

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.82 OF 8023

B.M. Makwane & Ors.

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson**
Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member-A

DATE : 20.01.2023

O R D E R

1. Learned Advocate challenges termination order dated 17.01.2022 issued by Respondent No.5 on the ground of violation of Rule 6 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Declaration of Small Family) Rules, 2005.
2. By way of interim relief learned Advocate prays that applicants' services were terminated and are now out of service, however, they are residing in the service quarters. Till the decision in this O.A. their residence in service quarter is to be protected.
3. Learned Advocate relies on Rule 6 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Declaration of Small Family) Rules, 2005 which reads as below :

"6. Power to Relax the provisions of these Rules : Notwithstanding anything contained in these rules, Government may relax the provisions of any of these rules, under such circumstances in such matter as shall appear it to be just and reasonable and shall record the reasons for any such relaxation."
4. Learned Advocate submits that the Government has power to relax the provisions of these Rules.

✓

5. In view of these rules proposal dated 20.03.2021 was sent to the office of Commissioner, ESIS and it is still pending. As the proposal is pending with the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department since last nearly two years, even though leeway is given in view of the COVID still it is pending for more than one year, therefore we direct the Principal Secretary to take decision on the proposal in view of Rule 6 of the said Act. We have reproduced the said Rule for convenience of the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department.

6. Considering the prayer for interim relief, we direct the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department to decide the case of the Applicant within two weeks from today. Till the decision is taken by the Principal Secretary, Public Health Department the accommodation is protected. The Principal Secretary also to give very specific reasoning as to the applicant falls in which category of A, B C and D as they are appointed under the Lad-Page Committee ?

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2023.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.




11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

13. Adjourned to 08.02.2023. Hamdast.

Sd/-


(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk_

Sd/-


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.2 OF 2023

M.K. SirsatApplicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants
Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson**
Ms. Medha Gadgil, Member-A

DATE : 20.01.2023

O R D E R

1. Learned Advocate press for interim relief that the Departmental Enquiry be stayed.
2. Learned P.O. opposes this interim relief. She seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. The applicant retired on 29.02.2020. The Departmental Enquiry is initiated on 25.02.2021 and the incidence is of the year 2018. The applicant did not challenge the said enquiry immediately, but filed the O.A. on 14.11.2022. Under such circumstances, we are of the view in all fairness time is required to be given to the Department to file affidavit-in-reply.
4. Learned P.O. submits that by order dated 25.05.2021 joint Departmental Enquiry was started in respect of 10 Delinquent Officers and the applicant is one of them.
5. Learned Advocate submits that out of these 10 Delinquent Officers, against 9 Delinquent Officers the Department has lodged Criminal case and the applicant is not amongst those 9 Delinquent Officers. She submits that

✓

there is nothing against the Applicant. She further submits that one of the challenges in the Departmental Enquiry is that for a certain period the Applicant (Delinquent Officer) was absent and he did not appear before the Medical Board. Learned Advocate submits that the applicant has a very strong case and therefore she insists that the O.A. be heard today for interim relief.

6. Learned Advocate submits relies on the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case **Prem Nath Bali Versus Registrar, High Court of Delhi and Another reported in (2015) 16 SCC 415** on the point that D.E. is to be completed within a period of six months. In the said judgment at paragraph 28 it is held that,

“28. Keeping these factors in mind, we are of the considered opinion that every employer (whether State or private) must make sincere endeavour to conclude the departmental inquiry proceedings once initiated against the delinquent employee within a reasonable time by giving priority to such proceedings and as far as possible it should be concluded within six months as an outer limit. Where it is not possible for the employer to conclude due to certain unavoidable causes arising in the proceedings within the time frame then efforts should be made to conclude within reasonably extended period depending upon the cause and the nature of inquiry but not more than a year.”

7. We have noticed that there are many objections in the O.A. This is 2nd date. On 1st date the matter appeared on 06.01.2023. There are many objections so we place this matter before the Registrar's board and then we will consider it. We hereby raise queries to the Registrar as to :

- (i) Why objections were not removed within seven days ?
- (ii) Why the matter is placed on board when the objections are not removed ?

8. Learned Advocate submits that she will remove all objections today itself. Registrar is directed to note it and thereafter place the matter before us.



9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.02.2023.
11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
15. Adjourned to 17.02.2023.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk_

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 20.01.2023

M.A. No.33 of 2023 in O.A. No.1222 of 2022 (M'bai)
(O.A.St.No.1452/22-A'bad) with
M.A. No.708 of 2022 with
M.A. No.34 of 2023 in O.A. No.1126 of 2022

K.K. Gaikwad & Ors.,

K.D. Vishe & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicants who are "Agriculture Assistant" have challenged Recruitment Rules of 29.01.2018 as they are aspiring for the post of "Agriculture Supervisor".

