the same. 4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 10.06.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.194 of 2022</p> <p>S. A. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Sur-Rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 20.04.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.136 of 2022</p> <p>D. M. KhutaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed by tomorrow in the office. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. The copy be served to other side. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 22.04.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.880 of 2021 with M.A. No.190 of 2022 with O.A. No.881 of 2021 with M.A. No.191 of 2022 with O.A. No.882 of 2021 with M.A. No.197 of 2022 with O.A. No.883 of 2021 with O.A. No.884 of 2021 with M.A. No.192 of 2022 with O.A. No.885 of 2021 with M.A. No.195 of 2022 with O.A. No.886 of 2021 with M.A. No.194 of 2022 with O.A. No.887 of 2021 with O.A. No.888 of 2021 with O.A. No.889 of 2021 with O.A. No.917 of 2021 with M.A. No.193 of 2022</p> <p>D.B. Mane H.R. Shegar H.G. Kulkarni P.H. Damodar V.P. Jagtap G.R. Brahmapukar S.K. Darekar R.K. Ghadge B.T. Bhamre B.B. Ingale K.N. GhadgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. Archana B.K. and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice of M.A. and O.A. before admission returnable on 08.06.2022.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 08.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.164/2022 in O.A.No.675/2019 with O.A.No.1087/2019 with O.A.No.978/2019 with O.A.No.935/2019 with O.A.No.936/2019 with O.A.No.937/2019</p> <p>State of Maharashtra (Applicant Ors. Respndents) Vs. J.I. Sukhdeve & Ors. (Respdts (Org. Applicant).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Applicants (Ors. Respondent) and Mr. Palshikar learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicants) 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2022. 4. Applicants (Org. Resp) are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent (Org. Appl) intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants (Org. Respd) are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Mr. Palshikar, learned Advocate submits that they are going to file reply today during the course of the day. Copy of the reply will be served to the office of learned C.P.O. 8. At the request of learned Advocate matter is fixed on 10.06.2022. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 20.04.2022

O.A. No.309 of 2022

V.S. AbnaveApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing it is notice that the Applicant's services are absorbed in terms of Maharashtra Medical & Health Services Group -A (One time Absorption of Medical Officers working on ad-hoc basis in Maharashtra) (Special) Rules, 2009. The Applicant has made representation for counting Ad-hoc services for all consequential benefits but the same is not responded. Hence, present O.A. is filed.

3. Thus, apparently the Applicant's services are absorbed in terms of Rules 2009 which *inter-alia* specifically provides that services rendered by the ad-hoc Medical Officers prior to the date of absorption shall not be considered for pay, pension, leave and grant of promotion as a specialist or any other post under the Assured Career Progression Scheme (Rules 4 (vii)) Rules, 2009. However, there is no challenge to the Rules which are framed by the Government in Article 309 of Constitution of India.

4. When specific query was raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant that how the Applicant is entitled for benefits of Ad-hoc services in view of Rules, 2009. She submits that she will challenge the legality of Rules, 2009 and will amend O.A. In case there is challenge to validity of Rules matter will be required to be placed before Division Bench.

5. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.200 of 2022 in O.A. No.829 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. KuwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though this M.A. is filed for amendment, in fact it is not for amendment but to take on record order dated 19.05.2021 which was not filed in O.A.</p> <p>3. Indeed, xerox copy of order dated 19.05.2021 is already on record in O.A.</p> <p>4. Now, in M.A. certified copy of order dated 19.05.2021 is produced. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is disposed with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.829 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. KuwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.166 of 2020</p> <p>U.S. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Prerana Janvekar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Reply in terms of order passed by the Tribunal dated 01.04.2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1179 of 2019</p> <p>C.P. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for pay and allowances along with Deemed Date of Promotion i.e. from 15.02.2010. However, interestingly no such order of Deemed Date of Promotion is forthcoming on record. The Applicant was granted promotion by order dated 14.01.2016. As such, during the hearing it is transpired that there is no such order of Deemed Date of Promotion w.e.f. 15.02.2010. When this specific query was raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant he sought time to produce the order of Deemed Date of Promotion.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted to produce the order of Deemed Date of Promotion.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.220 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant stands retired on superannuation on 31.10.2018 but his retiral benefits are withheld. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that enquiry officer submitted report in 2016 in first enquiry and in second enquiry till date no final decision is taken by Respondent No.1 – District Collector, Satara. Thus Departmental Authority seems to be simply sitting on the matter without passing final order in Departmental Enquiry for years together. Criminal cases are still pending without any progress.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.979 of 2021</p> <p>V.K. HasageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet U. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has also tendered modified posting order dated 11.12.2021 along with Affidavit-in-Reply stating that the posting is changed as per option given by the Applicant in terms of G.R. dated 26.08.1993.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also concedes that grievance of the Applicant is redressed.</p> <p>4. In view of above, grievance no more exists, O.A. is therefore disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.238 of 2022 in O.A. No.356 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. KoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for Sue Jointly. However, in fact the Applicants are heirs of deceased Government servant, therefore question of Sue-Jointly will not attract, the Applicant themselves are aggrieved Applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant therefore sought permission to withdraw M.A. being not required.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw M.A.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.742 of 2020</p> <p>S.K. DarekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.437 of 2021</p> <p>S.A. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.356 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. KoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This O.A. is filed by the legal heirs of deceased Government servant Shri Raosaheb S. Kore who died on 06.09.2020 in harness due to COVID-19 infection. However, retiral benefits are not paid to his family. Therefore, the Applicant has approach this Tribunal. 3. In O.A. interim relief is sought for direction to pay Provident Fund, G.I.S. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that initially provisional pension was paid upto September 2021 only and is due from October 2021. 5. In view of relief sought in O.A. matter needs to be expedited. 6. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instructions from the Respondents as to why retiral benefits are withheld and also to appraise the Tribunal about any legal hurdle for releasing the same. 7. In mean time Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.05.2022. 8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. Respondents are however directed to release provisional pension, G.I.S. and G.P.F., if there is no legal hurdle to release the same by next date.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p>15. Steno Copy granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.122 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. SatputeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 22.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.998 of 2021</p> <p>C.S. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is to be tagged along with O.A.No.1006/2021. Registry to take note of the same.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.06.2022.))</p> <p>Sd/- ' (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.861 of 2021</p> <p>R.H.Z. TundiwaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 has not yet filed Affidavit-in-reply. Matter will proceed without reply as there is delay of more than 7 years.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.325 of 2018 with O.A.No.13 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. JadhaoApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants and learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that they are not filling to file reply/rejoinder or any other document.</p> <p>3. As the name of Mr. Dere learned Advocate appearing for Applicant in O.A.No.13/2020 is not shown on the board mistakenly, hence both the O.As are fixed tomorrow 21.04.2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 21.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1068 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Umbrajkar & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day..</p> <p>3. Time granted to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 04.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.04.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 329/2022</u></p> <p>D.P Deore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Later on matter called out at 2.30 pm.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O on instructions from Mr V.R Pawar, Deputy Secretary and Smt L.R Bhosle, Under Secretary, in the office of M.P.S.C, informs that the Link will be open to the applicant till 23.4.2022 and the examination is on 7.5.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.5.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1057 of 2019</p> <p>R.H. NikumbheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Respondents are directed to file affidavit-in-reply in respect of considering the case of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.557 of 2021 with O.A.No.676 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. Mutekar & Ors. (O.A.557/2021) S.B. Patil & Ors. (O.A.676/2021)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is not filed since last few dates. Matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Liberty granted to the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply till 15.05.2022.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.06.2022</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ / n v - - (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.185 of 2022 in O.A. No.303 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sudhir S. Patil & 15 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees. if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.303 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sudhir S. Patil & 15 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.232 of 2021</p> <p>C.S. KhoseApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that the Respondents have issued Time Bound Promotion order of the Applicant. Thus the cause of action does not survive and matter can be disposed of.