

**C.P.NO.30/2019 IN O.A.NO.526/2011**  
**(Mohd. Azizullah Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. We have perused the order passed in O.A.No.526/2011 on 20-08-2015. We have also perused the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant had brought to our notice the decisions taken by the authorities in the interregnum and submitted that ultimately, the decisions taken by the authorities have also not been implemented and now in the affidavit in reply, the respondents have come out with a defence that original applicant was not entitled for any pensionary benefits, and consequently, the family members of the said applicant, who died during the pendency of the O.A. itself, also cannot be held entitled for the family pension.

=2=

C.P.NO.30/2019 IN O.A.NO.526/2011

3. We, at this juncture, may not make any observation on the merit of the case. The respondents are considering the services rendered by the applicant only prior to 01-07-1980, which period comes to around 14 years and 10 months and have therefore declined the right of the applicant for receiving pension.

4. In O.A.No.526/2011 by order dated 20-08-2015, this Tribunal has directed the respondents to consider in accordance with law if the period between 01-07-1980 to 30-06-1989 can be adjusted towards any kind of leave as may be admissible to the applicant, such as earned leave, half pay leave or extraordinary leave or leave without pay etc. The Tribunal has further directed to consider the absence period of the applicant from 01-07-1989 to 31-10-2001 as compulsory waiting period/extraordinary leave, as admissible, as per the provisions of Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service) Rules, 1981.

5. The learned Counsel has brought to our notice decision taken by the respondents to treat absence period

=3=

C.P.NO.30/2019 IN O.A.NO.526/2011

of the applicant from 01-07-1989 to 31-10-2001 as extraordinary leave. However, finally the respondents have held that the applicant is not entitled for any pension since he has not completed the qualifying period of service for to be entitled for pension.

6. After having considered the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the petitioner and after having gone through the record, it *prima facie* appears to us that, even if the period of absence of the applicant in between 01-07-1980 to 30-06-1989 is kept aside, the fact remains that the period of absence between 01-07-1989 to 31-10-2001 is directed by the Tribunal to treat the same as compulsory waiting period/extraordinary leave. In the circumstances, respondents are under an obligation to consider the said period while computing the period of qualifying service of the applicant.

7. As has come on record, prior to 01-07-1980, the applicant has admittedly worked for the period of 14 years and 10 months. If the compulsory waiting period from

=4=

C.P.NO.30/2019 IN O.A.NO.526/2011

01-07-1989 to 31-10-2001 is added in the said period, the service period of the applicant exceeds 20 years, which *prima facie*, would make him entitled for pension in his lifetime and thereafter to his family.

8. In the circumstances, according to us the respondents shall re-consider the decision taken by them on or before the next date.

9. Learned P.O. submits that within 4 weeks the statement in this regard will be made and affidavit in reply will be filed in that regard. Matter, therefore, stands adjourned to 15-06-2022.

10. S.O. to 15-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.1185/2019  
(Amit Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has placed on record default report (कसूरी अहवाल) and the documents annexed thereto. Copy is supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicant. Documents are taken on record.

3. Arguments are heard of the learned Counsel appearing for the applicant and the learned P.O. representing the respondents. **Reserved for order.**

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.09/2021 IN O.A.NO.70/2018**  
**(Dadabhau T. Parte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. When the present petition is taken up for consideration, learned P.O. has placed on record copy of order dated 21-03-2022 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Parbhani directing initiation of departmental enquiry against the contempt petitioner. Said document is taken on record. We fail to understand why on previous date i.e. 18-04-2022, this document was not produced on record ?