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicants are working on the post of Agriculture Assistant for last 15 to 20 years. As per the existing Rules there is ratio of 70% & 30%. 70% is promotion from Agriculture Assistant to Agriculture Supervisor feeder cadre and 30% post are by Selection. However, we need to hear other side as these matters are relating to Recruitment Rules.

4. Learned P.O. is directed to file Reply.

5. Without hearing and giving fair opportunity to the Respondents – State we cannot pass any interim relief.

6. Hence, no interim relief.

7. S.O. to 10.02.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.59 of 2019 in O.A. No.1041 of 2017 with O.A. No.1042 of 2017</p> <p>L.B. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition filed is already pending before Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.41 of 2021 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016 with M.A. No.700 of 2019 in R.A. No.23 of 2019 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016 with R.A. No.23 of 2019 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016</p> <p>V.S. Patil V.S. KalekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition filed is already pending before Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 20.01.2023

C.A. No.06 of 2022 in O.A. No.574 of 2020

L.D. VeerApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Ms Rukaiya, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.5 & 6 and Son of the Applicant is present.

2. Learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 produces letter dated 20.01.2023 issued by C.E.O of Zilla Parishad, Nashik asking for 15 days time for compliance of the Order. Officer Shri Shreedhar V. Dewate, Superintendent, Education Department (Primary), Zilla Parishad, Nashik is present in the Court.

3. In view of above, O.A. is adjourned to 10.02.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

NMN

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.80 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2015</p> <p>G.P. WadekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant in person is present. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is pertaining to the implementation of the order of Tribunal dated 04.01.2022 and it is informed that the file is still pending before Hon'ble Health Minister.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.01.2022 at 02.30 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.733 of 2022 in O.A. No.312 of 2022 with M.A. No.738 of 2022 in O.A. No.577 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus R.N. More & Ors., S.S. Bhagat & Ors., (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that letter is given on 21.11.2022 and reminder is sent on 14.12.2022. Deputy Secretary, Agriculture Department is hereby directed to remain present and inform about the implementation of the order.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.931 of 2022</p> <p>R.U. Bhosale & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to make specific statement whether Respondents are asking the Applicant to appear for the same examination though they have passed it earlier.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.798/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.B. Londhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Larned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission 6th February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.723/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.M. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.2 to establish that after filing of O.A, some additional amount was also paid to the Applicant towards reimbursement of medical expenses. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27th January, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.861/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.Y. Manjare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri Atharva Nalawade holding for Shri R.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant files Affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10th February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- v. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.01.2023 </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