</p> <p>3. By consent of both the parties, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.162 of 2020 in O.A.No.258 of 2020</p> <p>C.A. RaneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O., matter is fixed on 21.04.2022 for hearing of M.A.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.217 of 2022 in O.A.No.51 of 2020 with M.A.No.218 of 2022 in O.A.No.52 of 2020 with O.A.No.53 of 2022 with O.A.No.54 of 2020 with M.A.No.210 of 2022 in O.A.No.488 of 2019 with M.A.No.211 of 2022 in O.A.No.491 of 2019 with M.A.No.212 of 2022 in O.A.No.492 of 2019 with M.A.No.213 of 2022 in O.A.No.493 of 2019 with O.A.No.217 of 2020</p> <p>K.B. Shivsharan ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arjun Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that that these M.A.s are files seeking permission to amend in O.A.s</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. gives consent for amendment. Hence all M.A.s are hereby allowed. The learned P.O. is granted time to file affidavit to that extend.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate submits that he will carry out the amendment within a week. Hence applicants are directed to carry out amendment on or before 28.04.2022 and copy of the same be furnished till 04.05.2022. Applicants are directed to serve the copy of amendments to the Respondents and the office of learned C.P.O. so are to enable Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. O.A.No.51/20 with O.A.No.52/20 with O.A.No.488/19, with O.A.No.492/19 with O.A.No.493/19, Adjourned to 06.06.2022.</p> <p>6. O.A.No.53/20 with O.A.No.54/20 with O.A.No.217/20 are to be untagged from the group and these are to be Adjourned to 09.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.53 of 2021 in O.A.No.1091 of 2017</p> <p>R.A. Shaikh & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. is finally directed to get the correct information from the office of Accountant General because it is compliance of the order dated 09.05.2019. Order of stay is also not granted by the Hon'ble High Court. Under such circumstances this Tribunal will proceed with the matter subject to the decision of the Hon'ble High Court. This is the last chance given to the Government to seek orders from the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.231 of 2022 in O.A. No.344 of 2022</u></p> <p>Arvind K. Gosavi & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.294 of 2021 in O.A.No.611 of 2021</p> <p>S.V. BramheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is not yet filed. Matter will proceed without reply as there is delay of more than 7 years.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.533 of 2021 in O.A.No.948 of 2021</p> <p>K.V. Koli & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate files affidavit-in-rejoinder. Taken on record. Copy be served upon office of learned P.O. and other concerned Respondents.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.498 of 2020</p> <p>R.R. SalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 15.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1115 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. MoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 21.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.154 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate submits that he has served added Respondents No.5 and 6. He has produced copy of the service report.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- /  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.344 of 2022</u></p> <p>Arvind K. Gosavi & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants who are working in Zilla Parishad Primary School have appeared for Departmental Competitive Examination of 2017 for the post of Deputy Education Officer, Group B, which was held on 13.8.2017 as per advertisement No.40/17. The cut-off mark was 150. All the 6 applicants have secured more than 150 marks. However, they were not called for interview. The State has refused to call them for interview because they being Primary Teachers, the recruitment rules for the post of Deputy Education Officer, Group B are not applicable to them and they cannot be considered as feeder cadre.</p> <p>3. Earlier OA No.634 of 2017 (Shrikant D. Sutar & 10 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) was decided by this Tribunal on 4.10.2018. Similar issue was agitated by those applicants, who were also similarly situated being Primary Teachers like the present applicants. The said OA was decided in favour of those applicants and they were declared to be eligible to apply along with Primary Teachers for the post advertised through advertisement No.40/17. Therefore, the present applicants have also approached this Tribunal by filing the present OA.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO submits that by order dated 9.4.2019 in speaking to minutes of the order dated 4.10.2018, the words, "Primary Teachers who are serving in employment of Government of Maharashtra and" were deleted and words "The Applicants" were added in Clause (B) of para 35 of the order dated 4.10.2018. Ld. PO therefore submits that the present applicants cannot be covered under the said order as it is specially mentioned by way of speaking to minutes and</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>the Tribunal has restricted the order in persona and hence the order is not applicable in rem.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that he would like to advance his submissions on this point alone.</p> <p>6. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants <u>OA No.327/2022 is tagged with the present matter as the issue is the same in both the matters.</u></p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.4.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- /_____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20


I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A. No.347 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.S. Bhoir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar., learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This OA is moved against the order of removal from service dated 20.8.2019 from the post of Police Inspector and subsequently the order was set aside in appeal by order dated 16.9.2019. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this order was reviewed behind the back of the applicant by respondent-State on 4.10.2021 and the applicant is out of service from 4.10.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO is directed to file short affidavit specifically on the procedure followed while reviewing order of the appellate authority.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 6.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p></p> <p>Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.49 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. RenusheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.168 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. BhandareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. BambaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- V (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>20.04.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 329/2022</u></p> <p>D.P Deore ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Later on called out at 1.00 pm.2. None is present from the office of the M.P.S.C, though directions were given to remain present.3. Ms Swati Mhase Patil, Secretary, M.P.S.C is directed to remain present before this Tribunal at 2.30 pm. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.329 of 2022</u></p> <p>Durga P. Deore ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Matter mentioned by Ld. Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>3. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that order dated 12.4.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above matter is not complied with.</p> <p>4. Matter kept at 1.00 p.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 20.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>