3. The present contempt petition is arising out the order passed in O.A.No.70/2018 on 11-07-2018. We deem it appropriate to reproduce hereinbelow the entire said order:

=2=

C.P.NO.09/2021 IN O.A.NO.70/2018

*“Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.*

*2. Without going in to the merit of the case as to whether the present applicant is suffering minor punishment on the proved ground, this Original Application can be disposed of simply on the ground that the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Parbhani is not the disciplinary authority for the present applicant who is and was the Assistant Police Inspector during the relevant period.*

*3. Schedule-I of Rule 5 of the Bombay Police (Punishment and Appeals) Rules, 1956, would show that Superintendent of Police is the disciplinary authority for Sub-Inspectors, Assistant Sub-Inspectors, Head Constables and Constables. The applicant is admittedly a Assistant Police Inspector and therefore, the Deputy Inspector General of Police would be the disciplinary authority for the applicant.*

*4. On the above sole ground, the present Original Application is allowed without any order as to costs and the impugned punishment imposed by the respondent No. 3 vide order dated 17.11.2016, Annexure A-10, page no. 47 is hereby quash and set aside. The respondent No. 1 or the competent authority would be at liberty to take fresh action, if any according to rules.”*

4. Bare reading of the said order reveals that the order dated 17-11-2016 was quashed and set aside by the Tribunal and the liberty was given to the respondents to take fresh action in accordance with rules. We also deem it appropriate to reproduce hereinbelow the order passed

=3=

C.P.NO.09/2021 IN O.A.NO.70/2018

on 17-11-2016 (p.b.p. 47 of the O.A.):

" :: आदेश ::

सपोनि/ दादाभाऊ त्र्यंबक परते, पो.स्टे. नानलपेठ, परभणी आपणास उपरोक्त कसुरीबाबत मुंबई पोलीस (शिक्षा व अपील) नियम १९५६ मधील नियम क्रमांक ३ (१) अनुसार मला प्रदान केलेल्या अधिकाराचा वापर करून "मी नियती ठाकर, पोलीस अधीक्षक, परभणी" या आदेशान्वये "आपली आगामी वार्षिक वेतनवाढ दोन वर्ष रोखण्याची" शिक्षा देत आहे.

(२)

सपोनि/ दादाभाऊ त्र्यंबक परते, पो.स्टे. नानलपेठ, परभणी यांचा दिनांक १२.१२.२०१५ ते ०६.१०.२०१६ पावेतोचा निलंबन कालावधी "जशास तसा (As Such)" गणन्यात येत आहे.

अपचारी यांची इच्छा असल्यास ते सदर आदेश मिळाल्याच्या दिनांकापासून ६० दिवसांच्या आत मा. विशेष पोलीस महानिरीक्षक, नांदेड परीक्षेत्र, नांदेड यांना सदर आदेशा विरुद्ध या कार्यालयाच्या मार्फतीने अपील सादर करू शकता.

(नियती ठाकर)

पोलीस अधीक्षक परभणी "

5. From the contents of the order passed in the O.A.No.70/2018, it is quite evident that the order of punishment was set aside by the Tribunal only for the reason that it was passed by an officer who was not competent to pass such order. We further note that during the course of hearing of the present petition, it was



=4=

C.P.NO.09/2021 IN O.A.NO.70/2018

suggested to the respondents that, as directed by this Tribunal, the competent officer may pass the necessary orders. However, instead of doing so, now a documents has been submitted on behalf of the respondents which reveals that the respondents have now decided to initiate fresh departmental enquiry against the contempt petitioner.

6. We do not wish to make any comment on the action initiated as mentioned above by the respondent no.3. It, however, *prima facie* appears to be a case of willful disobedience of the order dated 11-07-2018 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.70/2018. In the circumstances, we direct the Registrar of this Bench to place the matter before the Hon'ble Chairperson for initiation of the Contempt Proceedings against the respondent no.3 in the present petition, viz; Shri Jayant Meena, Superintendent of Police, Parbhani.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO.11/2021 IN O.A.NO.558/2020**  
**(Vitthal T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.R.Wakekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D.Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. Read the order of which the contempt is alleged. Read reply filed by the respondents.