O.A.1312/2022

Smt. N.C. Jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Ms. Purva Pradhan holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.
2. In O.A, the challenge is to the transfer order dated 15.12.2022 whereby Applicant was transferred from Ratnagiri to Mumbai. The Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 23.12.2022.
3. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned P.O. has tendered the order dated 12.01.2023 whereby Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police himself cancelled transferred the order dated 15.12.2022. It is marked by letter 'X' for identification.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also fairly concedes that transfer order is cancelled. She, therefore, submits that O.A. be disposed of.
5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.
6. Interim relief stands vacated.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
20.01.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.73 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.B. Toke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged order dated 20.1.2022 whereby he was suspended by Commissioner of Police, Mumbai in contemplation of DE. Though period of more than one year is over he is subjected to prolonged suspension and therefore filed the present OA.</p> <p>3. Surprisingly perusal of record reveals DE was initiated which is completed long back and thereafter show cause notice was also given to the applicant on 4.10.2022 as to why he should not be dismissed from service. Applicant has submitted detailed reply on 28.10.2022. However, no final order is passed though period of more than three months is over from the date of submitting reply. Prima facie there is inaction on the part of the respondents to take appropriate decision as a result of which applicant is subjected to prolonged suspension.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Ld. PO is directed to take instructions as to why final order is not passed in the matter and appraise the Tribunal by 2.30 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="787 492 862 522">L.O. :</p> <p data-bbox="787 551 1560 783">In afternoon session, on instructions, learned P.O. submits that review was taken from time to time and suspension was continued. As regard of non-passing of final order in DE, all that, she states that file is in process. She requested short time to take more instructions.</p> <p data-bbox="870 826 1260 865">S.O. to 23rd January, 2023.</p> <p data-bbox="1252 886 1312 922">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1235 929 1284 966"><i>W^{sk}</i></p> <p data-bbox="1170 973 1398 1076">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 20.01.2023</p> <p data-bbox="792 1117 857 1154">(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.13 of 2023 in O.A. No.31 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.D. Shendge & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right"> \ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.368, 369 & 370 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.D. Kasbe R.N. Mane S.S. Ingale ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals respondents have already filed affidavit in reply and matters are ripe for hearing. Matters are recently transferred to this Bench.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 10.2.2023 for hearing.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">O.A. No.697 of 2021</p> <p>B.P. Satpute ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. OA be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.778 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.V. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that pleadings are complete and matter is ripe for hearing. It is recently transferred to this Bench. Hence, one opportunity is given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- " (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.481 of 2022 in O.A. No.792 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. D.C. Godse & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO tenders affidavit in reply to MA and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 7.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.31 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. P.D. Shendge & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants have filed present OA for directions to get promotional benefits in terms of GR dated 20.8.2010.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.2.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.845 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.D. Pujari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the OA applicant has prayed to quash DE on the ground of delay. Matter thus pertains to DB. It was tagged to OA No.77/2021 filed by the same applicant for ACPS benefit which is transferred to this Bench recently. Thus, this OA No.845 of 2022 is wrongly transferred to this Bench.</p> <p>3. Registrar is directed to place OA before the DB.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.649 of 2022</u></p> <p>I.M. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO sought adjournment on the ground that matter is being handled by Shri A.J. Chougule, Ld. PO, who is absent due to personal difficulty.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.354 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.G. Gole ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO sought adjournment on the ground that matter is being handled by Shri A.J. Chougule, Ld. PO, who is absent due to personal difficulty.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1319 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.P. Kolekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO is filed filing reply on behalf of respondent no.2. It is taken on record. No reply is filed by remaining respondents.</p> <p>3. OA be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1294 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.B. Vadar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO one week's time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1136 & 1137 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. A.A. Upadhyay Dr. P.A. Meshram ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents-State and Ms. Anjali S. Kolapkar holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in OA No.1136/2022 and for Respondent No.5 in OA No.1137/2022.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO and Ld. Advocate for the respondents, two weeks time is granted to file their replies.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1085 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.G. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.491 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.K. Hiramani & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO three days time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.435 to 441, 563, 851, 1123, 1124 & 564 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.H. Keer (OA.435/2022) B.S. Patil (OA.436/2022) V.K. Sarpotdar (OA.437/2022) S.A. Varekar (OA.438/2022) S.A. Keer (OA.439/2022) M.R. Khadpe (OA.440/2022) A.N. Nagvekar (OA.441/2022) V.D. Panvalkar (OA.563/2022) D.S. Shinde (OA.851/2022) S.K. Salvi (OA.1123/2022) S.M. Salvi (OA.1124/2022) R.R. Kawale (OA.564/2022) ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Aishwarya Belhekar holding for Ms. Preeti Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that these matters were before DB and recently transferred to this Bench. Today for the first time it is listed before me.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that more than six months time is already availed to file reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. All these OAs shall proceed without reply and be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.2.2023.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.381 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.G. Dalvi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. OA was before DB and is recently transferred to this Bench. Perusal of record reveals affidavit in reply is filed and matter is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 20.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 20.01.2023</p>
	<p>O.A.No.538/2019</p> <p>P.D. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 06.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1301/2022 with O.A.No.1302/2022 with O.A.No.1303/2022</p> <p>A.A. Wanve & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate press for interim relief. 3. The services of all the applicants are already terminated in month of August, 2022. Appeal is dismissed on 29.11.2022. Since the services of the applicants are terminated and they are out of service issue of interim relief cannot be considered. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.02.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed. 9. Adjourned to 17.02.2023. <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1072/2022</p> <p>S.G. RamugadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. V. Kolekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays that the order dated 30.05.2022 passed by the Respondent No.2 whereby the Departmental enquiry initiated against the applicant be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.02.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 24.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.648/2022</p> <p>G.P. YamajiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents .</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate press for interim relief. This matter cannot be heard immediately. It is necessary to hear the matter. However, we direct the Respondent that if any promotion is given to the post of Technical Officer, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department for which the applicant is aspiring the said promotion will be subject to outcome of this O.A. and the same is to be communicated to the said person.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.03.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.37/2023 in O.A.No.82/2023</p> <p>B.M. Makwana & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">prk</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.31/2023 in O.A.No.70/2023</p> <p>H.D. More & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.70/2023</p> <p>H.D. More & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.01.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 27.01.2023. To place under the caption 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)// Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 20.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.66/2023 with M.A.No.39/2023</p> <p>S.P. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 822 days i.e almost more than 2 years.</p> <p>3. The result was declared on 09.10.2019 and the applicant has given her application for revaluation on 17.10.2019.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in M.A.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 10.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>