2. It does not appear to us that any case of committing contempt is made out. By the order passed by this Tribunal, the respondents were directed to consider the case of the applicant on merit. Respondents have considered the case of the applicant and have communicated the decision to the petitioner. It is the contention of the petitioner that the decision taken by the respondents is erroneous and not in consonance with the order passed by this Tribunal. This Tribunal vide order passed on 20-11-2019 in O.A.No.551/2019 has directed

=2=

C.P.NO.11/2021 IN O.A.NO.558/2020

the respondent no.2 to consider the representation of the applicant dated 12-06-2019 sympathetically as per rules on merit at the time of general transfers of the year 2020.

3. The affidavit in reply filed on behalf of the respondents reveals that they have considered the representation of the applicant/petitioner. Nothing more was required to be done by the respondents in compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal. If the applicant/petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, the remedy is not to file the contempt petition.

4. After having considered the material on record, it does not appear to us that any case is made out of willful disobedience of the order passed by this Tribunal by the respondents. The contempt petition, therefore, deserves to be rejected and it is accordingly rejected.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.247/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.881/2021  
(Ashwini Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S.Janephalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since some delay has occasioned in filing the O.A. present M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay which has occurred in filing the O.A. We have gone through the contents of the M.A. It appears that the applicant has previously approached the Hon'ble High Court and since alternate remedy was available, the said petition was disposed of and thereafter the applicant has filed the present matter.

=2=

O.A.ST.NO.881/2021

3. The delay, thus, has been sufficiently explained. We are inclined to allow the present M.A. and following order is passed:

O R D E R

- (i) M.A.No.247/2021 is allowed.
- (ii) Delay caused in filing the O.A. is condoned.
- (iii) O.A. be registered and numbered in accordance with rules.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.881/2021  
(Ashwini Jadhav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S.Janephalkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 23-06-2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 23-06-2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.633/2019  
(Meena Shivagunde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri K.U.More, learned Advocate for respondent no.4, are present.

2. S.O. to 30-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.670/2019  
(Kaushalya Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.P.Tilve, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 23-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.776/2019  
(Gulchand Gangawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 08-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.917/2019  
(Ashok Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri I.D.Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1094/2019  
(Tushar Musale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 15-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.21/2020  
(Dnyanoba Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G.Tambde, learned Advocate holding for  
Shri S.S.Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.58/2020 & 349/2021  
(Ritesh Kaware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.K.Ashtekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both cases and Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no.4 in O.A.No.58/2020, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-04-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.312/2020  
(Amol Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.R.Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 16-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.393/2020  
(Sanjay Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D.Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.409/2020  
(Kamini Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.P.Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451/2020  
(Shrihari Solanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.521/2020  
(Laxman Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 24-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.590/2020  
(Ankush Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suhas R. Shirsat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 29-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.401/2021  
(Ramreddy Aitwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 21-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.763/2021  
(Sandip Khadse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.J.Nirmal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 28-04-2022. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.456/2018 IN O.A.ST.1919/2018**  
**(Lakshman Nirmal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R.Kedar, learned Advocate for respondent no.3, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.22/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.2431/2019  
(Bhanudas Kalhapure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ganesh Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 20-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.15/2022 IN O.A.NO.622/2021**  
**(Arjun Deshmukh (Gawali) Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.V.Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant and  
Smt. Deepali Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-06-2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 986 OF 2019**  
**(Somnath B. Nivare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 993 OF 2019**  
**(Dileep R. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 994 OF 2019**  
**(Dileep K. Thorat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 09.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 75 OF 2020**  
**(Jitendra V. Sarde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. None present for respondent No. 2, though duly served.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117 OF 2020  
(Shivaji V. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 10.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 154 OF 2020**  
**(Venkatesh V Joshi & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Mujahed Hussain, learned Advocate for the applicants (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. Today, none present for respondent No. 4 and affidavit in reply is also not filed on behalf of the respondent No. 4.

4. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. Nos. 62, 63, 64, 65 and 120 all of 2021  
(Bhaskar N. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants do not wish to file rejoinder affidavit in all these O.As.

3. S.O. to 10.06.2022 for admission.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2021**  
**(Sunil P. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 10.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 380 OF 2021**  
**(Prabhakar A. Bhagat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh Pidgewar, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2021**  
**(Motiram D. Dakhore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5. Same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 638 OF 2021**  
**(Ganesh R. Admankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 submits that he has yet to receive the instruction for appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4. However, he further submits that para wise remarks are received and the same are sent for approval to the concerned authority.

3. In the circumstances, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 07 OF 2022**  
**(Shaikh Anwar Abdul Kadar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 08 OF 2022**  
**(Mahesh R. Vitekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 06.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 163 OF 2022**  
**(Dr. Suhas S. Sonwane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. Shri R.S. Pawar, learned Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No. 4 and seeks time for filing **Vakalatnama** on behalf of respondent No. 4.

4. S.O. to 05.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 180 OF 2022**  
**(Deepak D. Kharath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file service affidavit in respect of respondent Nos. 2 and 6.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondent No. 4, returnable on 16.06.2022.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2// O.A. No. 180/2022

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2022**  
**(Vikas D. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.U. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.06.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 259 OF 2022**  
**(Rahul M. Wagh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2022**  
**(Ravindra V. Tarkas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2022**  
**(Bhaskar D. Hire Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 296 OF 2022**  
**(Madhuri U. Choudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.V. Lavte, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents.
3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 515/2019 in O.A. No. 834/2019**  
**(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pinlge, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

3. S.O. to 10.06.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**M.A. No. 588/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2292/2019  
(Vyankat L. Nilawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 16.06.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**M.A. No. 306/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1336/2020  
(Sanjeev R. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

**MEMBER (J)**



**M.A. No. 03/2021 in O.A. St. No. 971/2020  
(Asha D. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 17.06.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A., if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**M.A. No. 266/2021 in O.A. St. No. 942/2021  
(Mangilal N. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 10.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**M.A. No. 400/2021 in O.A. No. 701/2021  
(Vijay E. Sonune Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri N.D. Sonavane, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / intervenor and Shri Dinesh Kakde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 1 / applicant in O.A., are absent. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 2 to 5.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 17.06.2022. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 701/2021 to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 421/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1707/2021  
(Saurabh J. Tribhuvan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 28/2022 in O.A. St. No. 57/2022**  
**(Pankaj D. Soundankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 in M.A. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 3 in M.A.

4. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 79/2022 in O.A. St. No. 216/2022  
(Sahebrao C. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.
3. S.O. to 14.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 21/2020 in O.A. St. No. 2424/2019  
(Sarjerao V. Thombre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**M.A. No. 174/2021 in O.A. St. No. 722/2021  
(Avinash V. Solunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Dilip Mutalik, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit in M.A.

3. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022



**M.A. No. 299/2020 in O.A. St. No. 1286/2020  
(Ram S. Bajulge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.P. Dhobale, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.06.2022 for taking necessary steps.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 174 OF 2022**  
**(Sakharam B. Rakh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he will file service affidavit.

3. At the request made on behalf of respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 17.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 83 OF 2022**  
**(Jitendra D. Gurav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 15.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 27 OF 2019**  
**(Raosaheb D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 164/2022 in O.A. St. No. 2280/2019**  
**(Govardhan H. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 14.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 14.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 166/2022 in O.A. St. No. 644/2022**  
**(Shaikh Mohseen Shaikh Khadar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 14.06.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 14.06.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 341 OF 2022**  
(Shaikh Shafiqedin Shaikh Ahmed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 353 OF 2022**

**(Sandhya U. Supekar @ Sandhya D. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 15.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 359 OF 2022**  
**(Balaji R. Baralwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri N.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 293 OF 2020  
(Vilas U. Jagtap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings up to rejoinder affidavit are complete. The present matter is pertaining to deemed date of promotion. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 21.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 190 OF 2021  
(Pratibha M. Bankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.M. Jade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Smt. Manjushri V. Narwade, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, **absent**.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491 OF 2022**  
**(Bhagwan S. Naik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.98 NO 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.259 OF 2019  
(Anandsing B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This application is made seeking condonation of delay of 7 years 1 month and 12 days caused in filing the Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking dues of pensionary benefits as well as requisite pay and allowances for suspension period treating it as duty period.

3. The applicant was appointed as a Police Constable on 15.09.1983. Crime No.3020/2005 was registered against him under Section 7 and 13(1) (d) r/w 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 by A.C.B. Jalgaon on 09.07.2005. He was put under suspension by order dated 10.07.2005. He was acquitted from the corruption case on 18.10.2011.

//2//

M.A.98/2019 In  
O.A.St.259/2019

Thereafter by order dated 02.01.2012 he was reinstated in service but the suspension period is not considered as duty period and requisite payments in that regard are not made to him. The applicant retired on superannuation on 31.12.2017. He has, however, not received pensionary benefits such as gratuity, leave encashment and commutation of pension. Therefore, he filed Original Application along with this delay condonation application seeking requisite relief.

4. It is the contention of the applicant that before filing of the present proceedings, he received letter dated 20.04.2018 from the office of respondent No.4 i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon whereby it is stated that appeal against acquittal is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad and therefore the decision will be taken about the leave encashment in due course of time.

5. The application is opposed by the respondent No.4 by filing affidavit-in-reply of one Dattatraya Khushalsingh Pardeshi working as Police Inspector (Control Room), in the office of Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon. Thereby he denied adverse contentions raised by the applicant and contended that no

//3//

M.A.98/2019 In  
O.A.St.259/2019

sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condonation of delay.

6. After having considered the pleadings and documents on record, it appears that the applicant was pursuing his claim of regularization of suspension period. He made representation dated 09.07.2018 (Exh. 'A-3' of O.A.). It also appears that before that the applicant received communication dated 20.04.2018 from the respondent No.4 stating that appeal against his acquittal is pending and payment of leave encashment will be considered in due course.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant also stated that due to filing of appeal against the acquittal by the respondents, the applicant was under impression that he would not get benefits and therefore, the applicant did not take steps. Not taking steps cannot be said to be deliberate and intentional.

8. In the circumstances, some negligence can be attributed to the applicant in not pursuing the matter in time. However, same negligence cannot be said to be deliberate or gross one. Part cause of action said to have been arisen after receipt of communication dated

//4//

M.A.98/2019 In  
O.A.St.259/2019

20.04.2018 by the applicant from the respondent No.4.

9. In the circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay of 7 years 1 month and 12 days caused in filing the Original Application by taking liberal approach and by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1,000/- (Rs. One Thousand only) on the applicant. I therefore proceed to pass the following order: -

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 98/2019 in O.A.St.No.259/2019 is allowed in following terms:-

- (i) The delay of 7 years 1 month and 12 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (Rs. One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.



//5//

M.A.98/2019 In  
O.A.St.259/2019

- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 19.04.2022

**M.A.NO.99 NO 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.260 OF 2019  
(Soma M. Dhangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This application is made seeking condonation of delay of 8 years 7 months and 16 days caused in filing the Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking dues of pensionary benefits as well as requisite pay and allowances for suspension period treating it as duty period.

3. The applicant was appointed as a Police Constable on 29.09.1983. Crime No.3044/2006 was registered against him under Section 7 and 13(1) (d) r/w 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 by A.C.B. Jalgaon. Pursuant to that the applicant faced criminal trial bearing Special Case No.13/2007. The applicant was acquitted in the said case by order dated 31.10.2009. The appeal against the acquittal order is preferred by the State of Maharashtra bearing Criminal Appeal No.351/2010. The same is pending

//2// M.A.99/2019 In  
O.A.St.260/2019

before the Hon'ble High Court of judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad.

4. It is, however, stated that after registration of crime, the applicant was put under suspension by order dated 12.11.2006 and after his acquittal from the said criminal case, the applicant was reinstated in service by order dated 12.07.2010 by the respondent No.4. The applicant stood retired on 31.05.2016. However, the applicant has not been paid his dues towards gratuity, earned leave, commutation of pension etc.

5. Moreover, the period of absence on account of suspension from 12.11.2006 to 12.07.2010 was not considered as duty period. After retirement only, the applicant made representation dated 12.07.2018 ventilating his grievances. However, his grievances are not considered. Hence applicant filed Original Application along with delay condontion application.

6. It is further contended that considering the date of representation there is no delay. However, even if the delay as calculated by the office is considered, it is

//3// M.A.99/2019 In  
O.A.St.260/2019

not deliberate. The applicant has good case on merit. Hence, this application.

7. This application is opposed by the respondent No.4 by filing affidavit-in-reply of one Dattatraya Khushalsingh Pardeshi working as Police Inspector (Control Room), in the office of Superintendent of Police, Jalgaon. Thereby he denied adverse contentions raised by the applicant and contended that no sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condonation of delay.

8. After having considered the facts of the case as pleaded in the O.A. and supported documents on record, it seems that there is delay of about 8 years 7 months and 16 days caused in filing the Original Application. In this regard some negligence can be attributed to the applicant in not approaching the Tribunal in time. However, the same negligence cannot be said to be deliberate or gross one.

9. During the course of arguments, learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that because of filing of appeal against acquittal by the respondents,

//4//

M.A.99/2019 In  
O.A.St.260/201

the applicant was under impression that he would not get dues. The said reason cannot be said to be unusual.

10. It is a settled principle of law that the expression “sufficient cause” is to be construed liberally. Refusing to condone the delay is likely to defect the cause of justice at the threshold. In the circumstances, in my considered opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay of 8 years and 7 months and 16 days caused in filing the Original Application by taking liberal approach and by imposing moderate costs upon the applicant. I compute the costs of Rs.1,000/- (Rs. One Thousand only) on the applicant. I therefore proceed to pass the following order: -

**ORDER**

The Misc. Application No. 99/2019 in O.A.St.No.260/2019 is allowed in following terms:-

- (i) The delay of 8 years 7 months and 16 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned

//5//

M.A.99/2019 In  
O.A.St.260/201

subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1,000/- (Rs. One Thousand only) by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within a period of one month from the date of this order.

- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.87 OF 2019  
(Yogiraj V. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.766 OF 2016**  
**(Rambhau T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 4. Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3, is **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant as well as learned P.O. submit that they will inform the next date of hearing to the learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3 who is absent today.

3. S.O. to 21.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.812 OF 2018**  
**(Bhagwant P. Kapale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.
3. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.822 OF 2018**  
**(Virendra P. Dhivare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.139 OF 2019  
(Madhukar R. Dusane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.946 OF 2018  
(Kailas D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these O.As. and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 in O.A.No.139/2019 and for respondent Nos.1 & 2 in O.A.No.946/2018. Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3 in O.A.No.946/2018 is **absent**.

2. By consent of learned Advocate for the applicant and learned P.O., S.O. to 21.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.218 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.770 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.497 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1727 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.533 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2011 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.568 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.438 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.569 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1536 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.572 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.440 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.143 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.397 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.159 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.429 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.219 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.807 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.317 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1029 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.318 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1032 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.329 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.914 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.330 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.917 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.341 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1442 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.480 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1196 OF 2019  
WITH  
M.A.NO.355 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1528 OF 2021  
WITH  
M.A.NO.357 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1531 OF 2021**

**(Punjaji S. Yelne & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

//2//

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle and Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Affidavits-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicants in M.A.No.497 of 2019 in O.A.St.No.1727 of 2019 and in M.A.No.533 of 2019 in O.A.St.No.2011 of 2019 are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 21.06.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.373 OF 2019**  
**(Gajendra R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.478 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Anand S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 16.06.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.981 OF 2019**  
**(Ravindra K. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.577 OF 2020**  
**(Kalidas B. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.06.2022 for final hearing. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.50 OF 2021**  
**(Ravindra K. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.06.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.540 OF 2021  
(Bhujang V. Godbole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 04.05.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 414 OF 2018**  
**(Vranda P. Sadgure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 613 OF 2018**  
**(Sonelben D. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

**O.A. No. 414/2018**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

**O.A. No. 613/2018**

Heard Shri N.S. Kadarale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 26.04.2022 at 3.00 P.M.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.533 OF 2021**  
**(Diwakar M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.E. Sarode, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2022 for admission. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 20.04.2022

**DATE : 20.04.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 363 OF 2022**

**(Ranjit P. Ratnaparkhe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri N.T. Tribhuwan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 08.06.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **08.06.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**O.A.NOS. 904, 905 AND 906 ALL OF 2019**  
**(Vaishali Nemgonda Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. On instructions, learned counsel appearing for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw all these O.As. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has not raised any objection for such withdrawal. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

All the aforesaid OAs stand disposed of since withdrawn. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2020**  
**(Shravan P. Pawara Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Chaitanya C. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. On the previous date i.e. on 12.4.2020 the following order was passed.

"2. In the present matter on the previous date the following order was passed :-

*"2. Since none appears for the applicant, S.O. to 12.4.2022."*

3. Previous to the said date the matter was on board of 17.3.2020 and on that date also no one has caused appearance for the applicant and hence, the following order was passed: -

*"2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 01.04.2020 for passing necessary order."*

4. Today also no one has caused appearance on behalf of the applicant in the matter. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 20.4.2022 by way of last chance. It is clarified that if the matter is not proceeded further, the same shall be dismissed for want of prosecution on the given date."



**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 139 OF 2020**

3. Today also no one has caused appearance in the present matter. It appears that the applicant has lost interest for prosecuting the matter further. Hence, the following order: -

**ORDER**

The O.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 20.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 357 OF 2022  
(Arjun K. Jarwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 20.4.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.4.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 27.4.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 360 OF 2022  
(Anil P. Khaladkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 20.4.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.6.2022. **Liberty to the applicant to move this Tribunal if any appointment is sought to be issued by the respondents.**

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 28.6.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.ST.NO. 698/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 699/2022**  
**(Prajakta D. Khairnar & Ors. Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Pratibha J. Bharad, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 25.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 20.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 646 OF 2019**  
**(Manoj N. Pande Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Shri V.M. Maney, learned counsel for respondent No. 6 and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4, 5 & 7, are present.

2. Learned counsel Shri K.G. Salunke submits that he is appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 & 7 and seeks time to take instructions and go through the matter. He has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of the said respondents and the same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 28.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2021  
(Sandip P. More Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply to the amended portion of O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.6.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303 OF 2019**  
**(Sukracharya B. Tekale Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 571 OF 2021**  
**(Namdeo B. Dhakne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri. Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and S/Shri B.S. Deokar & I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. Arguments are heard. The present cases are reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 20.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 492 OF 2019  
(Pritam B. Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri. Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments are heard. The present case is reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2020  
(Santosh G. Rane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri. P.B. Rakhunde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments are heard. The present case is reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 253 OF 2020**  
**(Bapurao F. Bhosale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 254 OF 2020**  
**(Gopal G. Baldar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri. K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in both these cases.

2. Arguments are heard. The present cases are reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 20.4.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 225 OF 2021  
(Surekha B. Andhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. P.G. Tambade, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Request is opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant stating that due opportunities are already availed by the respondents. However, in the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted till 13.6.2022. It is clarified that if the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date, the present application shall be heard without affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 529/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1650/2019  
(Gurunath S. Purohit Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. P.G. Tambade, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Request is opposed by the learned counsel for the applicant stating that due opportunities are already availed by the respondents. However, in the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted till 13.6.2022. It is clarified that if the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date, the present application shall be heard without affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 13.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 261 OF 2022  
(Dadarao U. Phule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 20.4.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R. Jain, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 22.6.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 115/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 138/2022**  
**(Mangesh S. Vishwasu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.R. Jain, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue fresh notices to the respondents in delay condonation application, returnable on 22.6.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 22.6.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 941 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Shukracharya Gokul Dudhal Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. A.U. Aute, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has placed on record the G.R. dated 7.3.1983. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned C.P.O. has sought time to file written notes of arguments. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 27.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 311 OF 2021  
(Shaikh Irfan Shaikh Zakir Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 22.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 143 OF 2021  
(Rahul D. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of report of enquiry officer submitted in the matter. The same is taken on record. Learned P.O. submits that she would also file on record copies of the statements recorded in the Departmental Enquiry.

3. S.O. to 28.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2018**  
**(Nilesh B. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. M.A. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri R.S. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 4 OF 2019  
(Govind P. Gite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. A.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 20.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 97 OF 2019**  
**(Mirkhan Iliyaskhan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Y.B. Pathan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2019**  
**(Kashinath G. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Nimbalkar, learned counsel holding for Shri. Amol S. Gandhi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668 OF 2019**  
**(Sonal D. Agrawal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Anup S. Dhanuka, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1085 OF 2019  
(Bhaskar M. Taske Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 20.4.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2020**

**(Dr. Pratap M. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND**

**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, SHri S.B. Bhosale, learned counsel for Caveator and Shri S.K. Kadam, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 seek time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37 OF 2020**  
**(Pandurang N. Mendake Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. S.S. Dambe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.6.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 93 OF 2020**  
**(Jayshree S. Tusamkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. M.S. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 100 OF 2020  
(Ashok R. Jagdhane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. A.G. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has already been filed on record and, therefore, the present case be listed for hearing.

3. In view of the above, list the present case for hearing on 23.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2020  
(Shaikh Akbar Shaikh Rasul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 24.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2020  
(Vishranti B. Giri Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Abhishek C. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 24.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 24 OF 2021**  
**(Dr. Vaishali S. Garjewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 307 OF 2021  
(Vaishali K. Korde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 244 OF 2022  
(Maharashtra State Gazetted Medical Officer Association  
through its President Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 14.7.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2022  
(Indurao B. Sarode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 281 OF 2022**  
**(Kirti M. Nagargoje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri. Suresh D. Dhongde, learned counsel holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 28.6.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 408/2021**

**(Smt. Urmila M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. After having heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned Presenting Officer for some time, we felt it necessary to direct the learned Presenting Officer to place on record the seniority list, if maintained, of the period in which the present applicant was transferred from one Division to another, of the cadre of Talathis in both the Divisions i.e. Selu and Parbhani by the next date.

3. S.O. to 2.5.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 589/2020**  
**(Shri Anil V. Karbhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel, S.O. to 23.6.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 169/2022 IN M.A. 161/2022 IN O.A. 282/2022  
(Shri Amol L. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant in M.A. 169/2022 / O.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for applicant in M.A. 161/2022, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant in M.A. 169/2022, S.O. to 29.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NOS. 535 AND 575 BOTH OF 2013  
(Shri Shubhangi A. Shejul & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G. Tambde, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 654/2013  
(Dr. Amol S. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Surekha Mahajan, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279/2017**

**(Smt. Rekha A. Bonalawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.D. Aghav, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**O.A. NOS. 358, 359, 360 AND 361 ALL OF 2018  
(Haseeb Ur. Rehman Khan & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 383/2018  
(Meraj Begum Syeed Abdul Khalak Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 658/2018**  
**(Raosaheb B. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ravi R. Bangar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 & 3, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 17.6.2022 for final hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 117/2019  
(Sainath K. Matkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.D. Jarare, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263/2020  
(Ravindra M. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 369/2020  
(Shri Uttam G. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 20.4.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.6.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**